

Western PA CoC: 2024 New Project Scoring Sheet (DV Bonus Coordinated Entry)

Agency Name:	
Expansion Project? (Yes or No)	

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. Score will automatically calculate. **NOTE:** Funding Committee members may award partial points for any criteria (e.g. 0.5 points, 1.5 points), even if not explicitly shown on scoring rubric.

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

SCORING CRITERIA	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
<p>1 Organizational capacity to operate project (fiscal/grant management capacity, and capacity to operate regional project if applicable) = 2 points (questions 11-16) 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity and/or experience to implement CoC project</p> <p>1 point if capacity somewhat described and/or some concerns about capacity</p> <p>2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC grant management and fiscal requirements. If proposing multi-county project, sufficient experience to operate</p>	2	
<p>2 Experiencing serving and improving outcomes for communities that have been historically marginalized = 2 points (question 18) 0 points if not described 1 point if limited experience, or limited description provided 2 points if strong evidence of experience serving and improving outcomes for historically marginalized communities</p>	2	
<p>3 Experience partnering with people with lived experience and plan to incorporate persons with lived exp. in project design and delivery = 2 points (question 21) 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described</p> <p>1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and clear plan for incorporating persons w/ lived exp. in project design/delivery</p>	2	
<p>4 Current involvement and participation in Coordinated Entry System (questions 17, 22) 0 points if no current partnership</p> <p>1 point if some current partnership, but partnership is limited 2 points if there is an existing partnership that is described to be active and collaborative</p>	2	
<p>5 Clear and compelling description of how current system is inadequate to meet needs of DV survivors, and how proposed project will address these inadequacies = 8 points (question 23) 0 points if need not described or unclear how proposed project will address inadequacies 5 points if need somewhat described or only a limited description of how proposed project will address inadequacies 10 points if need clearly and thoroughly described AND clear description of how proposed project will address inadequacies</p>	8	

6 Utilizing a trauma-informed, victim-centered approach = 2 points (question 30)
 0 points if not described
 1 point if somewhat described
 2 points if clear description of experience providing a trauma-informed, victim-centered approach

2	
---	--

Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 2 points

7 (reviewer's overall impression and budget)
 0 points if reviewer has concerns about project design and/or appropriateness of project to meet community needs
 2 point if proposed project somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding questions or concerns
 4 points if project scale, project type, and service plan seem appropriate based on needs identified

2	
---	--

SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
<p>8 Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)</p> <p>Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY23 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.* 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points</p>	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA

TOTAL	TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED
TOTAL Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 20)	20	0
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA	TO BE ENTERED BY DMA
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points)	#VALUE!	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:

A: Current Grantees (CoC or ESG):

Previous performance related outcomes are strong

B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS

Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness

Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average.
How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)

CoC Participation:

- Participates in CoC/RHAB
- Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database
- Participates in Coordinated Entry process

Do you recommend this project? (Yes/No)

Do you recommend any changes to the project design/scale/etc.?

Funding Committee Comments: