

**Eastern PA CoC: 2023 Renewal Project Scoring Criteria**

Approved: 3/24/2023

The time period used for all data will be October 1, 2021 – September 30, 2022

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
<b>Performance and Data Quality= 41 points (RRH, TH-RRH, PSH); 38 points (SSO)</b>			
1a	<p><b>RRH/TH-RRH -Housing Stability:</b> Exit to Permanent Housing (RRH and TH-RRH only).</p> <p><u>Measurement:</u> % of exits to permanent housing destination among all participants/leavers who exited project.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> Individuals who exit to any of the below listed “destinations” will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility</li> <li>• Foster care home or foster care group home</li> <li>• Long-term care facility or nursing home</li> <li>• Deceased</li> <li>• Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility</li> </ul> <p>• Average outcome in 2022= 91%</p> <p><i>*NOTE: The thresholds for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising thresholds again in future rounds.</i></p>	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	<p><u>RRH and TH-RRH:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 100% = 10 points</li> <li>• 90-99% = 7 points</li> <li>• 80-89% = 4 points</li> <li>• 75-79% = 2 points</li> </ul>
1b	<p><b>SSO-Housing Stability:</b> % of all participants/leavers placed into Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing or Permanent Housing (SSO only)</p> <p><u>Note:</u> Individuals who exit to any of the below listed “destinations” will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility</li> <li>• Foster care home or foster care group home</li> <li>• Long-term care facility or nursing home</li> </ul>	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	<p><u>SSO:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• 75-100% = 10 points</li> <li>• 50-74% = 7 points</li> <li>• 36-49% = 4 points</li> <li>• 25-35% = 2 points</li> </ul>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Deceased</li> <li>● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility</li>   <li>● Average outcome in 2022= N/A (no exits)</li> </ul>		
1c	<p><b>PSH-Housing Stability:</b> Exit to other Permanent Housing or retention of PSH (PSH only).</p> <p><u>Measurement:</u> % of all project participants stayers remained in PSH project or all project participants/leavers exited to other permanent housing.</p> <p><u>Note:</u> Individuals who exit to any of the below listed “destinations” will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility</li> <li>● Foster care home or foster care group home</li> <li>● Long-term care facility or nursing home</li> <li>● Deceased</li> <li>● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility</li>   <li>● Average outcome in 2022= 97%</li> </ul>	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● 100% = 10 points</li> <li>● 97-99% - 7 points</li> <li>● 93-96% = 4 points</li> <li>● 90-92% = 2 points</li> </ul>
2	<p><b>Returns to Homelessness:</b> % returns to homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing destination</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <u>Note:</u> N/A for DV providers, as there is no way to measure if clients reentered the system, only their specific program.</li> <li>● N/A for SSO Projects</li>   <li>● Average outcome in 2022= 0.0%</li> </ul>	HMIS	<p><u>PH projects:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● ≤ 2% = 2 points</li> <li>● 3-10% = 1 point</li> </ul>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
3	<p><b>Projects dedicated to serving survivors of Domestic Violence only:</b> Degree to which victim service projects improve safety for the population served.</p> <p>Evaluate the practices of victim service providers around serving survivors of domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking, and their ability to house survivors and meet safety outcomes.</p>	Renewal Summary Form	<p>2 total points (1 point per question)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Projects must describe how they ensure the safety of DV survivors by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Training staff on safety planning</li> <li>● Training staff on trauma-informed, victim centered approaches</li> <li>● Adjusting intake space to better ensure a private conversation</li> <li>● Working with survivors to have them identify what is safe for them as it relates to scattered site units and/or rental assistance</li> <li>● Keeping the location confidential of units used for survivors</li> </ul> </li>   <li>2) Project must describe how they <b>measure</b> the projects' ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served (i.e. how does the project capture/evaluate this data)</li> </ol>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
4	<p><b>Length of time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date:</b> % of households who moved into housing in under 30 days (measured by days between project enrollment date and move in date). (TH-RRH, RRH, and PSH Only)</p> <p>*N/A for SSO</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Average outcome in 2022: 83%</li> </ul> <p>Providers are expected to move participants in as quickly as possible upon enrollment; Decreasing length of time between project start date and housing move-in date is one factor that contributes to SPM Metric 1b (length of time homeless)</p>	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PSH: 90%+ moved in to housing within 30 days of project enrollment = 1 point</li> <li>RRH: 80%+ moved in to housing within 30 days of project enrollment = 1 point</li> </ul>
5	<p><b>Income Growth:</b> Increase in TOTAL income of all adult participants from any source (leavers and stayers): % of all adult participants who increased income from any source from entry to exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers)</p> <p>NOTE: Adult participants' Earned Income Growth and Non-Earned Income Growth both factor into Total Income Growth.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Average outcome in 2022= 55%</li> </ul>	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>50% or more had an increase in total income = 5 points</li> <li>40-49% increase income = 4 points</li> <li>30-39% increase income = 3 points</li> <li>20-29% increase income = 2 points</li> </ul>
6 (New)	<p><b>Participants with any income</b> - % of adult participants with 1+ source of income at exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers)</p>	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>60%+ - 5 points</li> <li>50-59% - 4 points</li> <li>40-49% - 3 points</li> <li>30-39% - 2 points</li> <li>20-29% - 1 point</li> </ul>
7	<p><b>Participants connected to Non-cash/ Mainstream Benefits:</b> Percentage of adult participants with 1+ source of Non-Cash benefits (SNAP, WIC, TANF, others, etc.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Average outcome in 2022= 69%</li> </ul>	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>70%+ of program participants enrolled in 1+ mainstream benefit = 3 points</li> <li>60-69% = 2.5 points</li> <li>50-59% = 2 point</li> <li>40-49% = 1.5 points</li> <li>30-39% = 1 point</li> </ul>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
8	<p><b>Participants Connected to Health Insurance:</b> Percentage of all participants with 1+ source of health insurance</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Average outcome in 2022= 87%</li> </ul>	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>95%+ with 1+ source of health insurance = 3 points</li> <li>80-94% = 2 point</li> <li>70-79% = 1 point</li> </ul>
9	<p><b>High quality data entry:</b> Full participation in HMIS is required of all CoC-funded organizations.</p> <p>% of Error Rate for the following data points entered into HMIS:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>PII - Name</li> <li>PII - Date of birth</li> <li>PII - Race</li> <li>PII - Ethnicity</li> <li>PII - Gender</li> <li>Destination</li> <li>Income and Sources at Entry</li> <li>Income and Sources at Annual Assessment</li> <li>Income and Sources at Exit</li> </ol>	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	<p>8.5 points total</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>9.a. – 0% error rate – 0.5 points</li> <li>9.c.– 0% error rate – 0.5 points</li> <li>9.d. – 0% error rate – 0.5 points</li> <li>9.e.– 0% error rate – 0.5 points</li> <li>9.f.– 0% error rate –0.5 points</li> <li>9.g.– 0% error rate - 1.5 points</li> <li>9.h – 0% error rate – 1.5 points</li> <li>9.i – 0% error rate – 1.5 points</li> <li>9.j – 0% error rate –1.5 points</li> </ul>
10	<p><b>Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>% of project entry records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark</li> <li>% of project exit records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark</li> </ol> <p>Data entered within 10 days. Timeliness specifically looks at project entry and exit dates. For example, this measure will compare a household’s actual enrollment date against the date that their enrollment date was entered in HMIS.</p> <p>Per the HMIS Participation Agreement, all data should be entered into PA-HMIS within 7 days.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Average outcome in 2022 – Entries = 68%</li> <li>Average outcome in 2022 – Exits: 67%</li> </ul>	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	<p>3.5 points total</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>80% OF PROJECT ENTRY RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: 0-10 days – 1.75 points</li> <li>80% OF PROJECT EXIT RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: 0-10 days – 1.75 points</li> </ol>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
<b>Grant Management/Monitoring = 18 points (TH-RRH, RRH, PSH); 13 points (SSO)</b>			
11	<p><b>Project Participant Eligibility:</b> % of Heads of household and adults whose prior living situation was reported as literally homeless situations or fleeing DV</p>	RSF (report from provider on literally homeless and fleeing DV households); cross referenced with APR from HMIS and APR from DV providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● 94%+ = 2 points</li> <li>● 90-93% = 1 point</li> </ul>
12	<p><b>Unit Utilization Rate:</b> Average utilization rate of project (using project utilization each quarter, as reported on APR). Goal=full utilization.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● (NA for SSO)</li> <li>● For projects under 10 units, will use a 3-year average if the project is under 85% utilization.</li> </ul> <p><i>*NOTE: The point values for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising point values again in future rounds.</i></p>	# units per renewal app compared to average unit utilization; APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● 95-100% utilization = 2 points</li> <li>● 90-94% = 1.5 points</li> <li>● 85-89% = 1 point</li> </ul>
13 (not scored in 2023)	<p><b>Drawdown Rates:</b> Minimum of quarterly drawdown from eLOCCS. HUD requires a minimum of quarterly draws.</p>	e-LOCCS	<p>Not scored in 2023.</p> <p>Due to significant delays from HUD with executing contracts in 2023, many providers were not able to draw funds in the first or second quarter of their grant. As such, the CoC will not be evaluating on quarterly draws in 2023.</p>
14	<p><b>Funds Expended:</b> % of grant funds expended.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Goal = full spend down</li> </ul> <p><i>*NOTE: The point values for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising point values again in future rounds.</i></p>	e-LOCCS	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● 100% = 5 points</li> <li>● 95-99% = 4 points</li> <li>● 90-94% = 3 points</li> </ul>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
15	<p><b>Timely APR submission:</b> APR submitted within 90 days of grant (HUD requirement)</p> <p>*If you submitted late due to an HMIS issue and there is evidence that you attempted to submit on time and informed your HUD rep, you will have a chance to explain this in your Renewal Summary Form.</p>	Last submitted APR	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Timely submission = 3 points</li> <li>• Submitted beyond 90 days = 0 points</li> </ul>
16a	<p><b>SSO/TH/RRH-Cost effectiveness:</b> Cost per household served</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>SSO/TH-RRH/RRH</u> – Average cost per Household served (TH-RRH and RRH: households who moved into housing) compared to average of other projects</li> </ul>	<p><u>Numerator:</u> Services + admin line items from Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW)</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> # of HHs served per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers</p>	<p>CoC will take average cost per household (services + admin / total households served) of all SSO, TH-RRH, and RRH projects, excluding projects that have \$0 service dollars (projects with \$0 service dollars will not be included in the average as these projects bring down the average cost).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project cost per household equal to or below 180% of average cost = 3 points</li> <li>• Project cost per household above 180% of average cost = 0 points</li> </ul>
16b	<p><b>PSH-Cost effectiveness:</b> Cost per household served</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <u>PSH</u> – Average cost per household served who moved into housing compared to average of other projects</li> </ul>	<p><u>Numerator:</u> Services + admin line items from GIW</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> # of HHs served per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers</p>	<p>CoC will take average cost per household (services + admin / total households served) of all PSH projects, excluding projects that have \$0 service dollars (projects with \$0 service dollars will not be included in the average as these projects bring down the average cost).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Project cost per household equal to or below 180% of average cost = 3 points</li> </ul>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project cost per household above 180% of average cost = 0 points</li> </ul>
17a	<p><b>TH-RRH/RRH-Cost effectiveness:</b> Cost per Positive Exit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>TH-RRH/RRH</u> – Average cost per exit to Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects</li> </ul> <p>(SSO excluded from Cost Effectiveness/Cost Per Positive Exit criteria)</p>	<p><u>Numerator:</u> Services + admin line items from GIW</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> Leavers to PH per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers</p>	<p>CoC will take average cost per positive exit (services + admin / leavers to PH) of all SSO, TH-RRH, and RRH projects, excluding projects that have \$0 service dollars (projects with \$0 service dollars will not be included in the average as these projects bring down the average cost).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project cost per household equal to or below 180% of average cost = 3 points</li> <li>Project cost per household above 180% of average cost = 0 points</li> </ul>
17b	<p><b>PSH-Cost effectiveness:</b> Cost per household for Positive Retention and Positive Exit</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><u>PSH</u> – Average cost per household that remains in PSH or exits to other Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects</li> </ul>	<p><u>Numerator:</u> Services + admin line items from GIW</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> Stayers + Leavers to other PH per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers</p>	<p>CoC will take average cost per positive exit/retention (services + admin / leavers to other PH or stayers) of all PSH projects, excluding projects that have \$0 service dollars (projects with \$0 service dollars will not be included in the average as these projects bring down the average cost).</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Project cost per household equal to or below 180% of average cost = 3 points</li> <li>Project cost per household above 180% of average cost = 0 points</li> </ul>



