

CoC-funding Process and Policies

This policy document was approved by the Western PA CoC Governing Board on April 15, 2020; Revised 8-19-20 to remove reference to HMIS as primary data source of the Renewal Scoring Calculator.

Western PA CoC – PA-601

Each year, the Western PA Continuum of Care (CoC) applies to the U.S. Housing and Urban Development (HUD) for homeless assistance funding to address the needs of individuals and families experiencing homelessness throughout its 20-county region. The availability of funding is announced through HUD's CoC Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA), a national funding competition.

In response to HUD's CoC NOFA, the Western PA CoC will conduct a fair, transparent funding process, that complies with CoC Regulations, specifically § 578.95 Conflicts of interest, which covers CoC Board members, organizational conflicts and other conflicts.

Vision, Mission and Guiding Principles

Vision: Homelessness is rare, brief, and a one-time occurrence in our community.

<u>Mission</u>: The Western PA Continuum of Care will end homelessness in our region through a coordinated, community-based approach.

<u>Guiding Principles</u>: In order to fulfill our vision and mission, the following principles will guide the work of the Western PA CoC.

Our commitment for the people we serve is that:

- Ending homelessness is possible.
- Homelessness should not define those who experience it.
- Housing is essential to ending homelessness.

Our commitment for the community we serve is that:

- Our system uses data to support decision-making and enhance effectiveness across all 20 counties.
- We focus on building partnerships that will make our system sustainable, with enough resources to provide housing and services to those experiencing homelessness according to their individual needs.
- "The whole is greater than the sum of its parts."
 - We must work together as a cohesive system in order to reach our goal.
 - We must engage with the wider community.
 - We must be strategic in how we use our resources.

Funding Allocations

The Western PA CoC includes two regions, Northwest (13 counties) and Southwest (7 counties). At the time the Western PA CoC was established, funding had been allocated separately to these two regions with 35% of the CoC's total funding allocated to the Northwest region and 65% of funding allocated to the Southwest region.

The ongoing commitment of the CoC Board is that every effort possible will be made to maintain the established funding allocation percentages. As such, in order to maintain consistent regional funding allocations, the CoC's Governance Charter includes guidance to follow during the annual CoC funding process. This includes:

<u>Variances in the Established ARD Percentages</u>: It is expected that variances in the established ARD percentages will occur from year to year, based on new projects being funded and also possibly existing projects being defunded. This variance is analyzed each year and every effort possible is made to correct a variance that has occurred during the next funding cycle. This can be accomplished by allocating new or reallocated funding to the region that experienced the decrease in their established amount.

If, however, the Funding Committee develops an allocation plan during the next funding cycle that, if funded as anticipated, would not lead to the variance being corrected, the Funding Committee must include detailed data that clearly demonstrates unmet need or other supporting details in order to justify its decision to the Board and the CoC as a whole. If the allocation plan for any year would result in an expected variance of 10% or more, this information must be presented to entire CoC membership for feedback.

If there is a variance in the established ARD percentages of more than 3% for a total of three funding cycles, the Board will vote to either work in conjunction with the Funding Committee to formulate a plan to correct the variance in the next funding cycle or to permanently adjust the established ARD percentages. If the Board agrees that the ARD percentages should be permanently adjusted, they must then present this to the CoC membership for a vote.

In addition to preserving consistent levels of regional funding, the CoC's Governance Charter includes the below language, which outlines a fair, transparent and non-conflicted funding allocation process, which is overseen by the Governing Board. This language is consistent with HUD's CoC Interim Rule. (§ 578.95 Conflicts of interest. (b) Continuum of Care board members. No Continuum of Care board member may participate in or influence discussions or resulting decisions concerning the award of a grant or other financial benefits to the organization that the member represents.

<u>Process for Allocating Program Funding</u>: Due to the fact that many of the members of the CoC Governing Board are also recipients of CoC funds, the Funding Committee was created to function as a subcommittee of the Board and be responsible for making recommendations relating to the allocation of funding within the CoC. All members of the Funding Committee are neutral parties in the fact that they do not receive funding from the CoC (details described in the Funding Committee section above). The Funding Committee develops a recommendation for the allocation of funds which is then presented to a workgroup comprised of all non-conflicted Board Members. This workgroup is responsible for analyzing the recommendation of the Funding Committee and voting, by supermajority, to accept or reject the allocation plan. <u>Reduction of a Specific Grant</u>: In the event that an individual grant no longer exists or for which funding must be reduced or reallocated, any remaining grant funds shall be reallocated to another project in the affected region, unless reallocating it to the other region would correct a variance of the established ARD and supports an identified need. If, based on the eligible use of funds, there are no qualified applications in the region, the remaining grant funds shall be made available to the other region.

Roles and Responsibilities

As detailed below, the annual funding process is shared across several different entities within the CoC.

Western PA CoC Governing Board

The Western PA CoC Governing Board is charged with fulfilling the mission of the CoC, as well as functioning as the designated primary decision-making entity to meet the duties and responsibilities of the CoC.

Responsibilities of the Governing Board, as they pertain to CoC funding:

- Design and oversee a collaborative process for selecting and ranking project applications, in conjunction with the Funding Committee;
- Provide input for the CoC Planning Grant funds to the Collaborative Applicant. (The CoC Planning Grant funds are used to provide ongoing support to the CoC and fulfill HUD requirements such as the Point-In-Time Count and the CoC application.)

Non-Conflicted Members of the Western PA CoC Governing Board

Non-conflicted members of the Western PA CoC Governing Board are defined as Board members who are not recipients or subrecipients of CoC funding, nor are applying for new project funding as a recipient or sub-recipient.

Responsibilities of the Non-Conflicted Members of the Western PA CoC Governing Board, as they pertain to CoC funding:

- Establish priorities for funding projects in the geographic area, with input from committees/workgroups, CoC members and other stakeholders;
- Approve the New Project Request for Proposals, Application and scoring tool, as drafted by the CoC Consultant with input from the Funding Committee;
- Review recommendations from Funding Committee related to annual renewal scoring criteria, and make determination whether to approve revised annual renewal scoring criteria;
- Review, analyze, and vote on the allocation plan and recommendations of the CoC's Funding Committee
- Review new project funding decision appeals, and determine whether to approve or deny new project appeals;

- Review recommendations from Funding Committee related to partial or full renewal project reallocation and make determination whether to approve or deny reallocation recommendations
- Review reallocation appeals submitted to the Funding Committee, if the appeal is denied by the Funding Committee.

Per the CoC's Governance Charter, all funding related votes require a supermajority approval, defined as 75% of eligible voters.

Collaborative Applicant

The PA Department of Community and Economic Development (DCED), in their role as Collaborative Applicant, is designated by the Continuum of Care to collect and submit the CoC Registration, Grant Inventory Worksheet, and CoC Consolidated Application (which includes the CoC Application and CoC Priority Listing). In addition, DCED will apply for CoC Planning Grant funding, with input and approval from the CoC Board.

Funding Committee

Due to the regulatory requirement that this Committee is limited to representatives that do not receive CoC funding (established in 24 CFR Part 578), the Funding Committee is comprised of a combination of non-conflicted Board members (those who do not receive CoC funding) and other members appointed by the Board.

The responsibilities of the Funding Committee include:

- Design, operate, and follow a collaborative process for selecting and ranking project applications, in collaboration with the Governing Board;
- Coordinate the annual project selection process, which includes the selection of new projects and ranking of renewal and new projects as required by HUD, and present final recommendations for new projects selection and final ranking and tiering to the Non-Conflicted Governing Board Members for review and approval;
- Review performance data and other data relevant to reallocation and make recommendations related to partial or full renewal project reallocation to the Non-Conflicted Governing Board members;
- Review appeals regarding reallocation. If the Funding Committee, after review of additional information submitted by the provider, still believes reallocation is appropriate the provider will have the ability to appeal to the Non-Conflicted Governing Board.
- Review, update, and approve annual renewal scoring criteria, and present recommendations to Non-Conflicted Governing Board members;
- Review all renewal scoring appeals and determine whether to approve, deny, or award partial point for renewal scoring appeals. Funding Committee will present summary of renewal scoring appeals to Non-Conflicted Governing Board members;
- Review new project RFP, application, and scoring tool. This information will be submitted to the Non-Conflicted Governing Board members for approval;

- Establish in advance the project ranking and tie breaking criteria in accordance with HUD guidance; and
- Ensure the strategic use of HUD funds and other resources available to the CoC.

Regional Homeless Advisory Boards (RHABs)

The CoC's two RHABs meet and conduct business throughout the year and coordinate with local providers to meet the CoC's goals.

Responsibilities of the RHABs, in relationship to the CoC NOFA, include:

- Provide input for the prioritization of the region's needs, including recommendations to the Funding Committee and non-conflicted Board members;
- Identify additional resources available for homeless households, as well as gaps in supports and services; and
- Provide input for consideration to the Funding Committee regarding the prioritization and need for new projects vs. existing renewal projects.

