Western PA CoC: 2020 Renewal Project Scoring Criteria

Finalized 10/2/2020

The time period used for all data will be January 1, 2019-December 31, 2019

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
Performance a	and Data Quality= 41 points		
1a	TH/SSO- Housing Stability: TH & SSO Only TH Measurement: % of participants/leavers who exited to permanent housing destination. SSO Measurement: % of participants/leavers who were placed into Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing or Permanent Housing Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility Foster care home or foster care group home Long-term care facility or nursing home Deceased Average outcomes in 2019: TH: 91%; SSO: 91%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	TH/SSO • 100% = 10 points • 96 - 99% = 8 points • 90% - 95% = 6 points • 85% - 89% = 4 points
1b	RRH/TH-RRH/PSH – Housing Stability: RRH, TH-RRH & PSH Only – RRH and TH-RRH Measurement: % of participants/leavers who exited to permanent housing destination. PSH Measurement: % of participants/stayers who remained in PSH project or participants/leavers who exited to other permanent housing. Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility Foster care home or foster care group home Long-term care facility or nursing home Deceased Average outcomes in 2019:	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	RRH/TH-RRH % exits to permanent housing; PSH % remained in permanent housing or exited to permanent housing: • 100% = 12 points • 96-99% = 10 points • 90-95% = 8 points • 85-89% = 6 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	• PSH: 95%; RRH: 91%		
2	% returns to homelessness: Percentage of households return to homelessness within 6 months of program exit to a permanent housing	HMIS	0 - 5% returns to homelessness within 6 months of program exit
	destination.		= 2 points • 6 - 10% = 1 point
	Note: N/A for DV providers, as there is no way to measure if clients reentered the system, only their specific program.		• >10% = 0 points
	2019 CoC Performance Measures: 4%		
2	Average outcomes in 2019: 2%	DCE	2
3	Degree to which victim service projects improve safety for the population served.	RSF	2 points total
	Evaluate the practices of victim service providers around serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and their ability to house survivors and meet safety outcomes.		Projects must describe how they ensure the safety of DV survivors by: Training staff on safety.
			Training staff on safety planningTraining staff on
			trauma-informed, victim centered approaches • Adjusting intake space
			to better ensure a private conversation
			 Working with survivors to have them identify
			what is safe for them as it relates to scattered
			site units and/or rental assistance
			Keeping the location
			confidential of units used for survivors
			2) Project must describe how
			they measure the projects'

