4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:

	1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
	2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
	3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported–please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
	4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
	5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
	6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
		. We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
		. We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
	7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	09/26/2022
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	PHA Moving On Pre...	09/26/2022
1E-1. Local Competition Deadline	Yes	Local Competition...	09/26/2022
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	09/28/2022
1E-2a. Scored Renewal Project Application	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	09/28/2022
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	09/26/2022
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	09/27/2022
1E-5b. Final Project Scores for All Projects	Yes	Final Project Sco...	09/26/2022
1E-5c. Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No		

3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	Healthcare Formal...	09/26/2022
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Moving On Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Deadline

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Final Project Scores for All Projects

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: Healthcare Formal Agreements

Attachment Details

Document Description:

FY 2022

Eastern PA CoC – PA-509

1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference

Documents include the following:

- **Housing Authority of Centre County (HACC) Admin Plan Excerpt – Homeless Preference**
- **Cumberland County Housing Authority Admin Plan Excerpt – Homeless Preference**

3. The HACC will admit families to the Section 8 Program to comply with the Income Targeting requirement, and may adjust the waiting list selection to do so.

At any time the HACC may, at its sole discretion and without notice, adjust the selection of Income Targeting Eligible families to an amount between 75% and 100% if it has determined that an insufficient number of families whose incomes do not exceed 30% of the area median income are being admitted to the Section 8 Program.

E. TARGETING AND PREFERENCES

Eligible applicants will be placed on the waiting list and selected for admission based upon date, time and Federal Income Targeting eligibility requirements, except as provided for "Homeless Vouchers" in Chapter 4 Section F.

1. Federal Income Targeting

All families whose annual gross incomes do not exceed 30% of the area median income (extremely-low-income) at the time of admission will be considered Federal Income Targeting Eligible. Seventy-five percent (75%) of all new admissions to the Section 8 Program are required to be in this category. Families who do not meet this income requirement will be placed on the waiting list as Federal Income Targeting ineligible.

Where necessary, a request will be made to HUD by the HACC to establish and implement different targeting standards, for "good cause", in accordance with the HACC's Public Housing Agency Plan. "Good cause" may include, but is not limited to, the requirement to house eligible families as special non-waiting list admissions and the treatment of the family's income for the purposes of eligibility and Federal Income Targeting.

2. Preferences

Eligible applicants who qualify for a local preference are entitled to be placed on the waiting list and receive a preference in selection of Housing Vouchers. Families who qualify as local preference holders, as defined in this section, will be admitted prior to families who do not have a local preference within either the Federal Income Targeting Eligible or Federal Income Targeting Ineligible categories of the waiting list. All Local Preferences will be rated as equal, having no individual weight or aggregate value for multiple preferences.

The HACC will place families on the waiting list as either Federal Income Targeting Eligible or Federal Income Targeting Ineligible, by time and date of application, and by any Local Preference as described below. Over/Under housed Public Housing residents will receive highest priority on the Preference Waiting List. Applicants who fall within Transitional Housing or Domestic Violence preference will receive second priority on the Preference Waiting List. Within this preference category, families will be selected according to the date and time their completed application was received by the PHA. The remaining preference categories, Homeless Elderly/Disabled, Involuntarily Displaced, and Homeless will carry equal weight on the preference list.

OVERHOUSED PUBLIC HOUSING RESIDENTS -Any resident of Beaver Farm Apartments, who no longer qualifies to reside in Public Housing. (Must have two children to qualify) In the event a child moves out of the unit and the resident no longer has two children residing in the household the resident would qualify for preference.

TRANSITIONAL HOUSING - Any participant of the Bridge Housing or Supportive Housing Program would qualify for preference. These participants would be facing homelessness if not referred to the Section 8 Housing Choice Voucher Preference List. These applicants would be referred by Housing Transitions, Inc., the Women's Resource Center, or other similar agency that assists homeless families. The status of such homeless families must be verified by the referring.

DOMESTIC VIOLENCE - The head of household and/or members of your family are victims of actual or threatened domestic violence. The domestic violence should have occurred recently or be of a continuing nature. An applicant may qualify for victims of domestic violence if the applicant vacated a unit because of domestic violence or lives in a unit with a person who engages in violence. An applicant who qualifies for the preference should certify that the person who engaged in the violence will not reside with the applicant family. If the family is admitted, the PHA may deny or terminate assistance for breach of the agreement. The status of such victims of domestic violence must be verified by Women's Resource, Center for Domestic Violence or other similar agency that assists victims of domestic violence.

HOMELESS ELDERLY/DISABLED -The head of household and/or spouse must be homeless and elderly (62 years or older) or homeless and disabled as defined in Section 223 of the Social Security Act.

INVOLUNTARILY DISPLACED - INCLUDES situations where the landlord does not renew the lease; displacement due to non-suspicious fires, disasters, government action or action by a private owner that the tenant could not control or prevent; action carried out by a government agency in connection with a public improvement or development program. DOES NOT INCLUDE evictions for non-payment of rent: lease violations, or damages caused to the property; being asked to vacate the premises if there has been no previous rental agreement and the applicant has been part of the owner/tenant's own household immediately prior to application; overcrowding if the unit is otherwise adequate.

HOMELESSNESS -A homeless individual or family is one who lacks a fixed, regular, or nighttime residence and has a primary nighttime residence that is a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter (includes welfare hotels, congregate shelters, and transitional housing for the mentally ill); an institution that provides a temporary residence for individuals intended to be institutionalized; or an individual or family who will imminently lose their housing within 14 days. *NOTE-DOES NOT INCLUDE AN INDIVIDUAL IN PRISON OR DETAINED BY LAW.

We understand the need for housing assistance in Centre County and in order to fairly offer assistance for all needed, when vouchers become available the PHA will invite individuals/families from both the Section 8 HCV waiting list and the Preference List. For every ten (10) individuals/families offered assistance, seven (7) will be from the Centre County HCV Waiting List (until exhausted) and three (3) from the Preference List (until exhausted). Once these lists are exhausted individuals from the Others outside Centre County List will be offered assistance.

F.   PREFERENCE DENIAL

A preference does not guarantee admission. The applicant must meet all HACC tenant screening criteria before being selected as a tenant.

If the applicant's preference cannot be verified, the applicant will be notified   of the preference denial and given the opportunity for an informal hearing.

The HACC will not give a preference to an applicant if any member of the family is a person who was evicted during the past three (3) years, because of drug-related activity, violent criminal activity or alcohol abuse from housing assisted under a 1937 Housing Act program.

G.   SPECIAL ADMISSIONS (NON-WAITING LIST SELECTION)

A special admission is the admission of an applicant who is not on the HACC's waiting list or who is admitted without consideration of the applicant's waiting list position. The HACC will admit families as special admissions under the following conditions:

1.   If HUD awards funding that is targeted for families living in specified units:

- The HACC will use the assistance for the families living in these units; and

- The HACC will maintain records showing that a family was admitted with HUD-targeted assistance.

EXHIBIT 4-A: LOCAL PREFERENCES

CUMBERLAND COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY

EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2011

1. **Displacement by CCHRA Action**

First preference will be given to households who have been displaced by any Cumberland County Housing and Redevelopment Authority project resulting in their loss of a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime residence. Applicants must be residing in the project at the time of displacement and must show proof of residence. Applicants assisted under this preference must lease in Cumberland County for at least two years.

Second preference will be divided equally between the Transitional Housing/Domestic Violence Shelter Preference and the Working Family Preference listed below.

2. **Transitional Housing / Domestic Violence Shelter Preference/ Move On Preference**

Preference will be given to qualified households who are living in the Cumberland County Domestic Violence Shelter or a transitional housing facility which has an operating plan acceptable to the Housing Authority, and who have completed their service plan based on information supplied by the Shelter or transitional housing provider. Households qualifying under the domestic violence preference are not permitted to have the abusing individual visit or reside in the unit for twelve months after move-in. Applicants will be required to complete a Domestic Violence Verification form (See appendix at end of chapter). Applicants receiving the Transitional Housing/Domestic Violence preference are required to lease in Cumberland County for at least two years. To retain this preference, applicants must be living in the facility on the date the voucher is issued. (A single individual or couple who are not elderly or disabled are not considered a qualified household for the bridge housing/DVS preference.)

This preference will also be given to persons transitioning out of Single Room Occupancy (SRO) facilities and other transitional or permanent housing operated by homeless providers into neighborhood based permanent housing. Applicants with a diagnosis of Intellectual & Developmental Disabilities who are on the Cumberland/Perry MH/IDD Emergency and Critical lists for residential service and who are transitioning from a community living arrangement (group home); or from a previous living arrangement (temporary shelter, family home, etc.); or individuals with a priority mental health diagnosis who are transitioning from a Community Residential Rehabilitation (CRR) program and/or have completed an independent living skills assessment with a supportive living worker will be given this preference. The referral process will include a recommendation from the individual's Mental Health (MH) Case Manager, Support Coordinator or Primary Residential Worker that supports the consumer's ability to live

independently and identifies any supports and services needed for and agreed to by the consumer. Available services and supports include: case management, psychiatric rehabilitation, support coordination, supportive living, social rehabilitation, financial management, transportation, supported employment, emergency on-call supports, social rehabilitation, and mobile crisis intervention as well as traditional services such as outpatient therapy and medication management. It is understood that continuation of mental health services is voluntary by the consumer. The Housing Authority may assist the consumer to contact the appropriate MH base service unit in order to access needed services at any time.

Individuals currently in a Permanent Supportive Housing program with Homeless and Special Needs department that have fulfilled goals, have proven stability and are ready for self-sufficiency will receive this preference. These individuals no longer require intensive case management and will open a spot for other homeless individuals. By receiving Move On preference this will allow the consumer to transition to Housing Choice Voucher Program and maintain housing assistance.

Individuals/families certified by Cumberland County Children and Youth Services who are in need of adequate housing to maintain custody or to reunify with their children; and youths at least 18 years old and not more than 21 years old (have not reached 22nd birthday) who left foster care, a Community Residential Rehab Host Home and/or Residential Treatment Facility at age 16 or older and who do not have adequate housing will be given this preference.

3. Working Family Preference

This preference will be given to households that have at least one adult member who is working and has worked 20 hours a week or more for the past six months. (Special rules apply to applicants who have been laid off due to a reduction in force or business/plant closing. See S8 Administrative Plan.) An applicant household shall be given the benefit for working families described above if the head of household or spouse are:
    a) 62 years of age or older **or**
    b) receiving Social Security Disability, Supplemental Security Income disability
       benefits or any other benefits based on an individual's inability to work. In
       addition, single parent households with children 5 years of age or younger shall
       receive the benefit of this working family preference.

Single parent households may use school or job training hours approved by the Cumberland County Housing Authority to satisfy this requirement. The Housing Authority requires that the parent/student is attending a full-time educational or job training program and provide verification from the education institution that:
    a) the educational program will lead to employment with good wages and possibly
    benefits, as evidenced, for example, by the experience of recent graduates of the
    program;

    b) the parent is making satisfactory progress; and

FY 2022

Eastern PA CoC – PA-509

1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference

Documents include the following:

- **Cumberland County Housing Authority Admin Plan Excerpt – Homeless Preference**

EXHIBIT 4-A: LOCAL PREFERENCES

CUMBERLAND COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY

EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2011

1. **Displacement by CCHRA Action**

First preference will be given to households who have been displaced by any Cumberland County Housing and Redevelopment Authority project resulting in their loss of a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime residence. Applicants must be residing in the project at the time of displacement and must show proof of residence. Applicants assisted under this preference must lease in Cumberland County for at least two years.

Second preference will be divided equally between the Transitional Housing/Domestic Violence Shelter Preference and the Working Family Preference listed below.

2. **Transitional Housing / Domestic Violence Shelter Preference/ Move On Preference**

Preference will be given to qualified households who are living in the Cumberland County Domestic Violence Shelter or a transitional housing facility which has an operating plan acceptable to the Housing Authority, and who have completed their service plan based on information supplied by the Shelter or transitional housing provider. Households qualifying under the domestic violence preference are not permitted to have the abusing individual visit or reside in the unit for twelve months after move-in. Applicants will be required to complete a Domestic Violence Verification form (See appendix at end of chapter). Applicants receiving the Transitional Housing/Domestic Violence preference are required to lease in Cumberland County for at least two years. To retain this preference, applicants must be living in the facility on the date the voucher is issued. (A single individual or couple who are not elderly or disabled are not considered a qualified household for the bridge housing/DVS preference.)

This preference will also be given to persons transitioning out of Single Room Occupancy (SRO) facilities and other transitional or permanent housing operated by homeless providers into neighborhood based permanent housing. Applicants with a diagnosis of Intellectual & Developmental Disabilities who are on the Cumberland/Perry MH/IDD Emergency and Critical lists for residential service and who are transitioning from a community living arrangement (group home); or from a previous living arrangement (temporary shelter, family home, etc.); or individuals with a priority mental health diagnosis who are transitioning from a Community Residential Rehabilitation (CRR) program and/or have completed an independent living skills assessment with a supportive living worker will be given this preference. The referral process will include a recommendation from the individual's Mental Health (MH) Case Manager, Support Coordinator or Primary Residential Worker that supports the consumer's ability to live

independently and identifies any supports and services needed for and agreed to by the consumer. Available services and supports include: case management, psychiatric rehabilitation, support coordination, supportive living, social rehabilitation, financial management, transportation, supported employment, emergency on-call supports, social rehabilitation, and mobile crisis intervention as well as traditional services such as outpatient therapy and medication management. It is understood that continuation of mental health services is voluntary by the consumer. The Housing Authority may assist the consumer to contact the appropriate MH base service unit in order to access needed services at any time.

Individuals currently in a Permanent Supportive Housing program with Homeless and Special Needs department that have fulfilled goals, have proven stability and are ready for self-sufficiency will receive this preference. These individuals no longer require intensive case management and will open a spot for other homeless individuals. By receiving Move On preference this will allow the consumer to transition to Housing Choice Voucher Program and maintain housing assistance.

Individuals/families certified by Cumberland County Children and Youth Services who are in need of adequate housing to maintain custody or to reunify with their children; and youths at least 18 years old and not more than 21 years old (have not reached 22nd birthday) who left foster care, a Community Residential Rehab Host Home and/or Residential Treatment Facility at age 16 or older and who do not have adequate housing will be given this preference.

3. Working Family Preference

This preference will be given to households that have at least one adult member who is working and has worked 20 hours a week or more for the past six months. (Special rules apply to applicants who have been laid off due to a reduction in force or business/plant closing. See S8 Administrative Plan.) An applicant household shall be given the benefit for working families described above if the head of household or spouse are:
   a) 62 years of age or older **or**
   b) receiving Social Security Disability, Supplemental Security Income disability
       benefits or any other benefits based on an individual's inability to work. In
       addition, single parent households with children 5 years of age or younger shall
       receive the benefit of this working family preference.

Single parent households may use school or job training hours approved by the Cumberland County Housing Authority to satisfy this requirement. The Housing Authority requires that the parent/student is attending a full-time educational or job training program and provide verification from the education institution that:
   a) the educational program will lead to employment with good wages and possibly
       benefits, as evidenced, for example, by the experience of recent graduates of the
       program;

   b) the parent is making satisfactory progress; and

FY 2022

Eastern PA CoC – PA-509

1E-1. Local Competition Deadline

Documents include the following:

- **CoC Competition New Project RFPs**
  - **Published to CoC website on 8/11/22 (deadline for submission 8/30/22)**
- **CoC Competition Renewal Project Application instructions**
  - **Published to CoC website on 8/16/22 (deadline for submission 8/30/22)**

Pennsylvania Continuums of Care

NEED HOUSING ASSISTANCE?

Search

About the CoC   Contact Us   News   Events

RESOURCES   THE BALANCE OF STATE   HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM   EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT   BECOME A MEMBER

EASTERN COC

CoC Releases Consolidated RFP Package which includes 3 RFPs for New Funding

11 August 2022

The Eastern PA Continuum of Care is releasing a Consolidated RFP package which includes three Requests for Proposals (RFPs) to solicit new projects as part of HUD's FY22 CoC Competition and Special NOFO Competition:

1. **Annual CoC NOFO – New Project RFP (responses due 8/30)**
2. **Domestic Violence Bonus – New Project RFP (responses due 8/30)**
3. **Special Rural NOFO – New Project RFP (responses due 9/13)**

The Eastern PA CoC is soliciting Preliminary Applications from agencies interested in applying for new projects through the following opportunities: 1) the annual HUD CoC NOFO Competition, 2) Domestic Violence Bonus, and 3) HUD's Special NOFO Competition (Rural Set Aside).

You can access the relevant materials below.

1. Annual CoC NOFO Competition – New Project RFP (Preliminary Applications due by 5pm on August 30)

Tags

Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA)

Continuum of Care

Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

News type

Type here to search

72°F Partly sunny

9:24 AM
8/11/2022

1. Annual CoC NOFO Competition – New Project RFP (Preliminary Applications due by 5pm on August 30)

- Annual CoC NOFO New Project RFP
- To apply, a Preliminary Application and a Proposed Budget must be submitted via Alchemer (online survey tool) by **5pm on Tuesday, August 30**[th]. The CoC will inform organizations responding to the RFP if their project is selected by September 9th.
  - Preliminary Application Alchemer Link: https://survey.alchemer.com/s3/6980064/Eastern-PA-CoC-FY2022-New-Project-Annual-CoC-NOFO
  - Budget Template (completed template will be uploaded in Alchemer) can be downloaded here.
  - A copy of the New Project Preliminary Application can be downloaded here for reference. (Please do not submit a paper application, all applications must be submitted via Alchemer.)

2. Domestic Violence Bonus– New Project RFP (Preliminary Applications due by 5pm onAugust 30)

- Domestic Violence Bonus New Project RFP
- To apply, a Preliminary Application and a Proposed Budget must be submitted via Alchemer (online survey tool) by **5pm on Tuesday, August 30**[th]. The CoC will inform organizations responding to the RFP if their project is selected by September 9th.
  - Preliminary Application Alchemer Link: https://survey.alchemer.com/s3/6967971/Eastern-PA-CoC-FY2022-DV-Bonus-App
  - Budget Template for RRH and TH-RRH Projects (completed template will be uploaded in Alchemer) can be downloaded here.
  - Budget Template for SSO-CE Projects (completed template will be uploaded in Alchemer) can be downloaded here.

News type

COC

RHABs

Central Valley

Lehigh Valley

Northern Tier

Pocono

South Central

5pm onAugust 30)

- Domestic Violence Bonus New Project RFP
- To apply, a Preliminary Application and a Proposed Budget must be submitted via Alchemer (online survey tool) by **5pm on Tuesday, August 30th**. The CoC will inform organizations responding to the RFP if their project is selected by September 9th.
    - Preliminary Application Alchemer Link: https://survey.alchemer.com/s3/6967971/Eastern-PA-CoC-FY2022-DV-Bonus-App
    - Budget Template for RRH and TH-RRH Projects (completed template will be uploaded in Alchemer) can be downloaded here.
    - Budget Template for SSO-CE Projects (completed template will be uploaded in Alchemer) can be downloaded here.
    - A copy of the New Project Preliminary Application can be downloaded here for reference. (Please do not submit a paper application, all applications must be submitted via Alchemer.)

3. Special Rural NOFO Competition – New Project RFP (Preliminary Applications due by 5pm September 13)

- Special Rural NOFO RFP
- To apply, a Preliminary Application and a Proposed Budget must be submitted via Alchemer (online survey tool) by Close of Business on **5pm on Tuesday, September 13th**. The CoC will inform organizations responding to the RFP if their project is selected by September 30th.
    - Preliminary Application Alchemer Link: https://survey.alchemer.com/s3/6967985/Eastern-PA-CoC-FY2022-Special-Rural-NOFO-App
    - Budget Template (completed template will be uploaded in Alchemer) can be downloaded here.
    - A copy of the New Project Preliminary Application can be downloaded here for reference. (Please do not submit a paper application, all applications must be submitted via Alchemer.)

- Preliminary Application Alchemer Link:
  https://survey.alchemer.com/s3/6967985/Eastern-PA-CoC-FY2022-Special-Rural-NOFO-App
- Budget Template (completed template will be uploaded in Alchemer) can be downloaded here.
- A copy of the New Project Preliminary Application can be downloaded here for reference. (Please do not submit a paper application, all applications must be submitted via Alchemer.)

IMPORTANT: All projects proposed must ONLY serve counties identified by HUD as rural. A list of rural counties can be found in the RFP.

The CoC will host two upcoming webinars related to these RFPs:

- **Related to Annual CoC NOFO RFP and Domestic Violence Bonus RFP:**
  - A webinar to review the 2022 CoC Competition NOFO for the Eastern and Western PA CoCs will be held on **Tuesday, August 16th from 1-3pm.** You can join the webinar by registering at https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJYuf--gqTMsGd0jtFMB56Yx8Omh2a3aaLB5.
- **Related to Special Rural NOFO RFP:**
  - The CoC will host a Special NOFO Rural Set Aside Office Hours Session on **Friday, August 19th from 10am-11am** to provide a high-level overview of the Special NOFO Rural Set Aside RFP and to answer questions about the RFP. You can join the session by registering at https://zoom.us/meeting/register/tJUuc-2sqDoqHNK64yofDKPqVeXom0MbckyN.

Please help the CoC reach stakeholders in your community by forwarding this email to organizations that may be interested in applying for funding through the Eastern PA CoC. Thank you!

Pennsylvania Continuums of Care

NEED HOUSING ASSISTANCE?

Search

About the CoC   Contact Us   News   Events

RESOURCES   THE BALANCE OF STATE   HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM   EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT   BECOME A MEMBER

EASTERN COC

Eastern PA CoC: Renewal Project Application - Instructions/Important Info

16 August 2022

Sent via email 8/16/22 to all CoC renewal grantees and publicly posted to CoC website:

Dear Eastern PA CoC-funded Grantees-

Please read this important message about submission of Renewal Project Applications for the CoC NOFO Competition.

As part of the Eastern PA CoC's Consolidated Application for the 2022 CoC Competition, DMA will undertake a review of all renewal project applications prior to the submission of these applications into the e-snaps system by grantees. **E-snaps is now open, so grantees can access their renewal applications.** Instructions for submitting this information are provided below.

- **By August 30th at 5pm:** All agencies with renewal project applications are being asked to **provide a PDF of your** completed Renewal Project Application(s) exported from e-snaps.

- The PDF of your Renewal Project Application should be submitted to

Tags

Continuum of Care

Competition, DMA will undertake a review of all renewal project applications prior to the submission of these applications into the e-snaps system by grantees. **E-snaps is now open, so grantees can access their renewal applications.** Instructions for submitting this information are provided below.

- **By August 30th at 5pm:** All agencies with renewal project applications are being asked to **provide a PDF of your <u>completed</u> Renewal Project Application(s)** exported from e-snaps.

- **The PDF of your Renewal Project Application should be submitted to Dropbox.** Attached to this email you will find a list of Dropbox links; each project will have its own unique link.

- **Please DO NOT SUBMIT your Renewal Project Application(s) in e-snaps.** If you accidentally submit the application, please contact us at easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org and we will release the application back to you.

- IMPORTANT RERMINDER REGARDING PROJECT NAMES:
  - When you set up your FY2022 renewal project in e-snaps, **you must insert the "six-digit PIN" from your project's grant number at the beginning of the project name**. Your "six-digit PIN" is the first six digits of your grant number.
  - For example: for grant number PA0123L3T091811:
    - Applicant Name: DMA Homeless Services
    - Project Name: PA0123 - Elkins Park Rapid Re-Housing Project

- **The PDF file name should be: 2022 Renewal App – Agency Name – Project Name**
- **Prior to submitting your application**, check the Submission Summary to make sure ALL sections have been completed.
- **By September 12th:** You will receive a project review form with required corrections.
- **By September 16th (or within 5 working days of receipt of your**

- The PDF file name should be: 2022 Renewal App – Agency Name – Project Name
- **Prior to submitting your application**, check the Submission Summary to make sure ALL sections have been completed.
- By September 12th: You will receive a project review form with required corrections.
- By September 16th (or within 5 working days of receipt of your review): You must submit your corrected application on e-snaps.

DOCUMENTS ATTACHED TO THIS EMAIL - The following documents are attached to this email to assist with completing the above steps:

- **Dropbox instructions and url links**- You will use these links to upload your project application.
- CoC Project Applications & e-snaps: 2022 Tips and Troubleshooting Guide - We are releasing this guide because e-snaps is open and we are all short on time. If we notice any changes once HUD's detailed instructions are out, we will send an updated version.

NOTES REGARDING RESOURCE DOCUMENTS:

- HUD has not yet provided the Actual Rents report. If we receive that information from HUD, we will forward it to any grantee who uses actual rent, to assist in entering the updated rent amounts in e-snaps.
- The CoC is hosting a NOFO webinar today 8/16 from 1pm-3pm. The webinar recording will be posted on the CoC NOFO page later this week: https://pennsylvaniacoc.org/eastern-pa-coc-fy2022-coc-nofa-competition.

CONSOLIDATION - If you are considering consolidating projects:

- Please let us know ASAP.
- Please follow the instructions above to submit the individual applications in e-snaps for each renewal project that you would like to consolidate. Be sure to make no substantive changes to the budget or units, beds or

week: https://pennsylvaniacoc.org/eastern-pa-coc-fy2022-coc-nofa-competition.

<u>CONSOLIDATION</u> - If you are considering consolidating projects:

- Please let us know ASAP.
- Please follow the instructions above to submit the individual applications in e-snaps for each renewal project that you would like to consolidate. Be sure to make no substantive changes to the budget or units, beds or households served.

If you have questions regarding completing your renewal project application(s), please first review the attached document "CoC Project Applications & e-snaps: 2022 Tips and Troubleshooting Guide" and the HUD instructions/guides referenced within the document.

After reviewing these resources, if you are still unable to resolve your issue, send DMA an email at easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org. We will work to assist you as quickly as possible.

Thank you for your continued participation in the CoC application process and the work you and your agencies do to end homelessness every day!

- DMA Staff

LINKS

Eastern PA CoC 2022 NOFO Competition

Related News

FY 2022

Eastern PA CoC – PA-509

1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool

Documents include the following:

- **Summary of which criteria meet which HUD thresholds**
- **Renewal scoring criteria**
- **Renewal scoring workbook used by CoC to score projects**
  - **This includes points awarded to each project, and max points available for each project**
- New project scoring tool
  - **This includes points awarded to each project, and max points available for each project**

Below please find outlined which criteria meet HUD requirements as outlined in the NOFO. The full renewal scoring criteria document can be found on the following pages:

1. Had at least 33% of total points based on objective criteria. **The following criteria are objective criteria. Objective criteria make up 69 out of 100 points (69%).**

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points
1a/1b/1c	Exit to PH Destination	10
2	Returns to Homelessness	2
4	Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Housing Move In (% of participants moved in within 30 days)	1
5	Income Growth - Increase Total Income	10
6	Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits	2
7	Participants Connected to Health Insurance	2
8	High Quality Data Entry	9
9	Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry	2
10	Project Participant Eligibility	2
11	Utilization Rate	2
12	Drawdown Rates	4
13	Funds Expended (% Expended)	3
14	Timely APR Submission	4
15a/15b	Cost Effectiveness – Cost Per HH	3
16a/16b	Cost Effectiveness - Cost Per HH Pos Exit	3
18a	Severity of Need - Health Conditions	2
18b	Severity of Need - Zero Income at Entry	2
18c	Severity of Need - Unsheltered	2
18d	Severity of Need - Age	2
18e	Severity of Need - Domestic Violence	2

2. Had at least 20% of the total points based on system performance criteria. **The following criteria are related to system performance. System performance criteria make up 38 out of 100 points (38%).**

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points
1a/1b/1c	Exit to PH Destination	10
2	Returns to Homelessness	2
4	Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Housing Move In (% of participants moved in within 30 days)	1

5	Income Growth - Increase Total Income	10
6	Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits	2
7	Participants Connected to Health Insurance	2
8	High Quality Data Entry	9
9	Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry	2

3. Used data from comparable database to score projects submitted by VSPs. **As indicated in the attached renewal scoring criteria document, all criteria using data either pulled data from HMIS OR from APR from a DV comparable database.**

4. Considered specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities when ranking and selecting projects. **The following criteria considered specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities:**

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points
18a	Severity of Need - Health Conditions	2
18b	Severity of Need - Zero Income at Entry	2
18c	Severity of Need - Unsheltered	2
18d	Severity of Need - Age	2
18e	Severity of Need - Domestic Violence	2

Eastern PA CoC: 2022 Renewal Project Scoring Criteria

Approved: 3/24/22

The time period used for all data will be October 1, 2020 – September 30, 2021

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
Performance and Data Quality= 38 points (RRH, TH-RRH, PSH); 35 points (SSO)			
1a (part of SPM Metric 7b.1)	**RRH/TH-RRH -Housing Stability**: Exit to Permanent Housing (RRH and TH-RRH only). Measurement: % of exits to permanent housing destination among all participants/leavers who exited project. Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Average outcome in 2021= 93% *NOTE: The thresholds for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising thresholds again in future rounds.*	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers SPM, Objective	RRH and TH-RRH: ● 100% = 10 points ● 90-99% = 7 points ● 80-89% = 4 points ● 75-79% = 2 points
1b	**SSO-Housing Stability:** % of all participants/leavers placed into Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing or Permanent Housing (SSO only) Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers SPM, Objective	SSO: ● 75-100% = 10 points ● 50-74% = 7 points ● 36-49% = 4 points ● 25-35% = 2 points

	● Average outcome in 2021= 100%		
1c (SPM Metric 7b.2)	**PSH-Housing Stability:** Exit to other Permanent Housing or retention of PSH (PSH only). Measurement: % of all project participants stayers remained in PSH project or all project participants/leavers exited to other permanent housing. Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Average outcome in 2021= 97%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 100% = 10 points ● 97-99% - 7 points ● 93-96% = 4 points ● 90-92% = 2 points SPM, Objective
2 (SPM Metric 2)	**Returns to Homelessness:** % returns to homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing destination ● **Note:** N/A for DV providers, as there is no way to measure if clients reentered the system, only their specific program. ● N/A for SSO Projects ● Average outcome in 2021= 0.3%	HMIS	PH projects: ● ≤ 2% = 2 points ● 3-10% = 1 point SPM, Objective

| 3 | **Projects dedicated to serving survivors of Domestic Violence only:** Degree to which victim service projects improve safety for the population served.

Evaluate the practices of victim service providers around serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and their ability to house survivors and meet safety outcomes. | Renewal Summary Form | 2 total points (1 point per question)

1) Projects must describe how they ensure the safety of DV survivors by:
● Training staff on safety planning
● Training staff on trauma-informed, victim centered approaches
● Adjusting intake space to better ensure a private conversation
● Working with survivors to have them identify what is safe for them as it relates to scattered site units and/or rental assistance
● Keeping the location confidential of units used for survivors

2) Project must describe how they **measure** the projects' ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served (i.e. how does the project capture/evaluate this data) |

4	**Length of time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date:** % of households who moved into housing in under 30 days (measured by days between project enrollment date and move in date). (TH-RRH, RRH, and PSH Only) *N/A for SSO • Average outcome in 2021: PSH: 90%; RRH/TH-RRH: 75% Providers are expected to move participants in as quickly as possible upon enrollment; Decreasing length of time between project start date and housing move-in date is one factor that contributes to SPM Metric 1b (length of time homeless)	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	• PSH: 90%+ moved in to housing within 30 days of project enrollment = 1 point • RRH: 80%+ moved in to housing within 30 days of project enrollment = 1 point
5 (SPM Metrics 4.3/4.6)	**Income Growth:** Increase in TOTAL income of all adult participants from any source (leavers and stayers): % of all adult participants who increased income from any source from entry to exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers) NOTE: Adult participants' Earned Income Growth and Non-Earned Income Growth both factor into Total Income Growth. • Average outcome in 2021= 56% *NOTE: The thresholds for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising thresholds again in future rounds.*	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	• 50% or more had an increase in total income = 10 points • 40-49% increase income = 8 points • 30-39% increase income = 6 points • 20-29% increase income = 4 points
6	**Participants connected to Non-cash/ Mainstream Benefits:** Percentage of adult participants with 1+ source of Non-Cash benefits (SNAP, WIC, TANF, others, etc.) • Average outcome in 2021= 66%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	• 70%+ of program participants enrolled in 1+ mainstream benefit = 2 points • 60-69% = 1.5 points • 50-59% = 1 point • 40-49% = 0.5 points
7	**Participants Connected to Health Insurance:** Percentage of all participants with 1+ source of health insurance • Average outcome in 2021= 87%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	• 95%+ with 1+ source of health insurance = 2 points • 80-94% = 1 point

SPM, Objective

SPM, Objective

SPM, Objective

SPM, Objective

8	**High quality data entry:** Full participation in HMIS is required of all CoC-funded organizations.
	
	% of Error Rate for the following data points entered into HMIS:
	   a. PII - Name
	   b. PII - SSN
	   c. PII - Date of birth
	   d. PII - Race
	   e. PII - Ethnicity
	   f. PII - Gender
	   g. Destination
	   h. Income and Sources at Entry
	   i. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment
	   j. Income and Sources at Exit
		APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database
		
		SPM, Objective
			9 points total
			
			● 8.a. – 0% error rate – 0.5 points
			● 8.b.– 0% error rate – 0.5 points
			● 8.c.– 0% error rate – 0.5 points
			● 8.d. – 0% error rate – 0.5 points
			● 8.e.– 0% error rate – 0.5 points
			● 8.f.– 0% error rate –0.5 points
			● 8.g.– 0% error rate - 1.5 points
			● 8.h – 0% error rate – 1.5 points
			● 8.i – 0% error rate – 1.5 points
			● 8.j – 0% error rate –1.5 points

9	**Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry** a. % of project entry records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark b. % of project exit records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark Data entered within 10 days. Timeliness specifically looks at project entry and exit dates. For example, this measure will compare a household's actual enrollment date against the date that their enrollment date was entered in HMIS. Per the HMIS Participation Agreement, all data should be entered into PA-HMIS within 7 days.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database SPM, Objective	2 points total a. 80% OF PROJECT ENTRY RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: 0-10 days – 1 point b. 80% OF PROJECT EXIT RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: 0-10 days – 1 point

Monitoring = 19 points (TH-RRH, RRH, PSH); 17 points (SSO)

10	**Project Participant Eligibility:** % of Heads of household and adults whose prior living situation was reported as literally homeless situations or fleeing DV Objective	RSF (report from provider on literally homeless and fleeing DV households); cross referenced with APR from HMIS and APR from DV providers	● 94%+ = 2 points ● 90-93% = 1 point

11	**Unit Utilization Rate:** Average utilization rate of project (using project utilization each quarter, as reported on APR). Goal=full utilization. ● (NA for SSO) ● For projects under 10 units, will use a 3-year average if the project is under 85% utilization. *NOTE: The point values for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising thresholds again in future rounds.*	# units per renewal app compared to average unit utilization; APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers Objective	● 95-100% utilization = 2 points ● 90-94% = 1.5 points ● 85-89% = 1 point
12	**Drawdown Rates:** Minimum of quarterly drawdown from eLOCCS. HUD requires a minimum of quarterly draws.	e-LOCCS Objective	● Met benchmark = 4 points Did not meet benchmark = 0 points
13	**Funds Expended:** % of grant funds expended. ● Goal = full spend down *NOTE: The point values for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising thresholds again in future rounds.*	e-LOCCS Objective	● 100% = 3 points ● 95-99% = 2 points ● 90-94% = 1 points
14	**Timely APR submission:** APR submitted within 90 days of grant (HUD requirement) *If you submitted late due to an HMIS issue and there is evidence that you attempted to submit on time and informed your HUD rep, you will have a chance to explain this in your Renewal Summary Form.	Last submitted APR Objective	● Timely submission = 2 points ● Submitted beyond 90 days = 0 points
15a	**SSO/TH/RRH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per household served ● SSO/TH-RRH/RRH – Average cost per Household served compared to average of other projects Objective	Numerator: Services + admin line items from Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) Denominator: # of HHs served per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	SSO/TH/RRH projects will be ranked in order of lowest to highest cost per household. Points will be awarded in quartiles (25% of projects in group): ● Top 25% of projects with lowest cost/HH = 3 points ● Second quartile of projects (26-50%) = 2 points ● Third quartile (51-75%) = 1 point

			● Bottom 25% of projects with highest cost per HH = 0 points
15b	**PSH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per household served ● <u>PSH</u> – Average cost per household compared to average of other projects Objective	<u>Numerator</u>: Services + admin line items from GIW <u>Denominator</u>: # of HHs served per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	PSH projects will be ranked in order of lowest to highest cost per household. Points will be awarded in quartiles (25% of projects in group): ● Top 25% of projects with lowest cost/HH = 3 points ● Second quartile of projects (26-50%) = 2 points ● Third quartile (51-75%) = 1 point ● Bottom 25% of projects with highest cost per HH = 0 points
16a	**TH-RRH/RRH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per Positive Exit ● <u>TH-RRH/RRH</u> – Average cost per exit to Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects (SSO excluded from Cost Effectiveness/Cost Per Positive Exit criteria) Objective	<u>Numerator</u>: Services + admin line items from GIW <u>Denominator</u>: Leavers to PH per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	TH-RRH/RRH projects will be ranked in order of lowest to highest cost per household. Points will be awarded in quartiles (25% of projects in group): ● Top 25% of projects with lowest cost/HH = 3 points ● Second quartile of projects (26-50%) = 2 points ● Third quartile (51-75%) = 1 point ● Bottom 25% of projects with highest cost per HH = 0 points

16b	**PSH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per household for Positive Retention and Positive Exit ● <u>PSH</u> – Average cost per household that remains in PSH or exits to other Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects Objective	Numerator: Services + admin line items from GIW Denominator: Stayers + Leavers to other PH per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	PSH projects will be ranked in order of lowest to highest cost per household. Points will be awarded in quartiles (25% of projects in group): ● Top 25% of projects with lowest cost/HH = 3 points ● Second quartile of projects (26-50%) = 2 points ● Third quartile (51-75%) = 1 point ● Bottom 25% of projects with highest cost per HH = 0 points
17	**HUD Monitoring:** Disposition of HUD Monitoring and Findings ● Any findings during monitoring should be resolved within the HUD timeline identified. Objective	Letter verifying no monitoring; If monitored, evidence of no outstanding findings	● No monitoring within the last two years, or monitored with no outstanding issues = 0 points ● Project monitored and has unresolved findings = - 5 points

HUD Priorities = 23 points

18a	**Severity of Need/Health Conditions:** Percent of participants with 1+ physical and/or mental health conditions Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database Severity of need, Objective	Severity table

Table for 18a:

Points	PSH	RRH/TH-RRH/SSO
.5	50-64%	10-15%
1	65-79%	20-24%
1.5	80%-94%	25-29%
2	95%+	30%+

18b	**Severity of Need/Zero Income at Entry:** Percent of adult participants with zero income at program entry Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database Severity of need, Objective	• 40%+ program participants with zero income at program entry = 2 points • 25-39% participants entered w/ zero income = 1 point
18c	**Severity of Need/Unsheltered:** Percent of adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at entry Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database Severity of need, Objective	• 35%+ adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at program entry = 2 points • 25-34% adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at program entry= 1 point
18d	**Severity of Need/Age:** Percent of adult participants who are youth age 24 and under or adults age 55+ Severity of need, Objective	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	• 40% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 2 points

			● 25-39% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 1 point
	Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.		
18e	**Severity of Need/Domestic Violence:** Percent of adult participants with history of DV Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database Severity of need, Objective	● 20% adult participants history of DV = 2 points ● 10-19% adult participants history of DV = 1 point
19	**Housing First Approach:** CoC policy requires all CoC-funded projects to operate using a Housing First Approach. **IMPORTANT NOTE TO PROJECTS:** In future scoring rounds, the CoC is considering reviewing organization/project policies and procedures to ensure compliance with a Housing First approach as a part of the CoC NOFA scoring and ranking process. This may include review of policies and	Housing First questionnaire	● 10 points if respond "yes" to the Housing First questionnaire.

	procedures related to participant eligibility, intake/screening policies, non-discrimination, and exit/discharge policies. *The CoC recommends that all CoC funded organizations/projects review their policies and procedures to ensure that they are aligned with a Housing First approach, using the Housing First questionnaire as a guide.*		
20	**Equity: Non Discrimination Policy** • Grantee submits organization non-discrimination policy. • Note: The CoC approved a non-discrimination policy in October 2020, which included a requirement that CoC funded agencies adopt an organizational non-discrimination policy. This policy and requirement was presented at the October 2020 full CoC meeting.	Renewal Summary Form	● Policy Submitted= 2 points

21	**Equity: Disaggregating data to examine potential disparities**
	
	• **Narrative:**
	    ○ Has your program disaggregated data by race/ethnicity/gender/household type/etc. to examine potential disparities within your program? If yes, describe your process, what you learned, and any goals you're working on as a result of the process?
	    ○ If not, does your program have a plan for doing so within the next year? If so, please explain your plan and timeline?
	    ○ *Non-scored question: What support, if any, does your program need to analyze your data for potential disparities?*
	
	Example of disaggregating data could include reviewing program data for disparities across different groups of people (breaking out client data or "disaggregating" data by race, ethnicity, gender, household type, etc), which could include looking at:
	    ○ data on who is admitted to the program
	    ○ data on program exit outcomes
	    ○ data on outcomes such as increasing income
	    ○ client feedback surveys (if applicable)
	    ○ client case reviews
		Renewal Summary Form	● Narrative Submitted = 1 point

CoC Participation = 20 points

22	**RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership:** Participation in RHAB meetings and volunteer participation with CoC Committees and Sub-Committees ● RHAB Participation: Full participation in RHAB is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC. ● CoC Leadership: The CoC frequently requests volunteer participation with various Committees and Sub-Committees.	RHAB Secretary, Committee/ Sub-committee Chair, CoC Staff; Renewal Summary Form	**Maximum points = 10** RHAB Participation: % of 10 points, based on % of RHAB meetings attended ● Lehigh Valley: because RHAB meetings are held quarterly, participation in RHAB subcommittee meetings will also be included in this calculation. CoC Leadership: ● If less than 10 points were awarded for RHAB participation, 1 additional point will be awarded for each Committee/ Sub-Committee meeting attended, up to 3 points. (Total combined points not to exceed 10.) BONUS OPPORTUNITY: If 10 points were awarded for RHAB participation and a representative from the organization also participated on a Committee/Sub-Committee, one bonus point will be added.
23	**CoC Meeting Attendance:** Full participation in CoC is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC.	CoC registration/ attendance sheets; Renewal Summary Form	4 points total ● 2 points awarded for attending October 2020 CoC meeting ● 2 points awarded for attending April 2021 CoC meeting.
24	**CoC Webinars and Trainings:** Full participation/attendance in webinar and training opportunities is expected of all CoC funded organizations	CoC training attendance records	Attendance at CoC training events is expected of organizations receiving CoC funding.

			- Points awarded for each training attended –6 total points Required trainings included: - Domestic Violence Best Practices – **1 point** 12/18/20 webinar - Fair Housing Training – **1 point** 4/13/21 Webinar - Case Management Training part 1 – **1 point** 5/6/21 webinar (best practices in case management) - Case Management Training part 2 – **1 point** 5/18/21 webinar (trauma informed practices) - Case Management Training part 3 – **1 point** 6/3/21 webinar (motivational interviewing) - Case Management Training part 4 – **1 point** 6/15/21 webinar (challenges in case management)
25 (not scored in 2022)	**Coordinated Entry Participation:** % of enrollments pulled from By Name List	TBD	Not scored in 2022. Funding Committee will determine mechanism for scoring this in future funding rounds when possible with data available in ClientTrack 19.
26 (Bonus)	Full **HMIS participation** within organizations receiving CoC funding OR partnering with another organization/program to enter their data into HMIS	RSF and HMIS	HMIS participation among homeless assistance programs (not receiving ESG, CoC, SSVF, PATH, RHY funding)

			operated by your organization, or partnering with another organization/program to enter their data into HMIS= 0.5 point (maximum)
27	**Late Submission (Penalty)** if required CoC Renewal Scoring Documents are submitted after deadline (unless provided an extension due to extenuating circumstances) If a grantee needs to request a submission extension due to extenuating circumstances, this must be requested via email (to CoC email address) at least 2 business days in advance of deadline. Extensions will be reviewed and approved by the Funding Committee Chair. **No extensions will be provided for submissions greater than 5 days after the stated deadline**. Grantees are strongly encouraged to work ahead of the deadline to avoid the need to request an extension.	Review of Survey Submission Date	-2 point penalty for late submission of documents (late submission = any submission after the specific time/date of stated deadline) ***No renewal scoring documents will be accepted later than 5 days after the stated deadline.***

Total Point Scale:
- PSH/RRH/TH-RRH – 100 points
- SSO – 95 points (SSO score will be converted to a 100-point scale)

East 2022 CoC NOFA Renewal Projects
Project Scoring Summary - Points

<u>Scoring explanation:</u>
-Projects are scored on a 100-point scale. However, not all projects were able to be scored on all criteria. Criteria for which agencies cannot be scored are removed from the project's base score. The number of points the project is eligible for is shown in the "Adjusted Base" column.
-The number of points the project earned are shown in the "Total Points Earned" column.
-The project's "score" is shown in the "FY22 Score" column. The formula used is: Total Points Earned / Adjusted Base = FY21 Score
-Abbreviations/Terms Used:
   N/S = Not Scored - this is used for criteria for which there is no available data for scoring.
   N/A = Not Applicable - this is used for criteria which are not applicable to the project as described in the Scoring Standards.
   No Entries = this is used when projects had no entries during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored
   No Exits = this is used when projects had no exits during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored
   None Req'd = this is used when there were no annual assessments required during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored

Grant #	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	FY22 Score	Adjusted Base	Total Points Earned	Exit to PH Destination - RRH and TH-RRH (1a)	Exit to PH Destination - SSO (1b)	Exit to PH Destination -PSH (1c)	Returns (2)	Projects Dedicated to DV (Narrative) (3)
PA0176_PA0810	PSH	Housing Transitions, Inc.	PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments & PA0810 - Nittany House Apartments II	79.5	100	79.5	N/A	N/A	4.0	2.0	N/A
PA0177	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program	88.2	98	86.4	N/A	N/A	10.0	N/A	N/A
PA0182	PSH	County of Franklin	PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project	81.2	90.5	73.5	N/A	N/A	10.0	N/A	N/A
PA0205	PSH	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0205-Crossroads Family	74.1	96.5	71.5	N/A	N/A	10.0	2.0	N/A
PA0206	PSH	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0206-Crossroads Individual	82.4	96.5	79.5	N/A	N/A	10.0	2.0	N/A
PA0211	PSH	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0211-LV ACT Housing Supports	67.0	100	67.0	N/A	N/A	10.0	2.0	N/A
PA0212	PSH	Northampton County Housing Authority	PA0212 NCHA S+C	83.0	100	83.0	N/A	N/A	10.0	2.0	N/A
PA0213	SSO	The Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless	86.5	78	67.5	N/A	No Exits	N/A	N/S	N/A
PA0214	PSH	Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc	PA0214- Pathfinders PSH	78.9	97	76.5	N/A	N/A	4.0	N/A	N/A
PA0215	PSH	Lehigh County Housing Authority	PA0215 LCHA S+C	85.6	90.5	77.5	N/A	N/A	10.0	N/A	N/A
PA0216	PSH	Valley Housing Development Corporation	PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3	79.5	100	79.5	N/A	N/A	2.0	2.0	N/A
PA0219	PSH	Housing Authority of Monroe County	PA0219 - Shelter Plus Care MC	57.5	96.5	55.5	N/A	N/A	4.0	2.0	N/A
PA0222	PSH	The Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation	80.8	96.5	78.0	N/A	N/A	7.0	2.0	N/A
PA0360_PA0481	PSH	Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation	PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application & PA0481 Schoolhouse	92.5	100	92.5	N/A	N/A	10.0	2.0	N/A
PA0366	RRH	Tableland Services, Inc.	PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project	69.5	98.5	68.5	0.0	N/A	N/A	2.0	N/A
PA0372	RRH	Blair County Community Action Program	PA0372 - Blair County Community Action Program-Rapid Re-Housing Program	81.0	100	81.0	10.0	N/A	N/A	2.0	N/A
PA0384	PSH	Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	PA0384 HDC SHP 3	75.5	98	74.0	N/A	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A
PA0386	PSH	Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing	88.5	100	88.5	N/A	N/A	10.0	2.0	N/A
PA0447	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0447 - PSH Consolidated	74.4	100	74.4	N/A	N/A	4.0	2.0	N/A
PA0449	PSH	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus Expansion	79.1	91	72.0	N/A	N/A	10.0	2.0	N/A
PA0450	PSH	Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP	75.0	100	75.0	N/A	N/A	2.0	2.0	N/A
PA0512	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	Safe Harbour Shelter + Care	83.4	100	83.4	N/A	N/A	0.0	2.0	N/A
PA0514	RRH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing	91.9	100	91.9	10.0	N/A	N/A	2.0	N/A
PA0519	PSH	Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0519-PSHP Pike County	85.1	94	80.0	N/A	N/A	10.0	N/A	N/A
PA0553	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0553 - Carlisle Supportive Housing Program	89.9	100	89.9	N/A	N/A	10.0	2.0	N/A
PA0582	PSH	Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	PA0582 HDC SHP 6 2019	93.0	100	93.0	N/A	N/A	10.0	2.0	N/A
PA0634	PSH	The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program	90.0	100	90.0	N/A	N/A	10.0	2.0	N/A
PA0647	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0647 - Shelter + Care Chronic	74.9	100	74.9	N/A	N/A	0.0	2.0	N/A
PA0649	PSH	County of Franklin	PA 0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project	87.1	97	84.5	N/A	N/A	10.0	N/A	N/A
PA0655	PSH	The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project	88.7	93	82.5	N/A	N/A	10.0	N/A	N/A

