Before Starting the CoC Application

You must submit all three of the following parts in order for us to consider your Consolidated Application complete:

1. the CoC Application,
2. the CoC Priority Listing, and
3. all the CoC's project applications that were either approved and ranked, or rejected.

As the Collaborative Applicant, you are responsible for reviewing the following:

1. The FY 2024 CoC Program Competition Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) for specific application and program requirements.
2. The FY 2024 CoC Application Detailed Instructions which provide additional information and guidance for completing the application.
3. All information provided to ensure it is correct and current.
4. Responses provided by project applicants in their Project Applications.
5. The application to ensure all documentation, including attachment are provided.

Your CoC Must Approve the Consolidated Application before You Submit It

- 24 CFR 578.9 requires you to compile and submit the CoC Consolidated Application for the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition on behalf of your CoC.
- 24 CFR 578.9(b) requires you to obtain approval from your CoC before you submit the Consolidated Application into e-snaps.

Answering Multi-Part Narrative Questions

Many questions require you to address multiple elements in a single text box. Number your responses to correspond with multi-element questions using the same numbers in the question. This will help you organize your responses to ensure they are complete and help us to review and score your responses.

Attachments

Questions requiring attachments to receive points state, "You Must Upload an Attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen." Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process. Include a cover page with the attachment name.

- Attachments must match the questions they are associated with–if we do not award points for evidence you upload and associate with the wrong question, this is not a valid reason for you to appeal HUD's funding determination.
- We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).

1A. Continuum of Care (CoC) Identification

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1A-1. CoC Name and Number: PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

1A-2. Collaborative Applicant Name: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania - Department of Community and Economic Development

1A-3. CoC Designation: CA

1A-4. HMIS Lead: Commonwealth of Pennsylvania - Department of Commu

1B. Coordination and Engagement–Inclusive Structure and Participation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1B-1.	**Inclusive Structure and Participation–Participation in Coordinated Entry.**	
	NOFO Sections V.B.1.a.(1), V.B.1.e., V.B.1f., and V.B.1.p.	

	In the chart below for the period from May 1, 2023 to April 30, 2024:
1.	**select yes or no in the chart below if the entity listed participates in CoC meetings, voted–including selecting CoC Board members, and participated in your CoC's coordinated entry system; or**
2.	**select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist in your CoC's geographic area:**

	Organization/Person	Participated in CoC Meetings	Voted, Including Electing CoC Board Members	Participated in CoC's Coordinated Entry System
1.	Affordable Housing Developer(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
2.	CDBG/HOME/ESG Entitlement Jurisdiction	Yes	Yes	Yes
3.	Disability Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
4.	Disability Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
5.	EMS/Crisis Response Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
6.	Homeless or Formerly Homeless Persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
7.	Hospital(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
8.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent	No	No
9.	Law Enforcement	Yes	Yes	Yes
10.	Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender (LGBTQ+) Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
11.	LGBTQ+ Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
12.	Local Government Staff/Officials	Yes	Yes	Yes
13.	Local Jail(s)	No	No	No
14.	Mental Health Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
15.	Mental Illness Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
16.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes	Yes	Yes

17.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes	Yes	Yes
18.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes	Yes	Yes
19.	Other homeless subpopulation advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
20.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes	Yes	Yes
21.	School Administrators/Homeless Liaisons	Yes	Yes	Yes
22.	Street Outreach Team(s)	Yes	Yes	Yes
23.	Substance Abuse Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
24.	Substance Abuse Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
25.	Agencies Serving Survivors of Human Trafficking	Yes	Yes	Yes
26.	Victim Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
27.	Domestic Violence Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
28.	Other Victim Service Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
29.	State Domestic Violence Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
30.	State Sexual Assault Coalition	Yes	Yes	Yes
31.	Youth Advocates	Yes	Yes	Yes
32.	Youth Homeless Organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes
33.	Youth Service Providers	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Other: (limit 50 characters)			
34.	State government	Yes	Yes	Yes
35.	Faith based organizations	Yes	Yes	Yes

1B-1a.	Experience Promoting Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section III.B.3.c.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's experience in effectively addressing the needs of underserved communities, particularly Black and Brown communities, who are substantially overrepresented in the homeless population.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has been intentional about analyzing racial disparities for the past 5 years, to monitor progress and implement strategies to address the needs of underserved communities. The CoC has implemented the following steps to address identified disparities and ensure that the needs of underserved communities are being addressed:
-CE evaluation & annual gaps analysis demonstrated that BIPOC households were scoring lower on the VI-SPDAT than white households. In response, the CoC is implementing new CE assessment, going live on 11/13/24. The new tool was informed by equity to ensure that persons of color have equal access to the system & are not deprioritized (due to scoring) based on a biased tool.
- Youth Action Board & Homeless Action Board launched in 2023. The membership of each group is similar to the racial makeup of households served by the CoC. After receiving training about the CoC, systems change work, and best practices, YAB/HAB members have joined CoC committees, which is helping to diversify the CoC leadership. The Funding Committee has added 5 (paid) positions for PWLE. These members are involved in decision-making related to renewal/new project evaluation/ranking, to ensure that the input of PWLE is reflected in funding decisions.
-In 2021 the CoC formed a Diversity Equity & Inclusion (DEI) Committee charged with assessing the CoC's diversity & equity at the Board level & throughout CoC operations. The CoC is under contract w/TAC to support DEI Committee, including in-depth training and leadership development to prepare the group to self-lead/govern & ID CoC goals/strategies/methods for increasing equity. This Committee has helped to support the development of BIPOC leaders w/in CoC.
-CoC grantees evaluated annually on racial equity criteria annually since 2020. This has included steps org is taking to address disparities, increase equity and analyze project data disaggregated by race & ethnicity.
- Providing access to racial disparities data at the following levels: CoC, region, county, org, &project level to facilitate the data analysis of outcomes by race/ ethnicity. This data drilldown allows local orgs to identify/address disparities happening locally.
- The CoC hosted a 3-part DEI training (spring 2023) required for all ESG/CoC providers. This training facilitated greater understanding of equity & facilitated conversations between providers about how to address inequities on local/CoC-wide level.

1B-2.	Open Invitation for New Members.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(2)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	communicated a transparent invitation process annually (e.g., communicated to the public on the CoC's website) to solicit new members to join the CoC;
2.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and
3.	invited organizations serving culturally specific communities experiencing homelessness in your CoC's geographic area to address equity (e.g., Black, Latino, Indigenous, LGBTQ+, and persons with disabilities).

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) In advance of semi-annual CoC meetings, new members are invited to join the CoC via email & at regional meetings. Membership is frequently discussed during regional CoC meetings, as well as through CoC mailing list. Coordinated Entry (CE) regional managers engage referral partners who are also brought into CoC through CE partnership & encouraged to become members. Membership is established via a membership form, available year-round on the CoC website & promoted through a membership link in each CoC email. New members are connected to the CoC's Slack page & added to the CoC's email distribution list (Mailchimp).
2) All CoC content is posted to the CoC's website, which uses Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 2.1 to make content more accessible for people w/disabilities- including appropriate alternative text for images, fully functional site using keyboard alone, & compatibility with modern screen readers. Accessible materials (PDF) are available on the CoC website. The CoC uses Slack & Mailchimp email service for communication, each of which provide accessible electronic formats. Zoom is used for virtual meetings, which allows for closed captioning. In-person meetings are held in accessible buildings. The CoC also honors translation & accessibility requests.
3) Membership invitation sent by the CoC includes language that the CoC specifically seeks to engage orgs serving culturally specific communities in the CoC. CoC Board and regional leaders outreach to local orgs that serve culturally specific communities (including persons of color, LGBTQ+, persons with disabilities) to engage them in the work of the CoC. Examples:
-Orgs with expertise in serving the Latino community are involved in CoC work in communities with large Latino populations. This includes Unidos Lehigh Valley (ESG subgrantee) and Hispanic American Organization (CE partner).
- Bradbury-Sullivan LGBT Center & PA Trans Equity Project provided LGBTQ+ training in 2023, with training to be updated in 2025.
- DEI Committee members participate in/ coordinate with other Committees to weave DEI principles into all CoC efforts.
-Spring 2023- DEI Committee led 3-session (required) DEI training series w/TAC. Sessions included calls for CoC/ DEI Committee membership. DEI Committee's workplan includes identifying additional opportunities to advance racial equity and social justice goals across all CoC committees, which include the increasing diversity among CoC membership/leadership.

1B-3.	**CoC's Strategy to Solicit/Consider Opinions on Preventing and Ending Homelessness.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(3)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	**solicited and considered opinions from a broad array of organizations and individuals that have knowledge of homelessness, or an interest in preventing and ending homelessness;**
2.	**communicated information during public meetings or other forums your CoC uses to solicit public information;**
3.	**ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats; and**
4.	**took into consideration information gathered in public meetings or forums to address improvements or new approaches to preventing and ending homelessness.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC's 5 regions & Committee structure are used to solicit input from a broad array of partners. CoC org structure includes Gov Board, 5 Regional Homeless Advisory Boards (RHABs), Committees & ad hoc groups. RHABs includes a diverse range of members, including veteran services, DV providers, local gov, service providers, PHAs, people w/ lived experience, health care providers, among others. RHAB mtgs include opportunities for feedback on issues the CoC is working on. The co-chairs of each RHAB represent their region as members of the Board, which creates a two-way communication structure. CoC Committees are also forums for soliciting feedback. Board members & CoC staff/consultants help bring back information from Committees to the Gov Board. The CoC hosts regular trainings that are open to the full CoC membership and uses these as a forum for feedback.
2) Meetings of the CoC, RHABs & Committees provide Board w/ monthly opportunities to gather & share info between CoC leaders & members. The CoC hosts two annual membership meetings, and events & trainings throughout the year. In 2024, in-person meetings began again after being only virtual since COVID. The in-person meetings include breakout discussion to further solicit input. Due to the size of the CoC many meetings occur virtually.
During spring 2024 the CoC presented findings from the CoC gaps analysis, which reviewed demands for homeless assistance, compared with current resources. The CoC facilitated a discussion with participants around the needs they are seeing in their communities, to inform CoC funding priorities.
3) CoC website follows Web Content Accessibility Guidelines 2.1. Accessible materials (PDF) are available on the CoC website, including meeting agendas and training materials. The CoC uses Slack, Mailchimp & CoC website for communication -each provide accessible electronic formats. Meetings in which solicitation for public input are made (CoC/RHAB meetings) are held in accessible locations & can also be live-streamed/recorded. The CoC honors translation & accessibility requests. Input is also requested via online surveys (e.g. training needs, input for YHSI application, etc.
4) Board uses input to inform the CoC's direction/operation, set priorities & ID training needs. For example, the need to address youth homelessness resulted in the development of the YAB & successful YHSI application, which was designed by YAB & informed through surveys to CoC membership.

1B-4.	Public Notification for Proposals from Organizations Not Previously Awarded CoC Program Funding.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.a.(4)	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC notified the public:
1.	that your CoC will consider project applications from organizations that have not previously received CoC Program funding;
2.	about how project applicants must submit their project applications–the process;
3.	about how your CoC would determine which project applications it would submit to HUD for funding; and
4.	ensured effective communication and access for persons with disabilities, including the availability of accessible electronic formats.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC hosted a 2-part new training series in April 2024, to assist community partners in understanding the eligible CoC new project types, eligible activities, etc. This training was targeted to orgs not receiving CoC funds, as well as existing CoC grantees interested in new projects. The CoC issued a Notice of Intent (NOI) for new projects on 4/30/24 to inform CoC members/partners that a formal RFP for new projects would be forthcoming & to encourage interested applicants to reach out for TA to discuss project design, eligible activities, etc. Many TA sessions occurred over summer 2024. The CoC issued a new project RFP on 8/5/24. The new project training, NOI & RFP were posted on CoC website, announced on the CoC's Slack page & emailed to CoC distribution list. CoC members were encouraged to share the RFPs w/local agencies who may be interested.
The RFP included language related to new project scoring thresholds: "Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding". TA was available upon request to new applicants to support the submission of a competitive application, including eligible activities & eligible households.
2) RFP packet was distributed by email, web posting & Slack, which included the RFP, budget template, due date, blank copy of application for reference and instructions/deadline for completing/submitting application & budget via the CoC’s online survey software (Alchemer).
3) RFPs stated that “All Preliminary Applications will be reviewed by the Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee based on the following criteria...” and included threshold criteria & scored criteria for new projects. Funding Committee scores/selects new projects. Funding Policies were linked in RFP. Funding Committee is made up of nonconflicted members who do not receive CoC funding. The new project scoring tool & CoC funding policies were also posted on CoC website. Priorities were informed by the annual CoC gaps analysis.
4) All funding related materials, including application info, was posted on the CoC website as accessible PDF. Notification of the availability of materials were distributed via email to CoC stakeholders; posted on CoC’s Slack page; & highlighted during regional CoC meetings. New project training NOFO webinar hosted by the CoC included closed caption technology. RFP noted that if TA/accommodations are needed to apply for new project, the CoC can provide reasonable accommodations.

1C. Coordination and Engagement

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1C-1.	**Coordination with Federal, State, Local, Private, and Other Organizations.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

	In the chart below:
1.	**select yes or no for entities listed that are included in your CoC's coordination, planning, and operations of projects that serve individuals, families, unaccompanied youth, persons who are fleeing domestic violence who are experiencing homelessness, or those at risk of homelessness; or**
2.	**select Nonexistent if the organization does not exist within your CoC's geographic area.**

	Entities or Organizations Your CoC Coordinates with for Planning or Operations of Projects	Coordinates with the Planning or Operations of Projects?
1.	Funding Collaboratives	Yes
2.	Head Start Program	Yes
3.	Housing and services programs funded through Local Government	Yes
4.	Housing and services programs funded through other Federal Resources (non-CoC)	Yes
5.	Housing and services programs funded through private entities, including Foundations	Yes
6.	Housing and services programs funded through State Government	Yes
7.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS)	Yes
8.	Housing and services programs funded through U.S. Department of Justice (DOJ)	Yes
9.	Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS (HOPWA)	Yes
10.	Indian Tribes and Tribally Designated Housing Entities (TDHEs) (Tribal Organizations)	Nonexistent
11.	Organizations led by and serving Black, Brown, Indigenous and other People of Color	Yes
12.	Organizations led by and serving LGBTQ+ persons	Yes
13.	Organizations led by and serving people with disabilities	Yes
14.	Private Foundations	Yes
15.	Public Housing Authorities	Yes
16.	Runaway and Homeless Youth (RHY)	Yes
17.	Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF)	Yes
	Other:(limit 50 characters)	
18.		

1C-2.	CoC Consultation with ESG Program Recipients.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.b.	

In the chart below select yes or no to indicate whether your CoC:

1.	Consulted with ESG Program recipients in planning and allocating ESG Program funds?	Yes
2.	Provided Point-in-Time (PIT) count and Housing Inventory Count (HIC) data to the Consolidated Plan jurisdictions within its geographic area?	Yes
3.	Ensured local homelessness information is communicated and addressed in the Consolidated Plan updates?	Yes
4.	Coordinated with ESG recipients in evaluating and reporting performance of ESG Program recipients and subrecipients?	Yes

1C-3.	Ensuring Families are not Separated.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.c.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ensures emergency shelter, transitional housing, and permanent housing (PSH and RRH) do not deny admission or separate family members regardless of each family member's self-reported sexual orientation and gender identity:

1.	Conducted mandatory training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure families are not separated?	Yes
2.	Conducted optional training for all CoC- and ESG-funded service providers to ensure family members are not separated?	No
3.	Worked with CoC and ESG recipient(s) to adopt uniform anti-discrimination policies for all subrecipients?	Yes
4.	Worked with ESG recipient(s) to identify both CoC- and ESG-funded facilities within your CoC's geographic area that might be out of compliance and took steps to work directly with those facilities to bring them into compliance?	Yes
5.	Sought assistance from HUD by submitting questions or requesting technical assistance to resolve noncompliance by service providers?	No

1C-4.	CoC Collaboration Related to Children and Youth–SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the entities your CoC collaborates with:

1.	Youth Education Provider	Yes
2.	State Education Agency (SEA)	Yes
3.	Local Education Agency (LEA)	Yes
4.	School Districts	Yes

1C-4a.	Formal Partnerships with Youth Education Providers, SEAs, LEAs, School Districts.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below the formal partnerships your CoC has with at least one of the entities where you responded yes in question 1C-4.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Regarding formal partnerships, the State Education Agency (Pennsylvania Department of Education) & the CoC's HMIS Lead Agency have established a formal, written interagency data sharing protocol which allows for increased identification of children/youth experiencing homelessness & increased service coordination across homeless & education providers. Prior to the current data sharing agreement, SEA/LEA were only counting households that self-disclosed homelessness. Through the use of this data sharing agreement the SEA has increasing understanding of the scale, scope and frequency of homelessness throughout the state's LEA regions. This more complete count has led to an increase in resources through the SEA's McKinney Vento allocation plan. Formal partnerships with LEAs/school districts include joint grant applications & cross system letters of support for grant applications.
LEAs have relationships w/local providers and participate in CoC activities such as the PIT count, membership and/or regional meetings of CoC, and in county-level coalitions.

CoC's YHSI project will coordinate homeless service providers, juvenile justice, foster care & other feeder systems once youth turn 18, in partnership with housing providers, the education system and other community partners.

Several CoC members and/or CoC-funded organizations also operate youth education services and/or early learning programs (e.g. Pre-K, Pre-K Counts, Head Start, youth employment, tutoring & life skills), which may be used to leverage/match CoC & documented in an MOU. These orgs may have co-located housing & classrooms on site, or may refer all families participating in housing/homelessness projects to educational opportunities, including afterschool programming. This includes CoC-funded orgs that also provide early childhood education programs.

The CoC sits on statewide Early Childhood Education/Homelessness Stakeholders group, to increase access to early childhood resources.

Additional examples of collaboration with youth education providers include:
-Head Start providers host on-site enrollment at shelters.
-Youth education providers participate in the annual PIT count.
-Joint submission and/or cross system letters of support for grant applications.
-CoC orgs work w/ schools to coordinate needed transportation & supplies.
-School district staff are CoC members.
-Coordination also occurs during Housing/Homeless Awareness Month

1C-4b.	Informing Individuals and Families Who Have Recently Begun Experiencing Homelessness about Eligibility for Educational Services.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Describe in the field below written policies and procedures your CoC uses to inform individuals and families who have recently begun experiencing homelessness of their eligibility for educational services.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CoC Written Standards (updated annually) require all projects serving children/youth to designate a staff person to be responsible for ensuring that children are enrolled in school and connected to appropriate services, including early childhood program, part C of IDEA & subtitle B of title VII of the Act. Additionally, programs must take the educational needs of children into account when families are placed in housing and will, to the maximum extent practical, place families with children as close as possible to their school of origin so as not to disrupt children's education. Providers work with & utilize resources through LEA to avoid school transfer. CoC/ESG-funded projects are required to adhere to the CoC's written standards, which require that all projects inform families of their eligibility for educational services & educational rights under the McKinney-Vento Act and Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA). All CoC-funded organizations participated in a compliance desk monitoring process in 2022 which included requiring all orgs to indicate that they met the requirement of having a designated staff person to ensure children are enrolled in school/connected to services. Case Managers within CoC-funded programs have strong working relationships with local school district McKinney-Vento Act homeless liaisons and many have Memorandums of Understanding (MOU) with local school districts and publicly funded Pre-K/early learning programs to ensure streamlined and prioritized access to educational programs for children experiencing homelessness.
PA-211 (CES virtual call center) can provide information about eligibility for educational services when relevant. In 2022 the CoC provided training two related trainings -1) Education Rights for Children, Youth and Families Experiencing Homelessness, 2) Resources & Early Education Options for Young Children Experiencing Homelessness. Staff who are new to the homeless services field and/or who have not received prior training on the educational rights of children, youth and families were strongly encouraged to attend. The training was recorded and is available at any time on the CoC website. Topics included: structure/purpose of the ECYEH Program; McKinney-Vento vs. HUD definitions; rights of children & addressing the needs of students in public schools when homeless; and more. This training will be updated/provided to the CoC again in 2025.

1C-4c.	**Written/Formal Agreements or Partnerships with Early Childhood Services Providers.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.d.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC has written formal agreements or partnerships with the listed providers of early childhood services:

		MOU/MOA	Other Formal Agreement
1.	Birth to 3 years	Yes	Yes
2.	Child Care and Development Fund	Yes	Yes
3.	Early Childhood Providers	Yes	Yes
4.	Early Head Start	Yes	Yes
5.	Federal Home Visiting Program–(including Maternal, Infant and Early Childhood Home and Visiting or MIECHV)	Yes	Yes
6.	Head Start	Yes	Yes

7.	Healthy Start	No	No
8.	Public Pre-K	Yes	Yes
9.	Tribal Home Visiting Program	No	No
	Other (limit 150 characters)		
10.			

1C-5.	Addressing Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking–Collaboration with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers.
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.

In the chart below select yes or no for the organizations your CoC collaborates with:

	Organizations	
1.	State Domestic Violence Coalitions	Yes
2.	State Sexual Assault Coalitions	Yes
3.	Anti-trafficking Service Providers	Yes
	Other Organizations that Help this Population (limit 500 characters)	
4.	Local Victim Service Providers	Yes

1C-5a.	Collaborating with Federally Funded Programs and Victim Service Providers to Address Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC regularly collaborates with organizations that you selected yes to in Question 1C-5 to:
1.	update CoC-wide policies; and
2.	ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC's geographic area are trauma-informed and can meet the needs of survivors.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) CoC membership includes the state DV coalition (PCADV) and numerous victim service providers (VSPs), many of which serve both DV & sexual assault (SA) survivors. These dual programs are also members of PCAR, the state SA coalition. Some VSPs also serve persons fleeing human trafficking, in addition to dedicated anti-trafficking service providers. Many VSPs participate in the CoC-wide DV RRH project (PCADV is applicant). This includes the ESG/CoC-funded YWCA Northcentral PA, whose Program Director also serves on the PCAR Board of Directors and the area's Human Trafficking Response Team. This coordination with DV/SA/HT service partners enhances the ability of the CoC to develop & update CoC-wide policies that are trauma-informed and meet the needs of survivors.
CE P&P are developed through the CE Committee, which includes PCADV's DV-dedicated CE staff. In addition, the CoC relies on the expertise of the PCADV DV CE staff to address day-to-day operational issues around DV protocols for CE to ensure that the needs of survivors are considered throughout every phase of CE.
All ESG/CoC-funded projects are required to follow CoC Written Standards. Written Standards Committee includes VSPs & play a key role in ensuring policies continue to incorporate the needs of survivors.
The CoC worked in close partnership w/PCADV & VSPs to develop the CoC's Emergency Transfer Plans and protocols.
The CoC has a DV Committee, which provides recommendations & input to CoC Gov Board & other committees.
2) As service enriched & individualized housing options are central to trauma informed (TI) practice w/survivors, PCADV has worked w/CoC to develop DV RRH projects that specifically meet the needs of survivors, adding 394 units to date.
PCADV & their VSP network work closely with the CoC & ESG/CoC providers to ensure all housing/services (including non-DV dedicated) are TI & meet the needs of survivors.
PCADV provides CoC-wide annual training on DV best practices, including TI approaches, and provides year-round TA around best practices for serving survivors to ESG/CoC & all providers.
Per the recommendation of the DV Committee, the CoC applied for/was awarded a DV-dedicated CE project in the FY22 to support more dedicated DV CE staffing and other system modifications to allow for a more enhanced victim-centered, TI system. The CoC is currently building out this DV "extension" of CE, which will remove obstacles to CE access for survivors.

