Western PA Continuum of Care (PA-601)
2017 RENEWAL SCORING SHEET
All scoring will be based on data for Calendar Year (CY) 2016
Final, 7/28/2017. Revised, 9-7-17.

#	Criteria	Point Structure	Data Source	Points
HUD POLICY PRIORITY				**36**
1	**Project Type**	PSH = 6 points RRH = 4 points TH - 100% dedicated to youth, 24 years of age and younger = 2 points TH - all others = 0 points SSO = 0 points Safe Haven = 0 points	RSF	6
2	**Severity of Need**	25% or more above Ave = 8 points Ave to 24.9% above ave = 6 points 25% below ave to ave = 4 points 50% below ave to 24.9% below = 2 points Below 49.9% below ave = 0 points +1 Bonus point for highest scoring project	HMIS or DV comparable data base weighted average vulnerability of Head of Household only.	8
3	**Participant Eligibility:** Extent to which project serves literally homeless	90% of participants served were literally homeless = 6 points	HMIS or DV comparable database	6
4	**Percent Zero Income at Entry (NEW)**	50% + = 2 points 20% to 49 = 1 point <20% = 0 points	RSF	2
5	**Housing First**	Organization certifies through submission of CoC renewal project application that project is operating using housing first approach = 2 points	RSF (needs to be consistent with FY2017 renewal application)	2
6	**Meeting Goals of *Opening Doors***	Serving 100%+ (total/overlapping) of the priority populations of *Opening Doors* = 2 points	RSF (needs to be consistent with FY2017 renewal application)	2
7	**Access to Mainstream Benefits:** Promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream benefits	Each activity listed below provided by your project = 0.5 points: ▪ Transportation assistance ▪ Use of a single application form for 4+ mainstream programs ▪ At least annual follow-ups with participants to ensure mainstream benefits are received and renewed ▪ Ensuring access to SSI/SSDI technical assistance	RSF (needs to be consistent with FY2017 renewal application)	2
8	**Access to Non-Cash Benefits:** Enrollment in mainstream benefits	70%+ project participants served during the operating year enrolled in SNAP = 1 point 70%+ of project participants served during the operating year enrolled in Health Insurance = 1 point	HMIS or DV comparable database	2
9	**Application Narrative**: Reflects understanding of and implementation of HUD Policy Priorities (NEW)	Design/Operation of project is responsive to the need for homeless assistance in the community = 1.5 points Description consistent with Housing First = 1.5 points Project prioritizes resources rather than first come first served = 1.5 points Applicant maintains and utilizes connections to community resources = 1.5 points	RSF (with Project Description to be used in FY2017 renewal application)	6

#	Criteria	Point Structure	Data Source	Points
PERFORMANCE OUTCOMES				**22**
10	**Length of Stay:** TH, SH and SSO Only - Length of stay of for those who exited to permanent housing destinations	Less than 9 months = 2 points 9 - 11 months = 1 point >11 months = 0 points	HMIS or DV comparable database	2
11a	**% permanent housing exit destinations:** TH, SH, SSO Only - Percentage exited to permanent housing[1]	96 - 100% exited to PH = 8 points 90% - 95% exited to PH = 6 points 85% - 89% exited to PH = 4 points 80% - 84% exited to PH = 2 points <80% exited to PH = 0 points	HMIS or DV comparable database	8
11b	**% permanent housing exit destinations:** RRH & PSH Only - Percentage remained in or exited to permanent housing[1]	96-100% exited to or remained in PH = 10 points 90% - 95% exited to or remained in PH = 8 points 85% - 89% exited to or remained in PH = 6 points 80% - 84% exited to or remained in PH = 4 points <80% exited to PH = 0 points		10

#	Criteria	Point Structure	Data Source	Points
12	**% returns to homelessness:** Percentage of households return to homelessness within 6 months of program exit. N/A for DV providers, as there is no way to measure if clients reentered the system, only their specific program.	0 - 5% returns to homelessness within 6 months of program exit = 2 points 6 - 10% = 1 point >10% = 0 points	HMIS	2
13 a	**% increases in income:** % of leavers and stayers reporting increase in earned income or non-employment cash during CY16 (Projects serving only individuals < age 18 exempt and only answer13b)	50% or more had an increase in income = 10 points 40% to 49% increase income = 8 points 30% to 39% increase income = 6 points 20 - 29% increase income = 4 points <20% increase income = 0 points	HMIS or DV comparable database	10
13 b	**Alternative question for projects only serving youth under age 18**: Children must be enrolled and actively attending school on a regular basis or actively working on a GED	90%+ of youth attend school regularly or actively working on a GED = 10 points	RSF	10