East 2022 CoC NOI
Project Scoring Su

Scoring explanatio
-Projects are score
project's base scor
-The number of po
-The project's "sco
-Abbreviations/Te
  N/S = Not Score
  N/A = Not Appli
  No Entries = this
  No Exits = this is
  None Req'd = th

Grant #	Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Residential Move In (not scored in 2020)	Income Growth - Increase Total Income	Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits	Participants Connected to Health Insurance	High Quality Data Entry - PII Name (ADJUSTED)	High Quality Data Entry - PII SSN (ADJUSTED)	High Quality Data Entry - PII Date of Birth (ADJUSTED)	High Quality Data Entry - PII Race (Adjusted)	High Quality Data Entry - PII Ethnicity (ADJUSTED)	High Quality Data Entry - PII Gender (ADJUSTED)	High Quality Data Entry- Destination	High Quality Data Entry-Income and Sources at Entry	High Quality Data Entry-Income & Sources at Annual Assessment	High Quality Data Entry-Income and Sources at Exit	Timeliness-Percent project entry records entered w/in specified benchmark	Timeliness-Percent project exit records entered w/in specified benchmark	Project Participant Eligibility	Utilization Rate	Quarterly Draws	Funds Expended (% Expended)	Timely APR Submission	Cost Per HH	Cost Per HH Pos Exit	HUD Monitoring	Severity of Need - Health Conditions	Severity of Need - Zero Income at Entry	Severity of Need - Unsheltered	Severity of Need - Age
	4	5	6	7	8a	8b	8c	8d	8e	8f	8g	8h	8i	8j	9a	9b	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18a	18b	18c	18d
PA0176_PA0810	1.0	10.0	1.5	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	1.0
PA0177	1.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	0.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0
PA0182	N/A	6.0	1.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	No Exits	No Entries	0.0	No Exits	No Entries	No Exits	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	1.0
PA0205	N/A	10.0	1.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	No Entries	1.5	1.5	No Entries	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	2.0	0.0	0.0
PA0206	N/A	10.0	1.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	No Entries	0.0	1.5	No Entries	1.0	1.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
PA0211	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.0
PA0212	0.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.0
PA0213	N/A	6.0	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	No Exits	0.0	0.0	No Exits	1.0	No Exits	2.0	N/A	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	N/A	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
PA0214	N/A	10.0	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	2.0	0.0
PA0215	N/A	10.0	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	No Exits	No Entries	0.0	No Exits	No Entries	No Exits	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	2.0	2.0
PA0216	1.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.0
PA0219	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	No Entries	0.0	0.0	No Entries	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	1.0
PA0222	N/A	8.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	No Entries	0.0	1.5	No Entries	0.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	1.0	1.0
PA0360_PA0481	1.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0
PA0366	1.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.0	None Req'd	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0
PA0372	1.0	6.0	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
PA0384	1.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.5	4.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.5	2.0	0.0	2.0
PA0386	1.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	4.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0
PA0447	1.0	10.0	1.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.5	1.0	0.0	1.0
PA0449	1.0	4.0	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
PA0450	0.0	8.0	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.5	4.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
PA0512	1.0	8.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0
PA0514	1.0	10.0	1.5	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
PA0519	1.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	No Exits	1.5	1.5	No Exits	1.0	No Exits	2.0	1.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0
PA0553	1.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.5	4.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	2.0	2.0
PA0582	1.0	10.0	1.5	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.0	1.5	4.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	2.0
PA0634	1.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0
PA0647	1.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.5	4.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	2.0
PA0649	N/A	10.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.0	1.5	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	2.0
PA0655	N/A	10.0	1.5	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	No Exits	1.5	1.5	No Exits	0.0	No Exits	2.0	2.0	4.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	2.0

East 2022 CoC NOI

Project Scoring Su

Scoring explanatic

-Projects are score

project's base scor

-The number of po

-The project's "sco

-Abbreviations/Te

   N/S = Not Score

   N/A = Not Appli

   No Entries = this

   No Exits = this is

   None Req'd = th

Grant #	Severity of Need - Domestic Violence	Housing First Approach	Equity - Non Discrimination Policy	Equity - Narrative	RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership (max 10 points)	CoC Meeting Attendance	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: DV Best Practices	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Fair Housing	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 1	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 2	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 3	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 4	CE Participation	Full HMIS Participation	Late Penalty
	18e	19	20	21	22	23	24a	24b	24c	24d	24e	24f	25	26 (Bonus)	27
PA0176_PA0810	0.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	10.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0177	1.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	9.9	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0182	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0205	0.0	10.0	2.0	0.5	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0206	1.0	10.0	2.0	0.5	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	-2
PA0211	0.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0212	0.0	10.0	2.0	0.5	8.5	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0213	0.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0214	0.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0215	1.0	10.0	2.0	0.5	8.5	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0216	1.0	10.0	2.0	0.5	8.5	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0219	0.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	-2
PA0222	0.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0360_PA0481	0.0	10.0	2.0	0.5	10.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0366	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	10.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.5	-2
PA0372	2.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	-2
PA0384	1.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	10.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0386	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	10.0	4.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0447	0.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	9.9	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0449	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.5	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0450	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	10.0	4.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0512	1.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	9.9	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0514	2.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	9.9	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0519	0.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	10.0	4.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0553	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	9.9	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0582	1.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	10.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0634	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0647	0.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	9.9	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0649	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0655	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.5	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.5	0

East 2022 CoC NOFA Renewal Projects
Project Scoring Summary - Points

Scoring explanation:
-Projects are scored on a 100-point scale. However, not all projects were able to be scored on all criteria. Criteria for which agencies cannot be scored are removed from the project's base score. The number of points the project is eligible for is shown in the "Adjusted Base" column.
-The number of points the project earned are shown in the "Total Points Earned" column.
-The project's "score" is shown in the "FY22 Score" column. The formula used is: Total Points Earned / Adjusted Base = FY21 Score
-Abbreviations/Terms Used:
  N/S = Not Scored - this is used for criteria for which there is no available data for scoring.
  N/A = Not Applicable - this is used for criteria which are not applicable to the project as described in the Scoring Standards.
  No Entries = this is used when projects had no entries during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored
  No Exits = this is used when projects had no exits during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored
  None Req'd = this is used when there were no annual assessments required during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored

Grant #	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	FY22 Score	Adjusted Base	Total Points Earned	Exit to PH Destination - RRH and TH-RRH 1a	Exit to PH Destination - SSO 1b	Exit to PH Destination -PSH 1c	Returns 2	Projects Dedicated to DV (Narrative) 3
PA0661	RRH	Center for Community Action	PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH	96.4	98.5	95.0	10.0	N/A	N/A	2.0	N/A
PA0705	PSH	Tableland Services, Inc.	PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities (expansion)	65.5	100	65.5	N/A	N/A	2.0	2.0	N/A
PA0808	RRH	Valley Youth House Committee, Inc.	PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families	67.5	100	67.5	4.0	N/A	N/A	2.0	N/A
PA0811	RRH	Third Street Alliance for Women & Children	PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program Expansion_C	57.4	98.5	56.5	0.0	N/A	N/A	2.0	N/A
PA0812	RRH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon	85.7	98.5	84.4	10.0	N/A	N/A	2.0	N/A
PA0813	RRH	Center for Community Action	PA0813 South Central PA RRH	88.8	98.5	87.5	10.0	N/A	N/A	2.0	N/A
PA0814	RRH	Centre County Government	PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program	92.0	100	92.0	7.0	N/A	N/A	2.0	N/A
PA0859	RRH	Transitions of PA	PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims	84.8	98.5	83.5	10.0	N/A	N/A	N/S	2.0
PA0883	TH-RRH	Wayne County	PA0883 - Wayne Combined TH/RRH Project	76.6	98.5	75.5	7.0	N/A	N/A	2.0	N/A
PA0886	RRH	Young Women's Christian Association	PA0886- Liberty Options RRH	84.0	100	84.0	7.0	N/A	N/A	N/S	2.0
PA0887	TH-RRH	Valley Youth House Committee, Inc.	PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth	67.5	98.5	66.5	2.0	N/A	N/A	2.0	N/A
PA0926	RRH	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	PA0926 - East CoC DV RRH	64.0	100	64.0	4.0	N/A	N/A	N/S	2.0

Not Scored - First-Time Renewal or Not Operational Entire Lookback Period											
PA0966	RRH	Clinton County Housing Coalition, Inc.	CCHC Regional Rapid Rehousing								
PA0967	RRH	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Northeast Regional DV RRH								

NOT SCORED/RECIPIENT TRANSFERS											
PA0445	PSH	Transitions of PA	PA0445 Lycoming/Clinton Renewal (transfer)								
PA0708	PSH	Transitions of PA	PA0708-Crossroads Schuylkill Co. Permanent Supportive Housing (transfer)								
PA0809	RRH	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	PA0809-Huntingdon House Rapid Rehousing Program (transfer)								
PA0888	RRH	SAM, Inc.	Rapid Re-Housing Franklin County (transfer)								

Projects Not Scored - CE or HMIS (infrastructure projects)											
PA0927	SSO-CE	Transitions of PA	PA0927-Coordinated Entry Specialist for Domestic Violence								
PA0736	SSO-CE	Housing Alliance of Pennsylvania	PA0736 - Connect To Home Coordinated Entry Project FY 2019								
PA0188	HMIS	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	PA0188 Commonwealth of PA HMIS (PA-509) FY2019								

East 2022 CoC NO
Project Scoring Su

Scoring explanatio
-Projects are score
project's base scor
-The number of po
-The project's "sco
-Abbreviations/Ter
  N/S = Not Score
  N/A = Not Appli
  No Entries = this
  No Exits = this is
  None Req'd = th

Grant #	4	5	6	7	8a	8b	8c	8d	8e	8f	8g	8h	8i	8j	9a	9b	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18a	18b	18c	18d
PA0661	1.0	10.0	1.5	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	None Req'd	1.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	0.5	2.0	2.0	1.0
PA0705	1.0	4.0	1.5	1.0	0.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	1.0	1.0
PA0808	0.0	6.0	1.5	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	4.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	1.0	1.0
PA0811	0.0	6.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.0	None Req'd	0.0	1.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
PA0812	1.0	10.0	1.5	2.0	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	None Req'd	1.5	1.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	2.0	2.0
PA0813	1.0	8.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	None Req'd	1.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.5	4.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	2.0	1.0
PA0814	1.0	10.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.0
PA0859	0.0	8.0	2.0	2.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	None Req'd	1.5	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
PA0883	0.0	6.0	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	None Req'd	1.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	3.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	0.0	0.0
PA0886	0.0	10.0	0.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.0	1.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	3.0	0.0	2.0	3.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	1.0
PA0887	0.0	6.0	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	0.0	None Req'd	0.0	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.0	4.0	1.0	2.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	1.0	2.0
PA0926	0.0	0.0	2.0	0.0	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	0.0	1.5	1.5	0.0	0.0	2.0	2.0	4.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	1.0	0.0	0.0

Not Scored - First-
PA0966
PA0967

NOT SCORED/RE C
PA0445
PA0708
PA0809
PA0888

Projects Not Score
PA0927
PA0736
PA0188

East 2022 CoC NOI

Project Scoring Su

Scoring explanatio

-Projects are score

project's base scor

-The number of po

-The project's "sco

-Abbreviations/Te

   N/S = Not Score

   N/A = Not Appli

   No Entries = this

   No Exits = this is

   None Req'd = th

Grant #	Severity of Need - Domestic Violence	Housing First Approach	Equity - Non Discrimination Policy	Equity - Narrative	RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership (max 10 points)	CoC Meeting Attendance	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: DV Best Practices	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Fair Housing	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 1	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 2	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 3	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 4	CE Participation	Full HMIS Participation	Late Penalty
	18e	19	20	21	22	23	24a	24b	24c	24d	24e	24f	25	26 (Bonus)	27
PA0661	2.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.5	0
PA0705	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	10.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.5	0
PA0808	2.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.5	0
PA0811	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.5	0
PA0812	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.0	9.9	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0813	1.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.5	0
PA0814	2.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0859	2.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0883	2.0	10.0	2.0	0.5	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0886	2.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0
PA0887	2.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.5	0
PA0926	2.0	10.0	2.0	1.0	11.0	4.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	N/S	0.0	0

Not Scored - First-

PA0966
PA0967

NOT SCORED/REC

PA0445
PA0708
PA0809
PA0888

Projects Not Score

PA0927
PA0736
PA0188

East 2022 CoC NOFA Renewal Projects

<mark>**Project Scoring Summary - Performance**</mark>

Notes:

-Abbreviations/Terms Used:

   N/S = Not Scored - this is used for criteria for which there is no available data for scoring.

   N/A = Not Applicable - this is used for criteria which are not applicable to the project as described in the Scoring Criteria.

   NP = Not Provided - this is used for criteria where required data was not submitted by grantee by due date and criteria was not able to be scored.

   No Entries = this is used when projects had no entries during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored

   No Exits = this is used when projects had no exits during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored

   None Req'd = this is used when there were no annual assessments required during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored

   No Move Ins = this is used when projects had no move-ins during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored

Grant #	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	Exit to PH Destination - RRH and TH-RRH 1a	Exit to PH Destination - SSO 1b	Exit to PH Destination -PSH 1c	Returns 2	Projects Dedicated to DV - Narrative 3	Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Residential Move In (% of participants moved in within 30 days) 4	Income Growth - Increase Total Income 5
PA0176_PA0810	PSH	Housing Transitions, Inc.	PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments & PA0810 - Nittany House Apartments II	N/A	N/A	94.4%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	62.5%
PA0177	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program	N/A	N/A	100.0%	No Exits	N/A	100.0%	100.0%
PA0182	PSH	County of Franklin	PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project	N/A	N/A	100.0%	No Exits	N/A	No Move Ins	39.1%
PA0205	PSH	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0205-Crossroads Family	N/A	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	No Move Ins	57.1%
PA0206	PSH	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0206-Crossroads Individual	N/A	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	No Move Ins	63.6%
PA0211	PSH	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0211-LV ACT Housing Supports	N/A	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	33.3%	31.6%
PA0212	PSH	Northampton County Housing Authority	PA0212 NCHA S+C	N/A	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	50.0%	83.3%
PA0213	SSO	The Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless	N/A	No Exits	N/A	N/S	N/A	N/A	34.3%
PA0214	PSH	Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc	PA0214- Pathfinders PSH	N/A	N/A	95.8%	No Exits	N/A	No Move Ins	71.4%
PA0215	PSH	Lehigh County Housing Authority	PA0215 LCHA S+C	N/A	N/A	100.0%	No Exits	N/A	No Move Ins	76.9%
PA0216	PSH	Valley Housing Development Corporation	PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3	N/A	N/A	91.5%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	88.9%
PA0219	PSH	Housing Authority of Monroe County	PA0219 - Shelter Plus Care MC	N/A	N/A	95.8%	0.0%	N/A	No Move Ins	5.6%
PA0222	PSH	The Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation	N/A	N/A	96.9%	0.0%	N/A	No Move Ins	46.3%
PA0360_PA0481	PSH	Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation	PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application & PA0481 Schoolhous	N/A	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	90.9%
PA0366	RRH	Tableland Services, Inc.	PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project	69.0%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	26.3%
PA0372	RRH	Blair County Community Action Program	PA0372 - Blair County Community Action Program-Rapid Re-Housing Program	100.0%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	91.9%	30.3%
PA0384	PSH	Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	PA0384 HDC SHP 3	N/A	N/A	86.2%	No Exits	N/A	100.0%	66.7%
PA0386	PSH	Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing	N/A	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	71.4%
PA0447	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0447 - PSH Consolidated	N/A	N/A	93.2%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	51.4%
PA0449	PSH	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus Expansion	N/A	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	25.0%
PA0450	PSH	Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP	N/A	N/A	90.0%	0.0%	N/A	33.3%	44.4%
PA0512	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	Safe Harbour Shelter + Care	N/A	N/A	87.5%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	42.9%
PA0514	RRH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing	100.0%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	56.3%
PA0519	PSH	Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0519-PSHP Pike County	N/A	N/A	100.0%	No Exits	N/A	100.0%	60.0%
PA0553	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0553 - Carlisle Supportive Housing Program	N/A	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	100.0%
PA0582	PSH	Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	PA0582 HDC SHP 6 2019	N/A	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	75.0%
PA0634	PSH	The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program	N/A	N/A	100.0%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	100.0%
PA0647	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0647 - Shelter + Care Chronic	N/A	N/A	89.3%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	65.2%
PA0649	PSH	County of Franklin	PA 0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project	N/A	N/A	100.0%	No Exits	N/A	No Move Ins	100.0%
PA0655	PSH	The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project	N/A	N/A	100.0%	No Exits	N/A	No Move Ins	50.0%
PA0661	RRH	Center for Community Action	PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH	100.0%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	52.6%

East 2022 CoC NO[...]
Project Scoring Su[...]

Notes:
-Abbreviations/Te[...]
  N/S = Not Score[...]
  N/A = Not Appl[...]
  NP = Not Provi[...]
  No Entries = thi[...]
  No Exits = this i[...]
  None Req'd = t[...]
  No Move Ins = [...]

Column legend:

- **6** — Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits
- **7** — Participants Connected to Health Insurance
- **8a** — High Quality Data Entry - PII Name- % Error Rate
- **8b** — High Quality Data Entry - PII SSN- % Error Rate
- **8c** — High Quality Data Entry - PII Date of Birth- % Error Rate
- **8d** — High Quality Data Entry - PII Race- % Error Rate
- **8e** — High Quality Data Entry - PII Ethnicity- % Error Rate
- **8f** — High Quality Data Entry - PII Gender- % Error Rate
- **8g** — High Quality Data Entry- Destination (% Error Rate)
- **8h** — High Quality Data Entry- Income and Sources at Entry (% Error Rate)
- **8i** — High Quality Data Entry- Income & Sources at Annual Assessment (% Error Rate)
- **8j** — High Quality Data Entry- Income and Sources at Exit (% Error Rate)
- **9a** — Timeliness-Percent project entry records entered w/in specified benchmark
- **9b** — Timeliness-Percent project exit records entered w/in specified benchmark
- **10** — Project Participant Eligibility
- **11** — Utilization Rate - Final (3 year average if under 85% and less than 10 units)
- **12** — Quarterly Draws
- **13** — Funds Expended (% Expended)
- **14** — Timely APR Submission
- **15** — Cost Per HH
- **16** — Cost Per HH Pos Exit
- **17** — HUD Monitoring
- **18a** — Severity of Need - Health Conditions

Grant #	6	7	8a	8b	8c	8d	8e	8f	8g	8h	8i	8j	9a	9b	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18a
PA0176_PA0810	68.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	103.3%	Yes	94%	Yes	$3,448	$3,664	N/A	100.0%
PA0177	80.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	90.0%	No	100%	Yes	$3,458	$4,149	N/A	100.0%
PA0182	60.9%	65.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	No Exits	No Entries	30.4%	No Exits	No Entries	No Exits	96.0%	95.7%	Yes	82%	No	$1,825	$1,825	N/A	100.0%
PA0205	53.8%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	No Entries	0.0%	0.0%	No Entries	80.0%	79.0%	66.7%	No	87%	Yes	$12,082	$12,082	N/A	53.8%
PA0206	59.1%	95.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%		No Entries	5.0%	0.0%	No Entries	100.0%	91.0%	80.8%	Yes	81%	Yes	$4,582	$4,801	N/A	100.0%
PA0211	31.6%	31.6%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	4.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	56.3%	75.0%	100.0%	75.0%	92.0%	87.5%	No	85%	Yes	$504	$504	N/A	91.7%
PA0212	83.3%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	93.0%	95.0%	Yes	68%	Yes	$567	$567	N/A	93.3%
PA0213	80.0%	91.4%	0.0%	2.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	No Exits	8.3%	5.7%	No Exits	100.0%	No Exits	100.0%	N/A	Yes	100%	Yes	$2,710	N/A	N/A	100.0%
PA0214	76.2%	91.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	137.5%	Yes	100%	Yes	$9,538	$10,040	N/A	87.5%
PA0215	80.8%	93.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	No Exits	No Entries	7.7%	No Exits	No Entries	No Exits	96.0%	113.6%	Yes	86%	No	$471	$471	N/A	90.0%
PA0216	91.7%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	20.0%	97.0%	102.9%	Yes	80%	Yes	$537	$602	N/A	85.1%
PA0219	5.6%	29.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.2%	50.0%	No Entries	93.8%	50.0%	No Entries	0.0%	78.0%	71.7%	Yes	49%	Yes	$997	$1,097	N/A	66.7%
PA0222	70.7%	96.9%	0.0%	0.8%	0.0%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	No Entries	5.1%	0.0%	No Entries	0.0%	100.0%	103.5%	Yes	100%	Yes	$2,432	$2,584	N/A	60.5%
PA0360_PA0481	95.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	88.5%	Yes	100%	PA0481	$1,257	$1,421	N/A	100.0%
PA0366	55.6%	86.2%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	16.1%	None Req'd	10.0%	87.5%	82.8%	80.0%	100.0%	Yes	100%	Yes	$2,405	$5,010	N/A	68.2%
PA0372	71.6%	89.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.1%	100.0%	0.0%	24.5%	41.1%	99.0%	56.9%	Yes	98%	Yes	$2,941	$6,271	N/A	53.2%
PA0384	93.3%	96.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	83.3%	100.0%	83.0%	90.0%	Yes	86%	Yes	$2,716	$3,259	N/A	56.7%
PA0386	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	93.8%	Yes	93%	Yes	$4,023	$4,023	N/A	100.0%
PA0447	60.0%	72.9%	0.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	10.3%	22.2%	88.2%	100.0%	77.0%	105.2%	Yes	95%	Yes	$1,379	$1,428	N/A	52.7%
PA0449	71.4%	90.0%	71.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	91.0%	43.8%	N/A	N/A	N/A	$6,819	$6,819	N/A	71.4%
PA0450	75.0%	88.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	94.4%	Yes	94%	Yes	$2,711	$3,012	N/A	100.0%
PA0512	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.8%	Yes	100%	Yes	$429	$381	N/A	100.0%
PA0514	62.5%	93.3%	0.0%	10.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	61.1%	100.0%	126.9%	Yes	80%	Yes	$1,254	$3,902	N/A	36.4%
PA0519	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	No Exits	0.0%	0.0%	No Exits	100.0%	No Exits	100.0%	87.5%	Yes	89%	Yes	$3,094	$3,094	N/A	100.0%
PA0553	100.0%	100.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	80.0%	93.8%	Yes	94%	Yes	$1,263	$1,263	N/A	83.3%
PA0582	62.5%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	93.2%	Yes	90%	Yes	$2,030	$2,638	N/A	67.9%
PA0634	80.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	66.7%	50.0%	100.0%	95.8%	Yes	100%	Yes	$6,334	$7,239	N/A	100.0%
PA0647	78.3%	88.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	3.7%	7.7%	0.0%	80.0%	91.7%	93.0%	90.0%	Yes	86%	Yes	$1,000	$1,130	N/A	100.0%
PA0649	75.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	30.0%	Yes	26%	No	$1,569	$2,092	N/A	100.0%
PA0655	66.7%	83.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	No Exits	0.0%	0.0%	No Exits	0.0%	No Exits	100.0%	120.0%	Yes	98%	Yes	$3,167	$3,167	N/A	100.0%
PA0661	61.1%	94.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	None Req'd	0.0%	20.0%	56.8%	100.0%	100.0%	Yes	100%	Yes	$1,422	$1,778	N/A	18.0%