#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure															
18	<p><b>HUD Monitoring:</b> Disposition of HUD Monitoring and Findings</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Any findings during monitoring should be resolved within the HUD timeline identified.</li> </ul>	Letter verifying no monitoring; If monitored, evidence of no outstanding findings	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>No monitoring within the last two years, or monitored with no outstanding issues = 0 points</li> <li>Project monitored and has unresolved findings = - 5 points</li> </ul>															
<b>HUD Priorities = 23 points</b>																		
19a	<p><b>Severity of Need/Health Conditions:</b> Percent of participants with 1+ physical and/or mental health conditions</p> <p>Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process.</li> <li>Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring.</li> <li>Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.</li> </ul>	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	<table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th>Points</th> <th>PSH</th> <th>RRH/TH-RRH/SSO</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>.5</td> <td>50-64%</td> <td>10-20%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>65-79%</td> <td>20-24%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1.5</td> <td>80%-94%</td> <td>25-29%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>95%+</td> <td>30%+</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Points	PSH	RRH/TH-RRH/SSO	.5	50-64%	10-20%	1	65-79%	20-24%	1.5	80%-94%	25-29%	2	95%+	30%+
Points	PSH	RRH/TH-RRH/SSO																
.5	50-64%	10-20%																
1	65-79%	20-24%																
1.5	80%-94%	25-29%																
2	95%+	30%+																
19b	<p><b>Severity of Need/Zero Income at Entry:</b> Percent of adult participants with zero income at program entry</p> <p>Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process.</li> <li>Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent</li> </ul>	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>40%+ program participants with zero income at program entry = 2 points</li> <li>25-39% participants entered w/ zero income = 1 point</li> </ul>															

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	<p>housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.</li> </ul>		
19c	<p><b>Severity of Need/Unsheltered:</b> Percent of adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at entry</p> <p>Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process.</li> <li>■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring.</li> <li>■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.</li> </ul>	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● 35%+ adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at program entry = 2 points</li> <li>● 25-34% adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at program entry= 1 point</li> </ul>
19d	<p><b>Severity of Need/Age:</b> Percent of adult participants who are youth age 24 and under or adults age 55+</p> <p>Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process.</li> <li>■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring.</li> </ul>	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● 40% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 2 points</li> <li>● 25-39% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 1 point</li> </ul>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.</li> </ul>		
19e	<p><b>Severity of Need/Domestic Violence:</b> Percent of adult participants with history of DV</p> <p>Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process.</li> <li>■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring.</li> <li>■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.</li> </ul>	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● 20% adult participants history of DV = 2 points</li> <li>● 10-19% adult participants history of DV = 1 point</li> </ul>
20	<p><b>Housing First Approach:</b> CoC policy requires all CoC-funded projects to operate using a Housing First Approach.</p> <p><b><u>IMPORTANT NOTE TO PROJECTS:</u></b> In future scoring rounds, the CoC is considering reviewing organization/project policies and procedures to ensure compliance with a Housing First approach as a part of the CoC NOFA scoring and ranking process. This may include review of policies and procedures related to participant eligibility, intake/screening policies, non-discrimination, and exit/discharge policies. <i>The CoC recommends that all CoC funded organizations/projects review their policies and procedures to ensure that they are aligned with a Housing First approach, using the Housing First questionnaire as a guide.</i></p>	Housing First questionnaire	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● 5 points if respond “yes” to the Housing First questionnaire.</li> </ul>
21 (New)	<p><b><u>Housing First Policies</u></b></p> <p>The Funding Committee is requesting that CoC-funded agencies provide documentation related to compliance with Housing First. This includes: 1) admissions/intake/screening policy, including participant eligibility; 2)</p>	Submission via Alchemer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● 4 points – submission of policies by indicated timeline</li> </ul>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	<p>program rules and/or expectations; 3) exit/discharge/appeals policy . If your agency does not currently have these policies, you will be required to indicate that..</p> <p>Once the CoC receives the requested documents, CoC staff will review the policies for alignment with Housing First policies. The CoC will request these documents in advance of the spring renewal scoring process as it will take time for CoC staff to review these documents. If the CoC staff identifies any potential areas that appear not to be aligned with Housing First, or if there are discrepancies within the document, these issues will be reviewed with the Funding Committee. The CoC will notify program staff in writing of these concerns. CoC agencies will need to respond within 2 weeks mapping out their plan and timeline to resolve any outstanding questions/issues CoC agencies will be given a 3-month window to remedy any issues within the policies and resubmit to the CoC. If agencies need an extension, they can request this from the Funding Committee.</p> <p>In 2023 the Funding Committee will score projects based on whether they submitted the requested documents by the indicated timeline. In 2024 the Funding Committee intends to score projects based on whether a) agency policies are in alignment with Housing First based on CoC review, OR b) agency resolved policies that were flagged by CoC staff as issues.</p>		
22	<p><b>Equity:</b> The Eastern PA CoC is committed to advancing equity in the provision of homeless assistance services for people who may face additional barriers due to race, ethnicity, LGBTQ status, religion, immigrant status, etc.</p> <p><i>NOTE: The Funding Committee requested information from grantees about recent or current actions to address disparities and advance equity as part of the 2020 renewal scoring criteria. The Funding Committee is revisiting this question as part of the 2023 renewal scoring criteria.</i></p> <p>a. Agency provides narrative description of recent or current actions taken to address disparities and advance equity in its provision of services. Examples may include actions such as:</p>	Renewal Summary Form	4 points max (narrative evaluated by Funding Committee)