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
			ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served (i.e. how does the project capture/evaluate this data)
4	Length of Stay/Average: TH and SSO Only - Average length of stay for leavers	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	Average length of stay for leavers: • Less than 9 months (274 days or less) = 2 point • 9 - 12 months (275 to 365 days) = 1 points
5 (not scored in 2020)	Length of Stay/Longer than 12 months: TH and SSO Only - The percent of participants whose length of stay is 12 months or less	Not scored in 2020 – will use 2020 data to set benchmarks for 2021	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers
6 (not scored in 2020)	Providers are expected to move participants in as quickly as possible upon enrollment; Decreasing length of time between project start date and housing move-in date is one factor that contributes to SPM Metric 1b (length of time homeless)	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	Not scored in 2020; will review 2020 performance to set performance benchmarks for 2021
7	Increase in total income: % of all adult participants who increased income from any source from entry to exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers) NOTE: Adult participants' Earned Income Growth and Non-Earned Income Growth both factor into Total Income Growth. 2019 CoC Performance Measures: 48% Average outcomes in 2019: 48%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	 60% or more had an increase in total income = 12 points 50-59% increase income = 10 points 40-49% increase income = 8 points 30-39% increase income = 6 points 20-29% increase income = 4 points
8	Connecting Participants to Mainstream Benefits % of adult participants with 1+ source of Non-Cash benefits (SNAP, WIC, TANF, others, etc.)	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	 100% = 4 points 90-99% = 3 points 80-89% = 2 points 70-79% = 1 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
9	Participants Connected to Health Insurance: Percentage of all	APR pulled from HMIS;	• 95%=2 points
	participants with 1+ source of health insurance	APR from DV providers	• 85-94% = 1 point
10	HMIS Data Quality: % of Error Rate for the following data points entered into HMIS: a. Personally Identifiable Information b. Destination c. Income and Sources at Entry d. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment e. Income and Sources at Exit Please note that this criterion may be more heavily weighted in future scoring rounds.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	7.5 points total 20.a. – 0% error rate – 1.5 points 20.b. – 0% error rate – 1.5 points 20.c – 0% error rate – 1.5 points 20.d – 0% error rate – 1.5 points 20.e – 0% error rate – 1.5 points
11	a. % of project entry records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark b. % of project exit records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark Data entered within 10 days. Timeliness specifically looks at project entry and exit dates. For example, this measure will compare a household's actual enrollment date against the date that their enrollment date was entered in HMIS Per the HMIS Participation Agreement, all data should be entered into PAHMIS within 7 days. Please note that this criterion may be more heavily weighted in future scoring rounds.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	a. 100% OF PROJECT ENTRY RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: 0-10 days – 0.75 points b. 100% OF PROJECT EXIT RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: 0-10 days – 0.75 points
Monitoring =	= 27 points PSH/RRH/TH-RRH/TH; 19 points SSO	-	
12	 Participant Eligibility: Prior residence of adult participants served during the reporting period Category 1 (literally homeless) or Category 4 (fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against 	RSF (report from provider on literally homeless and fleeing DV households); cross referenced with APR	94% of adult participants served were literally homeless/fleeing DV = 2 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	the individual or family member) % of participants whose prior living situation was reported as literally homeless situations or fleeing DV	from HMIS and APR from DV providers	90-93% of adult participants served were literally homeless/fleeing DV = 1 points