1C-5b.	**Implemented Safety Planning, Confidentiality Protocols in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry addresses the needs of DV survivors by including:
1.	**safety planning protocols; and**
2.	**confidentiality protocols.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CE system has a dedicated DV CE Specialist who trains CE assessors on best practices when working with survivors, including safety planning & trauma informed assessment skills. Safety planning protocol for CE assessors includes conducting a triage assessment for individuals accessing CE (in person or by phone), including questions about fleeing DV, immediate safety needs, and a warm referral to a local VSP for additional safety planning, if the survivor wants this connection. The DV CE Specialist and/or CE dedicated support staff from PCADV provide additional training/TA to assessors who need assistance w/safety planning or addressing survivor needs. PCADV is developing brief, recorded trainings to better support CE Specialists in working with survivors. Trainings will be available in early 2025 and will include information on topics such as trauma informed intake, VAWA, and safety planning. This training will be available to all new CE staff, and will be used as ongoing skill development for current staff. PA211 (phone entry for CE) has implemented a specific voice menu flow for individuals experiencing DV to ensure that they are prioritized in the phone queue to be connected to an assessor quickly.
2) The CE workflow immediately assesses whether someone is fleeing DV. Participants are provided the choice for a warm handoff to a VSP for CE assessment (if desired), or to conduct an anonymous intake in HMIS. Confidentiality protocol includes: CE assessors conduct DV Anon Intake in HMIS, which allows for no PII to be collected & secures additional client consent. Once DV Anon intake is completed, an electronic intake form is filled out by the assessor, and this data moves into the secure Smartsheet database (only accessible by CE Managers & DV CE Specialist). This established process adds DV survivors to the CE By Name List, ensuring equal access to all ESG/CoC/DOJ/HHS or other homeless asst, while also ensuring confidentiality of PII. With the client's consent/approval the CE Manager or DV CE Specialist will provide the DV anonymous client’s contact info to the housing provider, which is to be kept confidential by housing provider.
With the guidance of both the DV & CE Committees, PCADV is leading development of CE system extension, operational in 2025. The “DV CE extension” will remove obstacles for survivors by quickly & safely connecting them to housing resources. Data will be protected in a comparable database.

1C-5c.	**Coordinated Annual Training on Best Practices to Address the Needs of Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking Survivors.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

In the chart below, indicate how your CoC facilitates training for project staff and coordinated entry staff that addresses best practices on safety planning and confidentiality protocols:

		Project Staff	Coordinated Entry Staff
1.	Training Occurs at least annually?	Yes	Yes
2.	Incorporates Trauma Informed best practices?	Yes	Yes
3.	Incorporates Survivor-Centered best practices?	Yes	Yes
4.	Identifies and assesses survivors' individual safety needs?	Yes	Yes
5.	Enhances and supports collaboration with DV organizations?	Yes	Yes

6.	Ensures survivors' rights, voices, and perspectives are incorporated?	Yes	Yes
	Other? (limit 500 characters)		
7.	TA is available as needed to support safety planning & confidentiality protocols. This is facilitated by PCADV housing staff. In addition, VSPs reinforce best practice through participation in CoC meetings, regional CoC meetings, committee meetings, during CE case conferencing meetings, and more.	Yes	Yes

1C-5d.	Implemented VAWA-Required Written Emergency Transfer Plan Policies and Procedures for Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	whether your CoC's written policies and procedures include an emergency transfer plan;
2.	how your CoC informs all households seeking or receiving CoC Program assistance about their rights to an emergency transfer;
3.	what your CoC requires households to do to request emergency transfers; and
4.	what your CoC does in response to households requesting emergency transfers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC worked in partnership with PCADV & VSPs to draft the ETP & update as needed. The ETP is publicly posted on the CoC website, is included in the CoC Written Standards (WS) & Coordinated Entry Policies/Procedures. All ESG/CoC- funded projects are required to follow Written Standards, including the ETP policy/protocol. PCADV provides annual CoC-wide training on DV best practices, which includes in-depth overview of ETP & best practices for a victim-centered trauma-informed implementation. This helps ensure that all ESG/CoC-funded orgs have the most up to date information about this policy & how to utilize it for participants. In addition, ESG/CoC RFPs for new projects inform applicants that adhering to all aspects of WS is required.
2) Information about the ETP is made available to all participants, regardless of known survivor status, upon enrollment into a CoC/ESG-funded project. CE Policies/Procedures includes connecting individuals who are fleeing & seeking housing assistance to DV providers for safety planning, if desired. The process to request an emergency transfer is publicly posted on the CoC website & is made available to all participants in ESG/CoC programs.
3) To request an emergency transfer, a participant can notify their case manager/housing provider verbally or in writing. Transfer request to indicate if there is a threat of imminent harm from further violence if the participant were to remain in the same dwelling unit or indicating that a household member was a victim of sexual assault that occurred on the premises during the preceding 90 calendar-day period.
4) Providers must act as quickly as possible to move a participant requesting ETP, subject to availability & safety of a unit within the provider's own inventory. If that is not possible, ETP can be processed through CE System. Upon request by provider receiving ETP request, the CE Regional Managers will work quickly, following the established protocol/policies/procedures, to help identify an alternate safe unit & coordinate providers & resources to facilitate transfer. The implementation of the ETP is assisted through PCADV's CoC-wide DV RRH project, which provides 394 units across all 33 counties. CoC-wide DV RRH includes the VAWA BLI to facilitate emergency transfers.

1C-5e.	**Facilitating Safe Access to Housing and Services for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures households experiencing trauma or a lack of safety related to fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, or stalking have safe access to all of the housing and services available within your CoC's geographic area.

(limit 2,500 characters)

CoC Coordinated Entry P&P & Written Standards ensure survivors have access to all housing/services within the CoC (ESG/CoC funded projects & other housing projects). CE protects the safety & confidentiality of survivors while simultaneously providing access to housing & services. During the CE Triage Assessment, all households are asked questions to determine if they are fleeing/attempting to flee DV, dating violence, stalking, sexual assault, or human trafficking. CE Specialist will also assess whether the participant is in immediate danger and if needed, offer to connect to emergency services and/or a local VSP. If a participant identifies that they are fleeing/attempting to flee, CE Specialist will ask if they prefer to be entered into PA HMIS anonymously to keep their personal info confidential. Any participant enrolled in PA HMIS anonymously will have a numeric ID & confidential password that the participant creates to navigate the homeless system. Survivors assessed through CE are added to CE BNL w/numeric ID, which ensures that they are appropriately prioritized for all available housing opportunities.

The ability for survivors to access all housing resources safely is also created through trauma informed cultural competency within the CE system through connections to an interpreter when needed.

The CoC has implemented a push referral system as of September 2024 – when there is a vacancy in a project, the CE Regional Manager sends referrals to housing projects from the BNL following CoC Order of Priority, which is based on vulnerability. CE staff provide project staff w/client contact info when the household is prioritized for enrollment. CE Regional Managers oversee the CE process & ensure that households are prioritized in a manner consistent w/CE P&P/Written Standards. Through a client-centered process, survivors can make an informed choice about the best resource for them.

The CoC has had a steady increase in CoC funding for DV-dedicated projects – the CoC currently has over 400 units of DV-dedicated RRH and PSH resources. DV survivors have access to all resources within the CoC – both DV dedicated and non-DV dedicated.

Robust coordination w/ VSPs has assisted all providers to understand how to best serve survivors. Each county in the CoC has a dedicated VSP. CoC member programs partner with the local VSP for support in serving survivors, ensure that a survivor's needs are met regardless of program or housing type.

1C-5f.	Identifying and Removing Barriers for Survivors of Domestic Violence, Dating Violence, Sexual Assault, and Stalking.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.e.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC ensures survivors receive safe housing and services by:
1.	identifying barriers specific to survivors; and
2.	working to remove those barriers.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC incorporates the needs of Survivors in all discussions to proactively identify systemic barriers and to address barriers. This is accomplished through close coordination with local VSPs & Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence (PCADV). VSPs participate in CE, DEI & Written Standards Committees. This integration of VSPs allows for input in the planning & development of all CoC policies/procedures to ensure needs of survivors are proactively incorporated into system design. In addition, this close coordination helps to facilitate quick responses if issues are identified within the operation of the system. Through these conversations, the CoC identified system gaps for survivors in accessing CE. The CoC allocated funding in 2018 to develop a DV CE Specialist to be integrated into the workflow of the CE System. Through this position & the DV training provided to CE Specialists, several issues have been identified & resolved, including creating a 211 dedicated DV CE Specialist position. Because the BNL is embedded into HMIS, CE Regional Managers provide referrals to VSPs for openings in DV-dedicated RRH/PSH projects. The CoC also uses data to identify barriers specific to survivors. The CoC conducts an annual gaps analysis which includes analyzing the specific needs and gaps for DV survivors. The CoC collaborates with PCADV and CE staff on the data analysis to ensure the analysis accurately reflects the needs of survivors accessing Coordinated Entry and CoC services. Through this analysis, the CoC identified service gaps for survivors and an overall lack of resources to meet the needs of survivors.
2) To remove barriers for survivors in accessing/navigating through the Coordinated Entry system to permanent housing, the CoC is working w/PCADV to build out a DV CE “extension” to increase quick connections to safe housing. To remove barriers for survivors due to a lack of DV-specific housing resources, the CoC has partnered with PCADV to apply for DV Bonus funds which are administered across all 33 counties – through DV Bonus applications over the past several years the CoC now has nearly 400 units of DV-dedicated RRH. Because all PSH is chronic-dedicated, the CoC has also funded DV-dedicated PSH to increase access to survivors.
The CoC has also partnered with PCADV on training for CoC/ESG/CE staff to ensure that ALL housing providers (not just VSPs) have the knowledge and resources to serve survivors in housing programs.

1C-6.	Addressing the Needs of Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer+–Anti-Discrimination Policy and Equal Access Trainings.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

1.	Did your CoC implement a written CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy ensuring that LGBTQ+ individuals and families receive supportive services, shelter, and housing free from discrimination?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement the Equal Access to Housing in HUD Programs Regardless of Sexual Orientation or Gender Identity (Equal Access Final Rule)?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC conduct annual CoC-wide training with providers on how to effectively implement Equal Access in Accordance With an Individual's Gender Identity in Community Planning and Development Programs (Gender Identity Final Rule)?	Yes

1C-6a.	Anti-Discrimination Policy–Updating Policies–Assisting Providers–Evaluating Compliance–Addressing Noncompliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.f.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC regularly collaborates with LGBTQ+ and other organizations to update its CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy, as necessary to ensure all housing and services provided in the CoC are trauma-informed and able to meet the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals and families;
2.	how your CoC assisted housing and services providers in developing project-level anti-discrimination policies that are consistent with the CoC-wide anti-discrimination policy;
3.	your CoC's process for evaluating compliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies; and
4.	your CoC's process for addressing noncompliance with your CoC's anti-discrimination policies.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC updated its non-discrimination policy in fall 2020, with consultation from the Bradbury-Sullivan LGBT Center (BS-LGBT) who reviewed policies to ensure they were inclusive of the needs of LGBTQ+ individuals. The policy is updated based on stakeholder feedback or if issues not adequately addressed within the policy are identified. The policy, which includes language from HUD's Equal Access Final Rule & Gender Identity Final Rule, is integrated into the Written Standards (WS), which are regularly discussed by the WS Committee & updated as needed/annually. In 2023 CoC hosted required annual training on LGBTQ competency, co-led by BS-LGBT & Eastern PA Trans Equity Project, which covered strategies for meeting needs of LGTBQ folks, how to become an ally, and improving access to housing for LGBTQ people.
2) When the CoC rolled out updated non-discrim policy, a packet of materials to support org implementation was provided. The packet included sample policy language. Annual fair housing training, which covers HUD's Equal Access & Gender Identity Final Rules, is provided by CoC. This training assists providers in how to revise own policies to be responsive. Following the last round of updates to the WS, the CoC provided office hours calls to support their implementation, which include the CoC's non-discrimination policies.
3) During ESG monitoring, DCED ensures non-discrimination policies are in place & that program standards are in alignment with non-discrimination language. The CoC conducted a compliance desk monitoring review in spring 2022 (to be repeated in 2025) for all CoC-funded providers, which included a review to ensure implementation of non-discrimination policies. 2022 renewal scoring process included the submission of project-level non-discrim policies. Policies were reviewed by CoC for completeness and adherence to CoC/HUD reqs.
4) If CE Regional managers observe discrimination practices related to the enrollment of program participants, the issue is discussed with the provider and, if needed, information would also be shared with CoC Lead/Gov Board to determine next steps & resolution of issue. Depending on the situation, clients experiencing discrimination may be encouraged to reach out to legal aid. Per CoC funding policies, non-compliance with CoC policies, including nondiscrimination, can result in reallocation. The CoC requires a corrective action plan and offers TA to providers who are out of compliance.

1C-7.	**Public Housing Agencies within Your CoC's Geographic Area–New Admissions–General/Limited Preference–Moving On Strategy.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

You must upload the PHA Homeless Preference\PHA Moving On Preference attachment(s) to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Enter information in the chart below for the two largest PHAs highlighted in gray on the current CoC-PHA Crosswalk Report or the two PHAs your CoC has a working relationship with–if there is only one PHA in your CoC's geographic area, provide information on the one:

Public Housing Agency Name	Enter the Percent of New Admissions into Public Housing or Housing Choice Voucher Program During FY 2023 who were experiencing homelessness at entry	Does the PHA have a General or Limited Homeless Preference?	Does the PHA have a Preference for current PSH program participants no longer needing intensive supportive services, e.g., Moving On?
Cumberland County Housing Authority	10%	No	Yes

Franklin County Housing Authority	100%	Yes-Both	No

1C-7a.	**Written Policies on Homeless Admission Preferences with PHAs.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	**steps your CoC has taken, with the two largest PHAs within your CoC's geographic area or the two PHAs your CoC has working relationships with, to adopt a homeless admission preference–if your CoC only has one PHA within its geographic area, you may respond for the one; or**
2.	**state that your CoC has not worked with the PHAs in its geographic area to adopt a homeless admission preference.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC has positive relationships with many of the 36 PHAs in the geographic area. PHAs are the applicant for multiple projects on 2024 Priority Listing. PHA Directors serve on CoC Gov Board & Funding Committee. In addition to PHAs in the chart above, 13 additional PHAs have reported a homeless preference for HCV and/or Public Housing (Centre Co, Clinton Co, Lebanon Co, Lycoming Co, Schuylkill Co, Snyder Co, Union Co, Northumberland Co, Mifflin Co, Tioga and Bradford Co, Northampton Co, Monroe Co, Allentown).
Several PHAs also reported significant new admissions of people experiencing homelessness during FY23: Centre Co Housing Authority (15%), Clinton Co Housing Authority (36%), Lebanon County Housing Authority (25%), Lycoming County Housing Authority (18%), and Schuylkill Co Housing Authority (23%). Many other PHAs also have preferences for DV, vets & displaced persons, under which people experiencing homelessness often qualify. Cumberland County currently has a Move On preference for people exiting permanent housing into neighborhood-based housing. Several PHAs have expressed interest in developing a Move On Strategy in partnership with the CoC. Expanding Move On and other PHA preferences is a goal for the CoC. The CoC began implementing its Move-On Strategy & toolkit in 2022, which has continued into 2023 and 2024, providing training & TA to support local implementation when requested. The CoC's Move-On materials include sample policy language, marketing materials & training materials.
The CoC has increased its partnership with both the Allentown & Centre Co PHAs through the allocation & implementation of EHVs. Both communities accepted CE referrals for EHVs. The CoC is working with 4 PHAs (Union, Snyder, Columbia, Allentown) on the implementation of the Stability Vouchers. Allentown PHA has FYI vouchers, which are being implemented in partnership with Valley Youth House. The CoC's Veterans Committee also works with PHAs to support the implementation of VASH vouchers. In addition, CoC-funded providers throughout the CoC have working relationships w/local PHAs, resulting in significant benefits to both systems. This includes partnerships on affordable housing projects; administering rental assistance; and more.
The CoC worked w/ PA Association of Housing & Redevelopment Authorities to develop a CoC/ homeless track during 2024 PAHRA conference, providing 4 sessions.
2) N/A

1C-7b.	Moving On Strategy with Affordable Housing Providers.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate affordable housing providers in your CoC's jurisdiction that your recipients use to move program participants to other subsidized housing:

1.	Multifamily assisted housing owners	No
2.	PHA	Yes
3.	Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) developments	No
4.	Local low-income housing programs	Yes
	Other (limit 150 characters)	
5.	SRO units operated by non-profit housing developers	Yes

1C-7c.	Include Units from PHA Administered Programs in Your CoC's Coordinated Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

In the chart below, indicate if your CoC includes units from the following PHA programs in your CoC's coordinated entry process:

1.	Emergency Housing Vouchers (EHV)	Yes
2.	Family Unification Program (FUP)	No
3.	Housing Choice Voucher (HCV)	No
4.	HUD-Veterans Affairs Supportive Housing (HUD-VASH)	Yes
5.	Mainstream Vouchers	No
6.	Non-Elderly Disabled (NED) Vouchers	No
7.	Public Housing	No
8.	Other Units from PHAs:	

1C-7d.	Submitting CoC and PHA Joint Applications for Funding for People Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

1.	Did your CoC coordinate with a PHA(s) to submit a competitive joint application(s) for funding or jointly implement a competitive project serving individuals or families experiencing homelessness (e.g., applications for mainstream vouchers, Family Unification Program (FUP), other programs)?	Yes
		Program Funding Source
2.	Enter the type of competitive project your CoC coordinated with a PHA(s) to submit a joint application for or jointly implement.	Stability Vouchers

1C-7e.	**Coordinating with PHA(s) to Apply for or Implement HCV Dedicated to Homelessness Including Emergency Housing Voucher (EHV).**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.g.	

	Did your CoC coordinate with any PHA to apply for or implement funding provided for Housing Choice Vouchers dedicated to homelessness, including vouchers provided through the American Rescue Plan?	Yes

1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1D-1.	Preventing People Transitioning from Public Systems from Experiencing Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.h.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate whether your CoC actively coordinates with the public systems listed to ensure persons who have resided in them longer than 90 days are not discharged directly to the streets, emergency shelters, or other homeless assistance programs.

1.	Prisons/Jails?	Yes
2.	Health Care Facilities?	Yes
3.	Residential Care Facilities?	Yes
4.	Foster Care?	Yes

1D-2.	Housing First–Lowering Barriers to Entry.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

1.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition.	58
2.	Enter the total number of new and renewal CoC Program-funded PSH, RRH, SSO non-coordinated entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects your CoC is applying for in FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that have adopted the Housing First approach.	58
3.	This number is a calculation of the percentage of new and renewal PSH, RRH, SSO non-Coordinated Entry, Safe Haven, and Transitional Housing projects the CoC has ranked in its CoC Priority Listing in the FY 2024 CoC Program Competition that reported that they are lowering barriers to entry and prioritizing rapid placement and stabilization to permanent housing.	100%

1D-2a.	Project Evaluation for Housing First Compliance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.i.	

You must upload the Housing First Evaluation attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Describe in the field below:

1.	how your CoC evaluates every project–where the applicant checks Housing First on their project application–to determine if they are using a Housing First approach;
2.	the list of factors and performance indicators your CoC uses during its evaluation;
3.	how your CoC regularly evaluates projects outside of your local CoC competition to ensure the projects are using a Housing First approach; and
4.	what your CoC has done to improve fidelity to Housing First.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) CoC Written Standards require ESG/CoC-funded orgs to implement a Housing First (HF) approach. Outside of the CoC Competition, the CoC conducted desk monitoring of each grantee in 2022, which included HF compliance review. In spring 2023, outside of CoC competition, the CoC reviewed all grantee policies related to HF (admissions, program rules, discharge) & provided written feedback on issues/updates needed. Grantees were given a timeline to update policies in alignment with HF and resubmitted policies in 2024. CoC grantees were scored in 2024 on updating policies in alignment w/ HF. The CoC has implemented (Sept 2024) a “push” CE referral process, in which CoC/ESG providers are sent referrals via regional CE managers. This process allows the CoC to monitor if providers are screening out households/ not following a Housing First approach.
2) The CoC evaluated providers on HF elements: 1- not screening out based on substance use, completion of treatment, no income, criminal convictions, or lack of “housing readiness”; 2-housing-focused services; 3-participation in services not a condition of staying in program; 4-use of substances in itself not a reason for program dismissal; 5-prioritize those with highest needs; 6-staff trained in evidence-based practices; 7-services informed by harm reduction.
3) The CoC uses policy reviews, quarterly monitoring, & CE oversight to evaluate HF compliance at regular intervals outside of the competition. CoC conducts periodic desk monitoring to ensure HF compliance (last: 2022; next: 2025) and policy reviews (last: 2023/2024). The CoC distributes data quality reports as part of quarterly monitoring process. If a project’s data showed increased patterns of turnover, the project would be expected to explain reasons for the #/% of exits to explain cause. The CoC has implemented a “push” CE referral process, in which CoC/ESG providers are sent referrals via CE managers. This process allows the CoC to monitor if providers are screening out households/ not following a HF approach.
4) The CoC provided individualized feedback on grantee policies related to HF alignment and provided TA policies to align policies/practice with HF. The CoC regularly reviews grantee data via quarterly monitoring process to identify potential issues related to screening households out/exiting households. As part of the redesigned CE system, CE staff provide oversight to ensure CoC/ESG programs aren’t screening households out.

1D-3.	Street Outreach–Data–Reaching People Least Likely to Request Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.j.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC tailored its street outreach to people experiencing homelessness who are least likely to request assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC's geography includes 33 counties encompassing nearly 21,000 sq. miles and 1,023 municipalities, of which over 83% are classified as rural. Given the size & nature of the CoC, street outreach efforts occur at varying levels across the CoC. Communities w/resources to conduct street outreach (SO) provide regular/frequent services. This includes strategies to reach HH least likely to access assistance, including visiting encampments and other known locations where unsheltered HH may be residing (campgrounds, wooded areas, 24 hour laundromats or truck stops, etc.). In rural communities and/or those with limited capacity, SO is conducted “on demand”. This is facilitated by reports of unsheltered observations from emergency services, libraries, churches, laundromat owners/managers, staff at truck stops, etc. SO providers distribute survival equipment (e.g. tents, sleeping bags, food, etc) & offer services to support continued engagement. SSVF providers conduct Veterans SO & coordinate w/local providers when a non-Veteran is IDed. The Lehigh Valley conducts youth-specific SO & provides street medicine services. ESG $ supports outreach in 11 counties. SAMHSA’s PATH funds cover 10 counties, including 4 counties that also receive ESG$.

76% of the CoC is covered through daily/weekly and/or "on demand" street outreach. The full CoC (100%) is covered during annual unsheltered PIT count. Volunteers fan out across communities to search for unsheltered HHs, while partnering w/emergency services to be notified if someone believed to be homeless is observed. Volunteers will respond to that location, if they have not already contacted the person described. The annual PIT count interview forms, the CE assessment tool & other marketing materials are available in Spanish. In communities with a high rate of speakers of other languages (including Spanish) bilingual staff are hired.

Additionally, outside of the PIT count, homeless providers will respond to reports of unsheltered homelessness, regardless of where the need occurs. For example, if someone is believed to be
on private property, or in a gated community, they would first seek permission to access the area to provide services.

Street medicine was added to PA Medicaid as reimbursable service in July 2023, which the CoC is seeking to expand (already available in Allentown) in partnership w/DHS, expanding services to most vulnerable.

1D-4.	Strategies to Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.k.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate your CoC’s strategies to prevent the criminalization of homelessness in your CoC’s geographic area:

	Your CoC’s Strategies	Engaged/Educated Legislators and Policymakers	Implemented Laws/Policies/Practices that Prevent Criminalization of Homelessness
1.	Increase utilization of co-responder responses or social services-led responses over law enforcement responses to people experiencing homelessness?	Yes	Yes

2.	**Minimize use of law enforcement to enforce bans on public sleeping, public camping, or carrying out basic life functions in public places?**	Yes	Yes
3.	**Avoid imposing criminal sanctions, including fines, fees, and incarceration for public sleeping, public camping, and carrying out basic life functions in public places?**	Yes	Yes
4.	**Other:(limit 500 characters)**		
	CoC staff served on a committee convened by the PA State Police Municipal Police Officers Training Commission to design a Homelessness Response Training Program. This is a continuing education requirement for officers to maintain Act 120 Certification in 2025. The CoC has now formed a relationship with the training commission and will be participating in the upcoming revamp of the training curriculum students are required to take to become police officers in Pennsylvania.	Yes	Yes

1D-5.	**Rapid Rehousing–RRH Beds as Reported in the Housing Inventory Count (HIC) or Longitudinal Data from HMIS.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.l.	

		HIC Longitudinal HMIS Data	2023	2024
	Enter the total number of RRH beds available to serve all populations as reported in the HIC or the number of households served per longitudinal HMIS data, e.g., APR.	HIC	1,061	1,252

1D-6.	**Mainstream Benefits–CoC Annual Training of Project Staff.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m.	