East 2022 CoC NO
Project Scoring Su

Notes:
-Abbreviations/Te
  N/S = Not Score
  N/A = Not Appl
  NP = Not Provic
  No Entries = thi
  No Exits = this i
  None Req'd = tl
  No Move Ins = t

Grant #	Severity of Need - Zero Income at Entry	Severity of Need - Unsheltered	Severity of Need - Age	Severity of Need - Domestic Violence	Housing First Approach	Equity - Non Discrimination Policy Submitted	Equity - Narrative Submitted	RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership (points based on % of RHAB meetings attended)	RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership (Number of Committees)- Points applied if less than 10 for RHAB Participation; 1 bonus point if 100% RHAB participation and at least 1 committee	CoC Meeting Attendance	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: DV Best Practices	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Fair Housing	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 1	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 2	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 3	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 4	Coordinated Entry Participation	Full HMIS Participation	Late Submission Penalty
	18b	18c	18d	18e	19	20	21	22a	22b	23	24a	24b	24c	24d	24e	24f	25	26 (Bonus)	27
PA0176_PA0810	16.7%	22.2%	33.3%	0.0%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	0	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0177	16.7%	50.0%	83.3%	16.7%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	89%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0182	30.4%	73.9%	34.8%	21.7%	Yes	Yes	No Points	100%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0205	42.9%	21.4%	21.4%	0.0%	Yes	Yes	Partial Points	100%	4	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0206	50.0%	50.0%	45.5%	13.6%	Yes	Yes	Partial Points	100%	4	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	Yes
PA0211	34.8%	29.2%	50.0%	8.3%	Yes	Yes	No Points	100%	4	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	N/S	No	No
PA0212	21.4%	21.4%	35.7%	7.1%	Yes	Yes	Partial Points	75%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0213	55.6%	91.7%	47.2%	0.0%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0214	14.3%	52.4%	19.0%	4.8%	Yes	Yes	No Points	100%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0215	15.4%	53.8%	61.5%	15.4%	Yes	Yes	Partial Points	75%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0216	8.1%	24.3%	35.1%	18.9%	Yes	Yes	Partial Points	75%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0219	44.4%	11.1%	38.9%	5.6%	Yes	Yes	No Points	100%	4	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	Yes
PA0222	32.5%	33.7%	39.8%	2.4%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0360_PA0481	7.4%	70.4%	66.7%	7.4%	Yes	Yes	Partial Points	100%	0	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0366	53.3%	22.6%	46.7%	41.9%	Yes	Yes	No Points	90%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	Yes	Yes
PA0372	54.2%	54.8%	29.0%	31.6%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	Yes
PA0384	55.6%	5.6%	55.6%	16.7%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	0	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0386	22.2%	55.6%	44.4%	22.2%	Yes	Yes	No Points	100%	0	2 Meetings	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0447	36.4%	22.7%	31.8%	6.8%	Yes	Yes	No Points	89%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0449	36.4%	63.6%	45.5%	27.3%	Yes	Yes	Partial Points	100%	4	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0450	27.3%	36.4%	45.5%	36.4%	Yes	Yes	No Points	100%	0	2 Meetings	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0512	62.5%	25.0%	25.0%	12.5%	Yes	Yes	No Points	89%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0514	48.5%	51.5%	39.4%	60.6%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	89%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0519	50.0%	16.7%	66.7%	0.0%	Yes	Yes	No Points	100%	0	2 Meetings	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0553	20.0%	40.0%	60.0%	20.0%	Yes	Yes	No Points	89%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0582	42.1%	42.1%	52.6%	10.5%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	0	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0634	50.0%	0.0%	62.5%	62.5%	Yes	Yes	No Points	100%	2	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0647	37.0%	29.6%	44.4%	3.7%	Yes	Yes	No Points	89%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0649	40.0%	20.0%	60.0%	40.0%	Yes	Yes	No Points	100%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0655	16.7%	16.7%	50.0%	33.3%	Yes	Yes	Partial Points	100%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	Yes	No
PA0661	58.3%	58.3%	33.3%	29.2%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	Yes	No

East 2022 CoC NOFA Renewal Projects
Project Scoring Summary - Performance

Notes:
-Abbreviations/Terms Used:
   N/S = Not Scored - this is used for criteria for which there is no available data for scoring.
   N/A = Not Applicable - this is used for criteria which are not applicable to the project as described in the Scoring Criteria.
   NP = Not Provided - this is used for criteria where required data was not submitted by grantee by due date and criteria was not able to be scored.
   No Entries = this is used when projects had no entries during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored
   No Exits = this is used when projects had no exits during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored
   None Req'd = this is used when there were no annual assessments required during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored
   No Move Ins = this is used when projects had no move-ins during the lookback period, and the criterion is not scored

Grant #	Project Type	Agency Name	Project Name	Exit to PH Destination - RRH and TH-RRH	Exit to PH Destination - SSO	Exit to PH Destination -PSH	Returns	Projects Dedicated to DV - Narrative	Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Residential Move In (% of participants moved in within 30 days)	Income Growth - Increase Total Income
				1a	1b	1c	2	3	4	5
PA0705	PSH	Tableland Services, Inc.	PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities (expansion)	N/A	N/A	91.3%	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	23.1%
PA0808	RRH	Valley Youth House Committee, Inc.	PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families	86.2%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	26.7%	29.6%
PA0811	RRH	Third Street Alliance for Women & Children	PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program Expansion_	72.2%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	23.8%	31.3%
PA0812	RRH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon	100.0%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	57.1%
PA0813	RRH	Center for Community Action	PA0813 South Central PA RRH	100.0%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	100.0%	40.0%
PA0814	RRH	Centre County Government	PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program	95.2%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	95.8%	67.7%
PA0859	RRH	Transitions of PA	PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims	100.0%	N/A	N/A	N/S	Full Points	51.1%	40.0%
PA0883	TH-RRH	Wayne County	PA0883 - Wayne Combined TH/RRH Project	90.0%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	73.3%	33.3%
PA0886	RRH	Young Women's Christian Association	PA0886- Liberty Options RRH	94.7%	N/A	N/A	N/S	Full Points	67.7%	56.3%
PA0887	TH-RRH	Valley Youth House Committee, Inc.	PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth	78.5%	N/A	N/A	0.0%	N/A	36.4%	34.6%
PA0926	RRH	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	PA0926 - East CoC DV RRH	81.1%	N/A	N/A	N/S	Full Points	73.0%	0.0%

East 2022 CoC NO
Project Scoring Su

Grant #	Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits	Participants Connected to Health Insurance	High Quality Data Entry - PII Name- % Error Rate	High Quality Data Entry - PII SSN- % Error Rate	High Quality Data Entry - PII Date of Birth- % Error Rate	High Quality Data Entry - PII Race- % Error Rate	High Quality Data Entry - PII Ethnicity- % Error Rate	High Quality Data Entry - PII Gender- % Error Rate	High Quality Data Entry- Destination (% Error Rate)	High Quality Data Entry- Income and Sources at Entry (% Error Rate)	High Quality Data Entry- Income & Sources at Annual Assessment (% Error Rate)	High Quality Data Entry- Income and Sources at Exit (% Error Rate)	Timeliness-Percent project entry records entered w/in specified benchmark	Timeliness-Percent project exit records entered w/in specified benchmark	Project Participant Eligibility	Utilization Rate - Final (3 year average if under 85% and less than 10 units)	Quarterly Draws	Funds Expended (% Expended)	Timely APR Submission	Cost Per HH	Cost Per HH Pos Exit	HUD Monitoring	Severity of Need - Health Conditions
	6	7	8a	8b	8c	8d	8e	8f	8g	8h	8i	8j	9a	9b	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18a
PA0705	66.7%	90.9%	0.0%	15.0%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	2.5%	8.3%	23.6%	9.1%	5.6%	83.3%	58.3%	84.0%	80.9%	Yes	100%	Yes	$2,157	$2,274	N/A	77.5%
PA0808	61.5%	87.0%	3.1%	10.0%	0.0%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	15.0%	100.0%	7.4%	64.8%	50.8%	93.0%	86.5%	Yes	94%	Yes	$4,719	$7,078	N/A	27.6%
PA0811	25.8%	50.7%	0.0%	21.4%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	13.0%	None Req'd	12.5%	81.7%	43.1%	93.0%	38.9%	Yes	45%	No	$4,090	$6,135	N/A	28.2%
PA0812	66.7%	100.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	None Req'd	0.0%	100.0%	90.9%	100.0%	17.5%	Yes	76%	Yes	$7,549	$12,581	N/A	42.9%
PA0813	57.8%	92.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	None Req'd	0.0%	33.3%	52.9%	94.0%	91.2%	Yes	65%	Yes	$2,425	$3,686	N/A	43.4%
PA0814	71.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	47.6%	57.1%	100.0%	153.8%	Yes	100%	Yes	$2,141	$3,605	N/A	66.1%
PA0859	100.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	None Req'd	0.0%	33.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	Yes	67%	No	$2,972	$5,520	N/A	82.4%
PA0883	50.0%	90.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	None Req'd	0.0%	72.2%	50.0%	100.0%	93.9%	No	51%	Yes	$2,098	$2,517	N/A	31.8%
PA0886	37.5%	89.7%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%	55.2%	100.0%	100.0%	110.0%	Yes	100%	No	$2,327	$3,258	OK	43.1%
PA0887	51.9%	89.6%	1.7%	12.0%	0.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	None Req'd	5.7%	40.5%	26.6%	92.0%	50.9%	Yes	92%	Yes	$7,021	$8,777	N/A	43.1%
PA0926	128.6%	72.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.5%	2.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	7.2%	100.0%	104.1%	Yes	73%	Yes	$3,665	$8,324	N/A	85.9%

East 2022 CoC NO
Project Scoring Su

Notes:
-Abbreviations/Te
  N/S = Not Score
  N/A = Not Appl
  NP = Not Provid
  No Entries = thi
  No Exits = this i
  None Req'd = t
  No Move Ins =

Grant #	Severity of Need - Zero Income at Entry 18b	Severity of Need - Unsheltered 18c	Severity of Need - Age 18d	Severity of Need - Domestic Violence 18e	Housing First Approach 19	Equity - Non Discrimination Policy Submitted 20	Equity - Narrative Submitted 21	RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership (points based on % of RHAB meetings attended) 22a	RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership (Number of Committees)- Points applied if less than 10 for RHAB Participation; 1 bonus point if 100% RHAB participation and at least 1 committee 22b	CoC Meeting Attendance 23	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: DV Best Practices 24a	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Fair Housing 24b	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 1 24c	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 2 24d	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 3 24e	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management Part 4 24f	Coordinated Entry Participation 25	Full HMIS Participation 26 (Bonus)	Late Submission Penalty 27
PA0705	61.1%	29.1%	26.4%	40.7%	Yes	Yes	No Points	90%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	Yes	No
PA0808	20.5%	27.5%	28.9%	67.5%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	2	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	Yes	No
PA0811	17.4%	15.2%	21.7%	47.8%	Yes	Yes	No Points	100%	3	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	Yes	No
PA0812	30.0%	60.0%	40.0%	20.0%	Yes	Yes	No Points	89%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0813	44.1%	61.8%	35.3%	16.2%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	Yes	No
PA0814	35.0%	15.0%	25.0%	35.0%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0859	40.0%	0.0%	13.3%	100.0%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	3	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0883	75.0%	8.3%	16.7%	50.0%	Yes	Yes	Partial Points	100%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0886	30.8%	3.8%	30.8%	100.0%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	1	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No
PA0887	45.9%	33.8%	94.6%	55.6%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	2	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	Yes	No
PA0926	27.7%	1.4%	14.8%	99.3%	Yes	Yes	Full Points	100%	2	2 Meetings	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/S	No	No

East 2022 CoC NOFA Renewal Projects
<mark>BASE POINTS</mark>

<mark>max point value for each criteria or if criteria is N/A or N/S for individual project (N/S - for example, no leavers).</mark>

Grant #	Project Type	Project Component	Agency Name	Project Name	Total Base Points	1a	1b	1c	2	3
PA0176_PA	PH	PSH	Housing Transitions, Inc.	PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments & PA0810 - Nittany House Apartments II	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0177	PH	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program	98	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A
PA0182	PH	PSH	County of Franklin	PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project	90.5	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A
PA0205	PH	PSH	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0205-Crossroads Family	96.5	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0206	PH	PSH	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0206-Crossroads Individual	96.5	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0211	PH	PSH	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0211-LV ACT Housing Supports	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0212	PH	PSH	Northampton County Housing Authority	PA0212 NCHA S+C	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0213	SSO	SSO	The Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless	78	N/A	N/S	N/A	N/S	N/A
PA0214	PH	PSH	Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc	PA0214- Pathfinders PSH	97	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A
PA0215	PH	PSH	Lehigh County Housing Authority	PA0215 LCHA S+C	90.5	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A
PA0216	PH	PSH	Valley Housing Development Corporation	PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0219	PH	PSH	Housing Authority of Monroe County	PA0219 - Shelter Plus Care MC	96.5	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0222	PH	PSH	The Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation	96.5	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0360_PA	PH	PSH	Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation	PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application & PA0481 Schoolhouse	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0366	PH	RRH	Tableland Services, Inc.	PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project	98.5	10	N/A	N/A	2	N/A
PA0372	PH	RRH	Blair County Community Action Program	PA0372 - Blair County Community Action Program-Rapid Re-Housing Program	100	10	N/A	N/A	2	N/A
PA0384	PH	PSH	Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	PA0384 HDC SHP 3	98	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A
PA0386	PH	PSH	Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0447	PH	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0447 - PSH Consolidated	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0449	PH	PSH	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus Expansion	91	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0450	PH	PSH	Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0512	PH	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	Safe Harbour Shelter + Care	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0514	PH	RRH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing	100	10	N/A	N/A	2	N/A
PA0519	PH	PSH	Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0519-PSHP Pike County	94	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A
PA0553	PH	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0553 - Carlisle Supportive Housing Program	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0582	PH	PSH	Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	PA0582 HDC SHP 6 2019	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0634	PH	PSH	The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0647	PH	PSH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0647 - Shelter + Care Chronic	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0649	PH	PSH	County of Franklin	PA 0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project	97	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A
PA0655	PH	PSH	The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project	93	N/A	N/A	10	N/A	N/A
PA0661	PH	RRH	Center for Community Action	PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH	98.5	10	N/A	N/A	2	N/A
PA0705	PH	PSH	Tableland Services, Inc.	PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities (expansion)	100	N/A	N/A	10	2	N/A
PA0808	PH	RRH	Valley Youth House Committee, Inc.	PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families	100	10	N/A	N/A	2	N/A
PA0811	PH	RRH	Third Street Alliance for Women & Children	PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program Expansion_C	98.5	10	N/A	N/A	2	N/A
PA0812	PH	RRH	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon	98.5	10	N/A	N/A	2	N/A
PA0813	PH	RRH	Center for Community Action	PA0813 South Central PA RRH	98.5	10	N/A	N/A	2	N/A

East 2022 C
BASE POIN

max point v

Grant #	4	5	6	7	8a	8b	8c	8d	8e	8f	8g	8h	8i	8j	9a	9b	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18a	18b	18c	18d	18e
PA0176_PA	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0177	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0182	N/A	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	N/S	N/S	1.5	N/S	N/S	N/S	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0205	N/A	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	N/S	1.5	1.5	N/S	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0206	N/A	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	N/S	1.5	1.5	N/S	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0211	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0212	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0213	N/A	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	N/S	1.5	1.5	N/S	1	N/S	2	N/A	4	3	2	3	N/A	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0214	N/A	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0215	N/A	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	N/S	N/S	1.5	N/S	N/S	N/S	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0216	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0219	N/A	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	N/S	1.5	1.5	N/S	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0222	N/A	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	N/S	1.5	1.5	N/S	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0360_PA	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0366	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	N/S	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0372	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0384	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0386	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0447	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0449	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	N/A	N/A	N/A	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0450	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0512	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0514	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0519	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	N/S	1.5	1.5	N/S	1	N/S	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0553	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0582	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0634	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0647	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0649	N/A	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0655	N/A	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	N/S	1.5	1.5	N/S	1	N/S	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0661	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	N/S	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0705	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0808	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0811	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	N/S	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0812	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	N/S	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0813	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	N/S	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2

East 2022 C
BASE POIN

max point v

Grant #	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
PA0176_PA	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0177	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0182	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0205	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0206	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0211	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0212	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0213	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0214	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0215	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0216	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0219	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0222	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0360_PA	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0366	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0372	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0384	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0386	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0447	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0449	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0450	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0512	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0514	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0519	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0553	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0582	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0634	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0647	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0649	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0655	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0661	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0705	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0808	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0811	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0812	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0813	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S

East 2022 CoC NOFA Renewal Projects
BASE POINTS

max point value for each criteria or if criteria is N/A or N/S for individual project (N/S - for example, no leavers).

Grant #	Project Type	Project Component	Agency Name	Project Name	Total Base Points	1a	1b	1c	2	3
PA0814	PH	RRH	Centre County Government	PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program	100	10	N/A	N/A	2	N/A
PA0859	PH	RRH	Transitions of PA	PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims	98.5	10	N/A	N/A	N/S	2
PA0883	Joint TH &	TH-RRH	Wayne County	PA0883 - Wayne Combined TH/RRH Project	98.5	10	N/A	N/A	2	N/A
PA0886	PH	RRH	Young Women's Christian Association	PA0886- Liberty Options RRH	100	10	N/A	N/A	N/S	2
PA0887	Joint TH &	TH-RRH	Valley Youth House Committee, Inc.	PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth	98.5	10	N/A	N/A	2	N/A
PA0926	PH	RRH	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	PA0926 - East CoC DV RRH	100	10	N/A	N/A	N/S	2

East 2022 C
BASE POIN

max point v

Grant #	4	5	6	7	8a	8b	8c	8d	8e	8f	8g	8h	8i	8j	9a	9b	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18a	18b	18c	18d	18e
PA0814	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0859	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	N/S	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0883	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	N/S	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0886	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0887	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	N/S	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2
PA0926	1	10	2	2	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1	1	2	2	4	3	2	3	3	0	2	2	2	2	2

East 2022 C
BASE POIN

max point v

Grant #	19	20	21	22	23	24	25
PA0814	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0859	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0883	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0886	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0887	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S
PA0926	10	2	1	10	4	6	N/S

Eastern PA CoC: 2022 New Project Scoring Sheet (Annual CoC NOFO)

Agency Name:	**Blair County Community Action Program**
Project Type:	**PSH**
Expansion project? (Yes or No)	**No**
Transition project? (Yes or No)	**No**

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. For criteria 15, DMA will provide numbers for you to enter into the Max Points and Points Awarded fields. Score will automatically calculate.

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

APPLICANT INFORMATION	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1 Geography to be covered *(questions 8, 9, 24)* Multi-county, RHAB/CoC-wide = 2 points Single County with strong explanation in project description why single county project needed = 2 points Single County without strong explanation why single county project needed = 0 points	2	2

APPLICANT EXPERIENCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2 Organizational capacity = 2 points *(questions 14-17)* 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity to implement project 1 point if capacity somewhat described 2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC requirements	2	1.3
Experience with project component type and/or target population = 2 **3 points** *(questions 10, 18)* a) If PSH: demonstrates sufficient experience with chronic population b) If RRH or TH/RRH: demonstrates sufficient experience with rapidly rehousing households c) If tageting a specific subpopulation: demonstrates sufficient experience with the subpopulation · 0 points if not described · 1 point if somewhat described · 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	1.5
Project design and delivery of services are designed to promote equity = **4 2 points** *(question 19)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described	2	0.8

		MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED

2 points if clearly described and provides strong description of how design will promote equity

Experience serving and improving outcomes for communities that have historically been marginalized, and organizational capacity to promote racial equity = 2 points *(question 19)*
0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described
 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and capacity

MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2	**1.3**

Organizational capacity to promote racial equity within leadership/operations/hiring practices, and through financial commitments = 1 point *(question 20)*
 0 points if not described
0.5 points if somewhat described, or have taken some steps but not fully implemented

1 point if clearly described and indicates strong organizational capacity to promote racial equity

MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1	**0.5**

7 **Experience with Housing First = 2 points** *(question 21)*
 0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described
2 points if applicant indicates low/no barriers to entry and that reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience

MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2	**1.8**

Experience parterning with people with lived experience = 1 points
8 *(question 22)*
0 points if not described
0.5 points if somewhat described
1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience

MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1	**0.67**

PROJECT DESIGN	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED

Clear and compelling description of community/regional need = 2 points
9 *(question 24)*
0 points if need not described
1 point if narrative but no data, or data does not support the need for this project
2 points if applicant describes need and provides supporting data

MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2	**1.3**

10 **Strategic partnerships with community providers = 2 points** *(question 23)*
0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described

2 points if partners named and nature of partnership described, including the services/ resources being provided by community partnerships

MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2	**1.5**

11 **Projected outcomes = 2 points** *(question 23)*
 0 points if projected outcomes not described
 2 points if projected outcomes further goals of CoC

MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2	**1.5**

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
12 **Strong description of how project will assist participants to obtain and retain permanent housing = 2 points** *(question 28)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to obtain housing, including relationships with landlords	2	**1.67**
13 **Strong description of how project will assist participants to access mainstream resources = 2 points** *(question 29)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to acces smainstream resources	2	**1.3**
14 **Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 2 points** *(overall impression)* 0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified 1 point if projected intervention somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding questions or concerns 2 points if project scale, project type, and service plan are appropriate based on needs identified	2	**1.3**

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
15 **Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)** Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY22 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.* 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points	10	4

Leveraging	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED

16 **Non-COC Resources to cover housing costs = 5 points** *(questions 31-32)* 5 points if PSH or RRH project will housing subsidies or subsidized housing units for at least 25% of units/participants in the project	5	0

17 **Non-COC Resources to cover healthcare/service costs = 5 points** *(questions 33-34)* 5 points if project will leverage 25% or more of the project's healthcare/service needs through community partnerships	5	5

TOTAL	**TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS**	**TOTAL POINTS AWARDED**
Total Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 36)	36	23.44
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	10	4
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points)	60%	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:

    A: Current ESG Grantees

      Previous performance related outcomes are strong

    B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS

      Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness

    Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)

CoC Participation:

    Participates in CoC/RHAB (DMA to provide)

    Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database (DMA to provide)

    Participates in Coordinated Entry process (DMA to provide)

Eastern PA CoC: 2022 New Project Scoring Sheet (Annual CoC NOFO)

Agency Name:	County of Franklin
Project Type:	PSH
Expansion project? (Yes or No)	No
Transition project? (Yes or No)	No

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. For criteria 15, DMA will provide numbers for you to enter into the Max Points and Points Awarded fields. Score will automatically calculate.

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

APPLICANT INFORMATION	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1 Geography to be covered *(questions 8, 9, 24)* Multi-county, RHAB/CoC-wide = 2 points Single County with strong explanation in project description why single county project needed = 2 points Single County without strong explanation why single county project needed = 0 points	2	1.17

APPLICANT EXPERIENCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2 Organizational capacity = 2 points *(questions 14-17)* 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity to implement project 1 point if capacity somewhat described 2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC requirements	2	0.83
Experience with project component type and/or target population = 2 3 points *(questions 10, 18)* a) If PSH: demonstrates sufficient experience with chronic population b) If RRH or TH/RRH: demonstrates sufficient experience with rapidly rehousing households c) If targeting a specific subpopulation: demonstrates sufficient experience with the subpopulation · 0 points if not described · 1 point if somewhat described · 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	0.83
Project design and delivery of services are designed to promote equity = 4 2 points *(question 19)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described	2	0.5

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2 points if clearly described and provides strong description of how design will promote equity		
5 **Experience serving and improving outcomes for communities that have historically been marginalized, and organizational capacity to promote racial equity = 2 points** *(question 19)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and capacity	2	**0.67**
6 **Organizational capacity to promote racial equity within leadership/operations/hiring practices, and through financial commitments = 1 point** *(question 20)* 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described, or have taken some steps but not fully implemented 1 point if clearly described and indicates strong organizational capacity to promote racial equity	1	**0.167**
7 **Experience with Housing First = 2 points** *(question 21)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if applicant indicates low/no barriers to entry and that reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	**1.08**
8 **Experience parterning with people with lived experience = 1 points** *(question 22)* 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described 1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	1	**0.79**

PROJECT DESIGN	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
9 **Clear and compelling description of community/regional need = 2 points** *(question 24)* 0 points if need not described 1 point if narrative but no data, or data does not support the need for this project 2 points if applicant describes need and provides supporting data	2	**1.3**
10 **Strategic partnerships with community providers = 2 points** *(question 23)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if partners named and nature of partnership described, including the services/ resources being provided by community partnerships	2	**1.5**
11 **Projected outcomes = 2 points** *(question 23)* 0 points if projected outcomes not described 2 points if projected outcomes further goals of CoC	2	**1.8**

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
12 **Strong description of how project will assist participants to obtain and retain permanent housing = 2 points** *(question 28)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to obtain housing, including relationships with landlords	2	**1.17**
13 **Strong description of how project will assist participants to access mainstream resources = 2 points** *(question 29)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to acces smainstream resources	2	**1.67**
14 **Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 2 points** *(overall impression)* 0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified 1 point if projected intervention somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding questions or concerns 2 points if project scale, project type, and service plan are appropriate based on needs identified	2	**1.5**
SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	**MAX POINTS**	**POINTS AWARDED**
15 **Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)** Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY22 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.* 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points	**10**	**6**
Leveraging	**MAX POINTS**	**POINTS AWARDED**

16 **Non-COC Resources to cover housing costs = 5 points** *(questions 31-32)* 5 points if PSH or RRH project will housing subsidies or subsidized housing units for at least 25% of units/participants in the project	5	0

17 **Non-COC Resources to cover healthcare/service costs = 5 points** *(questions 33-34)* 5 points if project will leverage 25% or more of the project's healthcare/service needs through community partnerships	5	0

TOTAL	TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED
Total Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 36)	36	14.977
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	10	6
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points)	46%	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:

   A: Current ESG Grantees
     Previous performance related outcomes are strong
    B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS

     Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness

   Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)

CoC Participation:

   Participates in CoC/RHAB (DMA to provide)

   Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database (DMA to provide)
   Participates in Coordinated Entry process (DMA to provide)

Eastern PA CoC: 2022 New Project Scoring Sheet (Annual CoC NOFO)

Agency Name:	Women's Help Center
Project Type:	TH-RRH
Expansion project? (Yes or No)	No
Transition project? (Yes or No)	No

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. For criteria 15, DMA will provide numbers for you to enter into the Max Points and Points Awarded fields. Score will automatically calculate.

