

Eastern PA CoC: 2023 New Project Scoring Sheet (Annual CoC NOFO)

Agency Name:	
Project Type:	
Expansion project? (Yes or No)	
Transition project? (Yes or No)	

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. For criteria 15, DMA will provide numbers for you to enter into the Max Points and Points Awarded fields. Score will automatically calculate. **NOTE: FUNDING COMMITTEE MEMBERS MAY AWARD PARTIAL POINTS EVEN IF NOT SPECIFIED AS OPTION ON RUBRIC, AT THEIR DISCRETION (AS LONG AS ITS WITHIN THE RANGE).**

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

APPLICANT INFORMATION	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
<p>1 Geography to be covered (questions 8, 9, 25) Multi-county, RHAB/CoC-wide = 2 points Single County with strong explanation in project description why single county project needed = 2 points Single County without strong explanation why single county project needed = 0 points</p>	2	
APPLICANT EXPERIENCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
<p>2 Organizational capacity = 2 points (questions 15-18) 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity to implement project 1 point if capacity somewhat described 2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC requirements</p>	2	
<p>Experience with project component type and/or target population = 2 points (question 18)</p> <p>a) If PSH: demonstrates sufficient experience with chronic population b) If RRH or TH/RRH: demonstrates sufficient experience with rapidly rehousing households c) If targeting a specific subpopulation: demonstrates sufficient experience with the subpopulation</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> · 0 points if not described · 1 point if somewhat described · 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience 	2	
<p>Project design and delivery of services are designed to promote equity = 2 points (question 19) 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described</p>	2	

2 points if clearly described and provides strong description of how design will promote equity

--	--

Experience serving and improving outcomes for communities that have historically been marginalized, and organizational capacity to promote racial equity = 2 points (question 19)

0 points if not described
 1 point if somewhat described
 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and capacity

2	
---	--

Organizational capacity to promote racial equity within leadership/operations/hiring practices, and through financial commitments = 1 point (question 20)

0 points if not described
 0.5 points if somewhat described, or have taken some steps but not fully implemented
 1 point if clearly described and indicates strong organizational capacity to promote racial equity

1	
---	--

7 Experience with Housing First = 2 points (question 21)

0 points if not described
 1 point if somewhat described
 2 points if applicant indicates low/no barriers to entry and that reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience

2	
---	--

Experience partnering with people with lived experience = 1 points 8 (question 22)

0 points if not described
 0.5 points if somewhat described
 1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience

1	
---	--

PROJECT DESIGN

MAX POINTS

POINTS AWARDED

Clear and compelling description of community/regional need = 2 points 9 (question 24)

0 points if need not described
 1 point if narrative but no data, or data does not support the need for this project
 2 points if applicant describes need and provides supporting data

2	
---	--

Strategic partnerships with community providers = 2 points (question 23, 10 part 2)

0 points if not described
 1 point if somewhat described
 2 points if partners named and nature of partnership described, including the services/ resources being provided by community partnerships

2	
---	--

11 Projected outcomes = 2 points (question 23, part 3)

0 points if projected outcomes not described
 2 points if projected outcomes further goals of CoC

2	
---	--

Strong description of how project will assist participants to obtain and 12 retain permanent housing = 2 points (question 28)

--	--

0 points if not described
 1 point if somewhat described

2 points if clear description of how project will assist participants to obtain housing, including relationships with landlords

2	
---	--

Strong description of how project will assist participants to access 13 mainstream resources = 2 points (question 29)

0 points if not described
 1 point if somewhat described
 2 points if clear description of how project will assist participants to access mainstream resources

2	
---	--

Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 4 points (question 24, 14 reviewer's overall impression, and project budget)

0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified
 1 point if projected intervention somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding questions or concerns
 2 points if project scale, project type, and service plan are appropriate based on needs identified

4	
---	--

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE

15 Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)

Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY23 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.*

1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points

2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points

3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points

4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points

5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points

MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
TO BE ENTERED BY DMA	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA

Leveraging

16 Non-COC Resources to cover housing costs = 5 points (questions 31-32)

5 points if PSH or RRH project will provide housing subsidies or subsidized housing units for at least 25% of units/participants in the project

MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
5	

Non-COC Resources to cover healthcare/service costs = 5 points

17 (questions 33-34)

5 points if project will leverage 25% or more of the project's healthcare/service needs through community partnerships

5	
---	--

NEED/DEMAND	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
-------------	------------	----------------

18 Need/demand for project = Up to 10 points

10 points - project meeting high demand based on gaps analysis data and compared to other projects submitted

5 points - project meeting medium demand based on gaps analysis data and compared to other projects submitted

1 point - project meeting low demand based on gaps analysis data and compared to other projects submitted

Partial points may be awarded at the discretion of the Funding Committee member (anywhere on scale of 1-10); point values above are a general guide

10	DETERMINED BY FUNDING COMMITTEE
----	--

TOTAL	TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED
Total Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 48)	48	#VALUE!
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points)	#VALUE!	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:

- A: Current ESG Grantees
Previous performance related outcomes are strong
- B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS

Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness

Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)

CoC Participation:

- Participates in CoC/RHAB (DMA to provide)
- Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database (DMA to provide)
- Participates in Coordinated Entry process (DMA to provide)

Do you recommend this project? (Yes/No)

Do you recommend any changes to the project design/scale/etc.?

Funding Committee Comments:

Eastern PA CoC: 2023 New Project Scoring Sheet (DV Bonus RRH or TH-RRH)

Agency Name:

Project Type:

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. Score will automatically calculate. **NOTE: FUNDING COMMITTEE MEMBERS MAY AWARD PARTIAL POINTS EVEN IF NOT SPECIFIED AS OPTION ON RUBRIC, AT THEIR DISCRETION (AS LONG AS ITS WITHIN THE RANGE).**

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

1 Geography to be covered (questions 8, 9, 22)

Multi-county, RHAB/CoC-wide = 2 points
 Single County with strong explanation in project description why single county project needed = 2 points
 Single County without strong explanation why single county project needed = 0 points

MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2	

2 Organizational capacity = 2 points (questions 12-15)

0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity to implement project
 1 point if capacity somewhat described
 2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC requirements

2	
---	--

3 Experience providing housing to families/individuals fleeing domestic violence = 2 points (questions 20, 24-27; questions 11 and 11a)

0 points if not described
 1 point if somewhat described
 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience

2	
---	--

4 Plan for involving survivors people with lived experience in policy/program development = 1 points (question 29)

0 points if not described
 0.5 points if somewhat described
 1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has a strong plan

1	
---	--

5 Strategic partnerships with community providers = 2 points (question 21 part 2, 27)

0 points if not described
 1 point if somewhat described

--	--

2 points if partners named and nature of partnership described, including the services/ resources being provided by community partnerships

2	
---	--

6 Projected outcomes = 2 points (question 21, part 3)

0 points if projected outcomes not described
2 points if projected outcomes further goals of CoC

2	
---	--

7 How organization supports safety of survivors = 2 points (question 25)

0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described
2 points if clear description of how project supports safety of survivors

2	
---	--

Utilizing a trauma-informed, victim-centered approach = 2 points (question 26)

0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described
2 points if clear description of experience providing a trauma-informed, victim-centered approach

2	
---	--

Survivor-centered services, strengths-based approach, cultural responsiveness = 2 points (question 28)

0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described
2 points if clear description of how organization maximizes client choice and autonomy

2	
---	--

10 Assist DV survivors to meet service needs = 2 points (question 27)

0 points if not described
1 point if somewhat described
2 points if clear description of how organization supports survivors to meet service needs

2	
---	--

11 Experiencing serving and improving outcomes for communities that have been historically marginalized = 2 points (question 30)

0 points if not described
1 point if limited experience, or limited description provided
2 points if strong evidence of experience serving and improving outcomes for historically marginalized communities