Indicate in the chart below whether your CoC trains program staff annually on the following mainstream benefits available for program participants within your CoC's geographic area:

	Mainstream Benefits	CoC Provides Annual Training?
1.	**Food Stamps**	Yes
2.	**SSI–Supplemental Security Income**	Yes
3.	**SSDI–Social Security Disability Insurance**	Yes
4.	**TANF–Temporary Assistance for Needy Families**	Yes
5.	**Substance Use Disorder Programs**	Yes
6.	**Employment Assistance Programs**	Yes
7.	**Other (limit 150 characters)**	
	Subsidized childcare; LIHEAP	Yes

1D-6a.	**Information and Training on Mainstream Benefits and Other Assistance.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.m	

Describe in the field below how your CoC:

1.	works with projects to collaborate with healthcare organizations, including those that provide substance use disorder treatment and mental health treatment, to assist program participants with receiving healthcare services, including Medicaid; and
2.	promotes SSI/SSDI Outreach, Access, and Recovery (SOAR) certification of program staff.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1)The CoC's Governing Board includes PA DHS designee (Special Assistant to the Secretary on Housing), the state agency that oversees Medicaid, behavioral health services, SOAR, and most entitlement/benefit programs. This connection helps support a range of CoC activities/ priorities, as well as those of local service providers throughout the CoC's 33-county region.
The CoC engaged the Corporation for Supportive Housing to provide training & TA to support the development, expansion & strengthening of partnerships between local providers & health care organizations, including Medicaid-funded services. Multiple CoC-funded organizations also provide Medicaid-reimbursed behavioral health services -e.g. Lehigh Conference of Churches, Service Access and Management, & Resources for Human Development.
Multiple CoC orgs also provide Social Determinants of Health (SDOH) services & resources, which are funded through Community HealthChoices, PA's Managed Care/Medicaid program. This includes providing transportation assistance to medical & behavioral health appointments, housing assistance & other services to support improvements in health care delivery.
The CoC has provided training sessions and individual TA to organizations interested in new project funding related to opportunities to leverage healthcare resources as part of a new project funding application – two organizations (including one organization not previously CoC funded) are submitting new project applications with leveraged healthcare resources.
2) CoC orgs either employ staff who are SOAR certified, or partner with neighboring organizations who have SOAR certified staff. Orgs providing SDOH services also provide SOAR. The PA SOAR State Lead has been meeting w/ local communities w/in CoC's geography to discuss increasing/enhancing SOAR. The agenda for the November CoC Board meeting includes discussion around how to incorporate SOAR into CE.
As part of the annual scoring, renewal & new projects are required to affirmatively answer that they will "Utilize a SOAR trained individual to provide technical assistance related to accessing SSI/SSDI". If grantees need assistance accessing SOAR training, the CoC will connect them with local/ state resources. The CoC hosted a SOAR training for CoC members/partners on 6/3/24.

ID-7.	Partnerships with Public Health Agencies–Collaborating to Respond to and Prevent the Spread of Infectious Diseases.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC effectively collaborates with state and local public health agencies to develop CoC-wide policies and procedures that:
1.	respond to infectious disease outbreaks; and
2.	prevent infectious disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has not experienced any infection disease outbreaks among people experiencing homelessness.
1) With the exception of Health Bureaus in Allentown & Bethlehem, no parts of the CoC's 33 county geography have their own public health dept. The CoC falls under the PA Dept of Health (DOH). PA DOH & DHS are both integral agencies in the response/prevention of infectious diseases & oversee public health activities. Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, the CoC strengthened its relationship w/DOH & DHS, including participation in the State's Sheltering Task Force (TF), which included the distribution of safety planning protocols, ID of shelter needs, & procurement of resources. The information resulting from this partnership helped decrease the spread of COVID-19 & ensured safety measures were implemented.
During the height of COVID, the CoC established procedures, in partnership w/DOH+DHS, that will be redeployed in the case of future outbreaks, including: communication procedures to gather info from State/CDC/HUD & quickly disseminate info to providers. These communication enhancements adopted during COVID will allow the CoC to respond quickly during a future public health crisis.
2) TF distributes info relevant to any potential outbreaks, which is shared w/CoC. CoC providers have established protocols to act swiftly for future public health emergencies to prevent infectious diseases outbreaks among people exp. homelessness. These include de- densifying programs, isolation/quarantining/testing/cleaning/screening/vaccination protocols & staffing.
The CoC worked to support the HOME-ARP applications of local communities for non-congregate shelter funding, supportive services & affordable housing development (awardees TBD). The CoC will be working w/DHS to ID opportunities to increase street medicine services, using a new reimbursable service added under the state's Medicaid plan, which will help to prevent/ limit future health emergencies among individuals sleeping in unsheltered locations.
PA DHS has a designated seat on CoC Gov Board. This seat is filled by the Special Assistant on Housing to the DHS Secretary who is also led the statewide effort to apply for 1115 waivers. Once approved, the range of eligible populations & eligible Medicaid-funded services will expand. This effort is coupled w/the update of DHS housing planning process, which will increase access to housing among the most vulnerable populations, including persons experiencing homelessness

ID-7a.	**Collaboration With Public Health Agencies on Infectious Diseases.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.n.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	**effectively shared information related to public health measures and homelessness; and**
2.	**facilitated communication between public health agencies and homeless service providers to ensure street outreach providers and shelter and housing providers are equipped to prevent or limit infectious disease outbreaks among program participants.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Throughout the COVID-19 pandemic, the CoC strengthened its relationship w/PA Dept of Health (DOH) & Dept of Human Services (DHS), who oversee public health activities for the CoC, by participating in their Sheltering Task Force (TF). TF was the communication hub for updates from FEMA/PEMA, CDC, DOH, DHS, and HUD. The CoC disseminated info (e.g. best practice, availability of resources, etc) to providers quickly/regularly via: CoC Office Hour calls; online communication platforms; and via regional meetings of the CoC. Most recently, the TF has held statewide “mass drills” to plan for & practice statewide or large regional disasters that require the engagement & cooperation of federal/state/local providers to respond & support impacted communities. Coll App & CoC members attended these events, which included the needs of households experiencing homelessness, w/disabilities, institutionalized, etc are considered.
2) During COVID, the CoC provided contact info for all homeless providers in the CoC so DOH /DHS could work directly w/providers in deferent counties related to safety planning protocols including social distancing, de-densifying shelter, hand washing, masks, & vaccinations, as different parts of the CoC were experiencing very different levels of positive COVID cases. The two-way communication established between state officials & local homeless providers helped to inform the state regarding what assistance was needed, and helped the CoC to understand the impact & needs of different communities within the CoC’s geography.
This info was used throughout the CoC to establish/expand partnerships w/public health providers. Through the joint efforts of homeless providers & public health workers, resources were allocated to provide hotel vouchers & other non-congregate sheltering options.
CoC members provide Medicaid-funded “social determinants of health” (SDOH) services & work in collaboration w/local healthcare systems. This direct communication w/local healthcare providers will support localized/effective/responsive planning in the event of a future outbreak.
The CoC will be working w/DHS to ID opportunities to increase street medicine services throughout the CoC, using a new reimbursable service added under the state’s Medicaid plan, which will help to prevent/ limit future health emergencies among individuals sleeping in unsheltered locations.

1D-8.	Coordinated Entry Standard Processes.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
1.	can serve everybody regardless of where they are located within your CoC's geographic area;
2.	uses a standardized assessment process to achieve fair, equitable, and equal access to housing and services within your CoC;
3.	collects personal information in a trauma-informed way; and
4.	is updated at least annually using feedback received from participating projects and households that participated in coordinated entry.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) CES is accessible through a Call Center (PA 211) via 24/7 live voice or texting, as well as 27 CES Access Sites (3 of which are vet-dedicated). Five dedicated managers oversee CES operations across the 5 CoC regions, plus DV CE staff. Street outreach teams in more populated parts of CoC also provide CE assessment.
2) All CE Specialists use a standardized Safety Protocol & Triage Assessment to determine homeless status & the VI-SPDAT tool to assess HHs for vulnerability. HH are prioritized based on vulnerability, including chronic status, VI-SPDAT score & length of time homeless. Enrollments into RRH/PSH projects are sent from CE staff to providers in order of prioritization. All ESG/CoC providers are required to fill housing openings through the By Name List (BNL). To increase housing options & timely assistance, resources through other funding sources also pull HHs from the CES list. Providers meet monthly with CE Managers to discuss & address the housing needs of HHs on the BNL.
3) CE assessors are trained on conducting intake assessment in a trauma-informed manner – this includes training annually on assessments for DV survivors. CE assessors only collect data at each stage, minimizing participants being asked to share personal info early in the process. DV providers also provide CE services, specifically to ensure they are trauma-informed & incorporate safety planning.
The CoC will be launching a new CE assessment tool on 11/13/24, utilizing input from CE, DEI, DV committees, Homeless Action Board, & providers. New tool reduces # of questions & was designed to be more trauma-informed, streamlined, & aligned w/CoC priorities.
4) Bi-weekly CE operations meetings discuss the feedback from 211, Access Sites, ESG/CoC providers, and PWLE to make ongoing adjustments to the system. Full CE system evaluation was completed in 2022. The CoC is actively working to implement system changes, including updating assessment tool (to launch 11/13/24). Updated was reviewed by DEI Comm, PWLE Comm & piloted, with feedback from CE Specialists & households contacting CE. CoC Homeless Action Board (HAB) was involved in revising the tool and will be involved in ongoing feedback. The CoC is also launching a system-wide Housing Problem Solving process in Q1 of 2025. The CoC utilizes bi-annual CoC meetings to gather feedback from projects and PWLE on CE – the October 2024 meeting included discussion of CE updates and solicited feedback on CE.

1D-8a.	Coordinated Entry–Program Participant-Centered Approach.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC's coordinated entry system:
1.	reaches people who are least likely to apply for homeless assistance in the absence of special outreach;
2.	prioritizes people most in need of assistance;
3.	ensures people most in need of assistance receive permanent housing in a timely manner, consistent with their needs and preferences; and
4.	takes steps to reduce burdens on people seeking assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) To reach people least likely to request assistance, CES staff deploy to ES, Code Blue shelters & encampments to reach vulnerable households (HHs) who may not access CE. Street outreach workers provide CES services to connect those who are unhoused.
Translation services are available, incl. for those who are deaf/hearing impaired. CES tools & marketing materials available in English & Spanish, which are provided to community orgs.
CoC partners w/many different sectors to refer HHs who otherwise may not request assistance, including unsheltered HHs.
27 CES Access Sites in the CoC, with additional sites specifically for youth & vets. DV providers also provide CE services. The CoC was awarded DV CE funds & will be expanding DV-dedicated CE services to support rapid placement & robust safety planning.
211 provides talk, text & call-back. In 2023, 211 expanded call center hours to include evenings & Sundays.
2) Safety Protocol & Triage Assmt is used to determine homeless status & the VI-SPDAT is used. Prioritization is based on vulnerability, including chronicity, VI-SPDAT score & LOT homeless. CE Specialists make referrals to prevention/diversion, Shelter & TH, and place HHs needing RRH or PSH on BNL, for priority-based enrollment. CoC Written Standards prioritize unsheltered HHs for shelter. Vulnerability & length of time homeless are prioritized for RRH & PSH, in addition to chronicity for PSH.
3) CE Managers support prioritization through BNL management. Reps from each region regularly to address needs of HHs on BNL. Housing matching is driven by HH preferences, including relocation to other counties if desired. Multiple projects are multi-county, which supports preference of location.
CoC renewal scoring process reviews LOT from enrollment to housing move-in, with a goal of <30 days.
The CoC was awarded PHARE funding to expand/continue offering landlord incentives, as finding units is still a barrier to rapid PH placement.
CoC-wide implementation of Housing Problem Solving strategy to begin Q1-2025 to provide timely assistance to households that do not require ESG/CoC-funded PH options.
4) CE uses a phased assmt process, limiting questions to only those necessary at each phase. CE services available via phone, text & walk-in to reduce barriers. A new CE assessment tool is being launched 11/13/24 which removed invasive questions & increase trauma-informed language. This tool was reviewed by DEI Comm & HAB.

1D-8b.	Coordinated Entry–Informing Program Participants about Their Rights and Remedies–Reporting Violations.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.o.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC through its coordinated entry:
1.	affirmatively markets housing and services provided within the CoC's geographic area and ensures it reaches all persons experiencing homelessness;
2.	informs program participants of their rights and remedies available under federal, state, and local fair housing and civil rights laws; and
3.	reports any conditions or actions that impede fair housing choice for current or prospective program participants to the jurisdiction(s) responsible for certifying consistency with the Consolidated Plan.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC markets the CE System & housing/services available through flyers (English & Spanish), CoC website, & community outreach. All housing/services are marketed to all eligible persons regardless of race, color, national origin, religion, sex, gender identify, sexual orientation, age, familial status, or disability.
CE Access Points are available in 27 locations & via call/text through 211. Access Points often
provide an array of services to the community's most vulnerable citizens & provide info about housing/services to all, irrespective of demographics.
The CoC's non-discrim. policy requires all housing/services to be made available to eligible households, regardless of demographics.
CoC policy and practice acknowledges that additional services/support may be needed to provide equal access to housing opportunities. For example, some populations may need additional assistance locating housing & executing a lease.
2) All CoC/ESG providers & CE specialists participate in annual training on Fair Housing/Equal Access, to ensure they understand their responsibilities to further fair housing efforts. CoC Written Standards also require grantees to provide participants with info on rights/ remedies available under applicable federal, State, & local fair housing & civil rights laws. CE Specialists serve all HH experiencing a housing/homeless crisis & are trained to assess/refer to appropriate services, which includes situations of discrimination, unsafe living conditions, tenant/landlord rights violation, housing inspection/code enforcement related, etc.
Regular (at least monthly) housing referral calls w/ CE Regional Managers provide oversight around referrals & program enrollment.
3) The CES has a standalone process for reporting discrimination or other issues experienced through the CE assessment process. CE Regional Managers report suspected discrimination to CE Committee Chair (also Board member), for discussion/resolution. Depending on severity, the CoC may pursue a report to legal aid/fair housing partners &/or reallocation, depending on severity. Issues that impede housing choice are shared during regional and CoC-wide CoC meetings, during training, etc. Fair housing related issues are reported to DCED, the entity responsible for the CoC's primary Con Plan jurisdiction. DCED serves on Board, CE Committee & Funding Committee, which allows for any reported issue to be further addressed through these forums if needed.

1D-9.	**Advancing Racial Equity in Homelessness–Conducting Assessment.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

1.	**Has your CoC conducted a racial disparities assessment in the last 3 years?**	Yes
2.	**Enter the date your CoC conducted its latest assessment for racial disparities.**	05/24/2024

1D-9a.	Using Data to Determine if Racial Disparities Exist in Your CoC's Provision or Outcomes of CoC Program-Funded Homeless Assistance.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	the data your CoC used to analyze whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance; and
2.	how your CoC analyzed the data to determine whether any racial disparities are present in your CoC's provision or outcomes of CoC Program-funded homeless assistance.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC has conducted annual racial disparities analyses since 2019 (most recent May 2024).
The most recent analysis in 2024 included an analysis of quantitative CE access and outcomes data (collected in HMIS) by race and ethnicity, which included looking at access to CE by race/ ethnicity, VI-SPDAT score by race/ethnicity, length of time on By Name List by race/ethnicity, and coordinated entry outcomes (e.g., PH destinations, temporary destinations, unknown outcome) by race/ethnicity. Prior racial disparities analyses have also included an analysis of system performance measure outcomes disaggregated by race and ethnicity (exits to permanent housing, length of time in housing, increasing income).
2) CoC Lead conducted the disparities analysis & solicited qualitative feedback from community partners/providers to inform the analysis. The analysis was shared with persons with lived experience on the CoC Board/Funding Committee for feedback. This analysis was displayed using Tableau software, which allows community partners/providers to view data CoC-wide and/or to drill down the data to a specific county/ies and region, which is very important in our 33-county CoC, as resources, needs and disparities may vary at the regional and county level. The Tableau dashboard/analysis is publicly available for community partners.
These annual analyses are shared with the full CoC, and community partners can drill into their local data and discuss challenges and solutions at their regional and county level housing meetings. Local housing coalition and RHABs (regional boards) review the gaps analysis data at the local level to identify disparities that may be occurring at the local level. The CoC identified the following disparities in the 2024 analysis:
- Black/African American households are significantly overrepresented in the population accessing CE (17%) vs. the general population (4%). Hispanic/Latino households are also overrepresented (14% accessing CE; 9% general population)
-White households (both with and without children) scored higher on the VI-SPDAT on average than Black/African American households.
- BIPOC and Hispanic/Latino households were less likely to exit CE to permanent housing destinations vs. White households (White households 24%; Black/African American households 18%; Hispanic/Latino households 20%)

1D-9b.	Implemented Strategies to Prevent or Eliminate Racial Disparities.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the strategies your CoC is using to prevent or eliminate racial disparities.

1.	Are your CoC's board and decisionmaking bodies representative of the population served in the CoC?	No
2.	Did your CoC identify steps it will take to help the CoC board and decisionmaking bodies better reflect the population served in the CoC?	Yes
3.	Is your CoC expanding outreach in your CoC's geographic areas with higher concentrations of underrepresented groups?	Yes
4.	Does your CoC have communication, such as flyers, websites, or other materials, inclusive of underrepresented groups?	Yes
5.	Is your CoC training staff working in the homeless services sector to better understand racism and the intersection of racism and homelessness?	Yes
6.	Is your CoC establishing professional development opportunities to identify and invest in emerging leaders of different races and ethnicities in the homelessness sector?	Yes
7.	Does your CoC have staff, committees, or other resources charged with analyzing and addressing racial disparities related to homelessness?	Yes
8.	Is your CoC educating organizations, stakeholders, boards of directors for local and national nonprofit organizations working on homelessness on the topic of creating greater racial and ethnic diversity?	Yes
9.	Did your CoC review its coordinated entry processes to understand their impact on people of different races and ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
10.	Is your CoC collecting data to better understand the pattern of program use for people of different races and ethnicities in its homeless services system?	Yes
11.	Is your CoC conducting additional research to understand the scope and needs of different races or ethnicities experiencing homelessness?	Yes
	Other:(limit 500 characters)	
12.	The CoC has contracted with the Technical Assistance Collaborative to support its DEI Committee, including providing in-depth training; supporting leadership development among Committee members; recruitment, membership and governance; helping prepare the group to self-lead/govern; and identifying goals that will support increasing equity within the CoC. This includes CoC-required DEI training.	Yes

1D-9c.	Plan for Ongoing Evaluation of System-level Processes, Policies, and Procedures for Racial Equity.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies, and procedures for racial equity.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The plan for ongoing evaluation of system-level processes, policies and procedures for equity is led by the CoC's DEI Committee in partnership with the Homeless Action Board (HAB) and CoC Board. The DEI Committee has mapped out several goals for the CoC related to equity, and meets regularly to monitor implementation of these goals:
1. Understanding CE access, referral, and housing linkages through an equity lens; track and analyze the prevalence of disparities across the CE System, including analysis of CE policies.
2. Project Performance - identify reasons folks return to homelessness through an equity lens and identify actions to prevent returns to homelessness.
3. Building Regional Capacity - work to build cultural humility and awareness in how HUD CoC and ESG programs are administered.
4. Data – Review CoC data/outcomes through an equity lens.
5. Collaboration with the HAB (lived experience committee) to identify and address disparities.

The DEI Committee and HAB will map out action steps/timelines related to these goals, which will allow for ongoing evaluation of progress.

As a result of the previous racial disparities analyses, the CoC has implemented the following steps to address disparities:
- Providing access to data at the following levels: CoC, region, county, org, & project level to facilitate the data analysis of outcomes by race & ethnicity.
- CoC grantees evaluated on equity criteria in renewal scoring annually since 2020.
- The CoC hosted a 3-part Diversity, Equity and Inclusion (DEI) training (spring 2023) for all ESG/CoC providers. This training facilitated greater understanding of equity & facilitated conversations between providers about how to Address inequities on local/ CoC-wide level. Training cofacilitated by DEI committee members.
- CoC added 5 (paid) positions for PWLE to its Funding Committee these members are involved in decision-making related to how renewal & new CoC projects are evaluated (including evaluation of equity), to ensure input of PWLE is reflected in CoC Funding decisions.
- The CoC completed a full CE evaluation in 2022, which included findings & recs related to disparities in CE. As a result, CoC is rolling out more equitable assessment tool (launch date 11/13/24). The development of tool was informed by equity to ensure that persons of color have equal access to the system and are not screened out based on a biased tool.

1D-9d.	**Plan for Using Data to Track Progress on Preventing or Eliminating Racial Disparities.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.p.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	**the measures your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance; and**
2.	**the tools your CoC plans to use to continuously track progress on preventing or eliminating racial disparities in the provision or outcomes of homeless assistance.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC uses the following measures to track progress on addressing racial disparities, analyzing these outcomes by race & ethnicity: CE assessment scores, length of time homeless, and exits to permanent housing. In addition, through the annual renewal scoring process the CoC collects information on equity-related factors (qualitative data), including an organizational self-assessment tool.
2) To measure whether the CoC is making progress on preventing/elimination disparities, the CoC uses the following tools to track progress:
- HMIS data, including Coordinated Entry data (also in HMIS), is used to pull the above referenced measures for the annual gaps analysis
- annual gaps analysis, which is presented using Tableau dashboard software, providing CoC members the ability to interact with local, regional and CoC-wide data
- Tableau data dashboard to present System Performance Measures by race/ethnicity. For example, the CoC monitors the length of time homeless by race/ethnicity & exits to permanent housing by race/ethnicity and presents disparities in Tableau.
- Tableau data dashboard also used to present Coordinated Entry data by race/ethnicity. For example, the CoC monitors the race/ethnicity of households contacting CE, added to By Name List & exiting BNL to permanent housing and presents disparities in Tableau.
- The CoC Funding Committee has evaluated equity-related factors each year as part of the annual renewal scoring process. In 2020 the scoring criteria asked grantees to describe recent or current actions to address disparities and advance equity in provision of services. This same question was asked again in 2023, and the CoC is able to use this information to analyze efforts at the organization/program level to identify and address disparities. In 2021 CoC grantees completed an equity self-assessment tool, and the CoC will be able to administer this tool again in the future to analyze whether grantees identify areas of progress, or areas where additional support is needed.

In recognition of the disparities of VI-SPDAT scores across race/ethnicity, which impact prioritization for accessing housing resources, the CoC has developed a new CE assessment tool, to be launched on Nov 13, 2024.

1D-10.	**Involving Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness in Service Delivery and Decisionmaking–CoC's Outreach Efforts.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below your CoC's outreach efforts (e.g., social media announcements, targeted outreach) to engage those with lived experience of homelessness in leadership roles and decisionmaking processes.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC has recruited persons with lived experience of homelessness (PWLE) most recently for the DEI Committee, Funding Committee, Youth Action Board/YAB & Homeless Action Board/HAB (lived experience committee). To recruit PWLE for the Board and Committees, the CoC sends out flyers with information on the committees, & multiple ways for participants to reach out/apply, including QR codes or email contacts.
Recruitment of PWLE is discussed during regional CoC meetings & semiannual CoC-wide membership meetings. Providers are encouraged to assist in identifying and recruiting persons with lived experience for Board/ Committee participation.
CoC staff have one-on-one conversations with PWLE who are interested in joining committees. Once engaged, the CoC provides onboarding training & ongoing support in order to support meaningful engagement in the work by providing context and explanation of discussions. 2 HAB members joined the CoC Board in 2024.
In 2023, the CoC engaged a PWLE as a contractor/consultant to assist with starting up the CoC's new Homeless Action Board. In partnership with CoC staff, this individual helped draft recruitment materials (including information about stipends) & facilitate an orientation for interested members. The CoC now has seven individuals participating in the HAB that meet weekly.
4 HAB members & 1 YAB member attended the National Alliance to End Homelessness (NAEH) conference, which allowed opportunities to engage with homeless service providers, national experts, and other people w. lived experience to ID new methods to advance this work within the CoC.
The HAB's workplan for the current year includes outreach to PWLE working within homeless services organizations and/or serving on the Boards of ESG/CoC-funded organizations. This will be done to identify what training/ support they need from the CoC and/or HAB members to fulfill their leadership responsibilities.
The CoC's Funding Committee has 5 PWLE seats (out of total 16 seats). Currently, 3 PWLE are participating. These individuals are involved in decision-making related to CoC funding including evaluating renewal projects, selecting new projects, potential reallocations, and ranking projects.
The DEI Committee has begun recruiting to increase their membership. The focus of this recruitment included new members who are BIPOC, LGBTQ and PWLE.
CoC does annual recruitment & provides stipends to PWLE participating in the planning & implementation of the PIT count.