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• staff training(s): equity, trauma informed care, cultural competence, etc.</li> <li>• reviewing and updating policies &amp; procedures to incorporate an equity lens and remove barriers that might cause a specific group to avoid or be barred from your program (e.g., culturally biased dress codes, diversity of staff, rules on previous behavior or residence, etc.)</li> <li>• evaluating the design of your program: does it address discrimination that may occur in the market (e.g., landlord engagement); deliver culturally-competent services; and take into account culturally-responsive, community-based supports the client/tenant might need?</li> <li>• partnering with community-based organizations that target services to specific subpopulations by supporting participants with jobs, health care, social support, etc.</li> <li>• expanding opportunities for people with lived experience of homelessness (including people of color, LGBT folks, people of different religious backgrounds, immigrants, persons with disabilities, etc.) to provide expert advice and opinions on policy, procedures, and service delivery; Pay them as experts</li> <li>• reviewing program outcomes for disparities across different groups of people, including data on who is admitted to the program and who is exited from the program to examine potential disparities</li> <li>• updating forms to promote inclusion: ask participants their pronouns, legal name, and chosen name; translate forms to primary languages spoken by your participants; etc.</li> <li>• evaluating the representation within your board membership and/or organizational leadership to determine whether your board/leadership reflects the population served</li> <li>• providing anonymous ways to collect feedback from staff and participants on the culture and climate of your services</li> </ul>		
<p>23 (not scored in 2023)</p>	<p><b><u>Lived Experience</u></b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Grantee has a minimum of one homeless individual or formerly homeless individual on the board of directors or other equivalent policymaking entity of the recipient or subrecipient OR grantee has received HUD approval for a plan to otherwise consult with homeless or formerly homeless individuals when considering and making policies and decisions. If grantee is not meeting this requirement,</li> </ul>	<p>Renewal Summary Form</p>	<p>Non-Scored Criterion</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Grantees will be asked to provide information related to this criterion, but will not be scored on this criterion in 2023.</li> </ul>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	<p>they will be asked to explain barriers and efforts to resolve those barriers.</p> <p>This is a HUD requirement per CoC Interim Rule Section 578.75(g).</p>		
<b>CoC Participation = 18 points</b>			
24	<p><b>RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership:</b> Participation in RHAB meetings and volunteer participation with CoC Committees and Sub-Committees</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● <u>RHAB Participation:</u> Full participation in RHAB is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC.</li> <li>● <u>CoC Leadership:</u> The CoC frequently requests volunteer participation with various Committees and Sub-Committees.</li> </ul>	RHAB Secretary, Committee/ Sub-committee Chair, CoC Staff; Renewal Summary Form	<p><b>Maximum points = 10</b></p> <p><u>RHAB Participation:</u> % of 10 points, based on % of RHAB meetings attended</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Lehigh Valley: because RHAB meetings are held quarterly, participation in RHAB subcommittee meetings will also be included in this calculation.</li> </ul> <p><u>CoC Leadership:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● If less than 10 points were awarded for RHAB participation, 1 additional point will be awarded for each Committee/ Sub-Committee meeting attended, up to 3 points. (Total combined points not to exceed 10.)</li> </ul> <p><u>BONUS OPPORTUNITY:</u> If 10 points were awarded for RHAB participation and a representative from the organization also participated on a Committee/Sub-Committee, one bonus point will be added.</p>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
25	<b>CoC Meeting Attendance:</b> Full participation in CoC is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC.	CoC registration/ attendance sheets; Renewal Summary Form	4 points total <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● 2 points awarded for attending October 2021 CoC meeting</li> <li>● 2 points awarded for attending April 2022 CoC meeting.</li> </ul>
26	<b>CoC Webinars and Trainings:</b> Full participation/attendance in webinar and training opportunities is expected of all CoC funded organizations	CoC training attendance records	Attendance at CoC training events is expected of organizations receiving CoC funding. Points awarded for each training attended: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● RRH, TH-RRH – Maximum 4 points</li> <li>● Scattered Site PSH – Maximum 3 points</li> <li>● Site Based PSH and SSO – Maximum 2 points</li> </ul> Required trainings for all projects included: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Domestic Violence Best Practices – <b>1 point</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 12/20/21 webinar</li> </ul> </li> <li>● Fair Housing Training – <b>1 point</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 4/6/22 Webinar</li> </ul> </li> </ul> Required trainings for RRH, TH-RRH and scattered-site PSH only- Landlord Engagement Trainings – 1 point total: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Landlord Engagement and Housing Search Training Part 1- 0.5 points for RRH and Scattered-site PSH providers; N/A for other providers               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 6/1/22 webinar</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Landlord Engagement and Housing Search Training Part 2- 0.5 points for RRH and Scattered-site PSH providers; N/A for other providers <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 6/7/22 webinar</li> </ul> </li> </ul> <p>Required trainings for RRH and TH-RRH only-</p> <p>RRH Learning Collaborative – 1.00 points total</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• RRH Foundational Training – 2/25/22 – 0.2 points</li> <li>• RRH Deep Dive – 3/22/22 – 0.2 points</li> <li>• Centering Equity in RRH – 4/19/22 – 0.2 points</li> <li>• Using Data in RRH – 5/17/22 – 0.2 points</li> <li>• Putting it all Together – 6/21/22 – 0.2 points</li> </ul>
27 (not scored in 2022)	<b>Coordinated Entry Participation:</b> % of enrollments pulled from By Name List	TBD	Not scored in 2023.  Funding Committee will determine mechanism for scoring this in future funding rounds when possible with data available in ClientTrack.
28 (Bonus)	Full <b>HMIS participation</b> within organizations receiving CoC funding OR partnering with another organization/program to enter their data into HMIS	RSF and HMIS	HMIS participation among homeless assistance programs (not receiving ESG, CoC, SSVF, PATH, RHY funding) operated by your organization, or partnering with another organization/program to enter their data into HMIS= 0.5 point (maximum)



#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
29	<p><b>Late Submission (Penalty)</b> if required CoC Renewal Scoring Documents are submitted after deadline (unless provided an extension due to extenuating circumstances)</p> <p>If a grantee needs to request a submission extension due to extenuating circumstances, this must be requested via email (to CoC email address) at least 2 business days in advance of deadline. Extensions will be reviewed and approved by the Funding Committee Chair. <b>No extensions will be provided for submissions greater than 5 days after the stated deadline.</b> Grantees are strongly encouraged to work ahead of the deadline to avoid the need to request an extension.</p>	Review of Survey Submission Date	<p>-2 point penalty for late submission of documents (late submission = any submission after the specific time/date of stated deadline)</p> <p><b><i>No renewal scoring documents will be accepted later than 5 days after the stated deadline.</i></b></p>

**Total Point Scale:**

- PSH/RRH/TH-RRH – 100 points
- SSO – 92 points (SSO score will be converted to a 100-point scale)

**APPENDIX: Data Calculations Explanation for HMIS/APR Related Scoring Criteria**

Criteria #	Criteria	Calculation
1a	<p>RRH/TH-RRH- HOUSING STABILITY/EXIT TO PERMANENT HOUSING:</p> <p>a. RRH: % of exits to permanent housing destination among all participants/leavers who exited project.</p>	<p>RRH/TH-RRH                      APR Q23c: Exit Destination  <u>Numerator:</u> (Q23c-Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations)  <u>Denominator:</u> (Q23c-Total persons) - (Q23c- Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation) - (Q23c Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility)</p> <p><u>Note:</u> Individuals who exit to any of the below listed “destinations” will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility</li> <li>● Foster care home or foster care group home</li> <li>● Long-term care facility or nursing home</li> <li>● Deceased</li> <li>● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility</li> </ul>
1b	<p>SSO- HOUSING STABILITY:</p> <p>b. SSO: % of all participants/leavers placed into Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing or Permanent Housing (SSO-Street Outreach only)</p>	<p>SSO                      Q23c: Exit Destination  <u>Numerator:</u>  <u>Numerator:</u>                      (Q23c-Total persons exiting to permanent housing destinations) + (Q23c-Total persons exiting to temporary housing destinations) - (Q23c Place not meant for habitation)  <u>Denominator:</u> (Q23c-Total persons) – (Q23c- Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation) - (Q23c Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility)</p> <p><u>Note:</u> Individuals who exit to any of the below listed “destinations” will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility</li> <li>● Foster care home or foster care group home</li> <li>● Long-term care facility or nursing home</li> <li>● Deceased</li> <li>● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility</li> </ul>