13	 Unit Utilization rates: Average utilization/occupancy rate of project Average utilization rate of project (using project utilization each quarter, as reported on APR) (NA for SSO) For projects with under 10 units in a site-based project, will use a 3-year 	# units per renewal app compared to average unit utilization; APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	Scattered Site 100%+ utilization rate = 8 points 96% - 99% = 4 points 90% - 95% = 2 points
	average if the project is under 90% utilization.		Site Based ■ 95%+ utilization rate = 8 points ■ 90-94% = 4 points ■ 85-89% = 2 points
14	<u>Drawdown rates</u> : Minimum of quarterly drawdown from eLOCCS. HUD requires a minimum of quarterly draws	e-LOCCS	Minimum of 1 drawdown per quarter = 3 points
15	Funds Expended: % of grant funds expended. Ensure project is fully utilizing CoC funding. Goal = full spend down	e-LOCCS	 100% of funds expended = 8 points 95% - 99% expended = 6 points 90% - 94% expended = 2 points <90% expended = 0 points
16	Timely APR submission APR submitted within 90 days of end of grant (HUD requirement)	Last submitted APR	 Timely submission = 2 points Submitted beyond 90 days = 0 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
17a	Cost Effectiveness - Cost per household: SSO/TH/RRH/TH-RRH- Average	APR pulled from HMIS or	Numerator: Services + admin line
	cost per Household served compared to average of other projects	DV comparable	items from Grant Inventory
		database; Grant	Worksheet (GIW)
		Inventory Worksheet	<u>Denominator</u> : # of HHs served per
			PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV
			providers SSO/TH/RRH/TH-RRH projects will be
			ranked in order of lowest to highest
			cost per household. Points will be
			awarded in quartiles (25% of projects
			in group):
			Top 25% of projects with lowest
			cost/HH = 2 points
			Second quartile of projects (26-
			50%) = 1.5 points
			• Third quartile (51-75%) = 1 point
			Bottom 25% of projects with
			highest cost per HH = 0 points
17b	Cost Effectiveness - Cost per household:	APR pulled from HMIS or	Numerator: Services + admin line
	PSH- Average cost per Household served compared to average of other	DV comparable	items from GIW
	projects	database; Grant	<u>Denominator:</u> # of HHs served per
		Inventory Worksheet	PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV
			providers
			PSH projects will be ranked in order
			of lowest to highest cost per
			household. Points will be awarded in
			quartiles (25% of projects in group):
			• Top 25% of projects with lowest
			cost/HH = 2 points
			• Second quartile of projects (26- 50%) = 1.5 points
			• Third quartile (51-75%) = 1 point
			Bottom 25% of projects with
			highest cost per HH = 0 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
18a	Cost Effectiveness - Cost per EXIT to PH destination: SSO/TH/RRH/TH-RRH- Average cost per exit to Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database; Grant Inventory Worksheet	Numerator: Services + admin line items from GIW Denominator: Leavers to PH per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers SSO/TH/RRH/TH-RRH projects will be ranked in order of lowest to highest cost per household. Points will be awarded in quartiles (25% of projects in group): Top 25% of projects with lowest cost/HH = 2 points Second quartile of projects (26-50%) = 1.5 points Third quartile (51-75%) = 1 point Bottom 25% of projects with highest cost per HH = 0 points
18b	Cost Effectiveness - Cost per household that remains in PSH or exits to another PH destination: • PSH- Average cost per household that remains in PSH or exits to other Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database; Grant Inventory Worksheet	Numerator: Services + admin line items from GIW Denominator: Stayers + Leavers to other PH per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers PSH projects will be ranked in order of lowest to highest cost per household. Points will be awarded in quartiles (25% of projects in group): • Top 25% of projects with lowest cost/HH = 2 points • Second quartile of projects (26-50%) = 1.5 points • Third quartile (51-75%) = 1 point • Bottom 25% of projects with highest cost per HH = 0 points