1D-10a.	Active CoC Participation of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

You must upload the Lived Experience Support Letter attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Enter in the chart below the number of people with lived experience who currently participate in your CoC under the four categories listed:

	Level of Active Participation	Number of People with Lived Experience Within the Last 7 Years or Current Program Participant	Number of People with Lived Experience Coming from Unsheltered Situations

1.	Routinely included in the decisionmaking processes related to addressing homelessness.	8	8
2.	Participate on CoC committees, subcommittees, or workgroups.	8	8
3.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's local competition rating factors.	3	3
4.	Included in the development or revision of your CoC's coordinated entry process.	8	8

1D-10b.	Professional Development and Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

Describe in the field below how your CoC or CoC membership organizations provide professional development and employment opportunities to individuals with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Professional Development:
-Multi-CoC YHSI award will include the hiring & training of 10 youth to work in collaboration with county-based youth serving systems to prevent discharges to homelessness. Initiative includes significant professional development, including approximately 5hrs/week on supervision & training.
- In 2023, the CoC launched a Youth Action Board/YAB and Homeless Action Board/HAB. The CoC provided in-depth orientation to all YAB/HAB members & provides ongoing support/ training to support meaningful engagement. Members are currently participating in a 12-session leadership training series. In 2025, YAB/HAB members will participate in an effective advocacy certification training series.
- 4 HAB members & 1 YAB member attended the National Alliance to End Homelessness conference.
- For 3 lived experience members on the Funding Committee, the CoC provided in-depth onboarding and provides ongoing one-on-one support as needed to support full engagement in funding conversations.
-The DEI Committee engaged TAC to support the establishment of this Committee. This work includes providing professional development to DEI members, including PWLE, to be able to support DEI work in other CoC Committees, for example. In Spring 2023, DEI Comm members co-presented a 3-part DEI training series w/TAC.
-All CoC-funded orgs connect participants w/pro dev opps through local CareerLinks & other employment partners, including support with resume building, interviewing skills, etc, including some formalized relationships through MOUs & joint funding apps with CareerLinks.
-The CoC provides several training opps each year to support the pro dev of homeless assistance providers, which often include PWLE. DCED provides scholarships annually to support attendance of PWLE at the PA Homes Within Reach Conference.

Employment:
-As part of multi-CoC YHSI project, 10 youth will be hired for 18 month employment opportunity.
-PWLE Coordinator supports the work of HAB & YAB and has lived experience/ expertise.
-The CoC pays PWLE participating on the Board, YAB, HAB, and other Committees. Stipends also paid to PWLE who assist w/planning & execution of PIT count.
-CoC street outreach providers have hired PWLE to serve on outreach teams.
-A number of DV orgs frequently hire survivors to work for the organization once no longer receiving services.
-Many CoC funded orgs employ PWLE or connect them directly to employment

1D-10c.	Routinely Gathering Feedback and Addressing Challenges of Individuals with Lived Experience of Homelessness.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.q.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
2.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people experiencing homelessness;
3.	how your CoC gathers feedback from people who received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program;

4.	how often your CoC gathers feedback from people who have received assistance through the CoC Program or ESG Program; and
5.	steps your CoC has taken to address challenges raised by people with lived experience of homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC supports/ engages & receives feedback from members of its Homeless Action Board (HAB) and Youth Action Board (YAB). Some HAB members received CoC/ESG Program assistance, and some did not; members who received CoC Program Assistance provide insight/feedback on their experiences within those programs.
1) In 2023, the CoC established its Homeless Action Board (HAB), which is now made up of 7 persons w/ lived experience of homelessness (PWLE) and its Youth Action Board (YAB) w/ 2 participants that now participate in a multi-CoC YAB. Members participate in monthly meetings, and members also work in collaboration with other CoC committees to ensure PWLE have a voice in all planning, decision-making, and evaluation. For example, 2 members of the HAB & 1 member of the YAB also serve on the CoC's Funding Comm & 2 HAB members serve on the CoC Board. In addition, the HAB advise CoC leadership regarding methods for increasing opportunities for feedback from system users. All members are compensated for their time and expertise. Laptop computers are provided to Comm members as needed. The CoC provides ongoing training & support to understand the operations & context of the CoC work.
2) CoC gathers feedback from PWLE at least weekly through the HAB & YAB members. 2 HAB members also sit on the CoC Board & provide feedback, which meets monthly.
3) In addition to HAB feedback (outlined in parts 1 and 2), feedback from users of CoC/ESG-funded programs was used to inform the CoC's CE system redesign. This included engaging persons who had recently received CE services to provide feedback on the CE system and areas of improvement. PWLE were compensated for their time. The CE Lead Agency continues to seek out/obtain input from system users at least annually & as needed.
4) CoC gathers feedback from PWLE at least weekly through HAB & YAB members. 2 HAB members also sit on the CoC Board & provide feedback, which meets monthly. Some HAB/YAB members received CoC/ESG Program assistance, and some did not; members who received CoC Program Assistance provide insight/feedback on their experiences within those programs.
5) Examples of the CoC working to incorporate feedback from people w/ lived exp.:
-The CoC increased 211 CE call center hours & created new CE assessment tool to remove invasive questions. Both of these changes are based on input from system users.
-PWLE expressed interest in shared housing in high cost rental markets. CoC looking to establish a pilot.

1D-11.	Increasing Affordable Housing Supply.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.s.	

	Describe in the field below at least two steps your CoC has taken in the past 12 months to engage city, county, or state governments that represent your CoC's geographic area regarding the following:
1.	reforming zoning and land use policies to permit more housing development; and
2.	reducing regulatory barriers to housing development.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The CoC includes a total of 1,023 municipalities across its 33 counties. Some of these municipalities have a zoning ordinance, either because they have enacted their own zoning ordinance or because they have chosen to be covered by a county's zoning. In addition, a substantial number of municipalities don't have zoning.
1) Due to the size of the CoC, much of the work related to reforming local zoning & land use policies happens at the municipal/county level. In last 12 months, the CoC & its member orgs have taken the following steps:
-Org awarded PSH funding through SNOFO lost zoning for two different sites. Through advocacy & education with local officials, the project is now moving forward.
-Lehigh Valley region is working w/ Lehigh Valley Planning Commission to advance efforts to expand affordable housing development through changes to zoning & land use policies.
-CoC providers/partners in Allentown, Easton, Bethlehem, and the counties of Adams, Clinton, Monroe, Somerset & Lycoming have met with elected & non-elected officials, zoning officers, and others in efforts to expand affordable housing.
-Some municipalities have amended zoning to allow for innovative affordable housing options (such as ADUs and/or ECHO units).
2) In the last 12 months, the CoC and its member orgs have taken the following steps:
-CoC leadership participated in DCED's consolidated plan/annual action plan to inform DCED that zoning and land use policies have created barriers to addressing housing development.
-CoC partner org in Mifflin-Juniata Counties is part of the Property Standards Committee for their counties which works to reduce barrier to developing blighted properties.
-Providers in Somerset County are meeting w/ officials monthly to address needs & barriers to local housing development.
-The CoC is exploring how to utilize Act 58, which was signed into law 7-11-22, granting more powers to municipalities to approve tax abatements and other incentives for affordable-housing projects. This law allows municipalities to grant 10-year tax exemptions on multi-unit buildings where 30% of units qualify as affordable housing or when a project repairs a blighted property, among other provisions to increase affordable housing development.
-The CoC offered letters of support for HOME-ARP applications to expand affordable housing & offered TA to local communities working to educate local leaders on these issues. (awards TBD)

1E. Project Capacity, Review, and Ranking–Local Competition

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

1E-1.	**Web Posting of Advance Public Notice of Your CoC's Local Competition Deadline, Scoring and Rating Criteria.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a. and 2.g.	

1.	**Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for New Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition.**	08/05/2024
2.	**Enter the date your CoC published its submission deadline and scoring and rating criteria for Renewal Project applicants to submit their project applications for your CoC's local competition.**	05/01/2024

1E-2.	**Project Review and Ranking Process Your CoC Used in Its Local Competition. We use the response to this question and the response in Question 1E-2a along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., 2.d., and 2.e.	

You must upload the Local Competition Scoring Tool attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate how your CoC ranked and selected project applications during your local competition:

1.	**Established total points available for each project application type.**	Yes
2.	**At least 33 percent of the total points were based on objective criteria for the project application (e.g., cost effectiveness, timely draws, utilization rate, match, leverage), performance data, type of population served (e.g., DV, youth, Veterans, chronic homelessness), or type of housing proposed (e.g., PSH, RRH).**	Yes
3.	**At least 20 percent of the total points were based on system performance criteria for the project application (e.g., exits to permanent housing destinations, retention of permanent housing, length of time homeless, returns to homelessness).**	Yes
4.	**Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services.**	Yes
5.	**Used data from comparable databases to score projects submitted by victim service providers.**	Yes

6.	Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers.	Yes

1E-2a.	Scored Project Forms for One Project from Your CoC's Local Competition. We use the response to this question and Question 1E-2. along with the required attachments from both questions as a factor when determining your CoC's eligibility for bonus funds and for other NOFO criteria below.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.a., 2.b., 2.c., and 2.d.	

You must upload the Scored Forms for One Project attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
Complete the chart below to provide details of your CoC's local competition:

1.	What were the maximum number of points available for the renewal project form(s)?	100
2.	How many renewal projects did your CoC submit?	48
3.	What renewal project type did most applicants use?	PH-PSH

1E-2b.	Addressing Severe Barriers in the Local Project Review and Ranking Process.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.d.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding each project that has successfully housed program participants in permanent housing;
2.	how your CoC analyzed data regarding how long it takes to house people in permanent housing;
3.	how your CoC considered the specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities experienced by program participants preventing rapid placement in permanent housing or the ability to maintain permanent housing when your CoC ranked and selected projects; and
4.	the severe barriers your CoC considered.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC renewal scoring criteria is used to evaluate annual performance of CoC renewal projects across various metrics & inform ranking decisions. The CoC uses APR data from HMIS or comparable databases (CDBs) to analyze data quality (DQ) & performance.
For successful permanent housing (PH) placement/retention, APR data on the % of households that exit to PH and/or retain PH (PSH) is used 10/100 points).
Outside of CoC competition, the CoC publishes quarterly monitoring reports which looks at DQ & performance outcomes using APR data from HMIS or CDBs, including exits to PH/retention in PH. This includes a Tableau dashboard which shows performance over time related to exits to/retention of PH.
2) As part of renewal scoring, the CoC analyzes and scores grantees on the average length of time between project start and housing move-in. This criterion is scored using data from HMIS or DV CDB. Outside of the CoC competition, the CoC uses quarterly monitoring reports to analyze length of time between project start and housing move-in for all CoC/ESG grantees. These reports are viewable in a Tableau dashboard so that the CoC can evaluate this data over time.
3-4) The CoC factors in severe needs/vulnerabilities experienced by participants when conducting annual evaluation. The severity of needs factors considered during scoring were:
-Health Conditions: % of participants with 1+ physical and/or mental condition
-Zero Income at Entry: % adults w/ zero income at entry
-Chronically homeless: % chronically homeless at entry
-Unsheltered: % adults coming from unsheltered locations at entry
-Domestic Violence: % adults w/ history of DV
These criteria are scored using data from HMIS or DV CDBs and account for 10/100 points. Projects can earn partial points for many questions, even if performance doesn't meet top benchmark.
Benchmarks adjusted by project type, recognizing some project types serve more vulnerable HHs. Add'l measures such as non-earned income are included to ensure projects serving HH w/highest vulnerabilities are evaluated fairly. CoC provides training to grantees explaining that severity of need criteria are designed to provide balance in project evaluation process, as projects serving participants with the highest severity of needs may struggle in other areas (increasing income, exits to PH). Funding policies allow Funding Committee to factor in additional considerations when ranking projects (such as serving HH w/ severe needs).

1E-3.	**Advancing Racial Equity through Participation of Over-Represented Populations in the Local Competition Review and Ranking Process.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.e.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	**how your CoC used input from persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, to determine the rating factors used to review project applications;**
2.	**how your CoC included persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population in the review, selection, and ranking process; and**
3.	**how your CoC rated and ranked projects based on the degree that proposed projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and steps the projects took or will take to eliminate the identified barriers.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC funding process is implemented by the Funding Comm, including determining rating factors, new project selection, reallocation & ranking. Upon conclusion of annual renewal scoring, the CoC distributes scoring criteria to the CoC for feedback. This is the opportunity for providers, stakeholders & community members to provide input. While this process is open to all, the race/ethnicity of those responding is unknown. This input is reviewed by Funding Comm who incorporates relevant considerations into the final renewal scoring criteria. Black /African American households are overrepresented within the CoC's population (17% of homeless pop. vs. 4% general pop.). Hispanic households are also overrepresented (14% of homeless pop. vs. 9% general pop).
2) 10 Funding Comm members are elected, 2 for each CoC region (5). Regional recruitment efforts target non-conflicted volunteers, meaning members are not employed by or receiving services from organizations competing for CoC funds. In addition to regional representation, the Funding Comm has 5 seats dedicated to PWLE, of which 3 are currently filled. 8% of the CoC Funding Committee are persons of color, and 25% are persons with lived experience. Each of these members participated in the process to review, select and rank projects .
3) CoC renewal scoring criteria has included equity criteria over the past 5 years. In 2024, grantees were asked to describe how they are identifying barriers for participants based on race/ethnicity/LGBTQ status/religion/immigrant status/etc., and how they are removing barriers. This was worth 2/100 points and was factored in by the Funding Committee when making ranking decisions. In 2023, grantees provided a narrative on recent/current actions to ID & address disparities and advance equity within their orgs/programs. In 2022, grantees were asked to provide info about whether they have disaggregated program data by race/ethnicity/gender/etc., what the process was for doing so, what they learned (e.g., barriers for participants, disparities in outcomes, etc.), and the next steps as a result of the process.

1E-4.	Reallocation–Reviewing Performance of Existing Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	your CoC's reallocation process, including how your CoC determined which projects are candidates for reallocation because they are low performing or less needed;
2.	whether your CoC identified any low performing or less needed projects through the process described in element 1 of this question during your CoC's local competition this year;
3.	whether your CoC reallocated any low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year; and
4.	why your CoC did not reallocate low performing or less needed projects during its local competition this year, if applicable.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC may consider full or partial reallocation based on the following factors: underperformance; cost effectiveness; underspending; lack of need; funds needed to respond to an urgent CoC need; or monitoring indicates serious problems. Grantees may also opt for voluntary reallocation. Projects are considered for potential involuntary reallocation if they have underperformance or underspending for 2 or more years. If a project has underperformance or underspending for 2 or more years, the CoC provides an official letter outlining the concerns and offers TA, and also asks the grantee to provide a written response. If performance does not improve after receiving this official notice, the CoC may consider potential reallocation. Reallocation decisions are made by the Funding Committee, which is made up of non-conflicted members who do not receive CoC funding. Projects subject to reallocation are provided with an opportunity to submit an appeal, per CoC Funding Policies. The CoC Funding Committee identifies projects that were low performing, underspending, or had cost effectiveness concerns via the annual project evaluation/scoring process. The CoC's reallocation process is outlined in the Funding Policies. This document is updated annually, with changes approved by the Governing Board. The document is circulated to CoC-funded providers and to the full CoC, as well as publicly posted on the CoC website at the start of the renewal scoring process annually (April 2024).
2) Following the 2024 scoring/evaluation process in spring 2024, the CoC Funding Committee identified 11 projects w/ concerns – 8 for underspending, 1 for underperformance, 1 for underperformance and underspending, and 1 for monitoring concerns. The Funding Committee informed each of these projects regarding concerns via letter/offered TA.
3) Two projects were fully reallocated (involuntarily) due to a history of underperformance/understanding (with insufficient improvement over past year). 1 project opted to voluntarily reallocate the project in full –the applicant took over the grant via grant transfer and there were performance concerns related to the project. 3 other projects (all under one org) opted to voluntarily reduce their budgets due to a history of underspending.
4) Other projects identified above for underperformance/underspending have either shown improvement and/or have identified plans for improvement that were approved by the Funding Committee.

1E-4a.	**Reallocation Between FY 2019 and FY 2024.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.f.	

	Did your CoC cumulatively reallocate at least 20 percent of its ARD between FY 2019 and FY 2024?	No

1E-5.	**Projects Rejected/Reduced–Notification Outside of e-snaps.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

1.	Did your CoC reject any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
2.	Did your CoC reduce funding for any project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
3.	Did your CoC inform applicants why your CoC rejected or reduced their project application(s) submitted for funding during its local competition?	Yes
4.	If you selected Yes for element 1 or element 2 of this question, enter the date your CoC notified applicants that their project applications were being rejected or reduced, in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	08/05/2024

1E-5a.	Projects Accepted–Notification Outside of e-snaps.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Notification of Projects Accepted attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC notified project applicants that their project applications were accepted and ranked on the New and Renewal Priority Listings in writing, outside of e-snaps. If you notified applicants on various dates, enter the latest date of any notification. For example, if you notified applicants on 06/26/2024, 06/27/2024, and 06/28/2024, then you must enter 06/28/2024.	10/08/2024

1E-5b.	Local Competition Selection Results for All Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	
	You must upload the Local Competition Selection Results attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Does your attachment include: 1. Project Names; 2. Project Scores; 3. Project Status–Accepted, Rejected, Reduced Reallocated, Fully Reallocated; 4. Project Rank; 5. Amount Requested from HUD; and 6. Reallocated Funds +/-.	Yes

1E-5c.	Web Posting of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application 2 Days Before CoC Program Competition Application Submission Deadline.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g. and 24 CFR 578.95.	
	You must upload the Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Enter the date your CoC posted the CoC-approved Consolidated Application on the CoC's website or partner's website–which included: 1. the CoC Application; and 2. Priority Listings for Reallocation forms and all New, Renewal, and Replacement Project Listings.	10/28/2024

1E-5d.	Notification to Community Members and Key Stakeholders by Email that the CoC-Approved Consolidated Application is Posted on Website.	
	NOFO Section V.B.2.g.	

You must upload the Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.

	Enter the date your CoC notified community members and key stakeholders that the CoC-approved Consolidated Application was posted on your CoC's website or partner's website.	10/28/2024

2A. Homeless Management Information System (HMIS) Implementation

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2A-1.	HMIS Vendor.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Enter the name of the HMIS Vendor your CoC is currently using.	Eccovia/ClientTrack

2A-2.	HMIS Implementation Coverage Area.	
	Not Scored–For Information Only	

	Select from dropdown menu your CoC's HMIS coverage area.	Multiple CoCs

2A-3.	HIC Data Submission in HDX.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.a.	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 HIC data into HDX.	05/09/2024

2A-4.	Comparable Databases for DV Providers–CoC and HMIS Lead Supporting Data Collection and Data Submission by Victim Service Providers.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.b.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe actions your CoC and HMIS Lead have taken to ensure DV housing and service providers in your CoC collect data in HMIS comparable databases; and
2.	state whether DV housing and service providers in your CoC are using a HUD-compliant comparable database–compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC has encouraged VSPs applying for ESG/CoC-funding over the years to include funds within their budget to update their database to meet theHUD comparable database requirements. 100% of ESG/CoC-funded DV housing and service providers in the CoC use one of two DV comparable databases ETO and EmpowerDB, with both collecting the required HUD data elements. Additionally, all VSPs receiving VOCA funding enter data into ETO. VSPs participating in the CoC-wide DV RRH project w/ PCADV use EmpowerDB. All other ES, TH, RRH, PSH projects are reported into ETO. PCADV (state DV coalition) provides TA, reporting & other database related supports to DV agencies who use ETO & Empower. PCADV can pull aggregated reports for all programs that participate in either of these databases. Data is provided to the CoC/HMIS Lead/ ESG Recipient for a number of purposes, including for the CAPER and for annual CoC renewal scoring. VSPs are currently able to submit deidentified aggregated APR data for their projects out of their comparable databases directly into SAGE. De-identified aggregated data is also used to score CoC-funded VSPs as part of the annual renewal scoring process. The CoC & HMIS Lead also coordinated with VSPs who are CoC and ESG grantees to collect their performance data as part of its quarterly monitoring process. This includes data related to system performance such as length of time from enrollment to move-in, increased income, exits to PH destinations, as well as data quality. VSPs are able to submit their aggregated APRs on a quarterly basis to the CoC and are provided with performance reports and a Tableau dashboard to monitor their data quality and performance over time. These processes allow the CoC/ HMIS Lead to ensure on an ongoing basis that VSPs are using a comparable database & to assist/troubleshoot issues as needed. For example, technical errors w/in APRs that are identified through the annual renewal scoring process or quarterly monitoring process, which can then be addressed with the VSP comparable database vendor. The CoC/HMIS Lead is able provide high level technical assistance about HUD’s requirements around data standards and the submission of APR data.
2) Yes, DV housing and service providers in the CoC are using a HUD compliant comparable database–compliant with the FY 2024 HMIS Data Standards.

2A-5.	Bed Coverage Rate–Using HIC, HMIS Data–CoC Merger Bonus Points.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c. and V.B.7.	

Using the 2024 HDX Competition Report we issued your CoC, enter data in the chart below by project type:

Project Type	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current Non-VSP Beds [Column F of HDX Report]	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current VSP Beds [Column K of HDX Report]	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS Comparable Database [Column M of HDX Report]	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate [Column O of HDX Report]
1. Emergency Shelter (ES) beds	1,132	282	1,222	86.42%
2. Safe Haven (SH) beds	0	0	0	0.00%
3. Transitional Housing (TH) beds	575	11	343	58.53%

4. Rapid Re-Housing (RRH) beds	926	326	1,252	100.00%
5. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) beds	994	25	628	61.63%
6. Other Permanent Housing (OPH) beds	152	0	69	45.39%

2A-5a.	Partial Credit for Bed Coverage Rates at or Below 84.99 for Any Project Type in Question 2A-5.	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.c.	

	For each project type with a bed coverage rate that is at or below 84.99 percent in question 2A-5, describe:
1.	steps your CoC will take over the next 12 months to increase the bed coverage rate to at least 85 percent for that project type; and
2.	how your CoC will implement the steps described to increase bed coverage to at least 85 percent.

(limit 2,500 characters)

The expansion of CE system has increased HMIS participation. As the CoC moves from a “pull” CE referral system to a “push” system (implemented Sept. 2024), all projects accepting CE referrals are required to have their inventory in HMIS so that referrals can be targeted to programs with vacancies. This will result in additional projects being incorporated into HMIS.
1) TH : HMIS participation rate increased from 48% (2023) to 59% (2024). TH providers that have never been on HMIS are beginning to understand how their participation in CE needs to include HMIS. Strategies specific to increasing TH-HMIS participation include working w/ CoC partners: United Way; PA DHS; others - to require CE & HMIS participation for programs they fund.
PSH : 377 of 391 (96%) non-VSP PSH beds not on HMIS are VASH-funded. This remains a priority for the HMIS Lead & Veterans Comm. While not on HMIS, the VA HOMES assessments are uploaded & tracking of VASH vouchers occurs through veterans by name list.
OPH: The CoC will work to encourage HMIS participation among OPH providers as part of the CoC’s efforts to incorporate these units into a Move On strategy.
2) The CoC completed an HMIS evaluation in Dec. 2021. As a follow-up to this eval., HMIS Lead created a new HMIS Governance Committee which will develop strategies for increasing HMIS participation, including outreach & talking points. This Committee will also be tasked with finalizing a process to have VASH beds on HMIS. The above steps will be carried out thru meetings w/ CoC partners to further educate on supports/TA available to HMIS users & importance of HMIS, which is vital to measuring the effectiveness of the investments made by the CoC & other funding partners.
In addition, HMIS will be the data source used to track the housing activities funded through the State’s pending 1115 Waiver (through US HHS). The waiver will allow Medicaid funding to be utilized to support activities such as: housing navigation and case management; one-time transition start-up services (moving costs, furnishings); rental subsidies for up to six months; and tenancy sustaining services. Orgs not currently participating in HMIS will be encouraged to do so in order to provide Waiver-funded services. PA DHS, who will oversee the Waiver program, does not currently require DHS-funded homeless services to participate in HMIS. The CoC will work with PA DHS to expand this requirement across all funding sources allocated by their office.