1c	<p>PSH - HOUSING STABILITY/EXIT TO OR RETENTION IN PERMANENT HOUSING:  PSH: % of all project participants stayers remained in PSH project or all project participants/leavers exited to other permanent housing.</p>	<p>PSH  APR Q5 Report Validation Table; Q22a1: Length of Participation – CoC Projects; Q23c: Exit Destination  <u>Numerator:</u> (Q22a1 Stayers-Total) + (Q23c-Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations)  <u>Denominator:</u> (Q5a-Total persons served) - (Q23c- Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation) - (Q23c Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility)</p> <p><u>Note:</u> Individuals who exit to any of the below listed “destinations” will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility</li> <li>● Foster care home or foster care group home</li> <li>● Long-term care facility or nursing home</li> <li>● Deceased</li> <li>● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility</li> </ul>
2	<p>RETURNS TO HOMELESSNESS:  % returns to homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing destination  <b>Note: Excludes DV Projects</b></p>	<p>SPM 2ab Data File – Returns to Homelessness</p> <p><u>Numerator:</u> # of Clients w&gt;Returns on Begin Date within 6 months of Exited on End Date and returned to ES, TH or SH project (Returns 10/1/21-9/30/22)</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> # Clients who exited from program to PH destination</p>
3	<p><b>Projects dedicated to serving survivors of Domestic Violence only:</b> Degree to which victim service projects improve safety for the population served.</p>	<p>Documentation provided will be reviewed to determine whether agency has established practices to a) train staff on safety planning; b) train staff on implementing trauma-informed, victim centered approached; c) adjust intake spaces to better ensure privacy/confidentiality; d) work with survivors to identify safe housing options (including scattered site); and e) keep location confidential of participants units.</p> <p>Documentation provided will be reviewed to determine whether project has a process to measure the the safety of DV survivors the project served, and whether they are able to explain how they capture and evaluate this data.</p> <p>Scoring rubric:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Part 1: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 1 point – Agency provides thorough response to all components of part 1.</li> <li>○ 0.5 points – Agency provides response to 3 or more components of part 1, but is missing responses to some elements</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 0 point – Agency provides response to less than 3 components of part 1</li> <li>● Part 2: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ 1 point - Agency demonstrates a mechanism for measuring the safety of DV survivors served and provides a clear description of this mechanism, including how this data is captured.</li> <li>○ 0.5 points – Agency provides a vague description of measuring safety of DV survivors, and does not provide a clear description of the mechanism OR agency provides response to some components of part 2, but is missing response to some elements</li> <li>○ 0 points- Agency does not demonstrate a mechanism for measuring the safety of DV survivors, does not provide a clear description of the mechanism, and does not demonstrate how this data is captured.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
4	<p>LENGTH OF TIME BETWEEN PROJECT START DATE AND RESIDENTIAL MOVE IN</p> <p>% of households moved into housing in under 30 days (measured by days between project enrollment date and move in date)</p>	<p>Q22c: Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date</p> <p><u>Numerator:</u> (Q22c - Total 7 days or less) + (Q22c - Total 8 to 14 days) + (Q22c - Total 15 to 21 days) + (Q22c - 22 to 30 days)</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> Q22c - Total Persons Moved into housing</p> <p>*N/A for SSO</p>
5	<p>INCOME GROWTH/INCREASE TOTAL INCOME</p> <p>% of all adult participants who increased income from any source from entry to exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers)</p>	<p>APR Q19a1: Client Cash Income Change – Income Source- by Start and Latest Status (Stayers); APR Q19a2: Client Cash Income Change – Income Source- by Start and Exit (Leavers); Q18: Client Cash Income Category - Earned/Other Income Category - by Entry and Annual Assessment/Exit Status</p> <p><u>Numerator:</u> (Q19a1 Number of Adults w/Any Income-Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain) + (Q19a2 Number of Adults w/Any Income-Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain)</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Total Adults) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)</p>
6 (NEW)	<p>PARTICIPANTS w/ANY INCOME:</p> <p>% of adult participants with 1+ source of income (leavers and stayers)</p>	<p>APR Q18: Client Cash Income Category - Earned/Other Income Category - by Entry and Annual Assessment/Exit Status</p> <p>Numerator: (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-1 or more source of income) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-1 or more source of income)</p>

		Denominator: (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Total Adults) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have annual assessment) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information) – (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information)
7	PARTICIPANTS CONNECTED TO MAINSTREAM BENEFITS: Percentage of adult participants with 1+ source of Non-Cash benefits (SNAP, WIC, TANF, others, etc.)	APR Q20b: Number of Non-Cash Benefit Sources; APR Q18: Client Cash Income Category - Earned/Other Income Category - by Entry and Annual Assessment/Exit Status  <u>Numerator:</u> (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-1 + Source(s)) + (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-1+ Source(s))  <u>Denominator:</u> (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Total) + (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-Total) – (Q18 Number of Stayers-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment) – (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
8	PARTICIPANTS CONNECTED TO HEALTH INSURANCE: Percentage of all participants with 1+ source of health insurance	APR Q21: Health Insurance; APR Q5: Report Validation Table  <u>Numerator:</u> (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-More than 1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Exit for Leavers-1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Exit for Leavers-More than 1 Source of Health Insurance)  <u>Denominator:</u> (Q5 Number of Stayers) + (Q5 Number of Leavers) – (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Number of Stayers not yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment) – (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q21 Exit for Leavers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
9	HIGH QUALITY DATA ENTRY: % of Error Rate for the following data points entered into PA HMIS:  A. PII - Name B. PII – Date of Birth C. PII – Race D. PII – Ethnicity E. PII - Gender F. Destination G. Income and Sources at Entry H. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment	APR Q6a. Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information  a. Name - % of Error Rate c. Date of Birth - % of Error Rate d. Race - % of Error Rate e. Ethnicity - % of Error Rate f. Gender - % of Error Rate  Note: If Personally Identifiable Information fields are marked as Client Doesn't Know/Refused due to domestic violence survivor not providing data due to confidentiality/safety concerns, this will be factored into scoring and projects will not be penalized.