Guiding Principles for Project Evaluation, Reallocation, Selection and Ranking

The CoC seeks to conduct a fair, unbiased process in which projects are selected and ranked according to project performance, CoC priorities and local need, and federal priorities.

Guiding Principles for Project Evaluation, Reallocation, Selection and Ranking

The Western PA CoC seeks to achieve the following goals as part of the evaluation and ranking of CoCfunded renewal projects:

- Maximize funding available to end homelessness throughout the CoC;
- Prioritize available funding for projects that are most successful in ending homelessness;
- Create new resources in order to respond to the increased needs identified in many communities within the CoC;
- Build upon the CoC's existing infrastructure by increasing capacity to quickly identify individuals experiencing homelessness, prioritize assistance toward those with the greatest needs, and rapidly connect households to permanent housing;
- Incentivize all CoC-funded providers to continuously monitor and improve their project performance, implement HUD policy priorities, and participate in the CoC meetings, committees and other initiatives.

Upon the availability of new or reallocated funds, the CoC will conduct a fair, open and transparent process regarding the selection of new projects. Priorities for new projects will be established by the Non-Conflicted Members of the CoC Board and will reflect CoC needs and HUD priorities. Project selection will be based on criteria outlined by the CoC through a new project solicitation process.

The CoC will also conduct a fair and transparent process regarding the ranking and tiering of projects to be included on the Priority List. Ranking and tiering decisions will be informed by CoC and HUD priorities, as well as local needs and project performance.

Renewal Project Evaluation and Scoring Policy

On an annual basis, the Western PA CoC establishes scoring standards to evaluate and score HUD CoCfunded renewal projects in preparation for the ranking of projects for the NOFA. In order to determine whether renewal projects are performing well or underperforming, each project's performance will be evaluated in the following areas:

- HUD policy priorities, including vulnerability and Housing First
- Performance outcomes, including exits to permanent housing, returns to homelessness, and increases in income
- Grant management, including unit utilization, percent of funds expended, and cost effectiveness
- CoC Participation, including attendance at CoC/RHAB meetings and training
- HMIS participation, including data quality

Process for Developing the Renewal Scoring Sheet

The CoC uses a Renewal Scoring Sheet to list and describe the scoring criteria to be used to evaluate and score renewal projects. This Sheet includes the annual criteria, as well as the data source and point structure for each criterion. Renewal projects will be scored in accordance with the Renewal Scoring Sheet, which will be developed through the process described below:

- Solicit input from CoC-funded grantees on the criteria included in the prior year's renewal scoring sheet. Any type of feedback is permissible. Specific feedback desired includes: ways that the renewal scoring criteria may negatively impact a group/class of projects (e.g. projects with a small number of units).
- Analysis of the prior year's point structure is conducted by the CoC's Consultant (DMA Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.). The purpose of this analysis is to ensure adequate distribution of points, particularly related to performance-related criteria. This will help to determine if the benchmarks are set to encourage strong outcomes, without favoring certain types of projects (e.g. projects with turnover that are serving the CoC's most vulnerable households vs. projects with no turnover that are setving more stable clients).
- Present the above information to the Funding Committee. This Committee will discuss, finalize and recommend the renewal scoring sheet for approval by the Non-Conflicted Board Members.
- Upon approval, final renewal scoring sheet and all related policies (e.g. appeal policy/process) will be publicly posted to the CoC's website and distributed to CoC-funded agencies via email.
- Scoring of renewal projects commences following the approval of the Renewal Scoring Sheet and associated policies.

Renewal Project Scoring Process

Evaluation and scoring of CoC-funded renewal projects will inform project ranking and tiering for the CoC NOFA competition. With the exception of CoC-level infrastructure (e.g. HMIS and Coordinated Entry grants), the CoC will follow the below process for scoring renewal projects that have operated throughout the entire data lookback period:

- In advance of and/or in tandem with the renewal scoring process (depending on the timeline of the release of the NOFA), the CoC's Consultant (DMA) will send instructions to grantees to review their data in HMIS, using a common time period (Calendar Year 2019), including instructions on cleaning up their data if needed. Grantees may reach out to the DCED HMIS team with questions or issues related to their data. DMA will aim to give grantees 2-4 weeks to review and clean up their data, depending on the timeline of the release of the NOFA.
- The CoC's Consultant (DMA) will distribute a Renewal Summary Form to all CoC-funded renewal project applicants, requesting data and information that is not available through the PA-HMIS (the CoC's Homeless Management Information System). This form will identify the data/information requested, the process for submitting that information, as well as the timeline/deadline.
 - Projects operated by Domestic Violence organizations will be provided with an expanded Renewal Summary Form, as their performance data cannot be pulled from PA-HMIS.
- The renewal scoring process will include the collection, evaluation and scoring of APR data, using a common APR date (preceding calendar year). HMIS data will be utilized where possible.
- Renewal scoring results will be circulated to all CoC-funded grantees. If an error on the Renewal Scoring Sheet is identified, DMA will work to resolve that error. DMA will work with the Funding Committee to resolve the error if needed.
- In the event that a grantee is not satisfied with the outcome of an issue raised, the grantee may opt to submit an appeal. All appeals will be discussed, deliberated and decided by the Funding Committee.
- At the conclusion of the renewal scoring process, each project will have a score. The average of each project's score from the current and previous year will be used to inform the ranking and tiering process. Projects being competitively scored for the first time will be ranked solely on current year score.
- The ability to evaluate expansion projects will be determined based on the timeline of each project's contract and expansion activities.
 - Note: projects that have been approved for consolidation by the HUD Field Office will be scored as individual projects, as they are submitted as individual projects within esnaps. The Funding Committee will make recommendations around the ranking of the "surviving project".

Appealing Renewal Scoring

If the grantee raises an issue that cannot be resolved with the CoC Consultant and/or PA-HMIS IT Specialist, the grantee may request to have the CoC's Funding Committee consider their specific situation. Examples may include: loss of points due circumstances very specific to your project type, outcomes typical of projects operating within their first full year, outcomes typical of projects with specific subpopulations, etc. Any grantees wishing to appeal to the Funding Committee must make their request within the timeline specified by the CoC. To submit an appeal, grantees will follow instructions provided by the CoC consultant. The appeal request will ask the appellant to describe the specific situation, reference the relevant scoring criteria and provide compelling information and/or documentation for consideration. The Funding Committee will evaluate any requests received and make a determination regarding the disposition of the appeal; grantees will be informed by email of the outcome of their appeal.

Renewal Project Reallocation

As stated earlier in this document, the Western PA CoC will use project evaluation and scoring to determine which projects should be allocated funds under the CoC NOFA Competition. The CoC will employ a reallocation policy aimed at the following:

- Determining which projects should continue to receive funding based upon performance, meeting CoC needs and furthering HUD priorities;
- Determining which projects are underperforming and whether funds allocated to underperforming projects may be better utilized if reallocated to another project;
- Determining whether projects are cost effective and, if not, should a project or a portion of a project be reallocated; and
- Identifying whether funds are fully utilized by each project. If there is a history of underspending, the Funding Committee will seek to determine whether a reallocation plan should be developed to ensure all funding awarded to the CoC is furthering progress to reduce and end homelessness.

Reallocation of Underperforming Projects

Low performing projects will be considered for reallocation. A project is considered to be low performing when the project's score is ranked in Tier 2 for three consecutive years. To be considered for reallocation for underperforming, a project would need to have operated long enough to be competitively ranked for three years. Projects scoring in Tier 2 will be notified by letter that their renewal project did not meet scoring/ performance expectations. The project applicant will be expected to develop a Project Improvement Plan. Technical Assistance will be made available to the project applicant upon request.

• Note: The Funding Committee will not be required to reallocate projects each year; only if warranted based on historic underperformance.

Reallocation of Projects Based on Cost Effectiveness

The CoC's renewal scoring process includes the evaluation of a project's cost effectiveness. If it is determined that a project's budget exceeds the typical costs of similar projects, additional information to explain the higher costs may be requested from the grantee. In some circumstances, the Funding Committee may determine that a partial reallocation of CoC-funds is appropriate.

Reallocation for Other Reasons

The Western PA CoC will also consider reallocation of projects for other reasons, including:

- Lack of need within the CoC for the project
- Funds needed to respond to an urgent/emerging issue within the CoC
- Monitoring indicates serious problems with the project, which could include a lack of compliance with CoC policies such as housing first and following Coordinated Entry policies/ procedures
- The project has a history of underspending and/or low unit utilization
- Voluntary reallocation or project convert from one project type to another. Providers who are in good standing with the CoC (e.g. those with strong performance, history of strong grant management, etc.) and interested in voluntary reallocation should contact the Funding Committee Chair and CoC Consultant (Kim Stucke, <u>kmstucke@stairwaysbh.org</u> and CoC Consultants, <u>westerncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org</u>). The CoC has historically allowed providers voluntarily reallocating projects to repurpose the same level of project funding and in some cases to maintain the rank position where the renewal project would otherwise be ranked.