# 19	Criteria HUD Monitoring Disposition of HUD Monitoring and Findings. Any findings during monitoring should be resolved within the HUD timeline identified.	Data Source Letter verifying no monitoring; If monitored, evidence of no outstanding findings	 No monitoring within the last two years, or monitored with no outstanding issues = 0 points Project monitored and has unresolved findings = - 5 points
HUD Prioriti	es = 23 points		
20	Project Type Point value awarded based on project type	RSF	 PSH = 3 points RRH/TH-RRH = 3 points TH = 0 points SSO = 0 points
21a	Severity of Need/Health Conditions: Percent of adult participants with 1+ physical and/or mental health conditions	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	 PSH 85%+= 2 points RRH/TH-RRH/TH/SSO 25-34%= .5 points 35-44% = 1 point 45-54%=- 1.5 points 55%+ = 2 points
21b	Severity of Need/Zero Income at Entry: Percent of households with zero income at program entry	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	 50% + = 2 points 20% to 49 = 1 point < 20% = 0 points
21c	Severity of Need/Chronically Homeless: Percent of chronically homeless households at entry	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	 PSH 20-29% = 0.5 points 30-39%= 1 point 40-49%+= 1.5 points 50%+= 2 points RRH/TH-RRH/TH/SSO 1-4%- 1 point
21d	Severity of Need/Unsheltered: % of adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at entry	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	 5'+-2' points 5-9%= 0.5 points 10-14%= 1 point 15-19%= 1.5 points 20%+= 2 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
21e	Severity of Need/Domestic Violence: % of adult participants w/ History of domestic violence	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	 10-14%= 0.5 points 15-19%= 1 point 20-24%= 1.5 points 25%+= 2 points
22a	Housing First Approach: CoC policy requires all CoC-funded projects to operate using a Housing First Approach.	RSF	Organization affirmatively answers all questions within Housing First Questionnaire = 10 points
	IMPORTANT NOTE TO PROJECTS: In future years, the CoC is considering reviewing organization/project policies and procedures to ensure compliance with a Housing First approach as a part of the CoC NOFA scoring and ranking process. This may include review of policies and procedures related to participant eligibility, intake/screening policies, and exit/discharge policies. The CoC recommends that all CoC funded organizations/projects review their policies and procedures to ensure that they are aligned with a Housing First approach, using the Housing First questionnaire as a guide.		
22b (Bonus)	a. Agency completes HUD Housing First Assessment Tool for project b. Agency completes the HF Assessment Tool Follow Up Form	RSF; Housing First Assessment Tool; Housing First Assessment Tool Follow Up Form	 MAX BONUS POINTS = 4 HF Assessment Tool Submitted= 2 HF Assessment Tool Follow Up Form Submitted = 2
CoC Participat	tion = <mark>8 points</mark>		1
23	 CoC meetings CoC Meeting Attendance. Full participation in CoC is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC. 	RSF & CoC meeting sign- in sheets	 Attendance at April 10, 2019 CoC Meeting = 1 point Attendance at October 16, 2019 CoC meeting = 1 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
24a	Regional Homeless Advisory Board (RHAB) meetings • Participation in RHAB meetings. Full participation in RHAB is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC.	RSF & RHAB attendance sheets	 Attended at least 50% of all RHAB meetings in 2019 = 2 points N/A if in NW Region and not a member of the RHAB.
24b	 County LHOT or housing coalition meetings Participation in county LHOT or Housing Coalition Meetings. Full participation in county LHOT or housing coalition meetings is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC. 	Letter signed by Chair of county entity	Attendance at 75% or more of all county LHOT/housing coalition meetings. NW non-RHAB members = 4 points All others = 2 points
25	Participation in CoC Training Events Full participation in webinar and training opportunities is expected of all CoC funded organizations	RSF & attendance sheets	Attendance at CoC training events is expected of organizations receiving CoC funding. O.5 points awarded for each webinar attended (of 4) I bonus point awarded for required full day face-to-face training (of 1) 2019 required trainings included: Webinar 1/29/19: Understanding Positive/Human Development = .5 points Webinar 2/27/19: The Adolescent Brain: Trauma, Development & De-Escalation Skills = .5 points Webinar 3/26/19: "Treat me as ME": The Ins & Outs of Working with Diverse Populations = .5 points Webinar 4/30/19: Moving Beyond the Stereotypes: Commercial Exploitation of Youth= .5 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
26 (not scored in 2020)	Coordinated Entry Participation % of enrollments pulled from By Name List	TBD	One optional face-to-face training was conducted during the review period – Making Connections: Getting the Right Resources to the People Who Need them Most (Eligibility training offered on March 5, 2019 or March 6, 2019 (same training occurred on both days). 1 bonus point will be awarded for attendance at the optional training. Not scored in 2020. Funding Committee will determine mechanism for scoring this in 2021 with reports available in ClientTrack
			19, and may pull preliminary data in 2020 to set baseline benchmarks.
27 (Bonus)	Full <u>HMIS participation</u> within organizations receiving CoC funding	HMIS participation among homeless assistance programs (not receiving ESG, CoC, SSVF, PATH, RHY funding) operated by your organization = 0.5 point (maximum)	• RSF & HMIS
28	Late Submission (Penalty) if required CoC Renewal Scoring Documents are submitted after deadline (unless provided an extension due to extenuating circumstances)	Review of Survey Submission Date	-2 point penalty for late submission of documents