	<p>I. Income and Sources at Exit</p>	<p>APR Q6c. Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality</p> <p>f. Destination - % of Error Rate  g. Income and Sources at Entry - % of Error Rate  h. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment - % of Error Rate  i. Income and Sources at Exit - % of Error Rate</p> <p>Note:  Projects with no entries won't be scored on <b>c (Income and Sources at Entry)</b> and projects with no exits won't be scored on <b>b (Destination)</b> and <b>e (Income and Sources at Exit)</b></p>
<p>10</p>	<p>TIMELINESS OF HMIS DATA ENTRY</p> <p>a. % of project entry records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark</p> <p>b. % of project exit records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark</p>	<p>APR Q6e: Timeliness</p> <p>a.  <u>Numerator:</u> (Number of Project Start Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days)  <u>Denominator:</u> (Number of Project Start Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days + 11+ Days)</p> <p>b.  <u>Numerator:</u> (Number of Project Exit Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days)  <u>Denominator:</u> (Number of Project Exit Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days + 11+ Days)</p> <p>Note:  Projects with no entries won't be scored on 9.a. Projects with no exits won't be scored on 9.b.</p>
<p>11</p>	<p>PROJECT PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY:  % of Heads of household and adults whose prior living situation was reported as literally homeless situations or fleeing DV</p>	<p>Data provided by providers in the RSF will be reviewed to determine the percentage of participants served who are literally homeless and fleeing DV. Data will be cross-referenced with APR from HMIS and APR from DV providers.</p> <p>Numerator: # of Heads of household and adults served literally homeless <b>and/or</b> fleeing DV as reported on RSF 10/1/21-9/30/22 (Note: Literally homeless numbers will be cross referenced with APR Q15-Homeless Situations Subtotal-Total)  Denominator: Total # of adult participants served 10/1/21-9/30/22</p>

12	<p>UNIT UTILIZATION RATE: Average utilization rate of project (using project utilization each quarter, as reported on APR)</p>	<p>Information provided in the Renewal Project Summary Form survey will be considered in calculating utilization.</p> <p>APR Q8b Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday; 2022 Project App # Units</p> <p><u>Numerator:</u> Average of Q8b Point-in-Time Count of Households Served on the Last Wednesday in Jan, April, July, October</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> # Units per 2022 Project Applications (and prior years where applicable)</p> <p>For projects under 10 units, will use a 3-year average if the project is under 85% utilization.</p> <p>*N/A for SSO</p>
13 (not scored in 2023)	<p>DRAWDOWN RATES Minimum of quarterly drawdown rates from eLOCCS</p>	<p>Review of documentation requested in RSF survey (eLOCCS summary page).</p>
14 (not scored in 2023)	<p>FUNDS EXPENDED % of grant funds expended</p>	<p>Review of documentation requested in RSF survey (eLOCCS summary page). Review of supplemental expenditure form with additional information/explanation if program did not meet expenditure threshold.</p>
15	<p>TIMELY APR SUBMISSION APR submitted within 90 days of end of grant</p>	<p>Review of documentation requested in RSF survey (e.g. email from Sage system; email from HUD reps; copy of the details from Sage submission)</p>
16a, 16b	<p>COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER HOUSEHOLD SERVED: SSO/TH-RRH/RRH – Average cost per Household served (TH-RRH and RRH: who moved into housing) compared to average of other projects PSH - Average cost per household who moved into housing compared to average of other projects</p>	<p><u>Numerator:</u> Services + admin line items from 2022 Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW)</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> # of HHs served (as reported on RSF, and verified by APR validation file)</p> <p>SSO, TH-RRH, RRH: Calculation above compared to average cost per household (services + admin/total households served) of all SSO, TH-RRH, and RRH projects, excluding projects that have \$0 service dollars</p> <p>PSH: Calculation above compared to average cost per household (services + admin/total households served) of all PSH, excluding projects that have \$0 service dollars</p>

17a	<p>COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER POSITIVE EXIT: SSO/TH-RRH/RRH - Average cost per exit to Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects</p>	<p><u>Numerator</u>: Services + admin line items from 2022 GIW</p> <p><u>Denominator</u>: Leavers to PH (as reported on RSF and verified by APR validation file)</p> <p>NOTE: For RRH and RRH component of TH-RRH this only includes households who moved in and then exited to PH</p> <p>Note: Projects with no exits during the lookback period will not be scored on this criteria. Projects with no exits to permanent housing during the lookback period will have a total cost per positive exit that equals services +admin line items from GIW.</p> <p>*N/A for SSO</p> <p>SSO, TH-RRH, RRH: Calculation above compared to average cost per household (services + admin/leavers to PH) of all SSO, TH-RRH, and RRH projects, excluding projects that have \$0 service dollars</p>
17b	<p>COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER POSITIVE EXIT/RETENTION: PSH - Average cost per household that remains or exits to Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects</p>	<p><u>Numerator</u>: Services + admin line items from 2022 GIW</p> <p><u>Denominator</u>: Stayers + Leavers to other PH (as reported on RSF and verified by APR validation file)</p> <p>NOTE: For PSH this only includes households who moved in and then exited to PH</p> <p>PSH: Calculation above compared to average cost per household (services + admin/leavers to PH + stayers) of all PSH, excluding projects that have \$0 service dollars</p>
18	<p>HUD MONITORING Disposition of HUD Monitoring and Findings</p>	<p>Review of information provided in RSF survey regarding monitoring, along with any supplemental monitoring documents provided.</p>
19a	<p>SEVERITY OF NEED/HEALTH CONDITIONS – Percent of participants with 1+ disabilities at project annual assessment or project exit</p>	<p>Q13b2: Number of Conditions at Exit, Q13c2: Number of Conditions for Stayers</p> <p><u>Numerator</u>: ((Q13b2. Total Persons-1 Condition + 2 Conditions + 3+ Conditions + Condition Unknown) + (Q13c2. Total Persons-1 Condition + 2 Conditions + 3+ Conditions + Condition Unknown))</p>



		<u>Denominator:</u> ((Q13b2. Total Persons-Total + Q13c2. Total Persons-Total) – (Q13b2. Total Persons-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused + Q13c2. Total Persons-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused))
19b	SEVERITY OF NEED/ZERO INCOME AT ENTRY – % of adult participants with zero income at project entry	<p>APR Q18: Client Cash Income Category – Earned/Other Income Category – by Start and Annual Assessment/Exit Status</p> <p><u>Numerator:</u> Q18 Adults with No Income – Number of Adults at Start</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> (Q18. Total Adults – Number of Adults at Start)– (Q18. Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information – Number of Adults at Start)</p>
19c	SEVERITY OF NEED/UNSHELTERED: Percent of adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at entry	<p>APR Q15 Living Situation</p> <p><u>Numerator:</u> (Q15 Total Place not meant for human habitation)</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> (Q15 Total) – (Q15 Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)</p>
19d	SEVERITY OF NEED/AGE: Percent of adults who are youth age 24 and under or adults age 55+	<p>APR Q5 Report Validation Table; APR Q11 Age</p> <p>Numerator: (Q11. 18-24 Total) + (Q11. 55-61-Total) + (Q11. 62+-Total)</p> <p>Denominator: (Q5. Number of Adults (Age18 or Over) - (Q11. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused-Total)</p>
19e	SEVERITY OF NEED/DOMESTIC VIOLENCE: Percent of adult participants with history of DV	<p>APR Q14a Domestic Violence History</p> <p><u>Numerator:</u> Q14a Total Yes (Domestic Violence History)</p> <p><u>Denominator:</u> Q14a Total Total – Q14a Total Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused</p>
20	HOUSING FIRST	Agency response to Housing First Questionnaire will be reviewed to ensure adherence to Housing First tenets
21 (NEW)	HOUSING FIRST POLICIES	Review of Survey Submission Date

22 (NEW)	EQUITY Recent and/or Current Agency Actions to address disparities and advance equity in its provision of services	Review of RSF submitted by agency
23 (New)	LIVED EXPERIENCE	Review of RSF information submitted by agency
24	RHAB PARTICIPATION AND COC LEADERSHIP Participation in RHAB meetings and volunteer participation with CoC Committees and Sub-Committees	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, sign in records, online survey attendance submission from RHAB meetings, and documentation from CoC committee/subcommittee chairs
25	COC MEETING ATTENDANCE	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, meeting sign in records, and online survey attendance submissions
26	COC WEBINARS AND TRAININGS	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, webinar/training sign in records, and online survey attendance submissions
27 (not scored in 2023)	Coordinated Entry Participation % of enrollments pulled from By Name List	<b>NOTE: This criteria will not be scored in 2023.</b>
28 (Bonus)	BONUS: FULL HMIS PARTICIPATION WITHIN ORGANIZATIONS RECEIVING COC FUNDING OR PARTNERING WITH ANOTHER ORG/PROGRAM TO ENTER THEIR DATA INTO HMIS	Review of documentation submitted by agency in RSF and confirmation of HMIS participating projects by DCED HMIS team
29	Late Submission (Penalty) if required CoC Renewal Scoring Documents are submitted after deadline (unless provided an extension due to extenuating circumstances)	Review of Survey Submission Date

**Housing First Questionnaire: Is your program operating using a housing first approach?**

**Organization Name:**

**Project Name:**

**Person Completing this form:**

**Date:**

- 1) Admission/tenant screening and selection practices promote the acceptance of applicants regardless of their sobriety or use of substances, completion of treatment, and participation in services.  
 Yes    No   Comment (if needed):
  
- 2) Applicants are not rejected on based on having no income, minor criminal convictions, or behaviors that indicate a lack of "housing readiness."  
 Yes    No   Comment (if needed):
  
- 3) Supportive services emphasize housing procurement over therapeutic goals. Services plans are highly tenant-driven without predetermined goals.  
 Yes    No   Comment (if needed):
  
- 4) Participation in services or program compliance is not a condition of staying in our program.  
 Participation in services or program compliance is NOT a condition of staying in our program  
 Participation in services or program compliance IS a condition for staying in our program   Comment (if needed):
  
- 5) Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself is not considered a reason for program dismissal.  
 Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself is NOT a reason for program dismissal  
 Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself IS a reason for program dismissal  
Comment (if needed):
  
- 6) We prioritize those with the highest need for services rather than "first come/first serve", such as duration of homelessness and other barriers.  
 Yes    No   Comment (if needed):
  
- 7) Case managers/service coordinators are trained in and actively employ evidence-based practices for client/tenant engagement such as motivational interviewing and client-centered counseling.  
 Yes    No   Comment (if needed):

8) Services are informed by a harm reduction philosophy that recognizes that drug and alcohol use and addiction are a part of tenants' lives, where tenants are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding drug and alcohol use, and where tenants are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices.

Yes  No Comment (if needed):

9) Our primary focus is assisting people in our program with a housing plan for swift exit to permanent housing.

Yes  No Comment (if needed):