Reallocation Appeal

Any project applicant subject to full or partial reallocation may appeal this decision. There are up to two opportunities to appeal, as described below. All appeals must be submitted within three business days of receiving a reallocation notification. To submit an appeal, grantees will follow instructions provided by the CoC consultant.

<u>Appeal to the Funding Committee</u>: Any applicant appealing a reallocation decision will first appeal to the Funding Committee. The Funding Committee will review additional documentation submitted by the applicant along with the appeal request. The Funding Committee will consider the supporting documentation and offer a decision within 24 hours after they meet to discuss the appeal.

<u>Appeal to the Non-conflicted members of the CoC's Governing Board</u>: If the appeal is not overturned by the Funding Committee, the project applicant may opt to appeal to the Non-conflicted Board Members. This appeal must be submitted within 24 hours of receiving the Funding Committee's decision. The Non-conflicted Board Members will meet to review and discuss the appeal and supporting documentation and offer a decision within 24 hours after their meeting. The decision by the Non-Conflicted Board Members will be considered final.

New Project Selection

As part of the annual CoC NOFA Competition, the Western PA CoC may identify funds available for new projects. The CoC is committed to ensuring an open and transparent process for the selection of new projects. As such, where funding availability permits, the CoC will issue a new project solicitation for new projects to be distributed widely to interested parties.

New Project Priorities

In order to determine priorities for new projects, the CoC's consultant (DMA) will analyze data from the Coordinated Entry Prioritization List, the Point-in-Time Count, the CoC's Housing Inventory Chart and other relevant sources as available and appropriate. This information will be provided to the RHABs and to the best of our ability to the county Local Housing Options Teams/ county Housing Coalitions for review with their membership and the opportunity to provide feedback. This qualitative and quantitative data will be presented to the Governing Board for review and discussion during the April Board meeting. All data will be provided to the Funding Committee, who will recommend the CoC's priorities for new project funding to the Non-Conflicted Governing Board members for their approval. These priorities will cover CoC-funding and any other upcoming funding opportunities being made available to the Western PA Continuum of Care.

Distribution of New Project Notice of Intent

When possible, based on the timing of the release of the annual CoC NOFA, the CoC plans to issue a Notice of Intent form In an effort to identify potential applicants for new projects. This will allow the CoC to determine if additional outreach needs to occur in order to identify an applicant to pursue funding aligned to the CoC's funding priorities.

In addition, the NOI process will allow the CoC to identify potential applicants that have not previously applied for CoC funding, as these applicants might need a higher level of technical assistance in submitting an application to the CoC.

Distribution of New Project Solicitations

DMA will draft the new project solicitation based on priorities approved by the Non-Conflicted Board Members and Funding Committee. After review and approval by the Funding Committee and Non-Conflicted Board Members, the CoC will release a new project Request For Proposal (RFP) to the public. The CoC will work to identify multiple outlets for distribution of the solicitations, including through the CoC's website and email distribution list, as well as those of related and partner organizations throughout the region and the state.

Review of New Project Pre-Applications

New project solicitations will request that those interested in applying submit a pre-application for CoC review. The pre-application will be provided and will align to the questions within the HUD application, but will be abbreviated. The information to be included in the pre-application will be detailed in the new project solicitation, along with the new project scoring tool.

All pre-applications submitted by the deadline stated in the new project solicitation will be reviewed by the Funding Committee using a standardized scoring tool. The Funding Committee can request additional information from the applicants where clarification would be helpful in the decision-making process. For each pre-application submitted, the Funding Committee will make a recommendation as to whether or not the project should be included with the CoC's funding request through the CoC NOFA Competition. The Funding Committee also has the ability to conditionally approve a project based on the applicant making requested changes to the proposed project. This may include an increase or decrease in units, budget changes, expansion of geography (when needed and possible), etc.

All agencies submitting pre-applications will be notified in writing via email regarding the CoC's decision to include their proposal among ranked projects within the CoC's funding request to HUD. In addition, the CoC will publicly post a list of new project pre-applications received, noting which were selected for inclusion on the Western PA CoC Priority List and each project's approved budget.