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

APPLICANT INFORMATION	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1 **Geography to be covered** *(questions 8, 9, 24)* Multi-county, RHAB/CoC-wide = 2 points Single County with strong explanation in project description why single county project needed = 2 points Single County without strong explanation why single county project needed = 0 points	2	1.5

APPLICANT EXPERIENCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2 **Organizational capacity = 2 points** *(questions 14-17)* 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity to implement project 1 point if capacity somewhat described 2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC requirements	2	2
3 **Experience with project component type and/or target population = 2 points** *(questions 10, 18)* a) If PSH: demonstrates sufficient experience with chronic population b) If RRH or TH/RRH: demonstrates sufficient experience with rapidly rehousing households c) If tageting a specific subpopulation: demonstrates sufficient experience with the subpopulation · 0 points if not described · 1 point if somewhat described · 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	1.67
4 **Project design and delivery of services are designed to promote equity = 2 points** *(question 19)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described	2	1.83

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2 points if clearly described and provides strong description of how design will promote equity		
Experience serving and improving outcomes for communities that have historically been marginalized, and organizational capacity to promote 5 **racial equity = 2 points** *(question 19)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and capacity	2	**1.83**
Organizational capacity to promote racial equity within leadership/operations/hiring practices, and through financial 6 **commitments = 1 point** *(question 20)* 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described, or have taken some steps but not fully implemented 1 point if clearly described and indicates strong organizational capacity to promote racial equity	1	**0.92**
7 **Experience with Housing First = 2 points** *(question 21)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if applicant indicates low/no barriers to entry and that reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	**1.3**
Experience parterning with people with lived experience = 1 points 8 *(question 22)* 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described 1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	1	**1**

PROJECT DESIGN	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
Clear and compelling description of community/regional need = 2 points 9 *(question 24)* 0 points if need not described 1 point if narrative but no data, or data does not support the need for this project 2 points if applicant describes need and provides supporting data	2	**2**
10 **Strategic partnerships with community providers = 2 points** *(question 23)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if partners named and nature of partnership described, including the services/ resources being provided by community partnerships	2	**2**
11 **Projected outcomes = 2 points** *(question 23)* 0 points if projected outcomes not described 2 points if projected outcomes further goals of CoC	2	**2**

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
12 **Strong description of how project will assist participants to obtain and retain permanent housing = 2 points** *(question 28)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to obtain housing, including relationships with landlords	2	**1.8**
13 **Strong description of how project will assist participants to access mainstream resources = 2 points** *(question 29)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to acces smainstream resources	2	**1.83**
14 **Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 2 points** *(overall impression)* 0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified 1 point if projected intervention somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding questions or concerns 2 points if project scale, project type, and service plan are appropriate based on needs identified	2	**2**

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
15 Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles) Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY22 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.* 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points	**0**	**0**

Leveraging	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED

16 **Non-COC Resources to cover housing costs = 5 points** *(questions 31-32)* 5 points if PSH or RRH project will housing subsidies or subsidized housing units for at least 25% of units/participants in the project	5	0

17 **Non-COC Resources to cover healthcare/service costs = 5 points** *(questions 33-34)* 5 points if project will leverage 25% or more of the project's healthcare/service needs through community partnerships	5	5

TOTAL	TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED
Total Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 36)	36	28.68
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	0	0
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points)	80%	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:

   A: Current ESG Grantees
       Previous performance related outcomes are strong
   B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data
   in HMIS

       Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness

   Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)

CoC Participation:

   Participates in CoC/RHAB (DMA to provide)

   Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database (DMA to provide)
   Participates in Coordinated Entry process (DMA to provide)

Eastern PA CoC: 2022 New Project Scoring Sheet (Annual CoC NOFO)

Agency Name:	Center for Community Action
Project Type:	RRH
Expansion project? (Yes or No)	Yes
Transition project? (Yes or No)	No

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. For criteria 15, DMA will provide numbers for you to enter into the Max Points and Points Awarded fields. Score will automatically calculate.

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

APPLICANT INFORMATION	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1 Geography to be covered *(questions 8, 9, 24)* Multi-county, RHAB/CoC-wide = 2 points Single County with strong explanation in project description why single county project needed = 2 points Single County without strong explanation why single county project needed = 0 points	2	2

APPLICANT EXPERIENCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2 Organizational capacity = 2 points *(questions 14-17)* 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity to implement project 1 point if capacity somewhat described 2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC requirements	2	2
Experience with project component type and/or target population = 2 3 points *(questions 10, 18)* a) If PSH: demonstrates sufficient experience with chronic population b) If RRH or TH/RRH: demonstrates sufficient experience with rapidly rehousing households c) If tageting a specific subpopulation: demonstrates sufficient experience with the subpopulation · 0 points if not described · 1 point if somewhat described · 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	2
Project design and delivery of services are designed to promote equity = 4 2 points *(question 19)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described	2	1.75

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2 points if clearly described and provides strong description of how design will promote equity		
5 **Experience serving and improving outcomes for communities that have historically been marginalized, and organizational capacity to promote racial equity = 2 points** *(question 19)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and capacity	2	**1.75**
6 **Organizational capacity to promote racial equity within leadership/operations/hiring practices, and through financial commitments = 1 point** *(question 20)* 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described, or have taken some steps but not fully implemented 1 point if clearly described and indicates strong organizational capacity to promote racial equity	1	**0.875**
7 Experience with Housing First = 2 points *(question 21)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if applicant indicates low/no barriers to entry and that reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	**2**
8 **Experience parterning with people with lived experience = 1 points** *(question 22)* 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described 1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	1	**0.875**

PROJECT DESIGN	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
9 **Clear and compelling description of community/regional need = 2 points** *(question 24)* 0 points if need not described 1 point if narrative but no data, or data does not support the need for this project 2 points if applicant describes need and provides supporting data	2	2
10 Strategic partnerships with community providers = 2 points *(question 23)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if partners named and nature of partnership described, including the services/ resources being provided by community partnerships	2	2
11 Projected outcomes = 2 points *(question 23)* 0 points if projected outcomes not described 2 points if projected outcomes further goals of CoC	2	2

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
12 **Strong description of how project will assist participants to obtain and retain permanent housing = 2 points** *(question 28)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to obtain housing, including relationships with landlords	2	**1.75**
13 **Strong description of how project will assist participants to access mainstream resources = 2 points** *(question 29)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to acces smainstream resources	2	**1.75**
14 **Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 2 points** *(overall impression)* 0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified 1 point if projected intervention somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding questions or concerns 2 points if project scale, project type, and service plan are appropriate based on needs identified	2	**2**

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
15 Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles) Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY22 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.* 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points	10	8

Leveraging	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED

16	**Non-COC Resources to cover housing costs = 5 points** *(questions 31-32)* 5 points if PSH or RRH project will housing subsidies or subsidized housing units for at least 25% of units/participants in the project	5	0

17	**Non-COC Resources to cover healthcare/service costs = 5 points** *(questions 33-34)* 5 points if project will leverage 25% or more of the project's healthcare/service needs through community partnerships	5	0

TOTAL	**TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS**	**TOTAL POINTS AWARDED**
Total Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 36)	36	24.75
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	10	8
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points)	71%	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:

    A: Current ESG Grantees

      Previous performance related outcomes are strong

    B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS

      Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness

    Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)

CoC Participation:

    Participates in CoC/RHAB (DMA to provide)

    Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database (DMA to provide)

    Participates in Coordinated Entry process (DMA to provide)

Eastern PA CoC: 2022 New Project Scoring Sheet (Annual CoC NOFO)

Agency Name:	**Monroe County Commissioners**
Project Type:	**RRH**
Expansion project? (Yes or No)	**No**
Transition project? (Yes or No)	**No**

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. For criteria 15, DMA will provide numbers for you to enter into the Max Points and Points Awarded fields. Score will automatically calculate.

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

APPLICANT INFORMATION	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1 **Geography to be covered** *(questions 8, 9, 24)* Multi-county, RHAB/CoC-wide = 2 points Single County with strong explanation in project description why single county project needed = 2 points Single County without strong explanation why single county project needed = 0 points	2	2

APPLICANT EXPERIENCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2 **Organizational capacity = 2 points** *(questions 14-17)* 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity to implement project 1 point if capacity somewhat described 2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC requirements	2	1.75
Experience with project component type and/or target population = 2 3 points *(questions 10, 18)* a)　　If PSH: demonstrates sufficient experience with chronic population b)　　If RRH or TH/RRH: demonstrates sufficient experience with rapidly rehousing households c)　　If tageting a specific subpopulation: demonstrates sufficient experience with the subpopulation ·　　0 points if not described ·　　1 point if somewhat described ·　　2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	1.5
Project design and delivery of services are designed to promote equity = 4 2 points *(question 19)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described	2	1.5

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2 points if clearly described and provides strong description of how design will promote equity		
Experience serving and improving outcomes for communities that have historically been marginalized, and organizational capacity to promote racial equity = 2 points *(question 19)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and capacity	2	**1.5**
Organizational capacity to promote racial equity within leadership/operations/hiring practices, and through financial commitments = 1 point *(question 20)* 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described, or have taken some steps but not fully implemented 1 point if clearly described and indicates strong organizational capacity to promote racial equity	1	**0.875**
Experience with Housing First = 2 points *(question 21)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if applicant indicates low/no barriers to entry and that reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	**2**
Experience parterning with people with lived experience = 1 points *(question 22)* 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described 1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	1	**0.75**

PROJECT DESIGN	**MAX POINTS**	**POINTS AWARDED**
9 **Clear and compelling description of community/regional need = 2 points** *(question 24)* 0 points if need not described 1 point if narrative but no data, or data does not support the need for this project 2 points if applicant describes need and provides supporting data	2	**2**
10 **Strategic partnerships with community providers = 2 points** *(question 23)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if partners named and nature of partnership described, including the services/ resources being provided by community partnerships	2	**1.5**
11 **Projected outcomes = 2 points** *(question 23)* 0 points if projected outcomes not described 2 points if projected outcomes further goals of CoC	2	**2**

(Row numbers 5, 6, 7, 8 appear to the left of the respective criteria above.)

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
12 **Strong description of how project will assist participants to obtain and retain permanent housing = 2 points** *(question 28)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to obtain housing, including relationships with landlords	2	2
13 **Strong description of how project will assist participants to access mainstream resources = 2 points** *(question 29)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to acces smainstream resources	2	1.5
14 **Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 2 points** *(overall impression)* 0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified 1 point if projected intervention somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding questions or concerns 2 points if project scale, project type, and service plan are appropriate based on needs identified	2	2

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
15 **Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)** Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY22 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.* 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points	0	0

Leveraging	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED

16 Non-COC Resources to cover housing costs = 5 points *(questions 31-32)* 5 points if PSH or RRH project will housing subsidies or subsidized housing units for at least 25% of units/participants in the project	5	0

Non-COC Resources to cover healthcare/service costs = 5 points **17** *(questions 33-34)* 5 points if project will leverage 25% or more of the project's healthcare/service needs through community partnerships	5	0

TOTAL	**TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS**	**TOTAL POINTS AWARDED**
Total Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 36)	36	22.875
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	0	0
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points)	64%	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:

    A: Current ESG Grantees

       Previous performance related outcomes are strong

    B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS

       Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness

    Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)

CoC Participation:

    Participates in CoC/RHAB (DMA to provide)

    Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database (DMA to provide)

    Participates in Coordinated Entry process (DMA to provide)

Eastern PA CoC: 2022 New Project Scoring Sheet (Annual CoC NOFO)

Agency Name:	**New Bethany**
Project Type:	**RRH**
Expansion project? (Yes or No)	**No**
Transition project? (Yes or No)	**No**

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. For criteria 15, DMA will provide numbers for you to enter into the Max Points and Points Awarded fields. Score will automatically calculate.

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

APPLICANT INFORMATION	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1 Geography to be covered *(questions 8, 9, 24)* Multi-county, RHAB/CoC-wide = 2 points Single County with strong explanation in project description why single county project needed = 2 points Single County without strong explanation why single county project needed = 0 points	2	2

APPLICANT EXPERIENCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2 Organizational capacity = 2 points *(questions 14-17)* 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity to implement project 1 point if capacity somewhat described 2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC requirements	2	2
Experience with project component type and/or target population = 2 **3 points** *(questions 10, 18)* a) If PSH: demonstrates sufficient experience with chronic population b) If RRH or TH/RRH: demonstrates sufficient experience with rapidly rehousing households c) If tageting a specific subpopulation: demonstrates sufficient experience with the subpopulation · 0 points if not described · 1 point if somewhat described · 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	2
Project design and delivery of services are designed to promote equity = **4 2 points** *(question 19)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described	2	2

2 points if clearly described and provides strong description of how design will promote equity

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED

5 **Experience serving and improving outcomes for communities that have historically been marginalized, and organizational capacity to promote racial equity = 2 points** *(question 19)*
0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described
 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and capacity

	2	2

6 **Organizational capacity to promote racial equity within leadership/operations/hiring practices, and through financial commitments = 1 point** *(question 20)*
 0 points if not described
0.5 points if somewhat described, or have taken some steps but not fully implemented

1 point if clearly described and indicates strong organizational capacity to promote racial equity

	1	1

7 **Experience with Housing First = 2 points** *(question 21)*
 0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described
2 points if applicant indicates low/no barriers to entry and that reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience

	2	2

8 **Experience parterning with people with lived experience = 1 points** *(question 22)*
0 points if not described
0.5 points if somewhat described
1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience

	1	0.75

PROJECT DESIGN	**MAX POINTS**	**POINTS AWARDED**
9 **Clear and compelling description of community/regional need = 2 points** *(question 24)* 0 points if need not described 1 point if narrative but no data, or data does not support the need for this project 2 points if applicant describes need and provides supporting data	2	2
10 **Strategic partnerships with community providers = 2 points** *(question 23)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if partners named and nature of partnership described, including the services/ resources being provided by community partnerships	2	2
11 **Projected outcomes = 2 points** *(question 23)* 0 points if projected outcomes not described 2 points if projected outcomes further goals of CoC	2	1.75

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED

12 **Strong description of how project will assist participants to obtain and retain permanent housing = 2 points** *(question 28)*
0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described

2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to obtain housing, including relationships with landlords

2	**2**

13 **Strong description of how project will assist participants to access mainstream resources = 2 points** *(question 29)*
0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described
2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to acces smainstream resources

2	**1.75**

14 **Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 2 points** *(overall impression)*

0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified
1 point if projected intervention somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding questions or concerns
2 points if project scale, project type, and service plan are appropriate based on needs identified

2	**2**

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
15 **Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)** Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY22 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.* 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points	**0**	**0**

Leveraging	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED

16 Non-COC Resources to cover housing costs = 5 points *(questions 31-32)* 5 points if PSH or RRH project will housing subsidies or subsidized housing units for at least 25% of units/participants in the project	5	0

Non-COC Resources to cover healthcare/service costs = 5 points **17** *(questions 33-34)* 5 points if project will leverage 25% or more of the project's healthcare/service needs through community partnerships	5	0

TOTAL	**TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS**	**TOTAL POINTS AWARDED**
Total Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 36)	36	25.25
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	0	0
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points)	70%	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding
Past Performance:
  A: Current ESG Grantees
      Previous performance related outcomes are strong
   B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS

      Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)
Cost Effectiveness
    Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)
CoC Participation:
    Participates in CoC/RHAB (DMA to provide)

    Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database (DMA to provide)
    Participates in Coordinated Entry process (DMA to provide)

Eastern PA CoC: 2022 New Project Scoring Sheet (DV Bonus RRH or TH-RRH)

Agency Name:	PCADV
Project Type:	RRH

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. Score will automatically calculate.

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1 **Geography to be covered** *(questions 8, 9, 18)* Multi-county, RHAB/CoC-wide = 2 points Single County with strong explanation in project description why single county project needed = 2 points Single County without strong explanation why single county project needed = 0 points	2	2
2 **Organizational capacity = 2 points** *(questions 11-12)* 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity to implement project 1 point if capacity somewhat described 2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC requirements	2	2
3 **Experience providing housing to families/individuals fleeing domestic violence = 2 points** *(questions 16, 20)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	2
4 **Experience parterning with people with lived experience = 1 points** *(question 17)* 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described 1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	1	2
5 **Strategic partnerships with community providers = 2 points** *(question 18)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if partners named and nature of partnership described, including the services/ resources being provided by community partnerships	2	1.25
6 **Projected outcomes = 2 points** *(question 18)*		

0 points if projected outcomes not described 2 points if projected outcomes further goals of CoC	2	2

7 **How organization supports safety of survivors = 2 points** *(question 21)*
0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described

2 points if clear description of how project supports safety of survivors

	2	1.75

8 **Utilizing a trauma-informed, victim-centered approach = 2 points** *(question 22)*
0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described
2 points if clear description of experience providing a trauma-informed, victim-centered approach

	2	2

9 **Maximizing client choice and autonomy = 2 points** *(question 23)*
0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described
2 points if clear description of how organization maximizes client choice and autonomy

	2	2

10 **Assist DV survivors to meet service needs = 2 points** *(question 24)*
0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described
2 points if clear description of how organization supports survivors to meet service needs

	2	1.5

11 **Experiencing serving and improving outcomes for communities that have been historically marginalized = 2 points** *(question 25)*
0 points if not described
1 point if limited experience, or limited description provided
2 points if strong evidence of experience serving and improving outcomes for historically marginalized communities

	2	1.75

12 **Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 2 points** *(overall impression)*

0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified
1 point if projected intervention somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding questions or concerns
2 points if project scale, project type, and service plan are appropriate based on needs identified

	2	2

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
13 **Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)**		

Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY22 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.* 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points	10	0

TOTAL	TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED
Total Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 23)	23	22.25
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	10	0
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points)	67%	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding
Past Performance:
   A: Current ESG/CoC Grantees
     Previous performance related outcomes are strong
   B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS

     Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)
Cost Effectiveness
   Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)
CoC Participation:
   Participates in CoC/RHAB (DMA to provide)

   Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database (DMA to provide)
   Participates in Coordinated Entry process (DMA to provide)

Eastern PA CoC: 2022 New Project Scoring Sheet (DV CE)

Agency Name:	PCADV

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. Score will automatically calculate.

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

SCORING CRITERIA	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1 **Organizational capacity = 2 points** *(questions 11-12)* 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity to implement project 1 point if capacity somewhat described, but not all areas thoroughly addressed 2 points if reviewer believes applicant has capacity to implement the project and meet expectations, based on HUD and CoC requirements	2	1.6
2 **Current involvement and participation in Coordinated Entry System** *(question 13)* 0 points if no current partnership 1 point if some current partnership, but partnership is limited 2 points if there is an existing partnership that is described to be active and collaborative	2	1
Clear and compelling description of how current system is inadequate to meet needs of DV survivors, and how proposed project will address these inadequacies 3 **= 10 points** *(question 14)* 0 points if need not described or unclear how proposed project will address inadequacies 5 points if need somewhat described or only a limited description of how proposed project will address inadequacies 10 points if need clearly and thoroughly described AND clear description of how proposed project will address inadequacies	10	10
4 **Experience parterning with people with lived experience = 1 points** *(question 17)* 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described 1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	1	1
5 **Trauma-informed and victim-centered services = 2 points (** *question 22)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	2
6 **Maximizing client choice and autonomy = 2 points** *(question 23)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how organization maximizes client choice and autonomy	2	**2**

	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
7 **Experience serving and improving outcomes for communities that have historically been marginalized, and organizational capacity to promote racial equity = 2 points** *(question 25)* 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and capacity	2	1.4
8 **Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 2 points** 0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified 2 points if project scale, project type, and service plan are appropriate based on needs identified	2	2

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
9 **Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)** Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY22 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.* 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points	10	0

TOTAL	TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED
TOTAL Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 23)	23	18
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	10	0
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points)	55%	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:
　　A: Current Grantees (CoC or ESG):

　　　　Previous performance related outcomes are strong

　　B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS
　　　　Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness
　　Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)

CoC Participation:
　　Participates in CoC/RHAB

Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database
Participates in Coordinated Entry process

FY 2022

Eastern PA CoC – PA-509

1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project

Documents include the following:

- **One scored renewal project form (PSH)**
- **Renewal scoring criteria**

Eastern PA CoC 2022 Renewal Scoring Summary

Agency Name	Housing Development Corporation of NEPA
Project Name	PA0582 HDC SHP 6 2019

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points	Performance/Outcomes	Points Awarded
1a	Exit to PH Destination - RRH and TH-RRH	10	N/A	N/A
1b	Exit to PH Destination - SSO	10	N/A	N/A
1c	Exit to PH Destination -PSH	10	100.0%	10
2	Returns	2	0.0%	2
3	Projects Dedicated to DV - Narrative	2	N/A	N/A
4	Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Residential Move In (% of households moved in within 30 days)	1	100.0%	1
5	Income Growth - Increase Total Income	10	75.0%	10
6	Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits	2	62.5%	1.5
7	Participants Connected to Health Insurance	2	100.0%	2
8a	High Quality Data Entry - PII Name- % Error Rate	0.5	0.0%	0.5
8b	High Quality Data Entry - PII SSN- % Error Rate	0.5	0.0%	0.5
8c	High Quality Data Entry - PII Date of Birth- % Error Rate	0.5	0.0%	0.5
8d	High Quality Data Entry - PII Race- % Error Rate	0.5	0.0%	0.5
8e	High Quality Data Entry - PII Ethnicity- % Error Rate	0.5	0.0%	0.5
8f	High Quality Data Entry - PII Gender- % Error Rate	0.5	0.0%	0.5
8g	High Quality Data Entry-Destination (% Error Rate)	1.5	0.0%	1.5
8h	High Quality Data Entry-Income and Sources at Entry (% Error Rate)	1.5	0.0%	1.5
8i	High Quality Data Entry-Income & Sources at Annual Assessment (% Error Rate)	1.5	0.0%	1.5
8j	High Quality Data Entry-Income and Sources at Exit (% Error Rate)	1.5	0.0%	1.5
9a	Timeliness-Percent project entry records entered w/in specified benchmark	1	100.0%	1
9b	Timeliness-Percent project exit records entered w/in specified benchmark	1	100.0%	1
10	Project Participant Eligibility	2	100.0%	2
11	Utilization Rate - Final (3 year average if under 85% and less than 10 units)	2	93.2%	1.5
12	Quarterly Draws	4	Yes	4
13	Funds Expended (% Expended)	3	90.4%	1
14	Timely APR Submission	2	Yes	2
15a/15b	Cost Per HH	3	$2,029.54	2
16a/16b	Cost Per HH Pos Exit	3	$2,638.40	2
17	HUD Monitoring	0 (-5 if findings not resolved)	N/A	0
18a	Severity of Need - Health Conditions	2	67.9%	1
18b	Severity of Need - Zero Income at Entry	2	42.1%	2
18c	Severity of Need - Unsheltered	2	42.1%	2
18d	Severity of Need - Age	2	52.6%	2
18e	Severity of Need - Domestic Violence	2	10.5%	1
19	Housing First Approach	10	Yes	10
20	Equity - Non-Discrimination Policy	2	Yes	2
21	Equity - Disaggregating data to examine potential disparities	1	Full Points	1
22a	RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership (points based on % of RHAB meetings attended)	10	100%	10
22b	RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership (Number of Committees)	Up to 1 additional point	0	0
23	CoC Meeting Attendance	4	2 Meetings	4
24a	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: DV Best Practices	1	Yes	1
24b	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Fair Housing Training	1	Yes	1
24c	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management part 1	1	Yes	1
24d	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management part 2	1	Yes	1
24e	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management part 3	1	Yes	1
24d	CoC Trainings/ Webinars: Case Management part 4	1	Yes	1
25	Coordinated Entry Participation	Not scored in 2022	N/S	N/S