Total Point Scale:

- PSH/RRH/TH-RRH/TH 99 points
- SSO 91 points (SSO score will be converted to a 100-point scale)

Housing First Questionnaire: Is your program operating using a housing first approach? **Organization Name: Project Name: Person Completing this form:** Date: 1. Admission/tenant screening and selection practices promote the acceptance of applicants regardless of their sobriety or use of substances, completion of treatment, and participation in services. ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed): 2. Applicants are not rejected on based on having no income, minor criminal convictions, or behaviors that indicate a lack of "housing readiness." \square Yes \square No Comment (if needed): 3. Supportive services emphasize housing procurement over therapeutic goals. Services plans are highly tenant-driven without predetermined goals. ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed): 4. Participation in services or program compliance is not a condition of staying in our program. ☐ Participation in services or program compliance is NOT a condition of staying in our program ☐ Participation in services or program compliance IS a condition for staying in our program Comment (if needed): 5. Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself is not considered a reason for program dismissal. ☐ Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself is NOT a reason for program dismissal ☐ Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself IS a reason for program dismissal Comment (if needed): 6. We prioritize those with the highest need for services rather than "first come/first serve", such as duration of homelessness and other barriers. ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

7.	Case managers/service coordinators are trained in and actively employ evidence-based practices for client/tenant engagement such as motivational interviewing and client-centered counseling.
	☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):
8.	Services are informed by a harm reduction philosophy that recognizes that drug and alcohol use and addiction are a part of tenants' lives, where tenants are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding drug and alcohol use, and where tenants are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices. Yes No Comment (if needed):
9.	Our primary focus is assisting people in our program with a housing plan for swift exit to permanent housing. □ Yes □ No Comment (if needed):

APPENDIX: Data Calculations Explanation for HMIS/APR Related Scoring Criteria

Criteria #	Criteria	Calculation
1a	TH/SSO – HOUSING STABILITY	TH APR Q23c: Exit Destination
	TH Measurement: % of participants/leavers who exited to	Percentage – Total
	permanent housing destination among	SSO
	those who exited project.	Q23c: Exit Destination
		Numerator:
	SSO Measurement: % of	(Q23c-Total persons exiting to Emergency shelter) + (Q23c-Total persons exiting to Transitional housing) + (Q23c-Total persons exiting to Permanent Destinations)
	participants/leavers who were placed	Denominator:
	into Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing or Permanent Housing	(Q23c-Total persons) – (Q23c-Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation)
		Note for TH and SSO: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility Foster care home or foster care group home Long-term care facility or nursing home Deceased
1b	RRH/TH-RRH/PSH- HOUSING STABILITY	RRH/TH-RRH:
	RRH Measurement: % of	APR Q23c: Exit Destination Percentage – Total
	participants/leavers who exited to	retechtage rotal
	permanent housing destination among	PSH:
	those who exited project.	APR Q1 Report Validation Table; Q22a1: Length of Participation – CoC Projects; Q23c: Exit Destination
	PSH Measurement: % of	Numerator: (Q22a1 Stayers-Total) + (Q23c-Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations)
	participants/stayers who remained in	<u>Denominator:</u> (Q1 All Persons) – (Q23c-Total persons whose destinations excluded them from
	PSH project or participants/leavers who exited to other permanent housing.	the calculation)

Criteria #	Criteria	Calculation
2	RETURNS TO HOMELESSNES % of households return to homelessness within 6 months of program exit to a permanent housing destination N/A for DV providers	SPM 2ab Data File – Returns to Homelessness Numerator: # of Clients w/Returned on Begin Date within 6 months of Exited on End Date and returned to ES, TH or SH project (Returns INSERT DATE RANGE) Denominator: # Clients who exited from program
3	DEGREE TO WHICH VICTIM SERVICCE PROJECT IMPROVE SAFETY FOR THE POPULATION SERVED	Documentation provided will be reviewed to determine whether agency has established practices to a) train staff on safety planning; b) train staff on implementing trauma-informed, victim centered approached; c) adjust intake spaces to better ensure privacy/confidentiality; d) work with survivors to identify safe housing options (including scattered site); and e) keep location confidential of participants units. Documentation provided will be reviewed to determine whether project has a process to measure the the safety of DV survivors the project served, and whether they are able to explain how they capture and evaluate this data.
4	LENGTH OF STAY/AVERAGE (TH AND SSO ONLY) Average length of stay for leavers	APR Q22b: Average and Median Length of Participation in Days Average Length-Leavers
5 (Not scored in 2020)	LENGTH OF STAY/LONGER THAN 12 MONTHS (TH AND SSO ONLY) The percent of participants whose length of stay is 12 months or less	NOTE: This criteria will not be scored in 2020. 2020 data will provide baseline data for setting benchmarks for this criteria for 2021. Proposed calculation: APR Q22a1: Length of Participation – CoC Projects Numerator: (Total-30 days or less) + (Total-31 to 60 days) + (Total-61 to 90 days) + (Total-91 to 180 days) + (Total-181 to 365 days) Denominator: (Total-Total)
6 (not scored in 2020)	LENGTH OF TIME BETWEEN PROJECT START DATE AND RESIDENTIAL MOVE IN	NOTE: This criteria will not be scored in 2020. 2020 data will provide baseline data for setting benchmarks for this criteria for 2021. Q22c: Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date Average length of time to housing: Total