Upon selection, new project applicants must submit a new project application through the HUD e-snaps system in a timely manner and within the deadline established by the CoC.

Appeal New Project Selection Decision

New project applicants not selected for inclusion in the CoC's Consolidated Application may appeal this decision. There are up to two opportunities to appeal, as described below. All appeals must be submitted within three business days of receiving project selection notification. To submit an appeal, grantees will follow instructions provided by the CoC consultant.

<u>Appeal to the Funding Committee</u>: Any applicant appealing the CoC's project selection decision will first appeal to the Funding Committee. The Funding Committee will review the justification for the appeal and/or documentation submitted for reconsideration. The Funding Committee will reconsider the funding decision and provide a response within 24 hours after they meet to discuss the appeal.

<u>Appeal to the Non-conflicted members of the CoC's Governing Board</u>: If the appeal is not overturned by the Funding Committee, the project applicant may opt to appeal to the Non-conflicted Board Members. This appeal must be submitted within 24 hours of receiving the Funding Committee's decision. The Non-conflicted Board Members will meet to review and discuss the appeal and supporting documentation and offer a decision within 24 hours after their meeting. The decision by the Non-Conflicted Board Members will be considered final.

Ranking of Projects for the Priority List

Project Ranking

The CoC Competition NOFA will require the CoC to rank all projects being submitted for funding on the Priority List. As part of this process, the CoC anticipates that projects will be sorted into either Tier 1 or Tier

2. In past CoC Competitions, projects placed into Tier 2 have been individually scored by HUD in order to determine if they will receive funding.

The CoC's ranking "rules" over the last several years have included the following principles:

- Renewal projects that have not operated throughout the entire "look back" period (most recently completed calendar year) will not be competitively ranked; instead these projects will be ranked at the bottom of Tier 1.
- All renewal projects will be ranked in their descending score, based on a two-year average, as determined through the annual renewal scoring process.
 - In the event that a tie breaker is needed in order to complete the ranking, the two-year average based only on the project's performance related criteria will be used.
- Projects that support CoC infrastructure and operations will be ranked at the bottom of Tier 1. This includes HMIS and Coordinated Entry funding. Planning grants are not ranked.
- Based on the CoC priorities set by the Non-Conflicted Members of the CoC Governing Board, regional priorities identified by each RHAB, and the quality of new project applicant, the Funding Committee will determine how to incorporate the new projects into the overall ranking. The Funding Committee reserves the right to rank new projects in Tier 1 and/or Tier 2. In addition, the Funding Committee reserves the right and has discretion to make changes to the ranking in an attempt to preserve the CoC's overall funding allocation.

Applicant Notification & Public Posting

All applicants will be notified of the results of the ranking process according to the deadline established in the CoC Competition NOFA. Applicants will be notified regarding their final budget project's rank order on the Priority List, project placement into Tier 1 or Tier 2, or the rejection of their project for inclusion on the Priority List. The CoC will provide notification in writing via email. The CoC will also publicly post information on ranking and tiering of projects and the list of projects accepted or rejected for inclusion on the Priority List on the CoC's website.

Appeal to HUD

If the grantee or project applicant is not satisfied with the outcome of their appeal, the HUD CoC NOFA provides project applicants an opportunity to appeal to HUD. Agencies interested in appealing to HUD should carefully review the eligibility criteria and appeal process established by HUD and described in the annual CoC NOFA. In past CoC Competition NOFAs, this appeal has been limited to "eligible project applicants that attempted to participate in their CoC planning process and believe they were denied the right to participate in a reasonable manner."

In order to ensure that all project applicants have the opportunity to participate in the CoC's planning process and to provide input in the CoC's funding process, the CoC has/will provide the following opportunities:

- Circulation of the prior year's Renewal Scoring Sheet, with an opportunity to provide feedback and input on scoring criteria.
- The CoC's ranking criteria will be distributed prior to project scoring.
- Project applicants will have the ability to submit appeals related to the data to be used for project evaluation and scoring if discrepancies or errors are identified.
- The CoC will provide a new project application and scoring criteria in order to score all new project applications in a consistent and transparent method.
- Updates will be provided by the CoC during in-person CoC meetings, during RHAB meetings, and via written and electronic communications.
- The CoC provides an appeal policy for any project applicant not selected for funding.

To submit an appeal to HUD, follow the instructions as indicated in the HUD CoC NOFA, which is/will be available at <u>https://www.hudexchange.info/programs/coc/</u>.