2A-6.	**Longitudinal System Analysis (LSA) Submission in HDX 2.0.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.3.d.	
	You must upload your CoC's FY 2024 HDX Competition Report to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

Did your CoC submit at least two usable LSA data files to HUD in HDX 2.0 by January 24, 2024, 11:59 p.m. EST?	Yes

2B. Continuum of Care (CoC) Point-in-Time (PIT) Count

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2B-1.	PIT Count Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC conducted its 2024 PIT count.	01/24/2024

2B-2.	PIT Count Data–HDX Submission Date.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.a	

	Enter the date your CoC submitted its 2024 PIT count data in HDX.	05/08/2024

2B-3.	PIT Count–Effectively Counting Youth in Your CoC's Most Recent Unsheltered PIT Count.	
	NOFO Section V.B.4.b.	

	Describe in the field below how your CoC:
1.	engaged unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations in your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process;
2.	worked with unaccompanied youth and youth serving organizations to select locations where homeless youth are most likely to be identified during your CoC's most recent PIT count planning process; and
3.	included youth experiencing homelessness as counters during your CoC's most recent unsheltered PIT count.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC has engaged community stakeholders and youth w/ lived experience to incorporate youth-specific strategies into the overall PIT count. The unsheltered PIT planning process occurs at CoC-wide and county-level for each of the CoC's 33 counties. PIT count cross-systems planning occurs between the PIT county contact and stakeholders serving youth exp. homelessness, including schools. Stakeholders help identify locations where youth are known to congregate, spread information about the PIT count and plan and advertise "come and be counted events".
CoC-wide efforts included: providing contact info for youth serving orgs; sharing info with local homeless ed. liaisons; and youth-focused training to county-level unsheltered coordinators. The CoC offered stipends to support participation of youth in the planning of the count, as well as stipends for participation in the actual PIT count. Several youth-dedicated service organizations participated as PIT count leads and/or volunteers in their respective counties, which included recruiting youth with lived experience as part of the PIT count.
2) The PIT planning team has used HMIS data, PIT data from previous years, and local ed. system data to ID counties with higher numbers of youth exp. homelessness. Each county has a designated unsheltered PIT count coordinator, who works with youth stakeholders to ID locations to conduct the PIT count. The CoC provided training on youth-specific PIT strategies, which included strategies for ID youth and where communities may identify youth exp. homelessness. Many counties engaged local partners including McKinney-Vento liaisons and youth-serving providers, and youth w/ lived exp., to help ID target locations in their counties to ID youth. The Lehigh Valley region has youth dedicated outreach teams that were able to inform/ support the PIT count planning process.
3) The CoC offered stipends to support the participation of youth in the Planning of the PIT count, as well as stipends for participation in the actual PIT count. The CoC worked to identify/engage youth with lived experience in the PIT count, including working with youth-serving providers to recruit youth and offer payment for time and expertise. At the county level, some counties with higher prevalence of homelessness/youth homelessness were able to successfully engage youth with lived experience in their local planning process and/or to participate in the count.

2B-4.	**PIT Count–Methodology Change–CoC Merger Bonus Points.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.a and V.B.7.c.	

	In the field below:
1.	**describe any changes your CoC made to your sheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;**
2.	**describe any changes your CoC made to your unsheltered PIT count implementation, including methodology or data quality changes between 2023 and 2024, if applicable;**
3.	**describe whether your CoC's PIT count was affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic; and**
4.	**describe how the changes affected your CoC's PIT count results; or**
5.	**state "Not Applicable" if there were no changes or if you did not conduct an unsheltered PIT count in 2024.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) There were no changes to the 2024 sheltered PIT count implementation methodology or data quality. The sheltered numbers remained relatively consistent from 2023 to 2024 (1301 in ES in 2023 vs. 1366 in 2024, and 436 in TH in 2023 vs. 424 in 2024). The small increase in ES from 2023 to 2024 is likely the result in an increase in emergency shelter capacity (for example – a new winter shelter opened in Altoona, PA). Over the past few years the CoC has seen a huge increase in the cost of housing, resulting in more households at risk of and experiencing homelessness.
2) There were no changes to the 2024 unsheltered PIT count implementation related to methodology or data quality. Over the past few years there has been a significant increase in the cost of housing across the CoC geography, which has resulted in more households at risk of/experiencing homelessness. The CoC has seen an increase in households sleeping outdoors - this includes both the urban areas within the CoC as well as in communities that have typically not found anyone during the unsheltered count. Some counties are seeing a sizable number of people sleeping in vehicles.
3) The CoC's PIT count was not affected by people displaced either from a natural disaster or seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance who recently arrived in your CoCs' geographic area.
4) N/A – no changes were made.
5) Not Applicable – no changes were made.

2C. System Performance

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

2C-1.	**Reducing the Number of First Time Homeless–Risk Factors Your CoC Uses.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b.	

	In the field below:
1.	**describe how your CoC determined the risk factors to identify persons experiencing homelessness for the first time;**
2.	**describe your CoC's strategies to address individuals and families at risk of becoming homeless; and**
3.	**provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the number of individuals and families experiencing homelessness for the first time**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Across the entire CoC (33 counties), the CoC identified risk factors through analysis of data collected through the CE process/HMIS. Risk factors include institutional discharge w/ no home plan; loss of employment; family w/ young children; DV experience. Additionally, regional CoC meetings include discussion around emerging trends in their counties. In addition, semi-annual CoC membership meetings include discussion of issues, trends, unmet needs & challenges impacting CoC operations/ performance.
The CoC conducts an annual gaps analysis using Coordinated Entry Data to identify who is entering the system, what the service needs are, and potential disparities within the system. This gaps analysis data is used at the CoC-level, regionally, and at the local county level to identify risk factors for experiencing homelessness.
2) ERAP & ESG-CV funding led to a significant increase in homelessness prevention efforts. During COVID, the CES system (211 and CES access sites) implemented a prevention screening tool to screen households for risk of homelessness and connect them immediately to resources, at the front door of the system. These lessons learned informed a yearlong diversion pilot that recently concluded. The workgroup overseeing the pilot worked with the consultant to develop a Housing Problem Solving (HPS) strategy, approved by the Gov Board in June 2024. The Board also allocated its 2024 Home4Good funding to support CoC-wide implementation of Housing Problem Solving, which includes prevention & diversion work. To help facilitate the HPS work, the CoC applied for an expansion of CES funds to support unfunded CE Access Sites, which will be the hub for this work to proceed. In addition, the CoC submitted two new project applications (RRH) to operate rapid exit, another key component of the HPS plan.
The Lehigh Valley RHAB secured funding for an eviction defense pilot project in one of the local magistrate courts that has the highest poverty and highest eviction rates in the area with direct service by the regional legal services provider. The project successfully prevented evictions, stabilized housing, obtained necessary repairs, and connected tenants with local housing programs for supportive services and expanded rental assistance.
3) DCED, in their role as Collaborative Applicant, is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategies.

2C-1a.	**Impact of Displaced Persons on Number of First Time Homeless.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.b	

Was your CoC's Number of First Time Homeless [metric 5.2] affected by the number of persons seeking short-term shelter or housing assistance displaced due to:

1.	**natural disasters?**	No
2.	**having recently arrived in your CoC's geographic area?**	No

2C-2.	**Reducing Length of Time Homeless–CoC's Strategy.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.c.	

	In the field below:
1.	**describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and persons in families remain homeless;**

2.	describe how your CoC identifies and houses individuals and persons in families with the longest lengths of time homeless; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the length of time individuals and families remain homeless.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC implements many strategies to reduce to the length of time Homeless (LOTH) for households:
-As part of annual renewal review/scoring, projects are evaluated on the LOT b/w project entry & residential move-in, with a goal of 30 days or less.
-To increase access to housing options, the CoC was awarded PHARE funds to facilitate a CoC-wide landlord incentive program, utilizing the lessons learned through ESG-CV. The first year of funding is getting off the ground now & the CoC will evaluate the impact of incentive funds once implementation is underway across all 33 counties.
-124% increase in RRH beds in the last seven years, per HIC data (2018 = 560, 2024 = 1252). CoC is applying for additional RRH in 2024 CoC Competition.
-DCED prioritizes RRH resources under ESG.
-CE system prioritizes vulnerability & length of time homeless
-100% of CoC PSH beds chronic dedicated.
-CoC developed Move On Strategy (2022), training & implementation materials (2023). This strategy was deployed for EHV in Allentown & Centre County to move on HHs from PSH, allowing these units to turn-over for households on CE BNL with the longest LOTH. The CoC provides TA upon request to support Move On efforts. The CoC presented at the Spring 2024 conference of the PA Association of PHAs to seek expansion of partnership opportunities.
-100% of CoC-funded projects operate using Housing First approach
. The Gov Board doubled its investment in training this year ($50k) to provide in-depth HF training, coupled w/ provider TA.
-To facilitate rapid PH placement, the CoC engaged TAC to provide a multisession training series on landlord engagement & to facilitate a five session RRH learning collaborative to maximize the impact of RRH investments & increase use of best practices. These trainings are available on the CoC website.
-The CoC has outreach services to engage individuals with long histories of homelessness.
-The CE system implemented a “push” referral system in Sept. 2024, which will reduce the time for providers to ID eligible households on the BNL, resulting in more timely housing connections.
2) CoC CE assessment includes questions about LOTH which impacts how households are prioritized for assistance. The CE By Name List includes LOTH as a visible field for prioritization. CE Regional Managers meet w/ providers regularly meet to review the list & connect households to housing.
3) DCED, the Coll App, is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategies.

2C-3.	Successful Permanent Housing Placement or Retention –CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.d.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in emergency shelter, safe havens, transitional housing, and rapid rehousing exit to permanent housing destinations;

2.	describe your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and persons in families residing in permanent housing projects retain their permanent housing or exit to permanent housing destinations; and
3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase the rate that individuals and families exit to or retain permanent housing.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC implements multiple strategies to ensure ES/TH/RRH exits to PH:
-CoC-funded projects are evaluated on exits to PH as part of annual renewal scoring. Up to 10 points (10% of scoring) are awarded for 75%+ positive exits.
-Significant increases in RRH resources (124% increase in last seven years).
-Case managers or housing navigators seek out units that are affordable & connections to mainstream resources (e.g. employment, childcare, benefits) to ensure long-term housing stability.
-Landlord incentives to expedite the process to secure units within FMR through PHARE funds recently awarded. Project began in some parts of CoC in 2024, with full CoC participation beginning once contracting is complete.
-Housing Problem Solving (HPS) pilot recently concluded. HPS approved by Gov Board in June 2024. Home4Good funding to support HPS strategy implementation in 2025. New RRH project app will fund Rapid Exit pilot, targeting households in shelter.
-Housing stabilization is prioritized through 100% of ESG/CoC-funded projects using Housing First (HF) approach & supported through training.
-Case mngmt focuses on increasing earned/non-earned incomes. Several ESG/CoC-funded orgs also provide workforce & CareerLink services.
-Many projects educate participants using the "Prepared Renters Program", which educates tenants on maintaining housing/ housing stability.
2) Strategies for PSH retention and/or exits to other PH include the above, as well as:
- CoC-funded PSH projects are evaluated on exits to/ retention of PH as part of annual renewal scoring. This metric awards up to 10 points (10% of scoring) to projects with 90+% positive outcomes.
-Use of HF approach to remove barriers to program enrollment. If eviction occurs, households are re-housed in new unit.
-Training to support HF approach & other client engagement techniques (e.g. harm reduction), with significant focus on PH retention.
-Increase in landlord engagement, resulting in stronger relationships between providers & landlords. Landlords often contact case managers w/concerns, prior to moving towards eviction.
-CoC released Move On strategy (2022) with implementation and training/TA in 2023. Strategy includes partnership w/PHAs. PSH providers support client transition w/ 6 months of supp services available. The CoC presented at Spring 2024 conference of PA Association of PHAs to continue to increase CoC/PHA collaboration.
3) DCED, the Collaborative Applicant will oversee.

2C-4.	Reducing Returns to Homelessness–CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.e.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to identify individuals and families who return to homelessness;
2.	describe your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate that individuals and families return to homelessness; and

3.	provide the name of the organization or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to reduce the rate individuals and persons in families return to homelessness.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) When enrolling a participant in CE, the CE assessor can see previous HMIS history of the household to identify if the household is returning to homelessness. The CoC analyzes data from CE assessments, which collects data on history of homelessness, disabilities, MH/BH/SA issues, income, presence of children, evictions, etc. This data is used to understand which households have factors that put them at higher risk for return to homelessness. The CoC also examines the exit destination of HHs returning to homelessness. Through the CE system and HMIS, CE assessors and shelters can ID households who return to homelessness to better ID risk factors.
2) Strategies to reduce rate of returns to homelessness include:
-Through implementation of CE, HHs in ES are connecting more efficiently w/ PH. This reduces the % of HHs returning to homelessness, as HHs in ES return at the highest rate.
-Continue to increase RRH capacity (124% increase in last seven years)
-Annual CoC renewal scoring/ evaluation includes returns to homelessness to encourage follow-up services for up to six months after rental assistance ends.
-Increase in prevention & diversion funding. The CoC Gov Board approved Housing Problem Solving strategy (June 2024), which includes prevention, diversion & rapid exit. Home4Good allocated to support implementation of prevention & diversion. New RRH project app will support rapid exit.
-RRH providers work with landlords to ID affordable units that can be sustained upon exiting RRH. In addition, providers assist clients to apply for subsidized housing, when waiting lists are open.
-Following a 2022 training series on landlord engagement, the CoC applied for funding to support landlord incentives, which are now available in parts of CoC w/ full CoC implementation moving ahead once contracting is complete.
-CoC-wide Housing First approach, reducing program termination & returns to homelessness
-County Human Service Depts & Community Action Agencies in the CoC are instrumental in connecting clients to prevention asst, mainstream resources, workforce dev, transportation, childcare & other resources that promote long term housing stability.
3) DCED, in their role as Coll App, is responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategies.

2C-5.	Increasing Employment Cash Income–CoC's Strategy.	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access employment cash sources;
2.	describe how your CoC works with mainstream employment organizations to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness increase their employment cash income; and
3.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase income from employment.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) The CoC's strategy to increase employment income is to build partnerships & collaborations to connect those being served with employment opportunities. Strategies include:
-collaborating with workforce development system to provide education & skill development
-working w/local employers to expand employment opps.
-building strong partnerships w/local CareerLink, including some with formal cooperation agreements. Some ESG/CoC funded orgs, primarily CAAs, also operate employment programs. E.g. CSO operates CareerLink in 9 counties, in addition to their ESG-funded programming.
-CoC orgs have built partnerships to offer no barrier, same day pay employment to participants.
-CoC's criteria for annual renewal scoring includes: (a) increases in total income, measured as a % of all adult participants increasing income from any source b/w entry & exit/annual assmt (5 points); and (b) % of participants with any source of income at annual/exit (also 5 of 100 points).
-Additional strategies implemented include job fairs conducted at agency sites to promote employment opps among program participants; and program "graduates" are encouraged to apply for peer leadership positions, for which they are paid consulting fees or standard wages, depending on the task.
2) Strategies to increase employment income are implemented through partnerships with CareerLink, Workforce Development, OVR & programs through the PA Dept of Human Services targeted to TANF & SNAP recipients to prepare for, find, and keep employment. Many Community Action Agencies throughout the CoC provide both homeless assistance, as well as employment/ workforce development assistance. These orgs are able to provide these & other services to support employment for clients, including funding to support training & obtaining licenses/ certificates, subsidized childcare, transportation assistance & more.
-The CoC provides stipends to people w/lived experiences of homelessness (PWLE) who are participating on committees, Board, etc. The CoC pays the statewide average living wage (currently $21.95/hr). "PWLE Consultants" are hired at a higher rate ($40/hr) to work on project design/ implementation.
3) DCED will be responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategies.

2C-5a.	Increasing Non-employment Cash Income–CoC's Strategy	
	NOFO Section V.B.5.f.	

	In the field below:
1.	describe your CoC's strategy to access non-employment cash income; and
2.	provide the organization name or position title that is responsible for overseeing your CoC's strategy to increase non-employment cash income.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) To increase non-employment cash income, the CoC strategy is to increase access to SSI through SOAR & ensure CoC partners are educated on mainstream benefits & able to quickly connect participants to benefits. The CoC coordinates with PA State SOAR contact to provide annual training, with the most recent training on 6-3-24. The CoC also has a several providers who are SOAR certified.
Assistance in applying for SSI, SSDI, TANF & other cash benefits is provided by CoC partners throughout the geographic area. Enrollment in mainstream benefits occurs online through the state's COMPASS system, an online single application system for many health/human service programs. All CoC providers are proficient users of COMPASS. CAAs work w/participants to submit applications for benefits through COMPASS & are most often the local providers for WIC, LIHEAP, transportation & other TANF-funded services. This partnership w/CAAs ensures individuals exp. homelessness have assistance to apply for, receive, and utilize nonemployment cash benefits. North Penn & other legal aid partners assists with appeals if individuals are denied benefits and will speak with the state to navigate complex cases.
The CoC keeps program staff up-to-date regarding mainstream benefits,
As needed, through regional CoC meetings & semiannual full CoC meetings. The CoC coordinates with PA DHS to provide annual training on mainstream benefits (TANF, SSI/SSDI, Employment Assistance Program, Substance Abuse Programs, SNAP, etc) with the most recent training occurring 6-3-24. This training covered eligibility, access, benefits maintenance/renewals, advocacy tips, and program updates. Ongoing updates are shared with CoC-program staff through posts on the CoC's social media platform
(Slack). Increasing income is included in annual renewal scoring criteria. Specifically, this measure is weighted worth 5 points (out of 100) and specifically measures % of all adult participants who increased income from any source from entry to exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers).
2) DCED, in their role as Collaborative Applicant, will be responsible for overseeing the CoC's strategies.

3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3A-1.	**New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Housing Resources.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.a.	
	You must upload the Housing Leveraging Commitment attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses housing subsidies or subsidized housing units which are not funded through the CoC or ESG Programs to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes

3A-2.	**New PH-PSH/PH-RRH Project–Leveraging Healthcare Resources.**	
	NOFO Section V.B.6.b.	
	You must upload the Healthcare Formal Agreements attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.	

	Is your CoC applying for a new PH-PSH or PH-RRH project that uses healthcare resources to help individuals and families experiencing homelessness?	Yes

3A-3.	**Leveraging Housing/Healthcare Resources–List of Projects.**	
	NOFO Sections V.B.6.a. and V.B.6.b.	

If you selected yes to questions 3A-1. or 3A-2., use the list feature icon to enter information about each project application you intend for HUD to evaluate to determine if they meet the criteria.

Project Name	Project Type	Rank Number	Leverage Type
PA0222 - Pathways...	PH-PSH	51	Both
Home Again Program	Joint TH-RRH	53	Healthcare

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project?	PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation - Expansion
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI):	KHN6G7SS36N6
3. Select the new project type:	PH-PSH
4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing:	51
5. Select the type of leverage:	Both

3A-3. List of Projects.

1. What is the name of the new project?	Home Again Program
2. Enter the Unique Entity Identifier (UEI):	DNPUQHL16UX3
3. Select the new project type:	Joint TH-RRH
4. Enter the rank number of the project on your CoC's Priority Listing:	53
5. Select the type of leverage:	Healthcare

3B. New Projects With Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3B-1.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

Is your CoC requesting funding for any new project application requesting $200,000 or more in funding for housing rehabilitation or new construction?	No

3B-2.	Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs–New Projects.	
	NOFO Section V.B.1.r.	

	If you answered yes to question 3B-1, describe in the field below actions CoC Program-funded project applicants will take to comply with:
1.	Section 3 of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968 (12 U.S.C. 1701u); and
2.	HUD's implementing rules at 24 CFR part 75 to provide employment and training opportunities for low- and very-low-income persons, as well as contracting and other economic opportunities for businesses that provide economic opportunities to low- and very-low-income persons.

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

3C. Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

3C-1.	**Designating SSO/TH/Joint TH and PH-RRH Component Projects to Serve Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.**	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	Is your CoC requesting to designate one or more of its SSO, TH, or Joint TH and PH-RRH component projects to serve families with children or youth experiencing homelessness as defined by other Federal statutes?	No

3C-2.	**Cost Effectiveness of Serving Persons Experiencing Homelessness as Defined by Other Federal Statutes.**	
	NOFO Section V.F.	

	You must upload the Project List for Other Federal Statutes attachment to the 4B. Attachments Screen.
	If you answered yes to question 3C-1, describe in the field below:
1.	**how serving this population is of equal or greater priority, which means that it is equally or more cost effective in meeting the overall goals and objectives of the plan submitted under Section 427(b)(1)(B) of the Act, especially with respect to children and unaccompanied youth than serving the homeless as defined in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of the definition of homeless in 24 CFR 578.3; and**
2.	**how your CoC will meet requirements described in Section 427(b)(1)(F) of the Act.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

N/A

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants for New DV Bonus Funding

HUD publishes resources on the HUD.gov website at CoC Program Competition to assist you in completing the CoC Application. Resources include:

- Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO) Continuum of Care Competition and Noncompetitive Award of Youth Homeless Demonstration Program Renewal and Replacement Grants;
- 24 CFR part 578;
- FY 2024 CoC Application Navigational Guide;
- Section 3 Resources;
- PHA Crosswalk; and
- Frequently Asked Questions

4A-1.	New DV Bonus Project Applicants.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

	Did your CoC submit one or more new project applications for DV Bonus Funding?	Yes

4A-1a.	DV Bonus Project Types.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.	

Select yes or no in the chart below to indicate the type(s) of new DV Bonus project(s) your CoC included in its FY 2024 Priority Listing.

	Project Type	
1.	SSO Coordinated Entry	No
2.	PH-RRH or Joint TH and PH-RRH Component	Yes

You must click "Save" after selecting Yes for element 1 SSO Coordinated Entry to view questions 4A-2, 4A-2a. and 4A-2b.

4A-3.	Data Assessing Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects in Your CoC's Geographic Area.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c) and I.B.3.j.(3)(c)	

1.	Enter the number of survivors that need housing or services:	5,060
2.	Enter the number of survivors your CoC is currently serving:	525
3.	Unmet Need:	4,535

4A-3a.	How Your CoC Calculated Local Need for New DV Bonus Housing Projects.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(c)	

	Describe in the field below:
1.	how your CoC calculated the number of DV survivors needing housing or services in question 4A-3 element 1 and element 2; and
2.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects); or
3.	if your CoC is unable to meet the needs of all survivors please explain in your response all barriers to meeting those needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1.To calculate the number of survivors needing housing and services across the 33-county CoC geography, the CoC combined 3 data points from July 1 – 31, 2024:
A) the # of individuals from HMIS who were active on the Coordinated Entry By Name List awaiting housing who self-reported fleeing DV = 1049,
B) # of adult victims served by DV programs (including shelters) within the CoC geography in ETO comparable database= 3486, and
C) the number of survivors the CoC is currently serving in permanent housing projects = 525.
The total number from these 3 data points combined was 5060 survivors needing housing or services. Because of the confidential nature of DV data, it was not possible to fully deduplicate between HMIS data & ETO data; therefore, it is possible that this # may include duplicates.
To calculate the number of survivors the CoC is currently serving (525), the CoC combined 2 data points:
D) the number of DV survivors being served from July 1-31, 2024 by CoC permanent housing projects who enter data into HMIS = 300, and
E) the number of DV survivors being served from July 1-31, 2024 by CoC permanent housing projects that use the DV comparable database Empower DB = 225.
2. HMIS and ETO (comparable database) were used as the data sources to calculate need. HMIS and Empower DB (comparable databases) were used as the data sources to calculate number served.
3. According the Eastern PA CoC 2024 gaps analysis (using CY2023 CE data), DV survivors made up 34% of the households enrolled in Coordinated Entry during 2022 (2978 DV survivor households). Only 24% of these DV survivor households (719 households) exited the BNL to a permanent housing destination in 2023. This data clearly indicates that there is a significant gap in resources in the Eastern PA CoC to serve all DV survivor households experiencing homelessness. In addition, DV survivors benefit from specialized, survivor-centered and trauma-informed services from providers who are trained in working with DV survivors. While DV survivors can be served by non-DV dedicated programs, often survivors prefer to receive services from an agency specializing in serving DV survivors, especially in regard to ensuring safety and confidentiality.