GRANT MANAGEMENT				**25**
14	**Unit Utilization rates:** Average utilization rate of project (using project utilization each quarter, as reported on APR for CY16) (NA for SSO)	100%+ utilization rate = 8 points 96% - 99% = 4 points 90% - 95% = 2 points <90% = 0 points	HMIS generated APR (CY16) or DV Comparable database and Number of Units from FY2015 Applications as reported on RSF	8
15	**Drawdown rates:** Minimum of quarterly drawdown from eLOCCS	Minimum of 1 drawdown per quarter = 3 points	RSF	3
16	**Funds Expended:** Ensure project is fully utilizing CoC funding	If project is still operating in first contract cycle = 8 points 100% of funds expended = 8 points 95% - 99% expended = 6 points 90% - 94% expended = 3 points <90% expended = 0 points	RSF	8
17a	**Cost Effectiveness:** Cost per household: SSO/TH/RRH	Project's last completed budget ÷ Number of households served during Calendar 2016 equal to or less than CoC average (SSO, TH, RRH) = 3 points 25% above average = 1.5 points >25% above average = 0 points	HMIS or DV comparable database	3
17b	**Cost Effectiveness:** Cost per household: PSH	Project's last completed budget ÷ Number of households served during Calendar 2016 equal to or less than CoC average (SSO, TH, RRH) = 3 points 25% above average = 1.5 points >25% above average = 0 points	HMIS or DV comparable database	
17c	**Cost Effectiveness:** Cost per EXIT to PH destination: SSO, TH, RRH	Project's last completed budget÷ Number of Leavers to a PH destination during Calendar 2016 equal to or less than CoC average (SSO, TH, RRH) = 3 points 25% above average = 1.5 points >25% above average = 0 points	HMIS or DV comparable database	
17d	**Cost Effectiveness:** Cost per household that remains in PSH or exits to another PH destination: PSH	Project's last completed budget ÷ Number of Stayers + Number of Leavers to a PH destination during Calendar 2016 equal to or less than CoC average (PSH) = 3 points 25% above average = 1.5 points >25% above average = 0 points	HMIS or DV comparable database	3

#	Criteria	Point Structure	Data Source	Points
18	**Timely APR submission**	Most recent APR was submitted to HUD via e-snaps within 90 days of the end of the contract year = Not scored due to lag by HUD in transition of APR to SAGE. Will be scored in 2018	APR submission documentation	0
19	**HUD Monitoring**	No monitoring within the last two years, or monitored with no outstanding issues = 0 points Project monitored and has unresolved findings = -5 points	Letter verifying no monitoring; If monitored, evidence of no outstanding findings	0

COC PARTICIPATION				9
Participation in Planning: CoC-funded organizations must participate in local, regional and CoC-wide meetings and planning in order to maximize knowledge of providers and engage the broader community.				
20a	**CoC meetings**	Attendance at April 5, 2016 and October 5, 2016 CoC meeting = 3 points	CoC meeting sign-in sheets	3
20b	**Regional Homeless Advisory Board (RHAB) meetings**	Attended at least 50% of all RHAB meetings in 2016 = 3 points ▪ N/A if in NW Region and not a member of the RHAB	RHAB attendance sheets	6
20c	**County LHOT or housing coalition meetings**	Attendance at 75% or more of all county LHOT/housing coalition meetings. ▪ NW non-RHAB members = 6 points ▪ All others = 3 points	Letter signed by Chair of county entity. Letter must received by date of rating & ranking	
21	**Participation in CoC Training Events:**	Due to technology-related issues in the training provided in 2016, this will not be scored in 2017. However, now that the technology issues have been fixed and a set of face-to-face trainings were held in 2017, this will be scored in the FY2018 NOFA.	Based on attendance sheets.	0

HMIS				8

22	**HMIS Data Quality**: Universal Data Elements reflect a low percentage of:
	1) null/ missing values and
	2) client doesn't know/ refused values
		1) Null/Missing Value:
		    0% null/missing = 4 points
		    .01% - 2.5% = 2 points
		    2.501% - 5% = 1 point
		    >5% = 0 points
		2) Doesn't Know/refused:
		    0% = 4 points
		    .01% - 2.5% = 2 points
		    2.501% - 5% = 1 point
		    >5% = 0 points
			HMIS	8

		If project operated by DV org, submit proof of data entry in comparable system = 8 points	HMIS comparable system	
23	**Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry**	Per the HMIS Participation Agreement, all data should be entered into PA-HMIS within 7 days. Will not be scored in FY2017.	HMIS N/A DV	0
24	**HMIS Bed Inventory**	Bed/Unit Inventory is set up in PA-HMIS and kept up to date Not scored in 2017, but will be scored in 2018.	HMIS N/A DV	0
25 Bonus	Full **HMIS participation** within organizations receiving CoC funding	HMIS participation among homeless assistance programs (not receiving ESG or CoC funding) operated by your organization = 0.5 point (maximum)	RSF & HMIS	

TOTAL POINTS: 100

POSSIBLE BONUS POINTS: 1.5

NOTES:

[1]This measure excludes persons from the measure if they exited to one of the below listed "destinations":

·   Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility

·   Foster care home or foster care group home

·   Long-term care facility or nursing home

·   Deceased