# Eastern Pennsylvania Continuum of Care (PA-509) Preliminary Analysis of Racial Disparity in Utilization & Outcome of Homeless Services

#### Introduction

The Eastern Pennsylvania Continuum of Care conducted a preliminary analysis regarding racial disparity in utilization and outcome of homeless services within the CoC. This preliminary review utilized data from the US Census Bureau (*Annual Estimates of the Resident Population by Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin for the United States, States, and Counties*: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2017 & Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months, 2016), Eastern Continuum SPM by Race-Ethnicity, and Point-in-Time Count PA-509 Eastern Pennsylvania CoC, 2017 & 2018. Also reviewed were the following background articles: *Racial Disparities in Homelessness in the United States*, National Alliance to End Homelessness, June 6, 2018 and *How to Start Addressing Racial Disparities in Your Community*, United States Interagency Council on Homeless, 07/09/2018. This review substantiated the existence of racial disparity in the number of individuals experiencing homelessness in the Continuum of Care, as well as disparity in the utilization of services. In regards to outcomes, this initial survey didn't clearly demonstrate or disprove disparity in the outcomes of the individuals receiving homeless services. These conclusions are drawn from the data tables below and explained in the accompanying narrative.

## Percentage of individuals experiencing homelessness & utilizing homeless services in the Eastern PA Continuum of Care by Race/Ethnicity (Table 1.a & 1.b)

The first comparison of data, compiled in Table 1(a) and Table 1(b), looked at the racial/ethnic make-up of the total population of the Continuum of Care, the racial/ethnic make-up of the individuals living in poverty in the Continuum of Care, the racial/ethnic make-up of the individuals identified as being homeless in the Point in Time count for two consecutive years, and the racial/ethnic make-up of the individuals documented as clients of Permanent Supportive and Rapid Rehousing homeless services in the Continuum of Care.

Table 1.a	Total # of	% of population	% of persons	2017 Point	2018 Point	2018 Point	2018 Point	% of
	persons in	by race	living below	in Time	in Time	in Time	in Time	persons in
	Continuum		poverty line in			Unsheltered	Sheltered	CoC PSH or
	of Care		Continuum of					RRH
			Care					programs
Total Persons	3,107,425	3,107,425	369,061	2170	1998	346	1652	3,140
White	2,824,179	91%	82%	73%	73%	91%	69%	75%
Black or African American	151,734	5%	6%	22%	22%	8%	25%	20%
American Indian or Alaska Native	11,260	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Asian	63,708	2%	2%	<1%	<1%	0%	<1%	<1%
Native Hawaiian	2,152	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%	<1%
Two or More Races	54,392	2%	4%	3%	3%	<1%	3%	3%

Table 1.b	Total # of persons in Continuum of Care	% of population by ethnicity	% of persons living below poverty line in Continuum of Care	2017 Point in Time	2018 Point in Time	2018 Point in Time Unsheltered	2018 Point in Time Sheltered	% of persons in CoC PSH or RRH programs
Total Persons	3,107,425	3,107,425	369,061	2170	1998	346	1652	3,140
Hispanic	247,344	8%	15%	17%	20%	6%	23%	12%
Non-Hispanic	2,860,081	92%	76%	82%	80%	94%	77%	87%

This data suggests that racial disproportionality exists in the homeless population in this geographic area. Individuals identifying as White represent 91% of the total population of this area and 82% of those living in poverty in this community. However, these individuals represent only 73% of the population identified in the Point in Time counts in both 2017 and 2018 and 75% of the population of clients accessing Permanent Supportive and Rapid Rehousing homeless services. By comparison individuals identifying as Black or African American make up 5% of the total population of this geographic areas and 6% of those living in poverty in this community, but represent 22% of the individuals captured in the Point in Time counts and 20% of the population of clients accessing Permanent Supportive and Rapid Rehousing homeless services in this Continuum of Care. These numbers suggest that Black and African Americans are overrepresented in the homeless population and White individuals are underrepresented in the homeless population. Data regarding poverty rates is included to assist in determining whether homeless rates are similar to poverty rates among these groups. This data indicates that the rate of homeless is higher than the rate of poverty for Black or African American individuals, and is lower than the rate of poverty for White individuals.

Similarly, individuals identifying as Non-Hispanic compose 92% of the total population of this region, 76% of those living in poverty, and 80/82% of the population identified in the Point in Time count. Non-Hispanic individuals account for 87% of the total client count of Permanent Supportive and Rapid Rehousing homeless services. Individuals who identify as Hispanic represent 8% of the total population of this geographic area, 15% of the population living in poverty, and 17/20% of the population identified in the Point in Time count. Hispanic individuals comprise 12% of the total client count of Permanent Supportive and Rapid Rehousing homeless services. These numbers indicate that Hispanic individuals are overrepresented in the homeless population of this geographic region, but do not access Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Rehousing homeless services at the same percentage at which they experience homelessness.

### Outcomes of Homeless Services by Race/Ethnicity in the Eastern PA Continuum of Care

Analysis of outcomes for individuals accessing homeless services centered on four areas of data – length of stay in Emergency Shelter or Transitional Housing, Length of Stay in Permanent Supportive of Rapid Rehousing, Return to Services after Exiting, and Exit to Successful Retention/Placement.