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Use the list feature icon to enter information on each unique project applicant applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH Component DV Bonus projects–only enter project applicant information once, regardless of how many DV Bonus projects that applicant is applying for.

Applicant Name
Pennsylvania Coal...

Project Applicants Applying for New PH-RRH and Joint TH and PH-RRH DV Bonus Projects

4A-3b.	Information About Unique Project Applicant Requesting New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)	

Enter information in the chart below on the project applicant that applied for one or more New DV Bonus housing projects included on your CoC's FY 2024 Priority Listing for New Projects:

1.	Applicant Name	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence (PCADV)
2.	Rate of Housing Placement of DV Survivors–Percentage	70%
3.	Rate of Housing Retention of DV Survivors–Percentage	84%

4A-3b.1.	Applicant's Housing Placement and Retention Data Explanation.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

	For the rate of housing placement and rate of housing retention of DV survivors reported in question 4B-3b., describe in the field below:
1.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing placement;
2.	whether the rate for housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations;
3.	how the project applicant calculated the rate of housing retention; and
4.	the data source (e.g., comparable databases, other administrative data, external data source, HMIS for non-DV projects).

(limit 1,500 characters)

1.Rate of housing placement is calculated as % of DV survivors enrolled in Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence (PCADV's) Rapid Rehousing (RRH) in EmpowerDB (comparable database) who successfully moved to permanent housing from 7/1/23-6/30/24.
2. The rate of housing placement accounts for exits to safe housing destinations. PCADV's member programs prioritize the exit of survivors from RRH to safe, permanent housing destinations.
3. Rate of housing retention is calculated as % of DV survivors (leavers) enrolled in Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence (PCADV's) Rapid Rehousing (RRH) from 7/1/23-6/30/24 who retained permanent housing after RRH rental assistance ended.
3.All PCADV member programs who provide RRH services and rental assistance are provided with access to EmpowerDB (comparable database) to securely and confidentially manage and report data. As such, Empower DB was the data source for housing placement/retention rates.

4A-3c.	Applicant's Experience Housing DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below how the project applicant:
1.	ensured DV survivors experiencing homelessness were quickly moved into safe affordable housing;
2.	prioritized survivors–you must address the process the project applicant used, e.g., Coordinated Entry, prioritization list, CoC's emergency transfer plan;
3.	determined survivors' supportive services needs;
4.	connected survivors to supportive services; and
5.	moved survivors from assisted housing to housing they could sustain–address housing stability after the housing subsidy ends.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Since the RRH project began in FY19, approximately 1300 households have been served. To assist survivors to move into PH quickly, VSPs partner w/private landlords, PHAs, affordable hsg providers, LIHTC properties, etc. PCADV provides robust onboarding to member program victim service providers (VSPs) including: Domestic Violence Housing First; RRH basics; Landlord engagement. PCADV hired a Community Engagement Specialist who works across CoC on: relationships w/landlords, training VSPs on document readiness & addressing barriers to renting, connecting VSPs to financial resources to resolve survivors' barriers to renting, coordinating w/community resources to support housing retention.
2) VSPs work with CE managers to enroll survivors from the BNL into RRH. When survivors are assessed through CE, they are placed on the BNL. CE managers provide the names of prioritized HHs on BNL. The VSP contacts the HH in order of score. VSPs also prioritize survivors in need of an emergency transfer from another program where safety has been compromised.
3) VSPs provide a range of services, including RRH case mngmt. Survivors can access housing and financial education, credit repair/building & job readiness & education. All services are provided based on survivor choice. Service options are IDed through the expressed needs of the survivor & through assessment tools on Housing Stability and Economic Stability. Assessments are updated yearly. PCADV hired an Economic Justice Specialist who develops partnerships, leverages resources, provides training, & furthers community connections to center economic justice within the DV HF RRH project.
4) VSPs regularly connect survivors to community supports including public benefits, childcare, healthcare, transportation, furniture, & employment services. VSPs focus on community engagement to ensure that survivors have resources & community connections beyond the VSP.
5) Ability to retain housing post RA is assessed monthly through budget planning & increased income. RRH for survivors must be flexible to be successful. An advocate will support a survivor w/additional RA, past 12 months, if that is what will lead to housing retention. If additional RA isn't sustainable for the HH, the advocate & survivor work to secure a more affordable unit, or long-term subsidy through local PHAs & other affordable housing partners. Support after exit is determined by the survivor & can continue as long as needed.

4A-3d.	Applicant's Experience in Ensuring DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below examples of how the project applicant ensured the safety and confidentiality of DV survivors experiencing homelessness by:
1.	taking steps to ensure privacy/confidentiality during the intake and interview process to minimize potential coercion of survivors;
2.	making determinations and placements into safe housing;
3.	keeping survivors' information and locations confidential;
4.	training staff on safety and confidentially policies and practices; and
5.	taking security measures for units (congregate or scattered site), that support survivors' physical safety and location confidentiality.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) Intake into services is done in a private space, either a private office or location that meets the needs of the survivor- e.g., a park where survivor's children can play, safe relative's home, or location where the survivor receives other services. While very rare for a couple to present for DV services together, if this occurs, interviews are conducted separately to minimize potential safety risks. Providers will also not conduct interviews in the presence of minor children.
2) Advocates use assessment tools for Housing Stability and Economic Stability to help survivors identify housing barriers such as credit score, debt, and evictions, and needed resources to eliminate those barriers, such as financial counseling, credit resolution, connection to benefits, & payment of previous debt. Assessment tools were developed by PCADV to support service provision and are reviewed/updated as needed. Survivor's confidentiality is prioritized by the VSP & info to landlord only driven based on input/approval by survivor. An advocate supports a survivor w/ID of location & type of housing that is most safe & preferred (e.g., close to school, transportation). Advocates support a survivor through the entire process of renting a unit, including housing search assistance & landlord relationship development. The process is driven by client choice.
3) Survivors' rental locations are kept confidential in comparable database & paper files stored in manner required by PCADV and federal standards. While there is no requirement to keep the location of one's own rental unit confidential, through safety planning advocates assist survivors in the ID of safe visitors & safe ways to disclose their address. DV shelter locations are kept confidential.
4) All PCADV member program VSP staff are required to have 40 hrs. of training that covers extensive safety planning, survivor driven trauma informed services, including trauma informed intakes & assessments. The 40-hour training includes confidentiality policies and best practice. PCADV provides ongoing training and TA regarding safety planning and confidentiality as needed. RRH-specific training also required by VSPs.
5) VSPs discuss physical safety concerns with survivors and support them if they wish to keep their location confidential. VSPs use relocation & other funds to purchase alarms, ring cameras, change the locks & other modifications requested by survivor to protect their physical safety.

4A-3d.1.	Applicant's Experience in Evaluating Its Ability to Ensure DV Survivors' Safety.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below how the project evaluated its ability to ensure the safety of DV survivors the project served in the project, including any areas identified for improvement throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

Safety is evaluated both formally and informally by PCADV member programs. During every meeting with the survivor, an advocate who is an expert in safety planning, discusses safety with the survivor, as safety needs often change as a survivor becomes independent of their abusive partner. RRH services and case management are adjusted based on a change in safety needs. VSPs have seen success with monthly calls after exit, as it allows the survivor to process any current/residual trauma and discuss barriers to maintaining housing. Many survivors also choose to stay engaged in non-CoC funded supportive services when RRH ends, such as support groups or counseling. Safety is at the forefront of all these services. Formally, upon exit, survivors are given a questionnaire & asked: "The services I received helped me plan for my safety". The survivor reports an answer from 1(strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree). The program offers ongoing services to the survivor, such as support group, counseling, activities for children, and ongoing financial education. Survivors may engage in services after exit for as
long as this wish, as there is no time limit on community VSP services. PCADV has utilized state funding to hire a Housing Compliance Specialist in February 2024. This position is responsible for: ensuring contract compliance by member programs regarding integration of survivor feedback, coordinating and facilitating survivor collective group insight, meeting with community stakeholders to solicit feedback and identify methods to make meaningful changes in program design and delivery. This position will also track program outcomes; then, make recommendations and implement changes to improve outcomes.

4A-3e.	Applicant's Experience in Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below the project applicant's experience in:
1.	prioritizing placement and stabilization of survivors;
2.	placing survivors in permanent housing;
3.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their preferences; and
4.	placing and stabilizing survivors consistent with their stated needs.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) PCADV has extensive experience in employing trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to address the needs of survivors. PCADV implemented DV Housing First (DVHF) philosophy statewide emphasizing low-barrier service delivery & prioritization of survivors' rapid stabilization into permanent housing. This approach ensures that survivors have flexibility and autonomy in housing choice and service delivery. Low barrier service delivery means that the survivor & advocate meets at the time/location most convenient for the survivor. All services are voluntary, advocates provide the survivor with all service options available & tailored support based on identified need. Advocates work quickly to support a survivor in housing identification, as permanent housing is the most effective way for a survivor to become safe.
2) PCADV has supported VSPs in placing survivors in permanent housing for many years, and has utilized HUD CoC funds for 5 years to support housing placement. In DV RRH, advocate & survivor partner to find a safe & permanent rental unit. The advocate uses connections with previous landlords to support the survivor in finding housing, while building relationships with new landlords. The advocate supports the survivor in unit ID, provides transportation to visit units & supports in negotiations with landlords.
3) PCADV's project is designed to prioritize participant preferences & ensure rapid placement & stabilization in permanent housing. VSPs adopt a survivor-driven approach, allowing individuals to make decisions about their housing based on their preferences & needs. To assist the survivor in identifying the location and type of housing preferred, a Housing Stability Assessment maps out housing needs & addresses them as per the survivor's choices. This model reduces barriers & helps survivors transition from instability to permanent housing swiftly.
4) This project prioritizes survivors self identified needs in housing placement. Advocates collaborate with survivors to identify safe & suitable housing that aligns with their preferences & needs. The process is survivor-driven, ensuring that the survivor’s choice of location, which reflects their unique needs & safety concerns, is central to the decision-making. This tailored approach enhances safety & housing retention by supporting the survivor's autonomy in selecting their home.

4A-3f.	Applicant's Experience in Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

	Describe in the field below examples of the project applicant's experience using trauma-informed, victim-centered approaches to meet needs of DV survivors by:
1.	establishing and maintaining an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures survivors and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;
2.	providing survivors access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing survivors with information on the effects of trauma;
3.	emphasizing survivors’ strengths, e.g., strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans worked towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;
4.	centering on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;
5.	providing a variety of opportunities for survivors’ connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and
6.	offering support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.

(limit 5,000 characters)

1) All DV services, including RRH, are voluntary, trauma informed, and survivor driven. There are no mandates to participate. There are no enforced rules, and program guidelines are centered around common lease requirements. Each HH is given clear information, both verbally and in writing, regarding expectations of both the survivor and DV program, the grievance procedure, and protocols re: client records safety, confidentiality, & release of information. Advocates emphasize developing trust in the relationship. All advocate training is rooted in the reality that service providers hold power that participants to not have. That power must be continually acknowledged and work to be diminished. As such, advocates are trained to develop an authentic professional relationship with survivor, rely on the survivor's expertise in their own life, and ask about/prioritize the survivor's goals.
2) DV programs consistently integrate opportunities to share the impacts of trauma w/ survivors. PCADV has partnered w/ National Center on DV, Trauma & Mental Health to assist programs in sustaining survivor-driven, trauma-informed services. A key component of this training is providing tools for advocates to share w/survivors during counseling and safety planning conversations regarding the impacts of trauma on their lives, such as an equity-based version of the Power and Control Wheel, strategizes for quick resolution of anxiety, and readings that validate the survivor's experience.
3) PCADV uses Housing Stability Assessment & Stability Plan tools to assist survivors to develop & maintain housing & pursue goals. Advocate & participant work together to identify strengths & resources—income, good credit, current job, prior employment history, education/training, positive rental or landlord experiences & support systems. Case plans
include assessments of survivors' strengths and work towards their goals, ensuring that our support aligns with their personal strengths and ambitions. The plan is revisited during every meeting, as needs and prioritize often change as a survivor gains safety and independence.
4) Cultural responsiveness and inclusivity are integral to PCADV's work. We provide training on equal access, cultural competence, and non-discrimination to ensure that all staff are equipped to serve diverse populations effectively. These trainings are provided both in online modules & in-person training to ensure all staff have access. This includes addressing the needs of marginalized communities, such as BIPOC survivors, by ensuring that our services are accessible and culturally competent. PCADV's Training Institute offers courses for advocates to develop these skills, including advocacy around LGBTQ+ & underserved communities, trauma sensitivity, & working w/ survivors who have experienced brain injury. All PCADV Programs have language translation services, many programs have Spanish speakers on staff, and for programs located in areas with large populations of non-native speakers of English or Spanish, they often employ advocates who speak the spoken language(s) of the region.
5) Member programs offer various opportunities for connection among program participants, including support groups, mentorships, and peer-to-peer interactions. These connections help survivors break isolation and build supportive relationships, which are crucial for their recovery and stability. We also address spiritual needs and provide a range of community-building activities to foster a sense of belonging and support without requiring any participation.
6) PCADV's project includes support for parenting through parenting classes; childcare services during adult DV support groups; providing support & information regarding discipline; coaching regarding age-appropriate ways to talk to children & providing child development info/referrals; Providing referrals

to head start, WIC, public benefits, parenting classes, diaper banks; Assisting with enrollment for school/arranging transportation; legal services, which includes parenting related issues. These resources help survivors manage parenting responsibilities while focusing on their recovery and housing stability. Providing these supports ensures that parenting challenges are addressed and that survivors have the resources they need to care for their children effectively.

4A-3g.	Applicant's Experience Meeting Service Needs of DV Survivors.	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(d)	

Describe in the field below examples of supportive services the project provided to domestic violence survivors while quickly moving them into permanent housing and addressing their safety needs.

(limit 5,000 characters)

Examples of supportive services PCADV member programs have provided to DV survivors over the last funding year include:
- Landlord Engagement & Housing Search: Using DV RRH housing search assistance, advocate & survivor partner to find a safe & retainable rental unit. The advocate uses connections with previous landlords to support the survivor in finding housing, while building relationships with new landlords where the survivor is interested in living. The advocate supports the survivor in looking for a unit, provides transportation to visit units, & supports in negotiations with landlords.
- Survivor-Driven, Trauma-Informed Mobile Advocacy: Once housed, the advocate meets with the survivor in their home, or another location that is most convenient for the survivor where safety needs, budgeting, referrals to community resources & opportunities for increases in income/benefits are discussed.
- Elimination of Financial Barriers to Housing: Using DV RRH case management, the advocate works with the survivor to identify financial and economic barriers to housing by pulling credit report & begin paying down debt, to both increase their credit score when leasing a unit, & to improve their overall financial health.
- Economic Justice Advocacy: All survivors are provided with the opportunity to learn about their finances – build a budget, plan to increase income, financial literacy curriculum & match savings opportunities.
- Flexible Funding Support: Advocates have access to private funding that can be braided with CoC funds to support the retention of a unit. Examples would be funds that support education, children's needs, auto repair, or other emergency/ unplanned expenses that would divert rent money.
- Additional supportive services are available to all survivors that enter the RRH program & upon completion of RRH including, but not limited to:
•Core services (24-hour crisis response, transportation access, & individual advocacy)
•Legal services (assistance with issues such as custody, divorce, child & spousal support; legal advice/representation)
•Community Referrals/Partnerships (thrift store vouchers, job training, connections to employers, connection to healthcare and mental health services, referrals to substance use support, childcare, food banks, furniture donations)
•Scholarships for educational enrichment (financial assistance is provided to survivors for the costs of schooling, books, job training, and/or other educational endeavors to further economic stability)
- Ongoing support: All survivors are offered services upon completion of RRH. Many continue to meet with their advocate for legal or children's needs, or to attend a community support group. Survivors are also able to receive financial support to retain housing outside of RRH.

4A-3h.	**Applicant's Plan for Placing and Stabilizing Survivors in Permanent Housing Using Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Approaches in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).**	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

	Describe in the field below how the project(s) will:
1.	**prioritize placement and stabilization of program participants;**
2.	**place program participants in permanent housing;**
3.	**place and stabilize program participants consistent with their preferences; and**
4.	**place and stabilize program participants consistent with their stated needs.**

(limit 2,500 characters)

1) PCADV will continue to practice DV Housing First (DVHF) philosophy statewide emphasizing low-barrier service delivery & prioritizing survivors' rapid stabilization into permanent housing. PCADV will ensure that survivors have choices & flexibility in their recovery process. Survivor & advocates will agree upon a time/place to meet to ease the burden of travel for the survivor. All services will be voluntary, advocates will provide the survivor with all service options available & provide support based on what the survivor identifies they need. Advocates will work quickly to support a survivor in housing identification because prioritizing safety during this time is essential.
2) Using DV RRH housing search assistance, the advocate & survivor will partner to find a safe & permanent rental unit. The advocate will use connections with previous landlords to support the survivor in finding housing, while building relationships with new landlords where the survivor is interested in living. The advocate will support the survivor in looking for a unit, provide transportation to visit units, & support in negotiations with landlords.
3) PCADV's project will continue to prioritize participant preferences & ensure rapid placement & stabilization in permanent housing. The project utilizes a survivor-driven approach, allowing individuals to make decisions about their housing based on their preferences & needs. The Housing Stability Assessment, developed by a DV program & adopted by PCADV, will be used to help map out housing needs & address them as per the survivor's choices.
4) This project will adhere to the DVHF approach by prioritizing survivor choice in housing placement. Advocates will collaborate with survivors to identify safe & suitable housing that aligns with their preferences & needs. The process will be survivor-driven, ensuring that each individual's choice of location, which reflects their unique needs & safety concerns, is central to the decision-making. This tailored approach will enhance safety & housing retention by respecting & supporting the survivor's autonomy in selecting their home.

4A-3i.	**Applicant's Plan for Administering Trauma-Informed, Survivor-Centered Practices in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).**	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(e)	

	Describe in the field below examples of how the new project(s) will:
1.	**establish and maintain an environment of agency and mutual respect, e.g., the project does not use punitive interventions, ensures program participant and staff interactions are based on equality, and minimize power differentials;**
2.	**provide program participants access to information on trauma, e.g., training staff on providing program participants with information on the effects of trauma;**
3.	**emphasize program participants' strengths–for example, strength-based coaching, questionnaires and assessment tools include strength-based measures, case plans work towards survivor-defined goals and aspirations;**
4.	**center on cultural responsiveness and inclusivity, e.g., training on equal access, cultural competence, nondiscrimination, language access, improving services to be culturally responsive, accessible, and trauma-informed;**
5.	**provide a variety of opportunities for program participants' connections, e.g., groups, mentorships, peer-to-peer, spiritual needs; and**
6.	**offer support for survivor parenting, e.g., trauma-informed parenting classes, childcare, connections to legal services.**

(limit 5,000 characters)

1) All DV RRH services will continue to be voluntary, trauma informed, & survivor driven. There are no mandates to participate. There are no enforced rules, and program guidelines are centered around lease requirements. Each HH will be given clear/consistent info verbally and in writing, regarding expectations of both the survivor & DV program, the grievance procedure, how to release information, and how records are kept safe and confidential. Advocates will continue to emphasize developing trust in the relationship; this trust facilitates a problem-solving approach.
2) VSPs will consistently integrate opportunities to share the impacts of trauma w/ survivors. PCADV has an ongoing partnership w/ National Center on DV, Trauma & Mental Health to assist programs in sustaining survivor-driven, trauma informed services and plans to continue that relationship. A key component of this training is providing tools for advocates to share w/ survivors during counseling and safety planning conversations regarding the impacts of trauma on their lives.
3) PCADV & VSPs will continue to use the Housing Stability Assessment & Stability Plan tools to assist survivors in obtaining/maintaining housing & pursue goals. Advocate & participant will work together on a plan to identify strengths—like income, good credit, prior employment history, education/training, positive rental, or landlord experiences & support systems. The plans will continue to be tailored to what participants want, what they see as achievable & what support they need.
4) Trauma-informed, survivor-centered approaches are included throughout PCADV training for member programs, both in online modules & in-person training. PCADV will continue to provide training & resources to help local VSPs serve historically marginalized communities in a meaningful way.
PCADV's Training Institute offers trainings for advocates to develop these skills, including advocacy around LGBTQ+ & underserved communities, trauma sensitivity, & working w/ survivors who have experienced brain injury. All PCADV programs have language translation services available, many programs have Spanish speakers on staff, and as needed, advocates who speak other languages based on the needs in the specific community. CoC- related policies around discrimination & equal access are followed. PCADV's Training Institute regularly revisits the training curriculum for member programs to ensure all aspects of holistic case management are thoroughly described and updates are made when new techniques and resources become available.
5) Service offerings will include support groups, parenting support & other opportunities to break isolation & build authentic connection, as we know that supportive community is often a part of the solution to living a life free of violence.
6) VSPs will continue to support parents by providing the following core services: Offering children's support group/childcare during adult DV support groups & court hearings; providing support and information regarding discipline; coaching regarding age-appropriate ways to talk to children & providing child development info/referrals; providing referrals to head start, WIC, public benefits, parenting classes, diaper banks; assisting with enrollment for school/arranging transportation; PCADV provides funding for legal services, which includes parenting related issues. In addition, funding in the VAWA BLI were requested. These funds will allow the project to provide enhanced supports for survivors requesting emergency transfers. PCADV will also be working in partnership with the CoC around the VAWA confidentiality requirements.

4A-3j.	Applicant's Plan for Involving Survivors in Policy and Program Development, Operations, and Evaluation in the New DV Bonus Housing Project(s).	
	NOFO Section I.B.3.j.(1)(f)	

	Describe in the field below how the new project will involve survivors:
1.	with a range of lived expertise; and
2.	in policy and program development throughout the project's operation.

(limit 2,500 characters)

1. PCADV understands the importance of centering survivors in the work of ending DV. The vast majority of both PCADV & member program staff self-identify as survivors of interpersonal violence. While this identity & experience gives a personal connection to mission engagement, survivor voice from those directly receiving services needs to be incorporated in an ongoing manner. PCADV's leadership structure includes specific caucuses to center the experience & expertise of survivors and marginalized voices. The Survivors of DV Caucus, along with PCADV delegates & Board, helps develop & execute strategy that incorporates the realities of lived experience. A Survivor Collective has been established through the Survivors Caucus processes. While Collective participation is voluntary, we will work to diversify the membership to be representative of different experiences of homelessness.
2. Under the leadership of PCADV's Housing Advocacy Manager, a Survivor Collective has been implemented to provide survivors who are engaging in or have recently completed services w/an opportunity to influence, design & provide direct feedback for the DV system. The Collective is responsible for providing input to the CoC re: survivor-centered RRH policies and reviewing current DV RRH projects. The Collective is involved at every step of the process, providing recs and insight into personal experience of DV RRH. PCADV & DV program staff meet with the Collective monthly, reporting on data & program outcomes. The Collective is involved in PCADV's yearly evaluation of DV RRH. PCADV will seek input on the data points included in the project's evaluation. Feedback from the evaluation will be integrated into the project, assuring that DV RRH is survivor driven & able to quickly pivot to meet the needs of survivors seeking safe housing options. Collective members will be paid for their time, provided leadership opps & given flexibility w/engagement. No identifiable participant information will be shared & the safety of survivors in RRH & Caucus will be prioritized.
In addition, member programs use various anonymous feedback tools to gather lived expertise during and after a survivor's interaction with the program; such feedback is used to modify project design and delivery to better meet survivors' needs.
PCADV will connect survivors to the CoC's Homeless Action Board, ensuring Survivor experience is reflected within voices of PWLE advising CoC.

4B. Attachments Screen For All Application Questions

	We have provided the following guidance to help you successfully upload attachments and get maximum points:
1.	You must include a Document Description for each attachment you upload; if you do not, the Submission Summary screen will display a red X indicating the submission is incomplete.
2.	You must upload an attachment for each document listed where 'Required?' is 'Yes'.
3.	We prefer that you use PDF files, though other file types are supported–please only use zip files if necessary. Converting electronic files to PDF, rather than printing documents and scanning them, often produces higher quality images. Many systems allow you to create PDF files as a Print option. If you are unfamiliar with this process, you should consult your IT Support or search for information on Google or YouTube.
4.	Attachments must match the questions they are associated with.
5.	Only upload documents responsive to the questions posed–including other material slows down the review process, which ultimately slows down the funding process.
6.	If you cannot read the attachment, it is likely we cannot read it either.
	. We must be able to read the date and time on attachments requiring system-generated dates and times, (e.g., a screenshot displaying the time and date of the public posting using your desktop calendar; screenshot of a webpage that indicates date and time).
	. We must be able to read everything you want us to consider in any attachment.
7.	After you upload each attachment, use the Download feature to access and check the attachment to ensure it matches the required Document Type and to ensure it contains all pages you intend to include.
8.	Only use the "Other" attachment option to meet an attachment requirement that is not otherwise listed in these detailed instructions.