Criteria #	Criteria	Calculation
7	INCREASE IN TOTAL INCOME % of all adult participants who increased income from any source from entry to exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers)	APR Q19a1: Client Cash Income Change – Income Source- by Start and Latest Status (Stayers); APR Q19a2: Client Cash Income Change – Income Source- by Start and Exit (Leavers); Q18: Client Cash Income Category - Earned/Other Income Category - by Entry and Annual Assessment/Exit Status Numerator: (Q19a1 Number of Adults w/Any Income-Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain) + (Q19a2 Number of Adults w/Any Income-Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain) Denominator: (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Total Adults) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
8	CONNECTING PARTICIPANTS TO MAINSTEAM BENEFITS Percent of adult participants with 1+ source of Non-Cash benefits (SNAP, WIC, TANF, others, etc.)	APR Q20b: Number of Non-Cash Benefit Sources; APR Q18: Client Cash Income Category - Earned/Other Income Category - by Entry and Annual Assessment/Exit Status Numerator: (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-1 + Source(s)) + (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-1+ Source(s)) Denominator: (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Total) + (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-Total) – (Q18 Number of Stayers-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment) – (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
9	PARTICIPANTS CONNECTED TO HEALTH INSURANCE Percentage of all participants with 1+ source of health insurance	APR Q21: Health Insurance; APR Q1: Report Validation Table Numerator: (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-More than 1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Exit for Leavers-1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Exit for Leavers-More than 1 Source of Health Insurance) Denominator: (Q1 Number of Stayers) + (Q1 Number of Leavers) – (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Number of Stayers not yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment) – (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q21 Exit for Leavers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)

Criteria #	Criteria	Calculation
10	HMIS DATA QUALITY % of Error Rate for the following data	APR Q6a. Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information
	points entered into PA HMIS: a. Personally Identifiable	a Personally Identifiable Information - % of Error Rate
	Information b. Destination c. Income and Sources at Entry d. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment e. Income and Sources at Exit	Note: If Personally Identifiable Information fields are marked as Client Doesn't Know/Refused due to domestic violence/dating violence/sexual assault/human trafficking/stalking survivor not providing data due to confidentiality/safety concerns, this will be factored into scoring and projects will not be penalized. APR Q6c. Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality b Destination - % of Error Rate c Income and Sources at Entry - % of Error Rate d. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment - % of Error Rate e. Income and Sources at Exit - % of Error Rate
		Note: Projects with no entries won't be scored on <i>c</i> (<i>Income and Sources at Entry</i>) and projects with no exits won't be scored on <i>b</i> (<i>Destination</i>) and <i>e</i> (<i>Income and Sources at Exit</i>)
11	TIMELINESS OF HMIS DATA ENTRY	APR Q6: Timeliness
	a. % of project entry records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark b. % of project exit records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark	a. Numerator: (Number of Project Start Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days) Denominator: (Number of Project Start Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days + 11+ Days) b.
		Numerator: (Number of Project Exit Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days) Denominator: (Number of Project Exit Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days + 11+ Days)
		Note: Projects with no entries won't be scored on 21.a. Projects with no exits won't be scored on 21.b.