2	
---	--

Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 4 points (question 21, reviewer's overall impression, and budget)

0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified
1 point if projected intervention somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding questions or concerns
2 points if project scale, project type, and service plan are appropriate based on needs identified

4	
---	--

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
--------------------	------------	----------------

13 Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)

Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY23 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.*

- 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points
- 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points
- 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points
- 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points
- 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points

TO BE ENTERED BY DMA	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA
-----------------------------	-----------------------------

NEED/DEMAND	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
-------------	------------	----------------

14 Need/demand for project = Up to 10 points

- 10 points - project meeting high demand based on gaps analysis data and compared to other projects submitted
- 5 points - project meeting medium demand based on gaps analysis data and compared to other projects submitted
- 1 point - project meeting low demand based on gaps analysis data and compared to other projects submitted

Partial points may be awarded at the discretion of the Funding Committee member (anywhere on scale of 1-10); point values above are a general guide

10	DETERMINED BY FUNDING COMMITTEE
----	--

TOTAL	TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED
Total Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 35)	35	#VALUE!
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points)	#VALUE!	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:

- A: Current ESG/CoC Grantees
Previous performance related outcomes are strong
- B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS

Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness

Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)

CoC Participation:

- Participates in CoC/RHAB (DMA to provide)
- Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database (DMA to provide)
- Participates in Coordinated Entry process (DMA to provide)

Do you recommend this project? (Yes/No)

Do you recommend any changes to the project design/scale/etc.?

Funding Committee Comments:

Eastern PA CoC: 2023 New Project Scoring Sheet (DV CE)

Agency Name:

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. Score will automatically calculate. **NOTE: FUNDING COMMITTEE MEMBERS MAY AWARD PARTIAL POINTS EVEN IF NOT SPECIFIED AS OPTION ON RUBRIC, AT THEIR DISCRETION (AS LONG AS ITS WITHIN THE RANGE).**

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

SCORING CRITERIA	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1 Organizational capacity = 2 points (questions 12-15) 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity to implement project 1 point if capacity somewhat described, but not all areas thoroughly addressed 2 points if reviewer believes applicant has capacity to implement the project and meet expectations, based on HUD and CoC requirements	2	
2 Current involvement and participation in Coordinated Entry System (question 16) 0 points if no current partnership 1 point if some current partnership, but partnership is limited 2 points if there is an existing partnership that is described to be active and collaborative Clear and compelling description of how current system is inadequate to meet needs of DV survivors, and how proposed project will address these inadequacies	2	
3 = 10 points (question 17) 0 points if need not described or unclear how proposed project will address inadequacies 5 points if need somewhat described or only a limited description of how proposed project will address inadequacies 10 points if need clearly and thoroughly described AND clear description of how proposed project will address inadequacies Plan for involving survivors people with lived experience in policy/program development = 1 points (question 29)	10	
0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described 1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	1	
5 Trauma-informed and victim-centered services = 2 points (question 26) 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience Experience serving and improving outcomes for communities that have historically been marginalized, and organizational capacity to promote racial equity = 2 points (question 30)	2	
0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described	2	

2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and capacity

--	--

Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 4 points

8 (reviewer's overall impression and budget)

0 points if projected intervention does not match needs identified
 2 points if project scale, project type, and service plan are appropriate based on needs identified

4	
---	--

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
--------------------	------------	----------------

9 Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)

Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY23 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.*
 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points
 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points
 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points
 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points
 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points

TO BE ENTERED BY DMA	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA
----------------------	----------------------

TOTAL	TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED
TOTAL Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 23)	23	0
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points)	#VALUE!	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:

A: Current Grantees (CoC or ESG):

Previous performance related outcomes are strong

B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS
 Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness

Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average.
 How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)

CoC Participation:

- Participates in CoC/RHAB
- Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database
- Participates in Coordinated Entry process

Do you recommend this project? (Yes/No)

Do you recommend any changes to the project design/scale/etc.?

Funding Committee Comments: