

Eastern PA CoC Board Planning Meeting Agenda

July 15, 2024 10:00 am to 12:00 pm **Join Zoom Meeting**

https://zoom.us/j/95780178070?pwd=emtjUy9lelByTndUdng3cGVZT29wQT09 Meeting ID: 957 8017 8070 Passcode: 020931 +1 309 205 3325 US

TIME	AGENDA ITEM	PURPOSE
10:00 a.m.	Welcome – Alisa Baratta	
10:00 a.m.	Vote to approve May meeting minutes	
10:05 a.m.	501(c)(3) update-Jessica Aviva	
10:40 a.m.	Adjourn meeting to hold brief 501(c)(3) Board Meeting	*Please stay on the current Zoom link
10:45 a.m.	Reconvene CoC Meeting	
20.45		
10:45 a.m.	 Housing Problem Solving and Rapid Exit Discussion Vote to approve Housing Problem Solving plan 	Executive Committee recommendation re: HPS and continuation of Rapid Exit discussion
11:10 a.m.	2024 Home4Good discussionVote to approve 2024 H4G allocation plan	Executive Committee recommendation and finalize H4G plan
11:30 a.m.	2024 PHARE Planning and 2023 PHARE award implementation planning	Determine the preferred planning method for this year's application and allocation plan for the 2023 award
12:00 p.m.	Meeting Adjourned	

7/15/24 Eastern PA Coc

Draft Meeting Minutes in Progress

In attendance

Alisa Baratta
Jeff Rich
Leslie Perryman
Luis Resto
Carol Thorton
Chris Kapp
Brendan Auman
Leigh Howard
Amanda Riehl
George Payne
Mary Penny

501(c)(3) update-Jessica Aviva

Alsia turned the meeting over to Jessica Avia 3rom Hailstone Consulting who provided the board with an update on the final project deliverables on their contract. She reviewed the business plan, 501c3 application, and conflict on interest policy. Jessica asked 3or 3eedback and corrections by the end on the week. The board noted they were pleased with the information and thought it provided them with a clear path 3orward. Jess 3urther noted that Hailstone requested to extend the contract with United Way to August 31, 2024, to finalize and file the 501c3 application.

Board Vote

1. Brendon made a motion to extend the Hailstone Contract to August 31, 2024, all were in 2avor. The motion passed unanimously.

The board reviewed the 501c3 Conflict oll Interest Policy. Alisa asked in the policy was in line with HUD requirements. Jessica noted that was taken into consideration, but the CoC would need to review them once the 501c3 took over to ensure everything required was included.

Jessica introduced a quarterly checklist and went over the next steps July to September, including developing procurement policies, updating the website, and setting up bookkeeping

Alisa proposed the ②ormation o②a finance committee, with the possibility o②recruiting finance pro③essionals ③rom nonprofit organizations. Carol Thorton suggested not limiting finance as in some organizations they are not responsible ④or grant writing and development. Jeff expressed his interest in joining the finance committee but did not wish to take on the role o②chair. Luis Resto agreed to convene the committee but not act as a finance expert.

The discussion then moved into prioritizing items on the checklist. The board also discussed the need for updated job descriptions and procurement policies in preparation for hiring new staff in January, with a focus on prioritizing tasks and focusing on the most important achievements. It was noted that prioritizing the PA Vendor application was extremely important as it allows for contracting with DCED and allows for contracting with consultants.

Board Vote

2. Alisa moved to adjourn the meeting to hold brie2501(c)(3) Board Meeting. Please see separate 501(c)(3) meeting minutes

Reconvene CoC Meeting

Alisa called the meeting back to order and moved to the next Agenda item.

Leigh provided a recap from the June 17, 2024, board meeting discussion where Jason Alexander presented the formerly known as the Diversion Strategy, previously developed by a subcommittee on the Coordinated Entry Committee. Leigh noted the plan was reviewed but not approved, and it was referred back to the Executive Committee for further deliberation. The recommendation out on the Executive Committee was to accept the plan as submitted with the recommendation was to reconsider the oversight of the committee from the Coordinated Entry Committee to instead have a separate Housing Problem-Solving Committee. The Executive Committee then discussed utilizing the Home for Good funding that is available this year to help fund the Housing Problem Solving Program implementation. Leigh continued that there is an additional item and recommendation on the agenda ahead to discuss that item, there. At this point, the board needs to reconsider the recommendation to approve the housing problem implementation with the change to the plan as noted. There was a brieficial cussion was the challenges of who was going to move this work forward? It was determined that Brendan Auman could have a discussion with Jason Alexander to see if the could help start the work and then move to an oversight role. Leigh Howard also offered to support the work as there will be significant overlap with other work.

Board Vote

3. Jeff made the motion to approve the Housing Problem Solving Recommendation from the Executive Committee and also suggested creating a stand-alone Housing Problem Solving Committee. All were in favor and the motion passed unanimously

Action Item

Leslie will ask the Coordinated Entry Committee to make an update to the plan.

Alter the vote, Leigh Howard went on to explain on one additional item missing from the previous recommendation. The item concerns the Home for Good funds, which will be covered in the next agenda item. The main focus of Leigh's discussion was on a potential new initiative known as Rapid Exit. This project concept integrates three strategies—prevention work, diversion work, and rapid exit work—into a comprehensive plan. An overview of these strategies was previously distributed during the June meeting, The proposed project aims to use rapid rehousing funding to quickly place individuals who are currently in shelter or unsheltered but have income sufficient to sustain housing with some initial financial assistance.

Leigh recommended considering this approach as a new project application. The idea is to release a Request 2 r Proposal (RFP) specifically 2 r rapid exit projects. This would help individuals who are less likely to be prioritized through the traditional Continuum o2 Care (CoC) processes due to their existing income or assets. The proposal suggests starting with a pilot program in 1-3 counties, with potential 2 r expansion based on its success. The majority o2 the 2 unding would be directed toward rental assistance, with additional support needed 2 r housing search services. Leigh asked 2 there was consensus to move 2 rward with this concept as a project and the board agreed there w. The board discussed the use and implementation o2 this type o2 project and asked Leigh Howard to create a one-page in 2 rmational document 2 r them to review. Leigh agreed to create and circulate

this document and reminded the board a vote to approve would be needed. Aller approval this proposal would need to be sent to the landing committee for review.

Action Item

Leigh will circulate an informational document to the board for their review and pending approval.

2024 H4G Allocation Plan Housing Problem Solving and Rapid Exit Discussion

Leigh reviewed with the board that there was a total o@\$604,000 available @rom the Home 4 Good @unds. Out o@this, \$573,800 is designated @or activities, while \$30,200 is allocated @or CoC Admin. The CoC Admin @unds are flexible and can be used at the board's discretion, without needing to report back to PHFA or the Federal Home Loan Bank. These @unds can also be carried over @or up to 30 years. The application @or these @unds is due to PHFA by July 31st. The Executive Committee has recommended using the \$573,800 @or the recently approved housing problem-solving strategy. Additionally, they suggested setting aside a small amount o@@unds @or un@oreseen expenses related to the Youth Action Board (YAB) and the Homeless Action Board (HAB) not covered by the planning grant. The board decided that any un@oreseen expenses related to the Youth Action Board (YAB) and the Homeless Action Board will be reviewed on a case-bycase basis. The board will revisit this in about six months to refine the approach i@necessary

Board Vote

4. The recommendation to approve the 2024 H4G recommendation was 3 ormally moved and seconded, and the proposal was approved unanimously.

PHARE Funding discussion

The United Way o?Pennsylvania applied last all on behal?o?both the Eastern and Western Continuums o?Care (CoCs) to establish landlord incentive and enhance capacity within each CoC. The total requested anding was \$895,000, but the awarded amount was \$450,000. For the Eastern CoC, the allocated ands amount to \$238,787. Given the reduced anding, the board needs to consider how to best allocate these ands. Should the Eastern CoC prioritize advancing the staffing component o? the original application, given the current status o? the 501(c)(3) Network Weaver position, or should the entire amount be directed toward landlord incentives across the CoC? Alternatively, would it be beneficial to assemble a team to develop a plan for the allocation and prioritization o? these ands?

Leigh asked Should the board consider applying for additional Junding in 2024. It so, a discussion is needed on priorities for the application The conversation then Jocused on preparing for the 2024 Junding application. A significant consideration is whether to continue prioritizing landlord incentives, which have been effective in the Lehigh Valley. The group is exploring whether landlord incentives should be a priority across all regions or i other needs might be more pressing in different communities.

Leigh summarized the plan to reconvene the group to review the use on the 2023 grant and to develop ideas for the 2024 application. They will reconvene the PHARE workgroup. We'll bring recommendations back to this committee back to the board for approval for the use on the 2023 grant and the 2024 application ideas start to come together, but it may be a continuation on this 2023 project, which is the landlord liaisons and the incentives.

Coordinated Entry Funding Process

Leigh then addressed the Coordinated Entry Funding Process. He reminded the board that, two years ago, the governing board established a threshold allowing up to 5% on the CoC's annual funding to be allocated for Coordinated Entry. Leigh reached out to Leslie Perryman and Jason Alexander to see in there was a need for additional funds to support Coordinated Entry access sites, which are currently funded only locally. They expressed interest in utilizing extra funding in were available. Leigh sought initial feedback from the board before asking the governing board to consider expanding the funding. Currently, there is approximately \$176,000 available within the 5% allocation, though this amount does not guarantee approval for the full sum. Leigh proposed discussing this possibility further in the board agrees, so Leslie and Jason can prepare the matter for detailed committee discussion. The board held a robust discussion about how Coordinated Entry Access sites are currently funded. Alisa questioned where the access sites' in-kind funding was coming from (local government, municipal or private). Leslie noted each location is different and it would require surveying them to find out. In the end, the board asked the Coordinated Entry Committee to come back with a more detailed proposal for their review.

Action Item

Leslie will work with Jason Alexander and the CE Committee to create a detailed proposal to present to the board.

Leigh also posted in the meeting chat that Housing First University closed, and the board will need to have a ①uture discussion on how to proceed.

Action Item

This item will be added to the August meeting agenda

HMIS Update

Brendan Auman and George Payne provided updates on their ongoing projects Brendan started by addressing the status on their search for a new Learning Management System (LMS). They had initially intended to procure Talent LMS but hit a snag due to the lack on so ware licensing agreement. As a result, they are exploring alternative LMS options available within the Commonwealth, with several internal sites and programs under consideration. The establishment on licensing agreement could potentially take up to two years, so they are actively looking at other viable solutions in the meantime. George then added details about their work on the Housing Assistance Tool (HATS), which is set to replace the current system and transition to a push system for client referrals. This new system is expected to go live by September 1st.

Alisa noted that the board had reached the end on the agenda and the meeting was adjourned