Criteria #	Criteria	Calculation
12	PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY % of participants whose prior living situation was reported as literally homeless situations or fleeing DV	Data provided by providers in the RSF will be reviewed to determine the percentage of participants served who are literally homeless and fleeing DV. Data will be cross-referenced with APR from HMIS and APR from DV providers.
		Numerator: # of participants served literally homeless <i>and/or</i> fleeing DV as reported on RSF 1/1/19-12/31/19 Denominator: Total # of participants served 1/1/19-12/31/19
		HUD Category 1/Literally Homeless Definition: Individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence, meaning: (i) Has a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not meant for human habitation; (ii) Is living in a publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, state and local government programs); or (iii) Is exiting an institution where (s)he has resided for 90 days or less and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution
		HUD Category 4/Fleeing Domestic Violence Definition Any individual or family who: (i) are fleeing, or are attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or a family member.; (ii) Has no other residence; and (iii) Lacks the resources or support networks to obtain other permanent housing
13	UNIT UTILIZATION RATES Average utilization/occupancy rate of project (using project utilization each quarter, as reported on APR) (NA for SSO)	Information provided in the 2020 Renewal Project Summary Form survey will be considered in calculating utilization. APR Q8b Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday; 2019 Project App # Units Numerator: Average of Q8b Point-in-Time Count of Households Served on the Last Wednesday in Jan, April, July, October

Criteria #	Criteria	Calculation
		<u>Denominator:</u> # Units per 2019 Project Applications (and prior years where applicable)
		For projects under 10 units, will use a 3-year average if the project is under 90% utilization.
14	DRAWDOWN RATES Minimum of quarterly drawdown rates from eLOCCS	Review of documentation requested in 2020 RSF survey (eLOCCS summary page).
15	FUNDS EXPENDED % of grant funds expended	Review of documentation requested in 2020 RSF survey (eLOCCS summary page). Review of supplemental expenditure form with additional information/explanation if program did not meet expenditure threshold.
16	TIMELY APR SUBMISSION APR submitted within 90 days of end of grant	Review of documentation requested in 2020 RSF survey (e.g. email from Sage system; email from HUD reps; copy of the details from Sage submission)
17a	COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER UNIT/HOUSEHOLD SERVED: SSO/TH/RRH/TH-RRH – Average cost per Household served compared to average of other projects	Numerator: Services + admin line items from Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) Denominator: # of HHs served (as reported on RSF, and verified by APR)
17b	COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER UNIT/HOUSEHOLD SERVED: PSH - Average cost per household served compared to average of other projects	Numerator: Services + admin line items from Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) Denominator: # of HHs served (as reported on RSF, and verified by APR)
18a	COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER POSITIVE EXIT/RETENTION: SSO/TH/RRH/TH-RRH - Average cost per exit to Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects	Numerator: Services + admin line items from GIW Denominator: Leavers to PH (as reported on RSF) Note: Projects with no exits during the lookback period will not be scored on this criteria. Projects with no exits to permanent housing during the lookback period will have a total cost per positive exit that equals services +admin line items from GIW.
18b	COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER POSITIVE EXIT/RETENTION: PSH - Average cost per household that remains or exits to Permanent Housing	Numerator: Services + admin line items from GIW Denominator: Stayers + Leavers to other PH (as reported on RSF)