One scored project application used by most renewal project applicants

| 26 (Bonus) | Full HMIS Participation | 0.5 bonus points | No | 0 |
| 26 | Late Submission Penalty | 0 (-2 if late) | No | 0 |

MAX ELIGIBLE POINTS/ADJUSTED BASE	100 points for PSH/RRH/TH-RRH; 95 points for SSO (converted to 100 point scale)		100
TOTAL POINTS EARNED			93.0
FY22 SCORE (total points earned/adjusted based)			**93.0**

Eastern PA CoC: 2022 Renewal Project Scoring Criteria

Approved: 3/24/22

The time period used for all data will be October 1, 2020 – September 30, 2021

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
Performance and Data Quality= 38 points (RRH, TH-RRH, PSH); 35 points (SSO)			
1a (part of SPM Metric 7b.1)	**RRH/TH-RRH -Housing Stability**: Exit to Permanent Housing (RRH and TH-RRH only). Measurement: % of exits to permanent housing destination among all participants/leavers who exited project. Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Average outcome in 2021= 93% *NOTE: The thresholds for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising thresholds again in future rounds.*	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers SPM, Objective	RRH and TH-RRH: ● 100% = 10 points ● 90-99% = 7 points ● 80-89% = 4 points ● 75-79% = 2 points
1b	**SSO-Housing Stability:** % of all participants/leavers placed into Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing or Permanent Housing (SSO only) Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers SPM, Objective	SSO: ● 75-100% = 10 points ● 50-74% = 7 points ● 36-49% = 4 points ● 25-35% = 2 points

	● Average outcome in 2021= 100%		
1c (SPM Metric 7b.2)	**PSH-Housing Stability:** Exit to other Permanent Housing or retention of PSH (PSH only). Measurement: % of all project participants stayers remained in PSH project or all project participants/leavers exited to other permanent housing. Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Average outcome in 2021= 97%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 100% = 10 points ● 97-99% - 7 points ● 93-96% = 4 points ● 90-92% = 2 points SPM, Objective
2 (SPM Metric 2)	**Returns to Homelessness:** % returns to homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing destination ● **Note:** N/A for DV providers, as there is no way to measure if clients reentered the system, only their specific program. ● N/A for SSO Projects ● Average outcome in 2021= 0.3%	HMIS	PH projects: ● ≤ 2% = 2 points ● 3-10% = 1 point SPM, Objective

3	**Projects dedicated to serving survivors of Domestic Violence only:** Degree to which victim service projects improve safety for the population served. Evaluate the practices of victim service providers around serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and their ability to house survivors and meet safety outcomes.	Renewal Summary Form	2 total points (1 point per question) 1) Projects must describe how they ensure the safety of DV survivors by: • Training staff on safety planning • Training staff on trauma-informed, victim centered approaches • Adjusting intake space to better ensure a private conversation • Working with survivors to have them identify what is safe for them as it relates to scattered site units and/or rental assistance • Keeping the location confidential of units used for survivors 2) Project must describe how they **measure** the projects' ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served (i.e. how does the project capture/evaluate this data)

4	**Length of time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date:** % of households who moved into housing in under 30 days (measured by days between project enrollment date and move in date). (TH-RRH, RRH, and PSH Only) *N/A for SSO • Average outcome in 2021: PSH: 90%; RRH/TH-RRH: 75% Providers are expected to move participants in as quickly as possible upon enrollment; Decreasing length of time between project start date and housing move-in date is one factor that contributes to SPM Metric 1b (length of time homeless)	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers SPM, Objective	● PSH: 90%+ moved in to housing within 30 days of project enrollment = 1 point ● RRH: 80%+ moved in to housing within 30 days of project enrollment = 1 point
5 (SPM Metrics 4.3/4.6)	**Income Growth:** Increase in TOTAL income of all adult participants from any source (leavers and stayers): % of all adult participants who increased income from any source from entry to exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers) NOTE: Adult participants' Earned Income Growth and Non-Earned Income Growth both factor into Total Income Growth. ● Average outcome in 2021= 56% *NOTE: The thresholds for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising thresholds again in future rounds.*	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers SPM, Objective	● 50% or more had an increase in total income = 10 points ● 40-49% increase income = 8 points ● 30-39% increase income = 6 points ● 20-29% increase income = 4 points
6	**Participants connected to Non-cash/ Mainstream Benefits:** Percentage of adult participants with 1+ source of Non-Cash benefits (SNAP, WIC, TANF, others, etc.) ● Average outcome in 2021= 66%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers SPM, Objective	● 70%+ of program participants enrolled in 1+ mainstream benefit = 2 points ● 60-69% = 1.5 points ● 50-59% = 1 point ● 40-49% = 0.5 points
7	**Participants Connected to Health Insurance:** Percentage of all participants with 1+ source of health insurance ● Average outcome in 2021= 87%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers SPM, Objective	● 95%+ with 1+ source of health insurance = 2 points ● 80-94% = 1 point

8	**High quality data entry:** Full participation in HMIS is required of all CoC-funded organizations.
	
	% of Error Rate for the following data points entered into HMIS:
	    a. PII - Name
	    b. PII - SSN
	    c. PII - Date of birth
	    d. PII - Race
	    e. PII - Ethnicity
	    f. PII - Gender
	    g. Destination
	    h. Income and Sources at Entry
	    i. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment
	    j. Income and Sources at Exit
	
	SPM, Objective
		APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	9 points total
			
			● 8.a. – 0% error rate – 0.5 points
			● 8.b.– 0% error rate – 0.5 points
			● 8.c.– 0% error rate – 0.5 points
			● 8.d. – 0% error rate – 0.5 points
			● 8.e.– 0% error rate – 0.5 points
			● 8.f.– 0% error rate –0.5 points
			● 8.g.– 0% error rate - 1.5 points
			● 8.h – 0% error rate – 1.5 points
			● 8.i – 0% error rate – 1.5 points
			● 8.j – 0% error rate –1.5 points

9	**Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry** a. % of project entry records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark b. % of project exit records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark Data entered within 10 days. Timeliness specifically looks at project entry and exit dates. For example, this measure will compare a household's actual enrollment date against the date that their enrollment date was entered in HMIS. Per the HMIS Participation Agreement, all data should be entered into PA-HMIS within 7 days. **SPM, Objective**	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	2 points total a. 80% OF PROJECT ENTRY RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: 0-10 days – 1 point b. 80% OF PROJECT EXIT RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: 0-10 days – 1 point

Monitoring = 19 points (TH-RRH, RRH, PSH); 17 points (SSO)

10	**Project Participant Eligibility:** % of Heads of household and adults whose prior living situation was reported as literally homeless situations or fleeing DV **Objective**	RSF (report from provider on literally homeless and fleeing DV households); cross referenced with APR from HMIS and APR from DV providers	● 94%+ = 2 points ● 90-93% = 1 point

11	**Unit Utilization Rate:** Average utilization rate of project (using project utilization each quarter, as reported on APR). Goal=full utilization. ● (NA for SSO) ● For projects under 10 units, will use a 3-year average if the project is under 85% utilization. *NOTE: The point values for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising thresholds again in future rounds.*	# units per renewal app compared to average unit utilization; APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers Objective	● 95-100% utilization = 2 points ● 90-94% = 1.5 points ● 85-89% = 1 point
12	**Drawdown Rates:** Minimum of quarterly drawdown from eLOCCS. HUD requires a minimum of quarterly draws.	e-LOCCS Objective	● Met benchmark = 4 points Did not meet benchmark = 0 points
13	**Funds Expended:** % of grant funds expended. ● Goal = full spend down *NOTE: The point values for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising thresholds again in future rounds.*	e-LOCCS Objective	● 100% = 3 points ● 95-99% = 2 points ● 90-94% = 1 points
14	**Timely APR submission:** APR submitted within 90 days of grant (HUD requirement) *If you submitted late due to an HMIS issue and there is evidence that you attempted to submit on time and informed your HUD rep, you will have a chance to explain this in your Renewal Summary Form.	Last submitted APR Objective	● Timely submission = 2 points ● Submitted beyond 90 days = 0 points
15a	**SSO/TH/RRH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per household served ● SSO/TH-RRH/RRH – Average cost per Household served compared to average of other projects Objective	<u>Numerator:</u> Services + admin line items from Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) <u>Denominator:</u> # of HHs served per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	SSO/TH/RRH projects will be ranked in order of lowest to highest cost per household. Points will be awarded in quartiles (25% of projects in group): ● Top 25% of projects with lowest cost/HH = 3 points ● Second quartile of projects (26-50%) = 2 points ● Third quartile (51-75%) = 1 point

			● Bottom 25% of projects with highest cost per HH = 0 points
15b	**PSH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per household served ● PSH – Average cost per household compared to average of other projects Objective	<u>Numerator</u>: Services + admin line items from GIW <u>Denominator</u>: # of HHs served per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	PSH projects will be ranked in order of lowest to highest cost per household. Points will be awarded in quartiles (25% of projects in group): ● Top 25% of projects with lowest cost/HH = 3 points ● Second quartile of projects (26-50%) = 2 points ● Third quartile (51-75%) = 1 point ● Bottom 25% of projects with highest cost per HH = 0 points
16a	**TH-RRH/RRH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per Positive Exit ● TH-RRH/RRH – Average cost per exit to Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects (SSO excluded from Cost Effectiveness/Cost Per Positive Exit criteria) Objective	<u>Numerator</u>: Services + admin line items from GIW <u>Denominator</u>: Leavers to PH per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	TH-RRH/RRH projects will be ranked in order of lowest to highest cost per household. Points will be awarded in quartiles (25% of projects in group): ● Top 25% of projects with lowest cost/HH = 3 points ● Second quartile of projects (26-50%) = 2 points ● Third quartile (51-75%) = 1 point ● Bottom 25% of projects with highest cost per HH = 0 points

16b	**PSH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per household for Positive Retention and Positive Exit ● <u>PSH</u> – Average cost per household that remains in PSH or exits to other Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects Objective	Numerator: Services + admin line items from GIW Denominator: Stayers + Leavers to other PH per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	PSH projects will be ranked in order of lowest to highest cost per household. Points will be awarded in quartiles (25% of projects in group): ● Top 25% of projects with lowest cost/HH = 3 points ● Second quartile of projects (26-50%) = 2 points ● Third quartile (51-75%) = 1 point ● Bottom 25% of projects with highest cost per HH = 0 points
17	**HUD Monitoring:** Disposition of HUD Monitoring and Findings ● Any findings during monitoring should be resolved within the HUD timeline identified. Objective	Letter verifying no monitoring; If monitored, evidence of no outstanding findings	● No monitoring within the last two years, or monitored with no outstanding issues = 0 points ● Project monitored and has unresolved findings = - 5 points

HUD Priorities = 23 points

18a	**Severity of Need/Health Conditions:** Percent of participants with 1+ physical and/or mental health conditions Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	Severity of need, Objective | Points	PSH	RRH/TH-RRH/SSO
.5	50-64%	10-15%
1	65-79%	20-24%
1.5	80%-94%	25-29%
2	95%+	30%+	
---	---	---	---

18b	**Severity of Need/Zero Income at Entry:** Percent of adult participants with zero income at program entry Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	● 40%+ program participants with zero income at program entry = 2 points ● 25-39% participants entered w/ zero income = 1 point Severity of need, Objective
18c	**Severity of Need/Unsheltered:** Percent of adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at entry Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	● 35%+ adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at program entry = 2 points ● 25-34% adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at program entry= 1 point Severity of need, Objective
18d	**Severity of Need/Age:** Percent of adult participants who are youth age 24 and under or adults age 55+ Severity of need, Objective	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	● 40% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 2 points

	Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.		● 25-39% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 1 point
18e	**Severity of Need/Domestic Violence:** Percent of adult participants with history of DV Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database Severity of need, Objective	● 20% adult participants history of DV = 2 points ● 10-19% adult participants history of DV = 1 point
19	**Housing First Approach:** CoC policy requires all CoC-funded projects to operate using a Housing First Approach. **IMPORTANT NOTE TO PROJECTS:** In future scoring rounds, the CoC is considering reviewing organization/project policies and procedures to ensure compliance with a Housing First approach as a part of the CoC NOFA scoring and ranking process. This may include review of policies and	Housing First questionnaire	● 10 points if respond "yes" to the Housing First questionnaire.

	procedures related to participant eligibility, intake/screening policies, non-discrimination, and exit/discharge policies. *The CoC recommends that all CoC funded organizations/projects review their policies and procedures to ensure that they are aligned with a Housing First approach, using the Housing First questionnaire as a guide.*		
20	**Equity: Non Discrimination Policy** • Grantee submits organization non-discrimination policy. ● Note: The CoC approved a non-discrimination policy in October 2020, which included a requirement that CoC funded agencies adopt an organizational non-discrimination policy. This policy and requirement was presented at the October 2020 full CoC meeting.	Renewal Summary Form	● Policy Submitted= 2 points

21	**Equity: Disaggregating data to examine potential disparities**
	
	• **Narrative:**
	   ○ Has your program disaggregated data by race/ethnicity/gender/household type/etc. to examine potential disparities within your program? If yes, describe your process, what you learned, and any goals you're working on as a result of the process?
	   ○ If not, does your program have a plan for doing so within the next year? If so, please explain your plan and timeline?
	   ○ *Non-scored question: What support, if any, does your program need to analyze your data for potential disparities?*
	
	Example of disaggregating data could include reviewing program data for disparities across different groups of people (breaking out client data or "disaggregating" data by race, ethnicity, gender, household type, etc), which could include looking at:
	   ○ data on who is admitted to the program
	   ○ data on program exit outcomes
	   ○ data on outcomes such as increasing income
	   ○ client feedback surveys (if applicable)
	   ○ client case reviews
		Renewal Summary Form	● Narrative Submitted = 1 point

CoC Participation = 20 points			

22	**RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership:** Participation in RHAB meetings and volunteer participation with CoC Committees and Sub-Committees ● RHAB Participation: Full participation in RHAB is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC. ● CoC Leadership: The CoC frequently requests volunteer participation with various Committees and Sub-Committees.	RHAB Secretary, Committee/ Sub-committee Chair, CoC Staff; Renewal Summary Form	**Maximum points = 10** RHAB Participation: % of 10 points, based on % of RHAB meetings attended ● Lehigh Valley: because RHAB meetings are held quarterly, participation in RHAB subcommittee meetings will also be included in this calculation. CoC Leadership: ● If less than 10 points were awarded for RHAB participation, 1 additional point will be awarded for each Committee/ Sub-Committee meeting attended, up to 3 points. (Total combined points not to exceed 10.) BONUS OPPORTUNITY: If 10 points were awarded for RHAB participation and a representative from the organization also participated on a Committee/Sub-Committee, one bonus point will be added.
23	**CoC Meeting Attendance:** Full participation in CoC is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC.	CoC registration/ attendance sheets; Renewal Summary Form	4 points total ● 2 points awarded for attending October 2020 CoC meeting ● 2 points awarded for attending April 2021 CoC meeting.
24	**CoC Webinars and Trainings:** Full participation/attendance in webinar and training opportunities is expected of all CoC funded organizations	CoC training attendance records	Attendance at CoC training events is expected of organizations receiving CoC funding.

			● Points awarded for each training attended –6 total points Required trainings included: ● Domestic Violence Best Practices – **1 point** ○ 12/18/20 webinar ● Fair Housing Training – **1 point** ○ 4/13/21 Webinar ● Case Management Training part 1 – **1 point** ○ 5/6/21 webinar (best practices in case management) ● Case Management Training part 2 – **1 point** ○ 5/18/21 webinar (trauma informed practices) ● Case Management Training part 3 – **1 point** ○ 6/3/21 webinar (motivational interviewing) ● Case Management Training part 4 – **1 point** ○ 6/15/21 webinar (challenges in case management)
25 (not scored in 2022)	**Coordinated Entry Participation:** % of enrollments pulled from By Name List	TBD	Not scored in 2022. Funding Committee will determine mechanism for scoring this in future funding rounds when possible with data available in ClientTrack 19.
26 (Bonus)	Full **HMIS participation** within organizations receiving CoC funding OR partnering with another organization/program to enter their data into HMIS	RSF and HMIS	HMIS participation among homeless assistance programs (not receiving ESG, CoC, SSVF, PATH, RHY funding)

			operated by your organization, or partnering with another organization/program to enter their data into HMIS= 0.5 point (maximum)
27	**Late Submission (Penalty)** if required CoC Renewal Scoring Documents are submitted after deadline (unless provided an extension due to extenuating circumstances) If a grantee needs to request a submission extension due to extenuating circumstances, this must be requested via email (to CoC email address) at least 2 business days in advance of deadline. Extensions will be reviewed and approved by the Funding Committee Chair. **No extensions will be provided for submissions greater than 5 days after the stated deadline**. Grantees are strongly encouraged to work ahead of the deadline to avoid the need to request an extension.	Review of Survey Submission Date	-2 point penalty for late submission of documents (late submission = any submission after the specific time/date of stated deadline) *No renewal scoring documents will be accepted later than 5 days after the stated deadline.*

Total Point Scale:

- PSH/RRH/TH-RRH – 100 points
- SSO – 95 points (SSO score will be converted to a 100-point scale)

FY 2022

Eastern PA CoC – PA-509

1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Documents include the following:

- **9/8/22 Email and attached letter to Blair County Community Action Program – New project not accepted**
- **9/8/22 Email and attached letter to Center for Community Action – New project not accepted**
- **9/8/22 Email and attached letter to County of Franklin – New project not accepted**
- **8/16/22 Email and attached letter to Lehigh Conference of Churches – SSO grant PA0213 voluntary partial reallocation**
- **9/13/22 CoC Website Public Posting of all projects accepted, rejected, reduced**
- **9/13/22 Email to CoC membership of all projects accepted, rejected, reduced**

Notification of Decision for CoC New Project Funding - Blair County Community Action Program

Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>

Thu 9/8/2022 1:21 PM

To: Carly Cunningham <carly.cunningham@blaircap.org>

📎 2 attachments (434 KB)

Blair CAP New Project Letter_9_8_22.pdf; Eastern PA CoC - FY2022 CoC Funding Process and Policies_FINAL.pdf;

Dear Carly,

Attached please find formal notification of the Eastern PA CoC's decision related to new project funding for Blair County Community Action Program.

Thank you,
DMA staff, on behalf of the Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee

--
DMA - Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
CoC Consultants for the Eastern PA Balance of State CoC
(215) 576-1558
easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org

Eastern Pennsylvania
Continuum of Care Collaborative

September 8, 2022

Carly Cunningham
Blair County Community Action Agency

RE : New project application submitted to Eastern PA CoC

Dear Carly,

Thank you for submitting an application to the Eastern PA CoC under the FY2022 Continuum of Care Annual CoC NOFO RFP. Blair County Community Action Agency's application was reviewed by the Funding Committee.

The CoC had $876,809 of CoC Bonus and reallocation money available and received 8 new project applications (CoC Bonus) totaling $2,009,479 in requested funds. Due to limited funding available for new projects, unfortunately Blair County Community Action Agency's application was not selected for funding.

The CoC's Appeal Policy is attached. Per the Appeal Policy, if you decide to appeal, you must send an email within 24 hours of receiving this notification (by September 9th, 2022) to easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org with the subject line, "Eastern PA CoC: New Project Selection Appeal". The email should provide any relevant rationale or documentation for consideration.

Thank you for your interest and commitment to the work that you do. If you would like to request technical assistance in order to understand how your application can compete more competitively next year, please reach out to the DMA, the CoC Consultant at easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org after October 20, 2022.

Sincerely,

Dave Young

Dave Young
Chair of Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee
    cc: CoC Consultant, Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc. (DMA)

Notification of Decision for CoC New Project Funding - Center for Community Action

Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>
Thu 9/8/2022 1:21 PM

To: Natasha Brubaker <nbrubaker@ccaofpa.org>

Dear Natasha,

Attached please find formal notification of the Eastern PA CoC's decision related to new project funding for Center for Community Action.

Thank you,
DMA staff, on behalf of the Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee

--
DMA - Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
CoC Consultants for the Eastern PA Balance of State CoC
(215) 576-1558
easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org

Eastern Pennsylvania
Continuum of Care Collaborative

September 8, 2022

Natasha Brubaker
Center for Community Action

RE : New project application submitted to Eastern PA CoC

Dear Natasha,

Thank you for submitting an application to the Eastern PA CoC under the FY2022 Continuum of Care Annual CoC NOFO RFP. Center for Community Action's application was reviewed by the Funding Committee.

The CoC had $876,809 of CoC Bonus and reallocation money available and received 8 new project applications (CoC Bonus) totaling $2,009,479 in requested funds. Due to limited funding available for new projects, unfortunately Center for Community Action's application was not selected for funding.

The CoC's Appeal Policy is attached. Per the Appeal Policy, if you decide to appeal, you must send an email within 24 hours of receiving this notification (by September 9th, 2022) to easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org with the subject line, "Eastern PA CoC: New Project Selection Appeal". The email should provide any relevant rationale or documentation for consideration.

Thank you for your interest and commitment to the work that you do. If you would like to request technical assistance in order to understand how your application can compete more competitively next year, please reach out to the DMA, the CoC Consultant at easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org after October 20, 2022.

Sincerely,

Dave Young

Dave Young
Chair of Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee
      cc: CoC Consultant, Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc. (DMA)

Notification of Decision for CoC New Project Funding - County of Franklin

Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>
<mark>Thu 9/8/2022 1:21 PM</mark>

To: Glenda Helman <gshelman@franklincountypa.gov>

Dear Glenda,

Attached please find formal notification of the Eastern PA CoC's decision related to new project funding for County of Franklin.

Thank you,
DMA staff, on behalf of the Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee

--
DMA - Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
CoC Consultants for the Eastern PA Balance of State CoC
(215) 576-1558
easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org

Eastern Pennsylvania
Continuum of Care Collaborative

September 8, 2022

Glenda Helman
County of Franklin

RE : New project application submitted to Eastern PA CoC

Dear Glenda,

Thank you for submitting an application to the Eastern PA CoC under the FY2022 Continuum of Care Annual CoC NOFO RFP. County of Franklin's application was reviewed by the Funding Committee.

The CoC had $876,809 of CoC Bonus and reallocation money available and received 8 new project applications (CoC Bonus) totaling $2,009,479 in requested funds. Due to limited funding available for new projects, unfortunately County of Franklin's application was not selected for funding.

The CoC's Appeal Policy is attached. Per the Appeal Policy, if you decide to appeal, you must send an email within 24 hours of receiving this notification (by September 9th, 2022) to easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org with the subject line, "Eastern PA CoC: New Project Selection Appeal". The email should provide any relevant rationale or documentation for consideration.

Thank you for your interest and commitment to the work that you do. If you would like to request technical assistance in order to understand how your application can compete more competitively next year, please reach out to the DMA, the CoC Consultant at easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org after October 20, 2022.

Sincerely,

Dave Young

Dave Young
Chair of Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee
  cc: CoC Consultant, Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc. (DMA)

Notification of Decision for CoC Expansion Funding - Lehigh Conference of Churches

Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>
<mark>Tue 8/16/2022 9:25 AM</mark>

To: dbest@lehighchurches.org <dbest@lehighchurches.org>;Kristine Ortiz <kortiz@lehighchurches.org>

Cc: bstephens@lehighchurches.org <bstephens@lehighchurches.org>

📎 1 attachments (295 KB)

Lehigh Conference of Churches_New Project Letter_8_11_22.pdf;

Dear Deanne,

Attached please find formal notification of the Eastern PA CoC's decision related to new project funding (expansion) for Lehigh Conference of Churches PSH, **which includes important information on next steps.**

Thank you,
DMA staff, on behalf of the Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee

--
DMA - Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
CoC Consultants for the Eastern PA Balance of State CoC
(215) 576-1558
easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org

August 16, 2022

Deanne Best
Lehigh Conference of Churches

RE: Expansion request - Eastern PA CoC

Dear Deanne,

This letter serves as confirmation that the CoC Funding Committee has reviewed your request to voluntarily reallocate $26,014 out of your SSO grant (PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless), as well as your request to apply for an expansion of your existing PSH project (PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation) in the amount of $26,014. These requests have been approved by the Funding Committee.

- **Lehigh Conference of Churches has been approved to apply for an expansion of their existing PSH project (PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation) under Reallocated Funds in the amount of $26,014. This will specifically be an expansion of Supportive Services.**

Next steps:

- **Please see the next page for instructions and timelines for submitting your new project application.** These timelines are tight due to HUD's shortened timeframes this year; please review this information carefully and ensure that you meet the requested deadlines.

Thank you for the commitment of your organization and staff to be a partner to the Eastern PA CoC as we work together to reduce and end homelessness.

Sincerely,

Dave Young

Dave Young
Chair of Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee
    cc: CoC Consultant, Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc. (DMA)

https://pennsylvaniacoc.org/news/notification-eastern-pa-coc-renewal-and-new-project-selection

NEED HOUSING ASSISTANCE?

Search

Pennsylvania Continuums of Care

About the CoC   Contact Us   News   Events

RESOURCES   THE BALANCE OF STATE   HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM   EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT   BECOME A MEMBER

EASTERN COC

Notification to the Eastern PA CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection

13 September 2022

Notification to the CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection (FY22 CoC NOFO Competition)

Dear Eastern PA Continuum of Care grantees, members and stakeholders

The below is an important notice regarding the FY 2022 CoC NOFA Competition.

This email summarizes the list of projects that have been accepted by the CoC and will be included in the Eastern PA CoC 2022 Project Priority List for funding. This includes renewal projects, renewal projects that have been partially reallocated and new projects that will be submitted. Also listed are the renewal projects that have been reallocated and applicants for new projects that were not accepted for inclusion in the CoC Application. Please note that all projects that are being fully or partially reallocated have been informed of the reallocation prior to the distribution of this email.

have been reallocated and applicants for new projects that were not accepted for inclusion in the CoC Application. Please note that all projects that are being fully or partially reallocated have been informed of the reallocation prior to the distribution of this email.

RENEWAL PROJECTS

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD. Each of these projects will be submitted at the full Annual Renewal Amount, as indicated on the CoC's 2022 Grant Inventory Worksheet:

- Blair County Community Action Program, PA0372 - Blair County Community Action Program-Rapid Re-Housing Program, Budget: $996,842, Score: 81
- Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing, Budget: $127,031, Score: 88.5
- Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP, Budget: $115,339, Score: 75
- Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0519-PSHP Pike County, Budget: $105,812, Score: 85.1
- Center for Community Action, PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH, Budget: $114,439, Score: 96.4
- Center for Community Action, PA0813 South Central PA RRH, Budget: $301,293, Score: 88.8
- Centre County Government, PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program, Budget: $385,415, Score: 92
- Clinton County Housing Coalition, Inc., CCHC Regional Rapid Rehousing, Budget: $216,032, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, PA0188 Commonwealth of PA HMIS (PA-509) FY2019, Budget: $374,099, Score: N/A - CoC infrastructure
- County of Franklin , PA 0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project, Budget: $91,356, Score: 87.1
- County of Franklin, PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project, Budget: $256,906, Score: 81.2
- Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc, PA0214- Pathfinders PSH, Budget: $256,766, Score: 78.9

Budget: $256,906, Score: 81.2

- Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc, PA0214- Pathfinders PSH, Budget: $256,766, Score: 78.9
- Housing Alliance of Pennsylvania, PA0736 - Connect To Home Coordinated Entry Project FY 2019, Budget: $617,716, Score: N/A - CoC infrastructure
- Housing Authority of Monroe County, PA0219 - Shelter Plus Care MC, Budget: $134,265, Score: 57.5
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program, Budget: $46,458, Score: 88.2
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0447 - PSH Consolidated, Budget: $311,190, Score: 74.4
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing, Budget: $190,217, Score: 91.9
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0553 - Carlisle Supportive Housing Program, Budget: $20,983, Score: 89.9
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0647 - Shelter + Care Chronic, Budget: $208,154, Score: 74.9
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon, Budget: $156,244, Score: 85.7
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, Safe Harbour Shelter + Care, Budget: $56,137, Score: 83.4
- Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0384 HDC SHP 3 , Budget: $166,543, Score: 75.5
- Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0582 HDC SHP 6 2019, Budget: $160,316, Score: 93
- Housing Transitions, Inc., PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments, Budget: $251,877, Score: 79.5
- Lehigh County Housing Authority, PA0215 LCHA S+C, Budget: $241,210, Score: 85.6
- Northampton County Housing Authority, PA0212 NCHA S+C , Budget: $125,973, Score: 83
- Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation, PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application, Budget: $258,133,

$125,973, Score: 83

- Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation, PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application, Budget: $258,133, Score: 92.5
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Eastern PA CoC Comprehensive DV RRH, Budget: $2,092,665, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA0809 - East CoC DV RRH FY21 renewal/consolidation, Budget: $2,584,148, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0205-Crossroads Family, Budget: $298,304, Score: 74.1
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0206-Crossroads Individual, Budget: $403,316, Score: 82.4
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0211-LV ACT Housing Supports, Budget: $229,840, Score: 67
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus Expansion, Budget: $266,389, Score: 79.1
- Service Access and Management Inc., Rapid Re-Housing Franklin County, Budget: $141,968, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Tableland Services, Inc., PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project, Budget: $150,145, Score: 69.5
- Tableland Services, Inc., PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities (expansion), Budget: $371,757, Score: 65.5
- The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation, Budget: $1,071,356, Score: 80.8
- The Lehigh Conference of Churches, Pathways RRH, Budget: $390,252, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program, Budget: $184,502, Score: 90
- The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project, Budget: $76,849, Score: 88.7
- Third Street Alliance for Women & Children, PA0811_Third Street

- The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project, Budget: $76,849, Score: 88.7
- Third Street Alliance for Women & Children, PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program Expansion_Combined, Budget: $387,700, Score: 57.4
- Transitions of PA, PA0445 Lycoming/Clinton Renewal (transfer), Budget: $226,732, Score: N/A - not scored; recipient transfer
- Transitions of PA, PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims, Budget: $108,623, Score: 84.8
- Transitions of PA, PA0927 Coordinated Entry Specialist for Domestic Violence, Budget: $85,668, Score: N/A - CoC infrastructure
- Valley Housing Development Corporation, PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3, Budget: $403,265, Score: 79.5
- Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families, Budget: $322,472, Score: 67.5
- Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth, Budget: $535,694, Score: 67.5
- Wayne County, PA0883 - Wayne Combined TH/RRH Project, Budget: $91,330, Score: 76.7
- Young Women's Christian Association, PA0886- Liberty Options RRH, Budget: $160,423, Score: 84

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be included and ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD for an amount less than the Annual Renewal Amount indicated on the 2022 Grant Inventory Worksheet (partial reallocation):

- The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless, $71,545, Score: 86.5; Reason: Partial voluntary reallocation

The CoC did not fully reallocate any projects in the 2022 CoC NOFA Competition.

NEW PROJECTS

The CoC did not fully reallocate any projects in the 2022 CoC NOFA Competition.

NEW PROJECTS

The CoC received 10 new project applications totaling $3,460,342 in funding. The CoC had a total of $850,795 in funding to award from the CoC Bonus, $26,014 in funding to award from reallocation, and $1,701,590 in funding to award from the DV Bonus.

The following new projects have been accepted for inclusion on the New Project Priority List for CoC Bonus and/or reallocated funding and will be ranked on the CoC'S New Project Priority List:

- United Way of Pennsylvania, New SSO-CE, Budget: $230,669, Score: N/A – CoC Infrastructure approved by Governing Board
- Lehigh Conference of Churches, PSH Expansion, Budget: $26,014, Score: Not scored as new; organization did a partial voluntary reallocation of SSO in order to expand renewal PSH. Renewal project score was 80.8
- Women's Help Center, New TH-RRH, Budget: $230,000 (reduced from original request), Score: 80
- Monroe County Commissioners, New RRH, Budget: $254,529 (reduced from original request), Score: 64
- New Bethany, New RRH, Budget: $135,597, Score: 70

The following new projects have been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for DV Bonus funding:

- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, New SSO-CE, $270,405, Score: 55
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, New RRH, $1,431,185, Score: 67
- NOTE: HUD revised the DV Bonus amount available to the Eastern PA CoC after the CoC published the DV Bonus RFP. The Funding Committee approved PCADV to apply for the new revised maximum DV bonus amount.

The following organizations submitted preliminary applications to the CoC, but

approved PCADV to apply for the new revised maximum DV bonus amount.

The following organizations submitted preliminary applications to the CoC, but were not selected for funding:

- Blair County Community Action, New PSH, Budget Request, Score: 60, Reason for decision: Lack of overall funding; other proposed new projects brought in housing and/or healthcare leveraging which is a priority of HUD; gaps analysis data on demand vs. supply also informed funding committee decisions regarding geographic need
- County of Franklin, New PSH, Budget Request, Score: 46, Reason for Decision: Lack of overall funding; other proposed new projects brought in housing and/or healthcare leveraging which is a priority of HUD; gaps analysis data on demand vs. supply also informed funding committee decisions regarding geographic need
- Center for Community Action, RRH Expansion, Budget Request, Score: 71, Reason for Decision: Lack of overall funding; other proposed new projects brought in housing and/or healthcare leveraging which is a priority of HUD; gaps analysis data on demand vs. supply also informed funding committee decisions regarding geographic need

If you have any questions, please contact easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org. Thank you for your participation in the Eastern PA CoC and your ongoing commitment and efforts to end homelessness.

LINKS

https://pennsylvaniacoc.org/eastern-pa-coc-fy2022-coc-nofa-competition

Notification to the CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection (FY22 CoC NOFO Competition)

Eastern PA Continuum of Care <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>
Tue 9/13/2022 9:08 AM
To: Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>

Caution: External (easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org)

Possibly Misconfigured Service   Details

Report This Email FAQ GoDaddy Advanced Email Security, Powered by INKY

View this email in your browser.

Notification to the CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection (FY22 CoC NOFO Competition)

Dear Eastern PA Continuum of Care grantees, members and stakeholders

The below is an important notice regarding the FY 2022 CoC NOFA Competition.

This email summarizes the list of projects that have been accepted by the CoC and will be included in the Eastern PA CoC 2022 Project Priority List for funding. This includes renewal projects, renewal projects that have been partially reallocated and new projects that will be submitted. Also listed are the renewal projects that have been reallocated and applicants for new projects

that were not accepted for inclusion in the CoC Application. Please note that all projects that are being fully or partially reallocated have been informed of the reallocation prior to the distribution of this email.

RENEWAL PROJECTS

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD. Each of these projects will be submitted at the full Annual Renewal Amount, as indicated on the CoC's 2022 Grant Inventory Worksheet:

- Blair County Community Action Program, PA0372 - Blair County Community Action Program-Rapid Re-Housing Program, Budget: $996,842, Score: 81
- Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing, Budget: $127,031, Score: 88.5
- Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP, Budget: $115,339, Score: 75
- Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0519-PSHP Pike County, Budget: $105,812, Score: 85.1
- Center for Community Action, PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH, Budget: $114,439, Score: 96.4
- Center for Community Action, PA0813 South Central PA RRH, Budget: $301,293, Score: 88.8
- Centre County Government, PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program, Budget: $385,415, Score: 92
- Clinton County Housing Coalition, Inc., CCHC Regional Rapid Rehousing, Budget: $216,032, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, PA0188 Commonwealth of PA HMIS (PA-509) FY2019, Budget: $374,099, Score: N/A - CoC infrastructure
- County of Franklin , PA 0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project, Budget: $91,356, Score: 87.1
- County of Franklin, PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project, Budget: $256,906, Score: 81.2
- Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc, PA0214- Pathfinders PSH, Budget: $256,766, Score: 78.9
- Housing Alliance of Pennsylvania, PA0736 - Connect To Home Coordinated Entry Project FY 2019, Budget: $617,716, Score: N/A - CoC infrastructure
- Housing Authority of Monroe County, PA0219 - Shelter Plus Care MC, Budget: $134,265, Score: 57.5

- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program, Budget: $46,458, Score: 88.2
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0447 - PSH Consolidated, Budget: $311,190, Score: 74.4
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing, Budget: $190,217, Score: 91.9
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0553 - Carlisle Supportive Housing Program, Budget: $20,983, Score: 89.9
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0647 - Shelter + Care Chronic, Budget: $208,154, Score: 74.9
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon, Budget: $156,244, Score: 85.7
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, Safe Harbour Shelter + Care, Budget: $56,137, Score: 83.4
- Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0384 HDC SHP 3 , Budget: $166,543, Score: 75.5
- Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0582 HDC SHP 6 2019, Budget: $160,316, Score: 93
- Housing Transitions, Inc., PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments, Budget: $251,877, Score: 79.5
- Lehigh County Housing Authority, PA0215 LCHA S+C, Budget: $241,210, Score: 85.6
- Northampton County Housing Authority, PA0212 NCHA S+C , Budget: $125,973, Score: 83
- Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation, PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application, Budget: $258,133, Score: 92.5
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Eastern PA CoC Comprehensive DV RRH, Budget: $2,092,665, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA0809 - East CoC DV RRH FY21 renewal/consolidation, Budget: $2,584,148, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0205-Crossroads Family, Budget: $298,304, Score: 74.1
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0206-Crossroads Individual, Budget: $403,316, Score: 82.4
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0211-LV ACT Housing Supports, Budget: $229,840, Score: 67

- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus Expansion, Budget: $266,389, Score: 79.1
- Service Access and Management Inc., Rapid Re-Housing Franklin County, Budget: $141,968, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Tableland Services, Inc., PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project, Budget: $150,145, Score: 69.5
- Tableland Services, Inc., PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities (expansion), Budget: $371,757, Score: 65.5
- The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation, Budget: $1,071,356, Score: 80.8
- The Lehigh Conference of Churches, Pathways RRH, Budget: $390,252, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program, Budget: $184,502, Score: 90
- The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project, Budget: $76,849, Score: 88.7
- Third Street Alliance for Women & Children, PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program Expansion_Combined, Budget: $387,700, Score: 57.4
- Transitions of PA, PA0445 Lycoming/Clinton Renewal (transfer), Budget: $226,732, Score: N/A - not scored; recipient transfer
- Transitions of PA, PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims, Budget: $108,623, Score: 84.8
- Transitions of PA, PA0927 Coordinated Entry Specialist for Domestic Violence, Budget: $85,668, Score: N/A - CoC infrastructure
- Valley Housing Development Corporation, PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3, Budget: $403,265, Score: 79.5
- Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families, Budget: $322,472, Score: 67.5
- Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth, Budget: $535,694, Score: 67.5
- Wayne County, PA0883 - Wayne Combined TH/RRH Project, Budget: $91,330, Score: 76.7
- Young Women's Christian Association, PA0886- Liberty Options RRH, Budget: $160,423, Score: 84

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be included and ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD for an

amount less than the Annual Renewal Amount indicated on the 2022 Grant Inventory Worksheet (partial reallocation):

- The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless, $71,545, Score: 86.5; Reason: Partial voluntary reallocation

The CoC did not fully reallocate any projects in the 2022 CoC NOFA Competition.

NEW PROJECTS
The CoC received 10 new project applications totaling $3,460,342 in funding. The CoC had a total of $850,795 in funding to award from the CoC Bonus, $26,014 in funding to award from reallocation, and $1,701,590 in funding to award from the DV Bonus.

The following new projects have been accepted for inclusion on the New Project Priority List for CoC Bonus and/or reallocated funding and will be ranked on the CoC'S New Project Priority List:

- United Way of Pennsylvania, New SSO-CE, Budget: $230,669, Score: N/A – CoC Infrastructure approved by Governing Board
- Lehigh Conference of Churches, PSH Expansion, Budget: $26,014, Score: Not scored as new; organization did a partial voluntary reallocation of SSO in order to expand renewal PSH. Renewal project score was 80.8
- Women's Help Center, New TH-RRH, Budget: $230,000 (reduced from original request), Score: 80
- Monroe County Commissioners, New RRH, Budget: $254,529 (reduced from original request), Score: 64
- New Bethany, New RRH, Budget: $135,597, Score: 70

The following new projects have been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for DV Bonus funding:

- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, New SSO-CE, $270,405, Score: 55
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, New RRH, $1,431,185, Score: 67
- NOTE: HUD revised the DV Bonus amount available to the Eastern PA CoC after the CoC published the DV Bonus RFP. The Funding Committee

approved PCADV to apply for the new revised maximum DV bonus
amount.

The following organizations submitted preliminary applications to the CoC, but
were not selected for funding:

- Blair County Community Action, New PSH, Budget Request, Score: 60,
  Reason for decision: Lack of overall funding; other proposed new projects
  brought in housing and/or healthcare leveraging which is a priority of
  HUD; gaps analysis data on demand vs. supply also informed funding
  committee decisions regarding geographic need
- County of Franklin, New PSH, Budget Request, Score: 46, Reason for
  Decision: Lack of overall funding; other proposed new projects brought in
  housing and/or healthcare leveraging which is a priority of HUD; gaps
  analysis data on demand vs. supply also informed funding committee
  decisions regarding geographic need
- Center for Community Action, RRH Expansion, Budget Request, Score:
  71, Reason for Decision: Lack of overall funding; other proposed new
  projects brought in housing and/or healthcare leveraging which is a
  priority of HUD; gaps analysis data on demand vs. supply also informed
  funding committee decisions regarding geographic need

If you have any questions, please contact easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org.
Thank you for your participation in the Eastern PA CoC and your ongoing
commitment and efforts to end homelessness.

Join the Eastern PA CoC… click here to become a member!

Visit the Eastern PA CoC's website

7900 Old York Road, Suite 108-B, Elkins Park, PA 19027

If you were forwarded this email and want to sign up for the CoC mailing list, you can sign up here.

Want to change how you receive these emails?
You can update your preferences or unsubscribe from this list.

FY 2022

Eastern PA CoC – PA-509

1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted

Documents include the following:

- **9/13/22 CoC Website Posting of Renewal and New Projects Accepted (including organization, project, budget, and score)**
- **9/13/22 Email to CoC Membership of Renewal and New Projects (including organization, project, budget, and score)**
- **Mailchimp report showing that 9/13/22 email was sent to entire CoC membership (527 recipients).**
  - **This mailing list includes all CoC grantees, in addition to other CoC members**

https://pennsylvaniacoc.org/news/notification-eastern-pa-coc-renewal-and-new-project-selection

Pennsylvania Continuums of Care

NEED HOUSING ASSISTANCE?

Search

About the CoC   Contact Us   News   Events

RESOURCES   THE BALANCE OF STATE   HOMELESS MANAGEMENT INFORMATION SYSTEM   EMERGENCY SOLUTIONS GRANT   BECOME A MEMBER

EASTERN COC

Notification to the Eastern PA CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection

13 September 2022

Notification to the CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection (FY22 CoC NOFO Competition)

Dear Eastern PA Continuum of Care grantees, members and stakeholders

The below is an important notice regarding the FY 2022 CoC NOFA Competition.

This email summarizes the list of projects that have been accepted by the CoC and will be included in the Eastern PA CoC 2022 Project Priority List for funding. This includes renewal projects, renewal projects that have been partially reallocated and new projects that will be submitted. Also listed are the renewal projects that have been reallocated and applicants for new projects that were not accepted for inclusion in the CoC Application. Please note that all projects that are being fully or partially reallocated have been informed of the reallocation prior to the distribution of this email.

have been reallocated and applicants for new projects that were not accepted for inclusion in the CoC Application. Please note that all projects that are being fully or partially reallocated have been informed of the reallocation prior to the distribution of this email.

RENEWAL PROJECTS

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD. Each of these projects will be submitted at the full Annual Renewal Amount, as indicated on the CoC's 2022 Grant Inventory Worksheet:

- Blair County Community Action Program, PA0372 - Blair County Community Action Program-Rapid Re-Housing Program, Budget: $996,842, Score: 81
- Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing, Budget: $127,031, Score: 88.5
- Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP, Budget: $115,339, Score: 75
- Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0519-PSHP Pike County, Budget: $105,812, Score: 85.1
- Center for Community Action, PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH, Budget: $114,439, Score: 96.4
- Center for Community Action, PA0813 South Central PA RRH, Budget: $301,293, Score: 88.8
- Centre County Government, PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program, Budget: $385,415, Score: 92
- Clinton County Housing Coalition, Inc., CCHC Regional Rapid Rehousing, Budget: $216,032, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, PA0188 Commonwealth of PA HMIS (PA-509) FY2019, Budget: $374,099, Score: N/A - CoC infrastructure
- County of Franklin , PA 0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project, Budget: $91,356, Score: 87.1
- County of Franklin, PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project, Budget: $256,906, Score: 81.2
- Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc, PA0214- Pathfinders PSH, Budget: $256,766, Score: 78.9

Budget: $256,906, Score: 81.2

- Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc, PA0214- Pathfinders PSH, Budget: $256,766, Score: 78.9
- Housing Alliance of Pennsylvania, PA0736 - Connect To Home Coordinated Entry Project FY 2019, Budget: $617,716, Score: N/A - CoC infrastructure
- Housing Authority of Monroe County, PA0219 - Shelter Plus Care MC, Budget: $134,265, Score: 57.5
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program, Budget: $46,458, Score: 88.2
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0447 - PSH Consolidated, Budget: $311,190, Score: 74.4
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing, Budget: $190,217, Score: 91.9
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0553 - Carlisle Supportive Housing Program, Budget: $20,983, Score: 89.9
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0647 - Shelter + Care Chronic, Budget: $208,154, Score: 74.9
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon, Budget: $156,244, Score: 85.7
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, Safe Harbour Shelter + Care, Budget: $56,137, Score: 83.4
- Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0384 HDC SHP 3 , Budget: $166,543, Score: 75.5
- Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0582 HDC SHP 6 2019, Budget: $160,316, Score: 93
- Housing Transitions, Inc., PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments, Budget: $251,877, Score: 79.5
- Lehigh County Housing Authority, PA0215 LCHA S+C, Budget: $241,210, Score: 85.6
- Northampton County Housing Authority, PA0212 NCHA S+C , Budget: $125,973, Score: 83
- Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation, PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application, Budget: $258,133,

$125,973, Score: 83

- Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation, PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application, Budget: $258,133, Score: 92.5
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Eastern PA CoC Comprehensive DV RRH, Budget: $2,092,665, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA0809 - East CoC DV RRH FY21 renewal/consolidation, Budget: $2,584,148, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0205-Crossroads Family, Budget: $298,304, Score: 74.1
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0206-Crossroads Individual, Budget: $403,316, Score: 82.4
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0211-LV ACT Housing Supports, Budget: $229,840, Score: 67
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus Expansion, Budget: $266,389, Score: 79.1
- Service Access and Management Inc., Rapid Re-Housing Franklin County, Budget: $141,968, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Tableland Services, Inc., PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project, Budget: $150,145, Score: 69.5
- Tableland Services, Inc., PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities (expansion), Budget: $371,757, Score: 65.5
- The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation, Budget: $1,071,356, Score: 80.8
- The Lehigh Conference of Churches, Pathways RRH, Budget: $390,252, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program, Budget: $184,502, Score: 90
- The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project, Budget: $76,849, Score: 88.7
- Third Street Alliance for Women & Children, PA0811_Third Street

- The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project, Budget: $76,849, Score: 88.7
- Third Street Alliance for Women & Children, PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program Expansion_Combined, Budget: $387,700, Score: 57.4
- Transitions of PA, PA0445 Lycoming/Clinton Renewal (transfer), Budget: $226,732, Score: N/A - not scored; recipient transfer
- Transitions of PA, PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims, Budget: $108,623, Score: 84.8
- Transitions of PA, PA0927 Coordinated Entry Specialist for Domestic Violence, Budget: $85,668, Score: N/A - CoC infrastructure
- Valley Housing Development Corporation, PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3, Budget: $403,265, Score: 79.5
- Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families, Budget: $322,472, Score: 67.5
- Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth, Budget: $535,694, Score: 67.5
- Wayne County, PA0883 - Wayne Combined TH/RRH Project, Budget: $91,330, Score: 76.7
- Young Women's Christian Association, PA0886- Liberty Options RRH, Budget: $160,423, Score: 84

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be included and ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD for an amount less than the Annual Renewal Amount indicated on the 2022 Grant Inventory Worksheet (partial reallocation):

- The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless, $71,545, Score: 86.5; Reason: Partial voluntary reallocation

The CoC did not fully reallocate any projects in the 2022 CoC NOFA Competition.

NEW PROJECTS

The CoC did not fully reallocate any projects in the 2022 CoC NOFA Competition.

NEW PROJECTS

The CoC received 10 new project applications totaling $3,460,342 in funding. The CoC had a total of $850,795 in funding to award from the CoC Bonus, $26,014 in funding to award from reallocation, and $1,701,590 in funding to award from the DV Bonus.

The following new projects have been accepted for inclusion on the New Project Priority List for CoC Bonus and/or reallocated funding and will be ranked on the CoC'S New Project Priority List:

- United Way of Pennsylvania, New SSO-CE, Budget: $230,669, Score: N/A – CoC Infrastructure approved by Governing Board
- Lehigh Conference of Churches, PSH Expansion, Budget: $26,014, Score: Not scored as new; organization did a partial voluntary reallocation of SSO in order to expand renewal PSH. Renewal project score was 80.8
- Women's Help Center, New TH-RRH, Budget: $230,000 (reduced from original request), Score: 80
- Monroe County Commissioners, New RRH, Budget: $254,529 (reduced from original request), Score: 64
- New Bethany, New RRH, Budget: $135,597, Score: 70

The following new projects have been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for DV Bonus funding:

- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, New SSO-CE, $270,405, Score: 55
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, New RRH, $1,431,185, Score: 67
- NOTE: HUD revised the DV Bonus amount available to the Eastern PA CoC after the CoC published the DV Bonus RFP. The Funding Committee approved PCADV to apply for the new revised maximum DV bonus amount.

The following organizations submitted preliminary applications to the CoC, but

approved PCADV to apply for the new revised maximum DV bonus amount.

The following organizations submitted preliminary applications to the CoC, but were not selected for funding:

- Blair County Community Action, New PSH, Budget Request, Score: 60, Reason for decision: Lack of overall funding; other proposed new projects brought in housing and/or healthcare leveraging which is a priority of HUD; gaps analysis data on demand vs. supply also informed funding committee decisions regarding geographic need
- County of Franklin, New PSH, Budget Request, Score: 46, Reason for Decision: Lack of overall funding; other proposed new projects brought in housing and/or healthcare leveraging which is a priority of HUD; gaps analysis data on demand vs. supply also informed funding committee decisions regarding geographic need
- Center for Community Action, RRH Expansion, Budget Request, Score: 71, Reason for Decision: Lack of overall funding; other proposed new projects brought in housing and/or healthcare leveraging which is a priority of HUD; gaps analysis data on demand vs. supply also informed funding committee decisions regarding geographic need

If you have any questions, please contact easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org. Thank you for your participation in the Eastern PA CoC and your ongoing commitment and efforts to end homelessness.

LINKS

https://pennsylvaniacoc.org/eastern-pa-coc-fy2022-coc-nofa-competition

Eastern PA Continuum of Care <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>

Tue 9/13/2022 9:08 AM

To: Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>

Caution: External (easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org)

Possibly Misconfigured Service   Details

Report This Email FAQ GoDaddy Advanced Email Security, Powered by INKY

View this email in your browser.

Notification to the CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection (FY22 CoC NOFO Competition)

Dear Eastern PA Continuum of Care grantees, members and stakeholders

The below is an important notice regarding the FY 2022 CoC NOFA Competition.

This email summarizes the list of projects that have been accepted by the CoC and will be included in the Eastern PA CoC 2022 Project Priority List for funding. This includes renewal projects, renewal projects that have been partially reallocated and new projects that will be submitted. Also listed are the renewal projects that have been reallocated and applicants for new projects

that were not accepted for inclusion in the CoC Application. Please note that all projects that are being fully or partially reallocated have been informed of the reallocation prior to the distribution of this email.

RENEWAL PROJECTS

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD. Each of these projects will be submitted at the full Annual Renewal Amount, as indicated on the CoC's 2022 Grant Inventory Worksheet:

- Blair County Community Action Program, PA0372 - Blair County Community Action Program-Rapid Re-Housing Program, Budget: $996,842, Score: 81
- Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing, Budget: $127,031, Score: 88.5
- Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP, Budget: $115,339, Score: 75
- Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0519-PSHP Pike County, Budget: $105,812, Score: 85.1
- Center for Community Action, PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH, Budget: $114,439, Score: 96.4
- Center for Community Action, PA0813 South Central PA RRH, Budget: $301,293, Score: 88.8
- Centre County Government, PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program, Budget: $385,415, Score: 92
- Clinton County Housing Coalition, Inc., CCHC Regional Rapid Rehousing, Budget: $216,032, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, PA0188 Commonwealth of PA HMIS (PA-509) FY2019, Budget: $374,099, Score: N/A - CoC infrastructure
- County of Franklin , PA 0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project, Budget: $91,356, Score: 87.1
- County of Franklin, PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project, Budget: $256,906, Score: 81.2
- Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc, PA0214- Pathfinders PSH, Budget: $256,766, Score: 78.9
- Housing Alliance of Pennsylvania, PA0736 - Connect To Home Coordinated Entry Project FY 2019, Budget: $617,716, Score: N/A - CoC infrastructure
- Housing Authority of Monroe County, PA0219 - Shelter Plus Care MC, Budget: $134,265, Score: 57.5

- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program, Budget: $46,458, Score: 88.2
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0447 - PSH Consolidated, Budget: $311,190, Score: 74.4
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing, Budget: $190,217, Score: 91.9
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0553 - Carlisle Supportive Housing Program, Budget: $20,983, Score: 89.9
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0647 - Shelter + Care Chronic, Budget: $208,154, Score: 74.9
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon, Budget: $156,244, Score: 85.7
- Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, Safe Harbour Shelter + Care, Budget: $56,137, Score: 83.4
- Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0384 HDC SHP 3 , Budget: $166,543, Score: 75.5
- Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0582 HDC SHP 6 2019, Budget: $160,316, Score: 93
- Housing Transitions, Inc., PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments, Budget: $251,877, Score: 79.5
- Lehigh County Housing Authority, PA0215 LCHA S+C, Budget: $241,210, Score: 85.6
- Northampton County Housing Authority, PA0212 NCHA S+C , Budget: $125,973, Score: 83
- Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation, PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application, Budget: $258,133, Score: 92.5
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, Eastern PA CoC Comprehensive DV RRH, Budget: $2,092,665, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA0809 - East CoC DV RRH FY21 renewal/consolidation, Budget: $2,584,148, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0205-Crossroads Family, Budget: $298,304, Score: 74.1
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0206-Crossroads Individual, Budget: $403,316, Score: 82.4
- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0211-LV ACT Housing Supports, Budget: $229,840, Score: 67

- Resources for Human Development, Inc., PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus Expansion, Budget: $266,389, Score: 79.1
- Service Access and Management Inc., Rapid Re-Housing Franklin County, Budget: $141,968, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- Tableland Services, Inc., PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project, Budget: $150,145, Score: 69.5
- Tableland Services, Inc., PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities (expansion), Budget: $371,757, Score: 65.5
- The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation, Budget: $1,071,356, Score: 80.8
- The Lehigh Conference of Churches, Pathways RRH, Budget: $390,252, Score: N/A- not operating long enough to be scored
- The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program, Budget: $184,502, Score: 90
- The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project, Budget: $76,849, Score: 88.7
- Third Street Alliance for Women & Children, PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program Expansion_Combined, Budget: $387,700, Score: 57.4
- Transitions of PA, PA0445 Lycoming/Clinton Renewal (transfer), Budget: $226,732, Score: N/A - not scored; recipient transfer
- Transitions of PA, PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims, Budget: $108,623, Score: 84.8
- Transitions of PA, PA0927 Coordinated Entry Specialist for Domestic Violence, Budget: $85,668, Score: N/A - CoC infrastructure
- Valley Housing Development Corporation, PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3, Budget: $403,265, Score: 79.5
- Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families, Budget: $322,472, Score: 67.5
- Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth, Budget: $535,694, Score: 67.5
- Wayne County, PA0883 - Wayne Combined TH/RRH Project, Budget: $91,330, Score: 76.7
- Young Women's Christian Association, PA0886- Liberty Options RRH, Budget: $160,423, Score: 84

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be included and ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD for an

amount less than the Annual Renewal Amount indicated on the 2022 Grant Inventory Worksheet (partial reallocation):

- The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless, $71,545, Score: 86.5; Reason: Partial voluntary reallocation

The CoC did not fully reallocate any projects in the 2022 CoC NOFA Competition.

NEW PROJECTS

The CoC received 10 new project applications totaling $3,460,342 in funding. The CoC had a total of $850,795 in funding to award from the CoC Bonus, $26,014 in funding to award from reallocation, and $1,701,590 in funding to award from the DV Bonus.

The following new projects have been accepted for inclusion on the New Project Priority List for CoC Bonus and/or reallocated funding and will be ranked on the CoC'S New Project Priority List:

- United Way of Pennsylvania, New SSO-CE, Budget: $230,669, Score: N/A – CoC Infrastructure approved by Governing Board
- Lehigh Conference of Churches, PSH Expansion, Budget: $26,014, Score: Not scored as new; organization did a partial voluntary reallocation of SSO in order to expand renewal PSH. Renewal project score was 80.8
- Women's Help Center, New TH-RRH, Budget: $230,000 (reduced from original request), Score: 80
- Monroe County Commissioners, New RRH, Budget: $254,529 (reduced from original request), Score: 64
- New Bethany, New RRH, Budget: $135,597, Score: 70

The following new projects have been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for DV Bonus funding:

- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, New SSO-CE, $270,405, Score: 55
- Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, New RRH, $1,431,185, Score: 67
- NOTE: HUD revised the DV Bonus amount available to the Eastern PA CoC after the CoC published the DV Bonus RFP. The Funding Committee

approved PCADV to apply for the new revised maximum DV bonus
amount.

The following organizations submitted preliminary applications to the CoC, but
were not selected for funding:

- Blair County Community Action, New PSH, Budget Request, Score: 60,
  Reason for decision: Lack of overall funding; other proposed new projects
  brought in housing and/or healthcare leveraging which is a priority of
  HUD; gaps analysis data on demand vs. supply also informed funding
  committee decisions regarding geographic need
- County of Franklin, New PSH, Budget Request, Score: 46, Reason for
  Decision: Lack of overall funding; other proposed new projects brought in
  housing and/or healthcare leveraging which is a priority of HUD; gaps
  analysis data on demand vs. supply also informed funding committee
  decisions regarding geographic need
- Center for Community Action, RRH Expansion, Budget Request, Score:
  71, Reason for Decision: Lack of overall funding; other proposed new
  projects brought in housing and/or healthcare leveraging which is a
  priority of HUD; gaps analysis data on demand vs. supply also informed
  funding committee decisions regarding geographic need

If you have any questions, please contact easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org.
Thank you for your participation in the Eastern PA CoC and your ongoing
commitment and efforts to end homelessness.

Join the Eastern PA CoC... click here to become a member!

Visit the Eastern PA CoC's website

Copyright © 2018 Eastern PA Continuum of Care, All rights reserved.

Our mailing address is:
c/o Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.

7900 Old York Road, Suite 108-B, Elkins Park, PA 19027

If you were forwarded this email and want to sign up for the CoC mailing list, you can sign up here.

Want to change how you receive these emails?
You can update your preferences or unsubscribe from this list.

Create

Audience ⌄

Campaigns ⌃

All campaigns

Email templates

Email Analytics

Reports

Automations ⌄

Website ⌄

Content Studio ⌄

Integrations ⌄

Search

Notification To The CoC Of New Project Selection - Special NOFO Rural Set Aside

Switch report ⌄

Overview   Activity ⌄   Click Performance   Content Optimizer   Social   E-commerce   Inbox   Analytics360

527 Recipients

Audience: Eastern PA Continuum of Care

Subject: Notification to the CoC of New Project Selection - Special NOFO Rural Set Aside

Delivered: Thu, Sep 22, 2022 8:55 am

View email · Download · Print · Share

Feedback

172	17	5	1
Opened	Clicked	Bounced	Unsubscribed

FY 2022

Eastern PA CoC – PA-509

1E-5b. Final Project Scores for All Projects

Documents include the following:

- **Final Project Scores for All Projects**

Applicant Name	Project Name	Grant 6-digit pin	Project Type	Award Amount	Score	RANK	Accepted/Rejected Status
Center for Community Action	PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH	PA0661	RRH	$114,439	96.4	1	Renewal - accepted
Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	PA0582 HDC SHP 6 2019	PA0582	PSH	$160,316	93	2	Renewal - accepted
Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation	PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application	PA0360	PSH	$258,133	92.5	3	Renewal - accepted
Centre County Government	PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program	PA0814	RRH	$385,415	92.0	4	Renewal - accepted
Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing	PA0514	RRH	$190,217	91.9	5	Renewal - accepted
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program	PA0634	PSH	$184,502	90.0	6	Renewal - accepted
Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0553 - Carlisle Supportive Housing Program	PA0553	PSH	$20,983	89.9	7	Renewal - accepted
Center for Community Action	PA0813 South Central PA RRH	PA0813	RRH	$301,293	88.8	8	Renewal - accepted
The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project	PA0655	PSH	$76,849	88.7	9	Renewal - accepted
Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing	PA0386	PSH	$127,031	88.5	10	Renewal - accepted
Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program	PA0177	PSH	$46,458	88.2	11	Renewal - accepted
County of Franklin	PA 0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project	PA0649	PSH	$91,356	87.1	12	Renewal - accepted
The Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless	PA0213	SSO	$71,545	86.5	13	Renewal - reduced (partial voluntary reallocation)
Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon	PA0812	RRH	$156,244	85.7	14	Renewal - accepted
Lehigh County Housing Authority	PA0215 LCHA S+C	PA0215	PSH	$241,210	85.6	15	Renewal - accepted
Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0519-PSHP Pike County	PA0519	PSH	$105,812	85.1	16	Renewal - accepted
Transitions of PA	PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims	PA0859	RRH	$108,623	84.8	17	Renewal - accepted
Young Women's Christian Association	PA0886- Liberty Options RRH	PA0886	RRH	$160,423	84	18	Renewal - accepted
Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	Safe Harbour Shelter + Care	PA0512	PSH	$56,137	83.4	19	Renewal - accepted
Northampton County Housing Authority	PA0212 NCHA S+C	PA0212	PSH	$125,973	83	20	Renewal - accepted
Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0206-Crossroads Individual	PA0206	PSH	$403,316	82.4	21	Renewal - accepted
County of Franklin	PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project	PA0182	PSH	$256,906	81.2	22	Renewal - accepted
Blair County Community Action Program	PA0372 - Blair County Community Action Program-Rapid Re-Housing Program	PA0372	RRH	$996,842	81.0	23	Renewal - accepted
The Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation	PA0222	PSH	$1,071,356	80.8	24	Renewal - accepted

Applicant Name	Project Name	Grant 6-digit pin	Project Type	Award Amount	Score	RANK	Accepted/Rejected Status
Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation - Expansion	N/A	PSH	$26,014	Not scored as new; organization did a partial voluntary reallocation of SSO in order to expand renewal PSH. Renewal project score was 80.8	25	New -accepted
Housing Transitions, Inc.	PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments	PA0176	PSH	$251,877	79.5	26	Renewal - accepted
Valley Housing Development Corporation	PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3	PA0216	PSH	$403,265	79.5	27	Renewal - accepted
Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus Expansion	PA0449	PSH	$266,389	79.1	28	Renewal - accepted
Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc	PA0214- Pathfinders PSH	PA0214	PSH	$256,766	78.9	29	Renewal - accepted
Wayne County	PA0883 - Wayne Combined TH/RRH Project	PA0883	TH-RRH	$91,330	76.6	30	Renewal - accepted
Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	PA0384 HDC SHP 3	PA0384	PSH	$166,543	75.5	31	Renewal - accepted
Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP	PA0450	PSH	$115,339	75.0	32	Renewal - accepted
Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0647 - Shelter + Care Chronic	PA0647	PSH	$208,154	74.9	33	Renewal - accepted
Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0447 - PSH Consolidated	PA0447	PSH	$311,190	74.4	34	Renewal - accepted
Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0205-Crossroads Family	PA0205	PSH	$298,304	74.1	35	Renewal - accepted
Tableland Services, Inc.	PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project	PA0366	RRH	$150,145	69.5	36	Renewal - accepted
Valley Youth House Committee, Inc.	PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth	PA0887	TH-RRH	$535,694	67.5	37	Renewal - accepted
Valley Youth House Committee, Inc.	PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families	PA0808	RRH	$322,472	67.5	38	Renewal - accepted
Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0211-LV ACT Housing Supports	PA0211	PSH	$229,840	67	39	Renewal - accepted
Clinton County Housing Coalition, Inc.	CCHC Regional Rapid Rehousing	PA0966	RRH	$216,032	N/A- not operating long enough to be scored	40	Renewal - accepted
Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Eastern PA CoC Comprehensive DV RRH	PA1032	RRH	$2,092,665	N/A- not operating long enough to be scored	41	Renewal - accepted
Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	PA0809 - East CoC DV RRH FY21 renewal/consolidation	PA0809	RRH	$2,584,148	N/A- not operating long enough to be scored	42	Renewal - accepted
Service Access and Management Inc.	Rapid Re-Housing Franklin County	PA1031	RRH	$141,968	N/A- not operating long enough to be scored	43	Renewal - accepted
The Lehigh Conference of Churches	Pathways RRH	PA1029	RRH	$390,252	N/A- not operating long enough to be scored	44	Renewal - accepted
Transitions of PA	PA0445 Lycoming/Clinton Renewal	PA0445	HMIS	$226,732	N/A - not scored; recipient transfer	45	Renewal - accepted
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	PA0188 Commonwealth of PA HMIS (PA-509)	PA0188	HMIS	$374,099	N/A - CoC infrastructure	46	Renewal - accepted

Applicant Name	Project Name	Grant 6-digit pin	Project Type	Award Amount	Score	RANK	Accepted/Rejected Status
Housing Alliance of Pennsylvania	PA0736 - Connect To Home Coordinated Entry Project	PA0736	SSO-CE	$617,716	N/A - CoC infrastructure	47	Renewal - accepted
Transitions of PA	PA0927 Coordinated Entry Specialist for Domestic Violence	PA0927	SSO-CE	$85,668	N/A - CoC infrastructure	48	Renewal - accepted
Tableland Services, Inc.	PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities (expansion)	PA0705	PSH	$371,757	65.5	49	Renewal - accepted
Housing Authority of Monroe County	PA0219 - Shelter Plus Care MC	PA0219	PSH	$134,265	57.5	50	Renewal - accepted
Third Street Alliance for Women & Children	PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program Expansion_Combined	PA0811	RRH	$387,700	57.4	51	Renewal - accepted
United Way of Pennsylvania	Enhanced East CES	N/A	SSO-CE	$230,669	N/A – CoC Infrastructure approved by Governing Board	52	New -accepted
New Bethany	New Bethany Rapid Rehousing	N/A	RRH	$135,597	70	53	New -accepted
Monroe County Commissioners	Pocono Mountains Rapid Re-Housing Program	N/A	RRH	$254,529	64	54	New -accepted
Women's Help Center	Community Housing and Rental Program	N/A	TH-RRH	$230,000	80	55	New -accepted
Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Eastern PA CoC-wide DV RRH	N/A	RRH	$1,431,185	67	56	New -accepted
Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	Eastern PA CoC DV CE Extension	N/A	SSO-CE	$270,405	55	57	New -accepted
Blair County Community Action	New PSH	N/A	PSH	$0	60	N/A	New - rejected
County of Franklin	New PSH	N/A	PSH	$0	46	N/A	New - rejected
Center for Community Action	RRH Expansion	N/A	RRH	$0	71	N/A	New - rejected

FY 2022

Eastern PA CoC – PA-509

3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements

Documents include the following:

- **Healthcare Formal Agreement for Women's Help Center Community Housing and Rental Program (TH-RRH New Project). Total Commitment: $58,000**

HYNDMAN AREA HEALTH CENTER & WOMEN'S HELP CENTER, INC.

PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

Memorandum of Understanding

Regarding Health Care Services

This Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU" or "Agreement") is entered into between Hyndman Area Health Center and Women's Help Center, Inc. on September 16, 2022. Each signatory to this MOU may be referred to as a "party" and collectively as "Parties."

WHEREAS, Hyndman Area Health Center, Federally Qualified Health Center, is a Healthcare organization providing primary care in Johnstown, Cambria County, Pennsylvania, and

WHEREAS, Women's Help Center, Inc. provides case management and supportive services to individuals and families in the areas of housing, employment, education, advocacy, and services to ensure that episodes of homelessness are rare, brief, and non-recurring; and all eligible households with the region have safe, affordable housing, and

WHEREAS, in the interest of collaborating for more effective treatment, Hyndman Area Health Center and Women's Help Center, Inc. will work together to serve individuals whom they believe may have chronic physical health conditions, unmet healthcare needs, behavioral health issues or other health-related issues for which the patient chooses to seek treatment. Such services will be offered to all project participants in the Women's Help Center, Inc. proposed new project, named "Community Housing & Rental Program" (hereafter referred to as "the project"), to be submitted on the Eastern Pennsylvania CoC's FY22 CoC Priority List. If funded, the project will serve 20 households at a single point in time. Any participant in the project wishing to use such services will be able to access them from Hyndman Area Health Center.

WHEREAS, the parties desire to enter into an agreement that clearly identifies the roles and responsibilities of each party with respect to the development and implementation of an integrated health care services partnership.

TERM AND TERMINATION

The initial term of this MOU shall be from the start of the project's contract, estimated to be October 2023 and will run for a period of one year. Upon expiration of the initial term, this MOU shall be automatically renewed for successive one-year terms, each commencing on the first day following the date on which the preceding initial term or renewal term shall have expired. Each party reserves the right not to elect to renew the MOU.

Notwithstanding any provisions in this MOU, either party may terminate this MOU, with or without cause and with or without providing reasons for termination, upon giving the other Party thirty (30) days' prior written notice.

RESPONSIBILITIES

Responsibilities of Hyndman Area Health Center:

- Commit to providing $58,000 in services to 20 participants enrolled in the "Community Housing & Rental Program" project. This total amount is based on an hourly billing rate (PPS) of $152.00 for medical, behavioral health, family planning, woman's health, pediatrics, dermatology, and $198.00 for dental services for a total of 332 anticipated hours of services.

- Work with the Women's Help Center staff to assist program participants to obtain medical insurance to address healthcare needs.
- Collaborate with the Women's Help Center to initiate and receive referrals for services; make available and provide participants who are in need of and agreeable to receiving the following: 1) primary care services, 2) dental services, 3) pediatric services, 4) women's health services, 5) transportation to appointments.
- Support a health and housing care coordination process that synchronizes housing and healthcare targeted for individuals with multi-morbidities which may include: 1) primary care, 2) specialist healthcare, 3) administering medication, 4) transportation for dialysis, 5) scheduling appointments & prescription delivery.
- Coordination of data sharing to retain consistent, mainstream care.
- Ensure that clients are able to access the full range of assistance they need, no matter where they enter the system.

Responsibilities of Women's Help Center, Inc.:

- Provide housing resources to help the participants of the project in accessing and utilizing healthcare resources.
- Provide intensive case management services to the participants enrolled in the project to assist in creating linkages to community supports for the duration of their transition from homelessness into housing.
- Provide SOAR enrollment assistance strategies for the participants of the project.
- Facilitate community-based agency capacity to bill Medicaid for services.

Collectively both parties will:

- Work together collaboratively to address the healthcare needs of the participants to improve health outcomes.
- Strengthen communication and coordination between the housing and healthcare systems to support integrated planning and care provisions.
- Ensure that associated programming offered under this MOU complies with HUD CoC Program and fair housing requirements. Project eligibility for program participants in this project will be based on CoC Program fair housing requirements and will not be restricted by the health care service provider. HAHC, Executives, or Board of Director will not overrule CoC Program and fair housing requirements.

EFFECTIVE DATE AND SIGNATURES

The parties to this MOU through their duly authorized representatives have executed and certify that they have read, understood, and agree to the terms and conditions as set forth herein.

Hyndman Area Health Center

Signature: _____

William Kurtycz, Chief Executive Officer

09/16/2022
Date

Women's Help Center, Inc.

Signature: _____

Roxann Tyger, Executive Director

09/16/2022
Date