Document Type	Required?	Document Description	Date Attached
1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference	No	PHA Homeless Pref...	10/10/2024
1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference	No	PHA Moving On Pre...	10/10/2024
1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter	Yes	Lived Experience ...	10/18/2024
1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation	Yes	Housing First Eva...	10/18/2024
1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool	Yes	Local Competition...	10/21/2024
1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project	Yes	Scored Forms for ...	10/10/2024
1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced	Yes	Notification of P...	10/21/2024
1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted	Yes	Notification of P...	10/21/2024
1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results	Yes	Local Competition...	10/18/2024
1E-5c. Web Posting–CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		
1E-5d. Notification of CoC-Approved Consolidated Application	Yes		

2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report	Yes	HUD's Homeless Da...	10/10/2024
3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments	No	Housing Leveragin...	10/18/2024
3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements	No	Healthcare Formal...	10/15/2024
3C-2. Project List for Other Federal Statutes	No		
Other	No		

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Homeless Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: PHA Moving On Preference

Attachment Details

Document Description: Lived Experience Support Letter

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing First Evaluation

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Scoring Tool

Attachment Details

Document Description: Scored Forms for One Project

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Attachment Details

Document Description: Notification of Projects Accepted

Attachment Details

Document Description: Local Competition Selection Results

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description: HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report

Attachment Details

Document Description: Housing Leveraging Commitments

Attachment Details

Document Description: Healthcare Formal Agreements

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Attachment Details

Document Description:

Submission Summary

Ensure that the Project Priority List is complete prior to submitting.

Page	Last Updated
1A. CoC Identification	10/08/2024
1B. Inclusive Structure	10/24/2024
1C. Coordination and Engagement	10/27/2024
1D. Coordination and Engagement Cont'd	10/27/2024
1E. Project Review/Ranking	10/27/2024
2A. HMIS Implementation	10/27/2024
2B. Point-in-Time (PIT) Count	10/23/2024
2C. System Performance	10/24/2024
3A. Coordination with Housing and Healthcare	10/23/2024
3B. Rehabilitation/New Construction Costs	10/23/2024
3C. Serving Homeless Under Other Federal Statutes	10/23/2024

4A. DV Bonus Project Applicants	10/25/2024
4B. Attachments Screen	Please Complete
Submission Summary	No Input Required

FY 2024

PA-509 EASTERN PA COC

1C-7. PHA Homeless Preference

Documents include the following:

- **Excerpt from Franklin County Housing Authority Admin Plan – Homeless Preference**
- **Excerpt from Cumberland County Housing Authority Admin Plan – Homeless Preference**

19-IV.D. WAITING LIST ADMINISTRATION

General Waiting List Requirements [Notice PIH 2020-01 and Mainstream Voucher Basics Webinar, 10/15/20]

PHAs must not have a separate waiting list for Mainstream voucher assistance since the PHA is required by the regulations to maintain one waiting list for tenant-based assistance [24 CFR 982.204(f)]. All PHA policies on opening, closing, and updating the waiting list, as well as waiting list preferences in Chapter 4, apply to the Mainstream program.

When the PHA is awarded Mainstream vouchers, these vouchers must be used for new admissions to the PHA's program from the waiting list. The PHA must lease these vouchers by pulling the first Mainstream-eligible family from its tenant-based waiting list. PHAs are not permitted to reassign existing participants to the program in order to make regular tenant-based vouchers available. Further, the PHA may not skip over Mainstream-eligible families on the waiting list because the PHA is serving the required number of Mainstream families.

Upon turnover, vouchers must be provided to Mainstream-eligible families. If a Mainstream turnover voucher becomes available, the PHA must determine if the families at the top of the waiting list qualify under program requirements.

Admission Preferences [Notice PIH 2020-01; FY17 Mainstream NOFA; FY19 Mainstream NOFA]

If the PHA claimed points for a preference in a NOFA application for Mainstream vouchers, the PHA must adopt a preference for at least one of the targeted groups identified in the NOFA.

PHA Policy

The PHA claimed a preference for a targeted group as part of an application for Mainstream vouchers under a NOFA. The PHA will offer the following preference:

The PHA will use the following local preferences:

(1) Local Preference (200 points): In order to provide housing to families who live in Franklin County, FCHA will establish a preference for families who live-in or work within Franklin County, where the head, spouse, co-head, or sole member has lived in Franklin County or has worked in Franklin County as listed on application.

(2) Victims of Domestic Violence (20 points): The FCHA will offer a local preference to families that have been subjected to or victimized by a member of the family or household within the past 6 months. The FCHA will require evidence that the family has been displaced as a result of fleeing violence in the home. Families are also eligible for this preference if there is a proof that the family is currently living in a situation where they are being subjected to or victimized by violence in the home. The following criteria are used to establish a family's eligibility for this preference:

Actual or threatened physical violence directed against the applicant or the applicant's family by a spouse or other household member who lives in the unit with the family.

© Copyright 2022 Nan McKay & Associates, Inc.
Unlimited copies may be made for internal use.

The actual or threatened violence must have occurred within the past 6 months or be of a continuing nature.

An applicant who lives in a violent neighborhood or is fearful of other violence outside the household is not considered involuntarily displaced.

To qualify for this preference, the abuser must still reside in the unit from which the victim was displaced. The applicant must certify that the abuser will not reside with the applicant unless the FCHA gives prior written approval.

The FCHA will approve the return of the abuser to the household under the following conditions:

The FCHA verifies that the abuser has received therapy or counseling that appears to minimize the likelihood of recurrence of violent behavior.

A counselor, therapist or other appropriate professional recommends in writing that the individual be allowed to reside with the family.

If the abuser returns to the family without approval of the FCHA, the FCHA will deny or terminate assistance for breach of the certification.

At the family's request, the FCHA will take precautions to ensure that the new location of the family is concealed in cases of domestic abuse.

(3) Working/Elderly/Disabled Preference (20 points): Families where the head or spouse currently reside in Franklin County, or has been working in Franklin County, and has been employed for six consecutive months, unless they can verify temporary leave of absence due to illness, injury, etc. This preference also applies to families where the head or spouse is actively attending an accredited college as a full-time student (online classes are not acceptable) designed to prepare the individual for the job market. This preference is automatically extended to households where the head or spouse is 62 years of age or older, or is a person with disabilities.

(4) Homeless Preference (15 points). An individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence, meaning:

a. An individual or family living in a supervised publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, state, or local government programs for low- income individuals); or

b. An individual or family, with a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not designed for or ordinarily used as a regular sleeping accommodation for human beings, including a car, park, abandoned building, bus or train station, airport, or camping ground and

© Copyright 2022 Nan McKay & Associates, Inc.
Unlimited copies may be made for internal use.

has certification from a publicly or privately operated shelter or 211 (Franklin County Information & Referral); or

c. An individual who is exiting an institution where he or she resided for 90 days or less and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution;

(5) Mainstream (1 point) – Those that are non-elderly disabled and claim one of the following: homeless, at risk for homelessness, institutionalized or at risk of institutionalization. (Documentation must be provided to show homelessness or at risk)

(6) Veterans Preference (5 points). In order to provide housing to Veterans, households are eligible for the veterans preference if the head, spouse, or co-head is an active service member, a retired service member who was honorably discharged, or is the surviving household member of a deceased service member who was honorably discharged (Documentation from the Department of Defense or Veterans Affairs must be submitted to verify veteran preference)

(7) Bridge Rental Assistance Subsidy Preference (0 points) In order to provide housing to applicants who are Medicaid assisted or eligible, the FCHA received funding from Tuscarora Managed Care Alliance (TMCA). This preference will only be given if the applicant provides a copy of their Medicaid card or signs the required release of information allowing FCHA to screen them through TMCA for eligibility.

The PHA will first assist families that have been terminated from the HCV program due to insufficient funding and then assist families that qualify for the VAWA preference.

© Copyright 2022 Nan McKay & Associates, Inc.
Unlimited copies may be made for internal use.

Cumberland County Housing Authority Admin Plan Excerpt

EXHIBIT 4-A: LOCAL PREFERENCES

CUMBERLAND COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY

EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2011

1. **Displacement by CCHRA Action**

First preference will be given to households who have been displaced by any Cumberland County Housing and Redevelopment Authority project resulting in their loss of a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime residence. Applicants must be residing in the project at the time of displacement and must show proof of residence. Applicants assisted under this preference must lease in Cumberland County for at least two years.

Second preference will be divided equally between the Transitional Housing/Domestic Violence Shelter Preference and the Working Family Preference listed below.

2. Transitional Housing / Domestic Violence Shelter Preference/ Move On Preference

Preference will be given to qualified households who are living in the Cumberland County Domestic Violence Shelter or a transitional housing facility which has an operating plan acceptable to the Housing Authority, and who have completed their service plan based on information supplied by the Shelter or transitional housing provider. Households qualifying under the domestic violence preference are not permitted to have the abusing individual visit or reside in the unit for twelve months after move-in. Applicants will be required to complete a Domestic Violence Verification form (See appendix at end of chapter). Applicants receiving the Transitional Housing/Domestic Violence preference are required to lease in Cumberland County for at least two years. To retain this preference, applicants must be living in the facility on the date the voucher is issued. (A single individual or couple who are not elderly or disabled are not considered a qualified household for the bridge housing/DVS preference.)

This preference will also be given to persons transitioning out of Single Room Occupancy (SRO) facilities and other transitional or permanent housing operated by homeless providers into neighborhood based permanent housing. Applicants with a diagnosis of Intellectual & Developmental Disabilities who are on the Cumberland/Perry MH/IDD Emergency and Critical lists for residential service and who are transitioning from a community living arrangement (group home); or from a previous living arrangement (temporary shelter, family home, etc.); or individuals with a priority mental health diagnosis who are transitioning from a Community Residential Rehabilitation (CRR) program and/or have completed an independent living skills assessment with a supportive living worker will be given this preference. The referral process will include a recommendation from the individual's Mental Health (MH) Case Manager, Support Coordinator or Primary Residential Worker that supports the consumer's ability to live

independently and identifies any supports and services needed for and agreed to by the consumer. Available services and supports include: case management, psychiatric rehabilitation, support coordination, supportive living, social rehabilitation, financial management, transportation, supported employment, emergency on-call supports, social rehabilitation, and mobile crisis intervention as well as traditional services such as outpatient therapy and medication management. It is understood that continuation of mental health services is voluntary by the consumer. The Housing Authority may assist the consumer to contact the appropriate MH base service unit in order to access needed services at any time.

Individuals currently in a Permanent Supportive Housing program with Homeless and Special Needs department that have fulfilled goals, have proven stability and are ready for self-sufficiency will receive this preference. These individuals no longer require intensive case management and will open a spot for other homeless individuals. By receiving Move On preference this will allow the consumer to transition to Housing Choice Voucher Program and maintain housing assistance.

Individuals/families certified by Cumberland County Children and Youth Services who are in need of adequate housing to maintain custody or to reunify with their children; and youths at least 18 years old and not more than 21 years old (have not reached 22nd birthday) who left foster care, a Community Residential Rehab Host Home and/or Residential Treatment Facility at age 16 or older and who do not have adequate housing will be given this preference.

3. Working Family Preference

This preference will be given to households that have at least one adult member who is working and has worked 20 hours a week or more for the past six months. (Special rules apply to applicants who have been laid off due to a reduction in force or business/plant closing. See S8 Administrative Plan.) An applicant household shall be given the benefit for working families described above if the head of household or spouse are:

a) 62 years of age or older **or**
b) receiving Social Security Disability, Supplemental Security Income disability benefits or any other benefits based on an individual's inability to work. In addition, single parent households with children 5 years of age or younger shall receive the benefit of this working family preference.

Single parent households may use school or job training hours approved by the Cumberland County Housing Authority to satisfy this requirement. The Housing Authority requires that the parent/student is attending a full-time educational or job training program and provide verification from the education institution that:

a) the educational program will lead to employment with good wages and possibly benefits, as evidenced, for example, by the experience of recent graduates of the program;

b) the parent is making satisfactory progress; and

FY 2024

PA-509 EASTERN PA COC

1C-7. PHA Moving On Preference

Documents include the following:

- **Cumberland County Housing Authority Admin Plan Excerpt - Moving On Preference**

Cumberland County Housing Authority Admin Plan Excerpt

EXHIBIT 4-A: LOCAL PREFERENCES

CUMBERLAND COUNTY HOUSING AUTHORITY

EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2011

1. **Displacement by CCHRA Action**

First preference will be given to households who have been displaced by any Cumberland County Housing and Redevelopment Authority project resulting in their loss of a fixed, regular and adequate nighttime residence. Applicants must be residing in the project at the time of displacement and must show proof of residence. Applicants assisted under this preference must lease in Cumberland County for at least two years.

Second preference will be divided equally between the Transitional Housing/Domestic Violence Shelter Preference and the Working Family Preference listed below.

2. Transitional Housing / Domestic Violence Shelter Preference/ Move On Preference

Preference will be given to qualified households who are living in the Cumberland County Domestic Violence Shelter or a transitional housing facility which has an operating plan acceptable to the Housing Authority, and who have completed their service plan based on information supplied by the Shelter or transitional housing provider. Households qualifying under the domestic violence preference are not permitted to have the abusing individual visit or reside in the unit for twelve months after move-in. Applicants will be required to complete a Domestic Violence Verification form (See appendix at end of chapter). Applicants receiving the Transitional Housing/Domestic Violence preference are required to lease in Cumberland County for at least two years. To retain this preference, applicants must be living in the facility on the date the voucher is issued. (A single individual or couple who are not elderly or disabled are not considered a qualified household for the bridge housing/DVS preference.)

This preference will also be given to persons transitioning out of Single Room Occupancy (SRO) facilities and other transitional or permanent housing operated by homeless providers into neighborhood based permanent housing. Applicants with a diagnosis of Intellectual & Developmental Disabilities who are on the Cumberland/Perry MH/IDD Emergency and Critical lists for residential service and who are transitioning from a community living arrangement (group home); or from a previous living arrangement (temporary shelter, family home, etc.); or individuals with a priority mental health diagnosis who are transitioning from a Community Residential Rehabilitation (CRR) program and/or have completed an independent living skills assessment with a supportive living worker will be given this preference. The referral process will include a recommendation from the individual's Mental Health (MH) Case Manager, Support Coordinator or Primary Residential Worker that supports the consumer's ability to live

independently and identifies any supports and services needed for and agreed to by the consumer. Available services and supports include: case management, psychiatric rehabilitation, support coordination, supportive living, social rehabilitation, financial management, transportation, supported employment, emergency on-call supports, social rehabilitation, and mobile crisis intervention as well as traditional services such as outpatient therapy and medication management. It is understood that continuation of mental health services is voluntary by the consumer. The Housing Authority may assist the consumer to contact the appropriate MH base service unit in order to access needed services at any time.

Individuals currently in a Permanent Supportive Housing program with Homeless and Special Needs department that have fulfilled goals, have proven stability and are ready for self-sufficiency will receive this preference. These individuals no longer require intensive case management and will open a spot for other homeless individuals. By receiving Move On preference this will allow the consumer to transition to Housing Choice Voucher Program and maintain housing assistance.

Individuals/families certified by Cumberland County Children and Youth Services who are in need of adequate housing to maintain custody or to reunify with their children; and youths at least 18 years old and not more than 21 years old (have not reached 22nd birthday) who left foster care, a Community Residential Rehab Host Home and/or Residential Treatment Facility at age 16 or older and who do not have adequate housing will be given this preference.

3. Working Family Preference

This preference will be given to households that have at least one adult member who is working and has worked 20 hours a week or more for the past six months. (Special rules apply to applicants who have been laid off due to a reduction in force or business/plant closing. See S8 Administrative Plan.) An applicant household shall be given the benefit for working families described above if the head of household or spouse are:

a) 62 years of age or older **or**
b) receiving Social Security Disability, Supplemental Security Income disability benefits or any other benefits based on an individual's inability to work. In addition, single parent households with children 5 years of age or younger shall receive the benefit of this working family preference.

Single parent households may use school or job training hours approved by the Cumberland County Housing Authority to satisfy this requirement. The Housing Authority requires that the parent/student is attending a full-time educational or job training program and provide verification from the education institution that:

a) the educational program will lead to employment with good wages and possibly benefits, as evidenced, for example, by the experience of recent graduates of the program;

b) the parent is making satisfactory progress; and

FY 2024

PA-509 EASTERN PA COC

1D-10a. Lived Experience Support Letter

Documents include the following:

- **Support Letter from CoC Homeless Action Board (signed by 3 members)**
- **Support Letter from CoC Youth Action Board (signed by 2 members)**

To whom it concerns,

We are writing this letter as people with lived experience of homelessness who participate on the Eastern Pennsylvania Continuum of Care Homeless Action Board. The HAB represents the needs of and dispels the misconceptions surrounding those experiencing or who have experienced being unhoused/housing unstable. We act as a liaison between Committees and communities in the Eastern Continuum of Care (CoC). We are writing this letter in support of the Eastern PA CoC 2024 CoC NOFO Application. The Committee is made up of persons with lived experience of homelessness who must be a minimum of 25 years of age, and there is no maximum. All members of the HAB must have experienced homelessness, housing instability, or other systems involvement within the last 7 years, or be at least 6 months into their recovery from that experience. The role of this Committee is to create an environment that is gracious, promotes personal and professional growth, and guarantees the voices of those who have lived experience with homelessness and/or housing instability are ingrained in the governance and operations of the Eastern PA Continuum of Care. This includes providing feedback and cross-sector coordination in planning, policymaking, program development, system performance monitoring, and budget planning for the Continuum of Care (CoC) of homelessness services within the Eastern PA CoC.

The Committee currently has 7 active committee members who have lived experience of homelessness.

We are writing in support of the CoC's priorities to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe service needs in Eastern PA.

Sincerely,

Shayla Brant
Shayla Brant
10/15/2024

Ash Hersh
Ash Hersh
10/15/2024

Elizabeth Grace Stran
Elizabeth Stran
10/15/2024

(NOTE: Digital signatures added on 10/15/24 as HAB members work across the 33-county CoC geography)

To whom it concerns,

Our names are Abigail R. Baker and Brendon Martin. We are writing this letter on behalf of the Eastern Pennsylvania Continuum of Care Youth Action Board, as authorized representatives of that Committee. We serve as the Co-chairs of the Eastern PA YAB. We are writing this letter in support of the Eastern PA CoCs 2024 CoC NOFO Application. The Committee is made up of persons with lived experience of homelessness and was established to uplift youth voices, seeking feedback and cross-sector coordination in planning, policymaking, program development, system performance monitoring, and budget planning for the Continuum of Care (CoC) of youth homeless services in Eastern Pennsylvania.

The role of this Committee is to express the ideas of youth with lived experience pertaining to youth homelessness. The Youth Action Board is determined to fill the gap between planning and promoting programs and services for youth, educating and raising awareness of youth issues, inspiring positive action in the community, providing a voice for youth in the community, and promoting youth participation in community affairs, act as an advocate for youth who are experiencing or have experienced homelessness, or another youth-serving system, review matters referred to by the CoC and community and, as appropriate, Governing Board and Committees of the Board, Eastern Pa CoC, and community providers pertaining to the composition, function, and obligations of the Board.

The Committee currently has 2 active committee members who have lived experience of homelessness.

We are writing in support of the CoC's priorities to serve individuals and families experiencing homelessness with severe service needs in Eastern PA.

Sincerely,

Abigail R. Baker
Abigail R. Baker
October 8th, 2024

Brendon Martin
Brendon Martin
October 8th, 2024

(NOTE: Digital signatures added on 10/8/24 as YAB members work across the 33-county CoC geography)

FY 2024

PA-509 EASTERN PA COC

1D-2a. Housing First Evaluation

Documents include the following:

- **Letter sent to CoC grantee in spring 2023 related to Housing First Policy review**
  - **The CoC and Funding Committee completed a review of all CoC grantee policies (admissions/rules/discharge policies) related to Housing First compliance. This was completed outside of the CoC Competition local scoring process/timeframe.**
  - **Orgs were given until 12/31/23 to resolve issues identified in the review.**

Eastern Pennsylvania Continuum of Care Collaborative

Board of Directors:
Alisa Baratta
President

Tiffany Jones
Treasurer

Andrea Kehler Herb
Secretary

Rob Nicolella
Jeremy Radle
Jeffrey Rich
Chris Kapp
Leslie Perryman
Carol Thornton
Luis Resto
Stephanie Meyer
Deborah Bartholomew

Collaborative Applicant:
Brendan Auman
PA Dept of Community & Economic Development (DCED)
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 4th Fl
Harrisburg, PA 17120
(717) 720-7397
www.dced.pa.gov

CoC Consultant:
Diana T. Myers & Associates (DMA)
7900 Old York Road
Suite 108B
Elkins Park, PA 19027
(215) 576-1558
www.dma-housing.com

June 19, 2023

Transitions of PA

Dear Transitions of PA team,

As part of the 2023 Renewal Scoring Process, the Funding Committee requested that all CoC grantees submit copies of their policies related to Housing First implementation, including 1) admissions/intake policies, 2) rules/expectations for clients, and 3) termination/discharge/appeal policies.

Process for reviewing policies:

Submitted policies were reviewed by two different DMA staff for alignment with Housing First policies. The two DMA staff conferred with each other on findings. The DMA team identified potential areas that appear to not be aligned with Housing First, and/or areas lacking detail related to Housing First. While the focus of the review was on Housing First, if the DMA team identified other areas that were not in compliance with HUD requirements those were also flagged. DMA provided a summary of the identified issues to the Funding Committee and Committee members reviewed the list of issues.

We are now notifying you in writing of potential areas of concern. Please see spreadsheet included with this letter. In addition, we are providing all grantees with a list of general themes/issues that were seen throughout many programs (see next page). Though these general issues may or may not apply to your program(s), we ask that you review the list of general themes/issues that were identified across programs.

Next Steps:

- CoC grantees are asked to complete a brief survey by **Friday July 14, 2023 at 5pm** which asks for information on your plan and timeline to resolve any outstanding questions/issues. https://survey.alchemer.com/s3/7377432/East-HF-Next-Steps
    - For some grantees, the Funding Committee had questions about a certain policy. If the Funding Committee asked for additional information, you will be asked to provide the requested information as part of your survey response.
- The Funding Committee requests that any identified issues be resolved and policies be updated no later than **December 31, 2023**. We recognize that it may take some agencies time to review the issues and update their policies.
- You will be asked to resubmit your policies in advance of the 2024 renewal scoring process. During the 2024 Renewal Scoring Process, the Funding Committee plans to evaluate whether grantees updated the identified areas.

Sincerely,

Dave Young

Dave Young, on behalf of the CoC Funding Committee
CoC Funding Committee Chair

General Themes/Concerns Across All Providers

Below you will find a list of common themes that DMA identified when reviewing policies. We recommend that you review your policies related to the items below, even if these specific items were not identified for your program(s).