Criteria #	Criteria	Calculation
	destination compared to average of other projects	
19	HUD MONITORING Disposition of HUD Monitoring and Findings	Review of information provided in 2020 Renewal Summary Form survey regarding monitoring, along with any supplemental monitoring documents provided.
20	PROJECT TYPE	Points awarded based on project type as reported on RSF (confirmed via HUD project application)
21a		Q13b2: Number of Conditions at Exit, Q13c2: Number of Conditions for Stayers Numerator: ((Q13b2. Without Children-1 Condition + 2 Conditions + 3+ Conditions + Condition
	SEVERITY OF NEED/HEALTH CONDITIONS — Percent of adult participants with 1+ disabilities at entry or annual assessment	Unknown) + (Q13b2. Adults in HH With Children and Adults-1 Condition + 2 Conditions + 3+ Conditions + Condition Unknown) + (Q13c2. Without Children-1 Condition + 2 Conditions + 3+ Conditions + Condition Unknown) + (Q13c2. Adults in HH With Children and Adults-1 Condition + 2 Conditions + 3+ Conditions + Condition Unknown)) Denominator: ((Q13b2. Without Children-Total + Q13b2. Adults in HH With Children and Adults-Total + Q13c2. Without Children-Total + Q13c2. Adults in HH With Children and Adults-Total) - (Q13b2. Without Children-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused + Q13c2. Without Children-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused + Q13c2. Without Children-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused + Q13c2. Adults in HH With Children and Adults -Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused))
21b	SEVERITY OF NEED/PERCENT ZERO INCOME AT ENTRY % of households with zero income at program entry	APR Q18: Client Cash Income Category – Earned/Other Income Category – by Start and Annual Assessment/Exit Status Numerator: Q18 Adults with No Income – Number of Adults at Start Denominator: (Q18. Total Adults – Number of Adults at Start) – (Q18. Total Adults – Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information)
21c	SEVERITY OF NEED/CHRONICALLY HOMELESS Percent of chronically homeless households at entry	APR Q26a Number of Households w/ at least one or more Chronically Homeless Persons Numerator: Q26a Chronically Homeless - Total Denominator: (Q26a Total) – (Q26a Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)

Criteria #	Criteria	Calculation
21d	SEVERITY OF NEED/UNSHELTERED % of adult participants coming from	APR Q15 Living Situation
	unsheltered locations at entry	Numerator: (Q15 Total Place not meant for human habitation)
		<u>Denominator</u> : (Q15 Total) – (Q15 Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
21e	SEVERITY OF NEED/DOMESTIC VIOLENCE	APR Q14a Domestic Violence History
	% of adult participants w/ History of domestic violence	Numerator: Q14a Total Yes (Domestic Violence History)
		<u>Denominator:</u> Q14a Total Total – Q14a Total Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused
22a	HOUSING FIRST APPROACH	Agency response to Housing First Questionnaire will be reviewed to ensure adherence to Housing First tenets
22b (Bonus)	BONUS: HUD HOUSING FIRST ASSESSMENT TOOL	Review of: 2020 Renewal Project Summary Form; Housing First Assessment Tool; Housing First Assessment Tool Follow Up Form HUD Housing First Assessment Tool can be found here:
	a. Agency completes HUD Housing First Assessment Tool for project	https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/5294/housing-first-assessment-tool/
	b. Agency completes the HF Assessment Tool Follow Up Form	
23	COC MEETINGS CoC Meeting Attendance	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, meeting sign in records, and online survey attendance submissions
24a	REGIONAL HOMELSS ADVISORY BOARD (RHAB) MEETINGS Participation in RHAB meetings	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, sign in records submission from RHAB meetings, and documentation from RHAB chairs
24b	COUNTY LHOT OR HOUSING COALITION MEETINGS Participation in county LHOT or Housing Coalition Meetings	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, sign in records from LHOT meetings, and documentation from LHOT chairs
25	PARTICIPATION IN COC TRAINING EVENTS	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, webinar/training sign in records, and online survey attendance submissions

Criteria #	Criteria	Calculation
26 (not scored in 2020)	Coordinated Entry Participation % of enrollments pulled from By Name List	NOTE: This criteria will not be scored in 2020. 2020 data will provide baseline data for setting benchmarks for this criteria for 2021.
27 (Bonus)	BONUS: FULL HMIS PARTICIPATION WITHIN ORGANIZATIONS RECEIVING COC FUNDING	Review of documentation submitted by agency in RSF and confirmation of HMIS participating projects by DCED HMIS team
28	Late Submission (Penalty) if required CoC Renewal Scoring Documents are submitted after deadline (unless provided an extension due to extenuating circumstances)	Review of Survey Submission Date