

Eastern PA CoC: 2017 Renewal Ranking and Scoring

- Recommended by Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee, 7-26-17
- Approved by Eastern PA CoC Governing Board, 7-27-17
- Revisions approved by Eastern PA CoC Governing Board, 9-5-17 and 9-6-17

The time period used for all data will be May 1, 2016 – April 30, 2017

#	Criteria	Data Source	Benchmark	Point Structure
Performance				
1	<p>Exit to Permanent Housing (SSO, TH & RRH only).</p> <p><u>Measurement:</u> % of exits to permanent housing destination among those who exited project.</p>	PA-HMIS; APR from DV providers	<p>HUD Goal = 80%</p> <p>2016 CoC Performance = 53%</p> <hr/> <p>Individuals who exit to any of the below listed “destinations” will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility • Foster care home or foster care group home • Long-term care facility or nursing home • Deceased 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 80-100% = 10 points • 53-79% = 3 points
2	<p>Retention of Permanent Housing/ Exit to other PH (PSH only).</p> <p><u>Measurement:</u> % of project participants remained in PSH project or exited to other permanent housing.</p>	PA-HMIS; APR from DV providers	<p>HUD Goal = 80%</p> <p>2016 CoC Performance = 93%</p> <hr/> <p>Individuals who exit to any of the below listed “destinations” will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility • Foster care home or foster care group home • Long-term care facility or nursing home • Deceased 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 93-100% = 10 points • 80-92% = 3 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Benchmark	Point Structure
3	Returns to Homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing destination <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NOTE: DV programs are exempt from this measure due to the fact that households typically cycle between returning to their abuser and a DV program multiple times until they ultimately break all ties with their abuser. 	PA-HMIS	2016 CoC Performance = 4% for SSO; 2% for TH; 2% for PH	<u>SSO projects:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤4% = 3 points • 5-10% = 1 point <u>TH and PH projects:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 2% = 3 points • 3-10% = 1 point
4	Length of time homeless/ length of stay in TH program (TH only)	PA-HMIS; APR from DV providers	HUD Goal= <30 days 2016 CoC Performance avg # days in TH = 267	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • ≤ 267 days = 0 points • 268-293 days = -1 points • 294-319 days = -2 points • 320-364 days = -3 points • 365+ days = -4 points
5a	Income Growth among program leavers & stayers (SSO, TH & RRH only) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> NOTE: Youth dedicated projects have a lower threshold 	PA-HMIS; APR from DV providers	2016 CoC Performance Measures, Increased income among system leavers & stayers = 45% increase	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 45+% increase = 8 points • 40-44% = 5 points • 35-39% = 2 points <u>Dedicated youth programs:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 40+% increase = 8 points • 30-39% = 5 points
5b	Income Growth: Increase <u>or</u> maintain income (PSH only)			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 45+% increase or maintain income = 8 points • 40-44% = 5 points • 35-39% = 2 point
6	Non-cash/ Mainstream Benefits	PA-HMIS; APR from DV providers	Increase enrollment in mainstream benefits	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 75% of program participants enrolled in 1+ mainstream benefit = 5 points • 60-74% enrollment = 2 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Benchmark	Point Structure
Monitoring				
7	Project Participant Eligibility	PA-HMIS; APR from DV providers	Prior residence of each head of household served during the reporting period = literally homeless	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 90%+ = 5 points
8	Unit Utilization Rate	# units per 2016 renewal app compared to average unit utilization; PA-HMIS; APR from DV providers	Goal = full utilization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 95-100% utilization = 5 points • 90-94% = 3 points • 85-89% = 1 point
9	Drawdown Rates	e-LOCCS	HUD requires a minimum of quarterly draws	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Met benchmark = 3 points • Did not meet benchmark = 0 points
10	Funds recaptured by HUD	e-LOCCS	Goal = full spend down	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 95-100% = 6 points • 90-94% = 3 points • 85-89% = 1 point
11	Timely APR submission	Last submitted APR	HUD requires APRs to be submitted within 90 days of end of grant	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HUD's system for submitting APR had several issues over the last year that prevented providers from submitting report. Data collected but not scored.
12a	Cost effectiveness: Cost per Household <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>SSO/TH/RRH</u> - Average cost per Household served 	<u>Numerator:</u> Services + admin line items from 2017 Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) <u>Denominator:</u> # of HHS served per PA-HMIS or APR from DV providers	The CoC is required to consider how much each project spends to serve and house an individual or family as compared to other projects serving similar populations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10%+ below the system average = 5 points • 1-9% below the system average = 84 points • Equal to up to 9% above the system average = 2 points • 10%+ above system average = 0 points
12b	Cost effectiveness: Cost per Household <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>PSH</u> - Average cost per unit 	<u>Numerator:</u> Services + admin line items from 2017 GIW <u>Denominator:</u> # of units (Renewal Summary Form)	The CoC is required to consider how much each project spends to serve and house an individual or family as compared to other projects serving similar populations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10%+ below the system average = 5 points • 1-9% below the system average = 84 points • Equal to up to 9% above the system average = 2 points • 10%+ above system average = 0 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Benchmark	Point Structure
13a	Cost effectiveness: Cost per Positive Exit <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>SSO/TH/RRH</u> - Average cost per exit to Permanent Housing destination. 	<u>Numerator:</u> Services + admin line items from 2017 GIW <u>Denominator:</u> Leavers to PH per PA-HMIS or APR from DV providers	The CoC is required to consider how much each project spends to serve and house an individual or family as compared to other projects serving similar populations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10%+ below the system average = 5 points • 1-9% below the system average = 84 points • Equal to up to 9% above the system average = 2 points • 10%+ above system average = 0 points
13b	Cost effectiveness: Cost per Positive Exit <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • <u>PSH</u> - Average cost per household that remains in PSH or exits to other Permanent Housing destination. 	<u>Numerator:</u> Services + admin line items from 2017 GIW <u>Denominator:</u> Stayers + Leavers to other PH per PA-HMIS or APR from DV providers	The CoC is required to consider how much each project spends to serve and house an individual or family as compared to other projects serving similar populations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10%+ below the system average = 5 points • 1-9% below the system average = 84 points • Equal to up to 9% above the system average = 2 points • 10%+ above system average = 0 points
14	HUD Monitoring	Letter verifying no monitoring; If monitored, evidence of no outstanding findings	Any findings during monitoring should be resolved within the HUD timeline identified.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No monitoring within the last two years, or monitored with no outstanding issues = 0 points • Project monitored and has unresolved findings = - 5 points
Contributing to ending homelessness & goals of Opening Doors				
15	CoC Project Description. *See additional instructions on page	Renewal Summary Form and 2017 Renewal Application	CoCs are required to strategically allocate resources in order to most effectively end homelessness throughout geographic area.	1.5 points for each of the below described clearly in project app, for up to 6 points: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • project is meeting a local need • includes no details in conflict with operating as housing first • prioritizes resources (e.g. high vulnerability, unsheltered, length of time homeless, chronic homeless) • community partners to provide supportive services

#	Criteria	Data Source	Benchmark	Point Structure
16	<i>Opening Doors</i> Goals	Renewal Summary Form and 2017 Renewal Application	In order to meet the goals of <i>Opening Doors</i> , the CoC must prioritize specific populations, many of whom have a high need for specialized services.	5 points if project targets one or more of the below populations: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Youth (under 25) • Families with Children • Persons experiencing chronic homelessness • Veterans
17	Severity of Need/ Need for specialized services. <u>Measurement:</u> Avg. to be calculated and measured separately for each project type.	HMIS or DV comparable database weighted average vulnerability	In order to encourage providers to serve the most vulnerable within our CoC, additional points will be awarded to projects that serve a high % of participants with physical & mental health conditions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Meet or exceed CoC average vulnerability = 7.5 points • Up to 25% below average vulnerable = 2.5 points • Less = 0 points
18	PSH beds dedicated for chronically homeless	Renewal Summary Form and 2017 Renewal Application	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HUD Goal = 85% of PSH beds dedicated for chronic homeless. • CoC policy requires chronic prioritization of all CoC-funded PSH beds. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 100% of all PSH beds dedicated or prioritized for chronic homeless = 2.5 points • <100% = 0 points
19	Housing First Approach	Renewal Summary Form and 2017 Renewal Application	HUD Goal = 75+% of all CoC-funded projects operate using a Housing First approach	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes = 5 points • No = 0 points
CoC Participation				
20	RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership	RHAB Secretary, Committee/ Sub-committee Chair, CoC Staff	<u>RHAB Participation:</u> Full participation in RHAB is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC. <u>CoC Leadership:</u> The CoC frequently requests volunteer participation with various Committees and Sub-Committees.	Maximum points = 10 <u>RHAB Participation:</u> % of 10 points, based on % of RHAB meetings attended <u>CoC Leadership:</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If less than 10 points were awarded for RHAB participation, 1 additional point will be awarded for each Committee/ Sub-Committee meeting attended, up to 3 points. (Total combined points not to exceed 10.) <u>BONUS OPPORTUNITY:</u> If 10 points were awarded for RHAB participation and a representative from the

#	Criteria	Data Source	Benchmark	Point Structure
				organization also participated on a Committee/Sub-Committee, one additional bonus point will be added.
21	Attended CoC meetings	CoC registration/attendance sheets	Full participation in CoC is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC.	2 points awarded for attending October 2016 CoC meeting
				2 points awarded for attending April 2017 CoC meeting. 1 point will be awarded to grantees that did not attend the meeting, as the meeting was held on Easter Monday and some organizations were closed.
22	Attended CoC webinars and training	CoC webinar registration information	Full participation in webinar and training opportunities is expected of all CoC funded organizations	Due to technology-related issues, this will not be scored in 2017. The issues have been resolved and webinars and face-to-face training will be scored in 2018.
23	Participation and high data quality (<5% missing/null data)	PA-HMIS; DV providers must provide evidence of alternate database	Full participation in HMIS is required of all CoC-funded organizations	DV providers will be awarded 5 points by providing evidence of participation in a comparable database Non-DV providers will receive five points if data quality shows <5% missing/null data
24 *NEW*	Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry. Timeliness specifically looks at project entry and exit dates. For example, this measure will compare a household's actual enrollment date against the date that their enrollment date was entered in HMIS.	PA-HMIS	Per the HMIS Participation Agreement, all data should be entered into PA-HMIS within 7 days	Not scored in 2017, but will be scored in 2018 TBD if DV providers will be evaluated on this criteria in 2018

#	Criteria	Data Source	Benchmark	Point Structure
25 *NEW*	<p>HMIS Bed Inventory.</p> <p>Note: the CoC's Data Committee will provide additional guidance and a timeline by which all projects need to have their Bed/Unit inventory set-up in HMIS.</p>	PA-HMIS	Bed/Unit inventory is set up in PA-HMIS and kept up to date	<p>Not scored in 2017, but will be scored in 2018</p> <hr/> <p>TBD if DV providers will be evaluated on this criteria in 2018</p>

Notes:

- The FY18 Renewal Ranking Criteria will include participation in Coordinated Entry. As of January 2018, or sooner if directed by CoC Governing Board, all CoC-funded projects will be required to enroll new project participants using referrals prioritized through the CoC's Coordinated Entry.
- **Additional instructions for criteria # 15, CoC Project Description.** The CoC Project Description for each Renewal Project Application will need to be consistent with HUD's detailed instructions and clearly incorporate information related to the four elements described under "point structure". Specifically:
 - describe local needs, including any recent community-based needs assessments and how your project is responding to local needs;
 - provide information about eligibility for assistance and any other factors considered prior to program enrollment (e.g. no income, active drug use, etc.);
 - provide information about program termination, including under what circumstances someone can be terminated, if there is an appeals process and if the project assists the household to identify another homeless assistance provider or housing option;
 - if your project prioritizes resources, provide information about the criteria and how it is used;
 - incorporate the names of community partners and examples of the ways in which your organizations are working together to meet the needs of each household.

Summary of Point Allocation

#	Criteria	Point Structure	
		SSO/TH/RRH	PSH
Performance = 26 points			
1	Exit to Permanent Housing (SSO, TH & RRH only)	10	n/a
2	Retention of Permanent Housing/ Exit to other PH (PSH only)	n/a	10
3	Returns to Homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing destination	3	3
4	Length of time homeless/ length of stay in TH program (TH only)	0 to -4 points	n/a
5	Income Growth	8	8
6	Non-cash/ Mainstream Benefits	5	5
Monitoring = 29 points			
7	Project Participant Eligibility	5	5
8	Unit Utilization Rate	5	5
9	Drawdown Rates	3	3
10	Funds recaptured by HUD	6	6
11	Timely APR submission	n/a	n/a
12	Cost effectiveness: Cost per Household	5	5
13	Cost effectiveness: Cost per Positive Exit	5	5
14	HUD Monitoring	0 to -5 points	0 to -5 points
Contributing to ending homelessness & goals of Opening Doors = 26 points			
15	CoC Project Description	6	6
16	<i>Opening Doors</i> Goals	5	5
17	Severity of Need/ Need for specialized services	7.5	7.5
18	PSH beds dedicated for chronically homeless	n/a	2.5
19	Housing First Approach	5	5
CoC Participation = 19 points			
20	RHAB Participation/CoC Leadership	10	10
21	Attended CoC meetings	4	4
22	Attended CoC webinars and training	n/a	n/a
23	HMIS Participation and high data quality	5	5
24	Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry	not scored	not scored
25	HMIS Bed Inventory	not scored	not scored
TOTAL		97.5	100
BONUS	CoC Leadership (part of # 20)	1	1