Table 2	Length of Stay (in days) in Emergency Shelter or Transitional Housing	Length of Stay (in days) in Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Rehousing
Average Across All Races	114	604
White	114	645
Black or African American	115	480
All other races (including unknown)	96	464
Two or More Races	120	511
Hispanic	111	650
Non Hispanic	112	597

Table 2 displays that the average length of stay for individuals of all races is 114 days in Emergency/Transitional Shelter and 604 days in Permanent Supportive Housing/Rapid Rehousing. When looking at average length of stay within different racial groups, there does not appear to be significant racial disparity in length of stay for Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing. There is more significant difference in data for Length of Stay in Permanent Supportive or Rapid Rehousing housing, where the average across all races is 604, and the average within individual racial groups ranges from 464 to 645. While this difference is noted here, understanding the significance of this difference would require deeper analysis than the confines of this initial survey allow.

Table 3.a	Percentage of Persons who returned after leaving Emergency Shelter or Transitional House within each racial/ethnic group	Percentage of Persons who returned after leaving Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Rehousing within each racial/ethnic
		group
Average rate of return across all racial groups	15%	8%
White	16%	6%
Black or African American	15%	9%
Two or more races	11%	48%
All other races (including unknown)	6%	0%
Hispanic	14%	0%
Non Hispanic	16%	9%

Table 3.b	Percentage of persons leaving Emergency Shelter or Transitional Housing (% of total data set)	Percentage of persons who returned after leaving Emergency Shelter or Transitional Housing		Percentage of persons leaving Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Rehousing (% of total data set)	Percentage of persons who returned after leaving Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Rehousing
Total Persons	1605	243 (of 1605)*	-	956	76 (of 956)
White	66%	70%		77%	60%
Black or African American	25%	25%		17%	20%
All other races (including unknown)	4%	2%		3%	0%
Two or More Races	5%	3%		3%	20%
Hispanic	26%	24%		13%	0%
Non Hispanic	73%	75%		86%	100%

Table 3.a & 3.b examine return to Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing and Permanent Supportive Housing/Rapid Rehousing homeless services after exit from two different perspectives. Table 3.a shows what percentage of individuals of each racial/ethnic group return to these homeless services after exiting. Table 3.b shows what percentage of the total number of returns after exit from these are attributed to each racial/ethnic group. Table 3.a shows that White, Black or African American, Hispanic and Non-Hispanic individuals return to these service at largely the same rates within their racial ethnic groups for both types of services. That rate of return for multi-racial individuals to Permanent Supportive/Rapid Rehousing was noteworthy at 48%, compared to the average across all racial groups of 8%. Table 3.b shows that the percentage of the total persons returning to services after leaving Emergency Shelter or Transitional Housing from each racial group is proportionate to the percentage each racial group composes of the total data set. For example, Whites comprise 66% of the individuals leaving these services and 70% of the individual returning to services, and Black or African Americans comprise 25% of individuals leaving services and 25% of individuals returning to services. The only area of significant interest in this data can be seen in Table 3.b regarding returns to services after leaving Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Rehousing. In these columns, Whites account for 77% of the total population leaving these services and only 60% of the individuals re-entering services, while Multi-racial individuals account for only 3% of the population leaving these services and 0% of the population returning. Also of interest, Hispanics account for 13% of the population leaving these services and 0% of the population returning.

Table 4.a	Percentage of Persons who exited Emergency Shelter or Transitional Housing to a Successful Retention/Placement within each racial/ethnic group	Percentage of Persons who returned after leaving Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Rehousing to a Successful Retention/Placement within each racial/ethnic group
Average rate of success exit across all racial groups	47%	93%
White	42%	92%
Black or African American	46%	95%
All other races (including unknown)	47%	97%
Two or More Races	55%	88%
Hispanic	44%	95%
Non Hispanic	46%	92%

Table 4.b	Percentage of persons who left Emergency Shelter or Transitional Housing (% of total data set)	Percentage of persons who left Emergency Shelter or Transitional Housing to a Successful Retention/Placement		Percent of persons who left Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Rehousing (% of total data set)	Percentage of persons who left Permanent Supportive Housing or Rapid Rehousing to a Successful Retention/Placement
Total Persons	3611	1681		2210	2045*
			4		
White	64%	64%		77%	76%
Black or African	27%	27%		19%	19%
American					
All other races	5%	4%		1%	2%
(including unknown)					
Two or More Races	4%	5%		3%	3%
Hispanic	27%	26%		12%	11%
Non Hispanic	73%	74%		88%	87%

Table 4.a and 4.b examine the population that exists homeless services to a successful retention/placement in other housing. Table 4.a shows the percentage of individuals of each racial/ethnic group who experience a successful exit. Table 4.b shows what percentage of the total number of successful exits can be attributed to each racial/ethnic group. Table 4.a shows that the rate of successful exit for individuals remains similar across racial/ethnic groups without much evident disparity – for bother Emergency Shelter/Transitional Housing and Permanent Supportive/Rapid Rehousing. Table 4.b shows a similar picture, where the percentage of individuals with a successful exit in each racial/ethnic group is identical or very similar to the percentage of individuals of race in the entire data.

# Conclusion

Preliminary analysis of available data regarding racial/ethnic representation in the homeless population and the homeless services population does suggest that racial/ethnic disproportionality exists, with individuals of color being over-represented within these populations. Examination of outcome data provides a less clear picture. While the available data does not clearly rule out the presence of racial disparity in all outcomes examined, it also does not clearly point to the existence of racial disparity.

#### Sources:

Annual Estimates of the Resident Population by Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin for the United States, States, and Counties: April 1, 2010 to July 1, 2017 U.S. Census Bureau, Population Division, Release Date: June 2018

Eastern Continuum SPM by Race-Ethnicity

Point-in-Time Count PA-509 Eastern Pennsylvania CoC, 2017 & 2018

Poverty Status in the Past 12 Months, U.S. Census Bureau, 2016