1	No Housing First language anywhere in policies. Punitive-focused rules, without any Housing First language about how the program will support the participant to be successful. Termination policies did not include any language about termination being a last resort and the program will make every effort to support participant to retain housing.
2	Some programs did not include termination appeal language and/or appeal policy did not comply with HUD requirements. See: https://www.hudexchange.info/homelessness-assistance/coc-esg-virtual-binders/coc-esg-additional-requirements/participant-termination/
3	Program indicates a max income requirement at entry or annual assessment (e.g., 30% or 50% AMI) . There are no maximum income requirements at entry or annual assessment for CoC-funded programs.
4	Lack of language that a lease termination does NOT necessarily equal program termination. Even if a participant's lease is terminated, that should not automatically result in a program termination.
5	Some programs have incorrect or missing language about retention of assistance after death, incarceration, or institutionalization for more than 90 days in PSH. Per CoC interim rule: "(i) Retention of assistance after death, incarceration, or institutionalization for more than 90 days of qualifying member. For permanent supportive housing projects surviving, members of any household who were living in a unit assisted under this part at the time of the qualifying member's death, long-term incarceration, or long-term institutionalization, have the right to rental assistance under this section until the expiration of the lease in effect at the time of the qualifying member's death, long-term incarceration, or long-term institutionalization." In general, regardless of project type, there appeared to be unclear and/or inconsistent language from programs around temporary unit vacancies and abandonment.
6	No pets allowed language that does not include provisions for service animals. Programs should follow HUD guidance on how to determine appropriate accommodations for support animals and ensure their policies include language re: support animals. See HUD guidance here "Assessing a Person's Request to Have an Animal as a Reasonable Accommodation Under the Fair Housing Act": https://www.hud.gov/sites/dfiles/PA/documents/HUDAsstAnimalNC1-28-2020.pdf.
7	Prioritization language not updated with current Written Standards. Programs should ensure their prioritization langauge is aligned to current Written Standards.
8	Only allowing 3rd party verification of homelessness. If 3rd party documentation cannot be obtained, program should document efforts to obtain it but must accept self-certification. https://files.hudexchange.info/resources/documents/HomelessDefinition_RecordkeepingRequirementsandCriteria.pdf
9	Requiring ID documents (birth certificate, SS card, photo ID) as a condition of enrollment. Program should support participants with obtaining missing ID documents, but lack of ID documents should not preclude a household from being enrolled.

10	Requiring movement on participant goal plan as a condition of staying in program. Participants should not be terminated based on compliance with treatment plan or goal plan - this is not aligned with Housing First or the Written Standards. See pages 46-47 of Eastern PA CoC Written Standards: "Participation in services or compliance with service plans are not conditions of tenancy but are reviewed with tenants and regularly offered as a resource to tenants." https://pennsylvaniacoc.org/sites/default/files/attachments/2023-03/Eastern%20PA%20Continuum%20of%20Care%20Written%20Standards%20Revised%2003.09.23%20FINAL.pdf
11	Policies indicate that it is up to the participant to identify their rental unit and does not indicate what support the program will provide to participants related to housing search.
12	Charging additional fees for participants above and beyond rental contributions or occupancy charges. No other fees beyond rent contributions (rental assistance) and occupancy charges (leasing/operating) are allowed per HUD. See HUD video here: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=zOS3X9T52us
13	Inconsistent or contradictory policies.

Issues specific to your organization: Transitions of PA

Identified Issue	Reasoning	Action Requested
No Housing-First specific concerns identified; policies include strong and comprehensive language related to Housing First		
Prioritization policies page 7 are not aligned with current Written Standards.	Your policies seem to be based on outdated CE prioritization policies.	Please update policies to align with Written Standards - note that the Written Standards has different prioritization language for PSH and RRH so please make sure this is clear in your policies. Instead of including the exact prioritization language, you may wish to just reference that the programs will follow the prioritization as outlined in Written Standards (since this could be subject to change in the future).

FY 2024

PA-509 EASTERN PA COC

1E-2. Local Competition Scoring Tool

Documents include the following:

- **Summary of which renewal project scoring criteria meet which HUD thresholds**
- **Renewal scoring criteria**
- **Summary of which new project scoring criteria meet which HUD thresholds**
- **New project scoring tools**
  - **2024 New Project Scoring Sheet (Annual CoC NOFO)**
  - **2024 New Project Scoring Sheet (DV Bonus RRH or TH-RRH)**
  - **2024 New Project Scoring Sheet (DV Bonus SSO-CE)**

RENEWAL PROJECTS

Below please find outlined which criteria meet HUD requirements as outlined in the NOFO. The full renewal scoring criteria document can be found on the following pages:

1. Established total points available for each project application type. **See page 15 of renewal scoring criteria document.**

2. Had at least 33% of total points based on objective criteria. **The following criteria are objective criteria. Objective criteria make up 92 out of 100 points (92%).**

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points
1a/1b/1c	Housing Stability	10
2	Returns to Homelessness	2
4	Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Housing Move In (% of participants moved in within 30 days)	1
5	Income Growth - Increase Total Income	5
6	Participants with Any Income	5
7	Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits	3
8	Participants Connected to Health Insurance	3
9	High Quality Data Entry	8.5
10	Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry	4
11	Project Participant Eligibility	2
12	Unit Utilization Rate	2
13	Quarterly Draws	2
14	Funds Expended (% Expended)	5
15	Timely APR Submission	3
16a/16b	Cost Effectiveness – Cost Per HH	3
17a/17b	Cost Effectiveness - Cost Per HH Pos Exit	3
19a	Severity of Need - Health Conditions	2
19b	Severity of Need - Zero Income at Entry	2
19c	Severity of Need - Unsheltered	2
19d	Severity of Need - Age	2
19e	Severity of Need - Domestic Violence	2
21a.	Housing First Policies – Resubmission on Time	2
21c.	Housing First Self-Assessment (completion)	4
24	RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership	5
25	CoC Meeting Attendance	4
26	CoC Webinars and Trainings	5.5

3. Had at least 20% of the total points based on system performance criteria. **The following criteria are related to system performance. System performance criteria make up 23 out of 100 points (23%).**

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points
1a/1b/1c	Housing Stability	10
2	Returns to Homelessness	2
4	Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Housing Move In (% of participants moved in within 30 days)	1
5	Income Growth - Increase Total Income	5
6	Participants with Any Income	5

4. Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services. **The following criteria considered specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities:**

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points
19a	Severity of Need - Health Conditions	2
19b	Severity of Need - Zero Income at Entry	2
19c	Severity of Need - Unsheltered	2
19d	Severity of Need - Age	2
19e	Severity of Need - Domestic Violence	2

5. Used data from comparable database to score projects submitted by VSPs. **As indicated in the attached renewal scoring criteria document, all criteria using data either pulled data from HMIS OR from APR from a DV comparable database.**

6. Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers. **The following criteria evaluated this:**

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points
22	Equity	2

Eastern PA CoC: 2024 Renewal Project Scoring Criteria

Approved by Funding Committee: 3/27/24

The time period used for all data will be October 1, 2022 – September 30, 2023

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
Performance and Data Quality= 41.5 points (RRH, TH-RRH, PSH); 38.5 points (SSO)			
1a	**RRH/TH-RRH -Housing Stability**: Exit to Permanent Housing (RRH and TH-RRH only). Measurement: % of exits to permanent housing destination among all participants/leavers who exited project. Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility ● Average outcome in 2023=88% **NOTE: The thresholds for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising thresholds again in future rounds.*	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	RRH and TH-RRH: ● 95% = 10 points ● 85-94% = 7 points ● 75-84% = 4 points ● 70-74% = 2 points
1b	**SSO-Housing Stability:** % of all participants/leavers placed into Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing or Permanent Housing (SSO only) Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	SSO: ● 75-100% = 10 points ● 50-74% = 7 points ● 36-49% = 4 points ● 25-35% = 2 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	● Deceased ● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility ● Average outcome in 2023= N/A (only 1 SSO project)		
1c	**PSH-Housing Stability:** Exit to other Permanent Housing or retention of PSH (PSH only). <u>Measurement</u>: % of all project participants stayers remained in PSH project or all project participants/leavers exited to other permanent housing. <u>Note</u>: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility ● Average outcome in 2023= 97%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 100% = 10 points ● 97-99% - 7 points ● 93-96% = 4 points ● 90-92% = 2 points
2	**Returns to Homelessness:** % returns to homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing destination ● **<u>Note</u>:** N/A for DV providers, as there is no way to measure if clients reentered the system, only their specific program. ● N/A for SSO Projects ● Average outcome in 2023= 0.9%	HMIS	<u>PH projects</u>: ● ≤ 2% = 2 points ● 3-10% = 1 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
3	**Projects dedicated to serving survivors of Domestic Violence only:** Projects serving survivors of domestic violence meet the specific needs of DV survivors by demonstrating the following: a. Project staff are trained in trauma-informed, victim-centered, and strengths-based approaches to working with clients. b. Project ensures clients have access to services/supports (childcare, legal services, counseling, employment services, etc.), including those provided by the agency along with those provided by other community partners. NOTE: This narrative question directly mirrors HUD language in 2023 CoC NOFO.	Renewal Summary Form	2 points Projects must provide information on the following: • How project staff are trained in trauma-informed, victim-centered, and strengths-based approaches to working with clients. • How project ensures clients have access to services/supports (childcare, legal services, counseling, employment services, etc.), including those provided by the agency along with those provided by other community partners.
4	**Length of time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date:** % of households who moved into housing in under 60 days (measured by days between project enrollment date and move in date). (TH-RRH, RRH, and PSH Only) *N/A for SSO • Average outcome in 2023: o PSH – 88% o RRH/TH-RRH – 72% Providers are expected to move participants in as quickly as possible upon enrollment; Decreasing length of time between project start date and housing move-in date is one factor that contributes to SPM Metric 1b (length of time homeless)	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● PSH: 90%+ moved into housing within 60 days of project enrollment = 1 point ● RRH: 80%+ moved into housing within 60 days of project enrollment = 1 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
5	**Income Growth:** Increase in TOTAL income of all adult participants from any source (leavers and stayers): % of all adult participants who increased income from any source from entry to exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers) NOTE: Adult participants' Earned Income Growth and Non-Earned Income Growth both factor into Total Income Growth. ● Average outcome in 2023= 53%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 50% or more had an increase in total income = 5 points ● 40-49% increase income = 4 points ● 30-39% increase income = 3 points ● 20-29% increase income = 2 points
6	**Participants with any income** - % of adult participants with 1+ source of income at exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers) • Average outcome in 2023= 70%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 60%+ - 5 points ● 50-59% - 4 points ● 40-49% - 3 points ● 30-39% - 2 points ● 20-29% - 1 point
7	**Participants connected to Non-cash/ Mainstream Benefits:** Percentage of adult participants with 1+ source of Non-Cash benefits (SNAP, WIC, TANF, others, etc.) ● Average outcome in 2023= 70%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 70%+ of program participants enrolled in 1+ mainstream benefit = 3 points ● 60-69% = 2.5 points ● 50-59% = 2 point ● 40-49% = 1.5 points ● 30-39% = 1 point
8	**Participants Connected to Health Insurance:** Percentage of all participants with 1+ source of health insurance • Average outcome in 2023= 89%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 95%+ with 1+ source of health insurance = 3 points ● 80-94% = 2 point ● 70-79% = 1 point
9	**High quality data entry:** Full participation in HMIS is required of all CoC-funded organizations. % of Error Rate for the following data points entered into HMIS: a. PII - Name b. PII - Date of birth c. PII - Race d. PII - Ethnicity e. PII - Gender f. Destination	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	8.5 points total ● 9.a. – 0% error rate – 0.5 points ● 9.c.– 0% error rate – 0.5 points ● 9.d. – 0% error rate – 0.5 points ● 9.e.– 0% error rate – 0.5 points ● 9.f.– 0% error rate –0.5 points ● 9.g.– 0% error rate - 1.5 points ● 9.h – 0% error rate – 1.5 points ● 9.i – 0% error rate – 1.5 points ● 9.j – 0% error rate –1.5 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	g. Income and Sources at Entry h. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment i. Income and Sources at Exit		
10	**Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry** a. % of project entry records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark b. % of project exit records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark Data entered within 10 days. Timeliness specifically looks at project entry and exit dates. For example, this measure will compare a household's actual enrollment date against the date that their enrollment date was entered in HMIS. Per the HMIS Participation Agreement, all data should be entered into PA-HMIS within 7 days. • Average outcome in 2023 – Entries = 66% • Average outcome in 2023 – Exits: 72%	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	4 points total a. 80% OF PROJECT ENTRY RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: 0-10 days – 2 points b. 80% OF PROJECT EXIT RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: 0-10 days – 2 points
Grant Management/Monitoring = 20 points (TH-RRH, RRH, PSH); 15 points (SSO)			
11	**Project Participant Eligibility:** % of adult participants whose prior living situation was reported as literally homeless situations or fleeing DV Prior residence of adult participants served during the reporting period = Category 1 (literally homeless) or Category 4 (fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or family member)	APR validation file (HMIS-participating projects) and RSF data	• 94%+ = 2 points • 90-93% = 1 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
12	**Unit Utilization Rate:** Average utilization rate of project (using project utilization each quarter, as reported on APR). Goal=full utilization. ● (NA for SSO) ● For projects under 10 units, will use a 3-year average if the project is under 85% utilization. **NOTE: The point values for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising point values again in future rounds.*	# units per renewal app compared to average unit utilization; APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 95-100% utilization = 2 points ● 90-94% = 1.5 points ● 85-89% = 1 point
13	**Quarterly Draws:** Minimum of quarterly drawdown from eLOCCS. HUD requires a minimum of quarterly draws.	e-LOCCS	● Met benchmark = 2 points ● Did not meet benchmark = 0 points
14	**Funds Expended:** % of grant funds expended. ● Goal = full spend down **NOTE: The point values for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising point values again in future rounds.*	e-LOCCS	● 100% = 5 points ● 95-99% = 4 points ● 90-94% = 3 points
15	**Timely APR submission:** APR submitted within 90 days of grant (HUD requirement) *If you submitted late due to an HMIS issue and there is evidence that you attempted to submit on time and informed your HUD rep, you will have a chance to explain this in your Renewal Summary Form.	Last submitted APR	● Timely submission = 3 points ● Submitted beyond 90 days = 0 points
16a	**SSO/TH/RRH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per household served ● <u>SSO/TH-RRH/RRH</u> – Average cost per Household served (TH-RRH and RRH: households who moved into housing) compared to average of other projects	<u>Numerator</u>: Services + admin line items from Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) <u>Denominator</u>: # of HHs served per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	CoC will take average cost per household (services + admin / total households served) of all SSO, TH-RRH, and RRH projects, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars (projects with $0 service dollars will not be included in the average as these projects bring down the average cost).

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
			● Project cost per household equal to or below 180% of average cost = 3 points ● Project cost per household above 180% of average cost = 0 points
16b	**PSH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per household served ● PSH – Average cost per household served who moved into housing compared to average of other projects	Numerator: Services + admin line items from GIW Denominator: # of HHs served per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	CoC will take average cost per household (services + admin / total households served) of all PSH projects, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars (projects with $0 service dollars will not be included in the average as these projects bring down the average cost). ● Project cost per household equal to or below 180% of average cost = 3 points ● Project cost per household above 180% of average cost = 0 points
17a	**TH-RRH/RRH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per Positive Exit ● TH-RRH/RRH – Average cost per exit to Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects (SSO excluded from Cost Effectiveness/Cost Per Positive Exit criteria)	Numerator: Services + admin line items from GIW Denominator: Leavers to PH per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	CoC will take average cost per positive exit (services + admin / leavers to PH) of all SSO, TH-RRH, and RRH projects, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars (projects with $0 service dollars will not be included in the average as these projects bring down the average cost). ● Project cost per household equal to or below 180% of average cost = 3 points

<table>
<tr><th>#</th><th>Criteria</th><th>Data Source</th><th>Point Structure</th></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>● Project cost per household above 180% of average cost = 0 points</td></tr>
<tr><td>17b</td><td>PSH-Cost effectiveness: Cost per household for Positive Retention and Positive Exit
● PSH – Average cost per household that remains in PSH or exits to other Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects</td><td>Numerator: Services + admin line items from GIW

Denominator: Stayers + Leavers to other PH per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers</td><td>CoC will take average cost per positive exit/retention (services + admin / leavers to other PH or stayers) of all PSH projects, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars (projects with $0 service dollars will not be included in the average as these projects bring down the average cost).

● Project cost per household equal to or below 180% of average cost = 3 points
● Project cost per household above 180% of average cost = 0 points</td></tr>
<tr><td>18</td><td>HUD Monitoring: Disposition of HUD Monitoring and Findings
● Any findings during monitoring should be resolved within the HUD timeline identified.</td><td>Letter verifying no monitoring;
If monitored, evidence of no outstanding findings</td><td>● No monitoring within the last two years, or monitored with no outstanding issues = 0 points
● Project monitored and has unresolved findings = - 5 points (negative 5 point penalty)</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="4">HUD Priorities = 24 points</td></tr>
<tr><td>19a</td><td>Severity of Need/Health Conditions: Percent of participants with 1+ physical and/or mental health conditions

Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria
■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process.</td><td>APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database</td><td><table><tr><th>Points</th><th>PSH</th><th>RRH/TH-RRH/SSO</th></tr><tr><td>.5</td><td>50-64%</td><td>10-20%</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>65-79%</td><td>20-24%</td></tr><tr><td>1.5</td><td>80%-94%</td><td>25-29%</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>95%+</td><td>30%+</td></tr></table></td></tr>
</table>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.		
19b	**Severity of Need/Zero Income at Entry:** Percent of adult participants with zero income at program entry Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	● 40%+ program participants with zero income at program entry = 2 points ● 25-39% participants entered w/ zero income = 1 point
19c	**Severity of Need/Unsheltered:** Percent of adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at entry Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	● 40%+ adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at program entry = 2 points ● 20-39% adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at program entry= 1 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.		
19d	**Severity of Need/Age:** Percent of adult participants who are youth age 24 and under or adults age 55+ Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	RRH/TH-RRH/SSO: ● 40% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 2 points ● 25-39% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 1 point PSH: ● 45% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 2 points ● 30-44% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 1 point
19e	**Severity of Need/Domestic Violence:** Percent of adult participants with history of DV Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	● 20% adult participants history of DV = 2 points ● 10-19% adult participants history of DV = 1 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
20	**Housing First Approach:** CoC policy requires all CoC-funded projects to operate using a Housing First Approach. **<u>IMPORTANT NOTE TO PROJECTS</u>:** In future scoring rounds, the CoC is considering reviewing organization/project policies and procedures to ensure compliance with a Housing First approach as a part of the CoC NOFA scoring and ranking process. This may include review of policies and procedures related to participant eligibility, intake/screening policies, non-discrimination, and exit/discharge policies. *The CoC recommends that all CoC funded organizations/projects review their policies and procedures to ensure that they are aligned with a Housing First approach, using the Housing First questionnaire as a guide.*	Housing First questionnaire	● 1 point if respond "yes" to the Housing First questionnaire.
21a	**Housing First Policies – Resubmission on Time** **<u>In 2023, the Funding</u>** Committee requested that CoC-funded agencies provide documentation related to compliance with Housing First. This includes: 1) admissions/intake/screening policy, including participant eligibility; 2) program rules and/or expectations; 3) exit/discharge/appeals policy . In early 2024, the CoC requested that grantees resubmit their policies via Alchemer by February 20, 2024. New grantees (who are being scored for the first time in 2024) are being scored on whether they submitted their policies for the first time.	Submission via Alchemer	● Policies submitted on time by 2/20/24 = 2 points ● Policies submitted late after 2/20/24 = 0 points
21b	**Housing First Policies – Issues Resolved** In 2023, the Funding Committee requested that CoC-funded agencies provide documentation related to compliance with Housing First. This includes: 1) admissions/intake/screening policy, including participant eligibility; 2) program rules and/or expectations; 3) exit/discharge/appeals policy .	Submission via Alchemer	● Existing grantee: ○ 3 points – All issues identified in the Housing First Policy review were resolved ○ 1 point – Issues identified in Housing

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	In 2024, the Funding Committee is evaluating whether issues identified in the Housing First policy review were fully resolved. New grantees (who are being scored for the first time in 2024) are excluded from this criterion.		First Policy review were partially resolved ● New grantee: ○ N/A
21c	**Housing First Self-Assessment** a. Agency completes HUD Housing First Assessment Tool for project b. Agency completes the HF Assessment Tool Follow Up Form HUD Housing First Assessment Tool can be found here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/5294/housing-first-assessment-tool/	RSF; Housing First Assessment Tool; Housing First Assessment Tool Follow Up Form	4 points max ● HF Assessment Tool Submitted= 2 ● HF Assessment Tool Follow Up Form Submitted = 2
22	**Equity:** The Eastern PA CoC is committed to advancing equity in the provision of homeless assistance services for people who may face additional barriers due to race, ethnicity, LGBTQ status, religion, immigrant status, etc. NOTE: This narrative question directly mirrors HUD language in 2023 CoC NOFO. Agency provides description of the following: • Please describe steps your organization/project has taken to identify barriers to participation in your organization's services and programming based on barriers such as race, ethnicity, LGBTQ status, religion, immigrant status, etc. • What barriers have been identified? • What steps have been taken or will be taken in the upcoming year to eliminate identified barriers?	Renewal Summary Form	2 points max
23	**Lived Experience**	Renewal Summary Form	2 points max

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	• Grantee describes how they are incorporating the input and expertise of persons with lived expertise into their program design and implementation. • For example, this could be through persons with lived experience on Governing Board/decision-making bodies, advisory boards made up of persons with lived experience, forums for persons with lived experience to give input on program design/delivery		
CoC Participation = 14.5 points			
24	**RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership:** Participation in RHAB meetings and volunteer participation with CoC Committees and Sub-Committees ● RHAB Participation: Full participation in RHAB is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC. ● CoC Leadership: The CoC frequently requests volunteer participation with various Committees and Sub-Committees. If program serves more than 1 RHAB, expectation that recipient OR subrecipients attend 75% or more RHAB meetings in all RHABs they serve in order to receive full points on this criterion (grantee will need to provide names of all their subrecipients) ▪ Grantees will be asked to provide list of all subrecipients as part of Renewal Summary Form	RHAB Secretary, Committee/ Sub-committee Chair, CoC Staff; Renewal Summary Form	5 points total + 1 potential bonus point ● 75%+ RHAB meetings attended = 5 points ● 50%+ RHAB meetings attended = 3 points ● Less than 50% RHAB meetings attended = 0 points 1 bonus point available if organization participated on a CoC Committee/Subcommittee (and attended at least 75% of committee/subcommittee meetings during lookback period).
25	**CoC Meeting Attendance:** Full participation in CoC is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC. ▪ For projects with multiple subrecipients, expectation is that, at a minimum, recipient attend CoC meetings and required trainings and ensure that information is disseminated to all subrecipients. Subrecipients are strongly encouraged to attend.	CoC registration/ attendance sheets; Renewal Summary Form	4 points total ● 2 points awarded for attending October 2022 CoC meeting ● 2 points awarded for attending May 2023 CoC meeting.

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	▪ For projects with one subrecipient, either recipient or subreceipient may attend. ▪ Grantees will be asked to provide list of all subrecipients as part of Renewal Summary Form ▪ Grantees are strongly encouraged to attend trainings live. However, watching recorded trainings does count toward attendance. Attendee MUST submit an attendance survey to document that they watched the training.		
26	**CoC Webinars and Trainings:** Full participation/attendance in webinar and training opportunities is expected of all CoC funded organizations ▪ For projects with multiple subrecipients, expectation is that, at a minimum, recipient attend CoC meetings and required trainings and ensure that information is disseminated to all subrecipients. Subrecipients are strongly encouraged to attend. ▪ For projects with one subrecipient, either recipient or subreceipient may attend. ▪ Grantees will be asked to provide list of all subrecipients as part of Renewal Summary Form ▪ Grantees are strongly encouraged to attend trainings live. However, watching recorded trainings does count toward attendance. Attendee MUST submit an attendance survey to document that they watched the training.	CoC training attendance records	Attendance at CoC training events is expected of organizations receiving CoC funding. Maximum – 5.5 points Required trainings from 10/1/22 to 9/30/23: ● Mainstream Benefits training – 9/25/23 webinar – 0.5 points ● Understanding SOAR and PA Dept. of Corrections Resources for Those Experiencing Homelessness – 9/6/23 webinar – 0.5 points ● Fair Housing Training – 4/26/23 webinar – 0.5 points ● LGBTQ/Transgender Cultural Competency – 1/11/23 webinar – 0.5 points ● 3-part DEI Training o DEI Training Part 1 – 4/20/23 – 0.5 points o DEI Training Part 2 – 5/4/23 – 0.5 points o DEI Training Part 3 – 5/18/23 – 0.5 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
27 (not scored in 2024)	**Coordinated Entry Participation:** % of enrollments pulled from By Name List	TBD	Not scored in 2024. Funding Committee will determine mechanism for scoring this in future funding rounds when possible with data available in ClientTrack.
28 (Bonus)	Full **HMIS participation** within organizations receiving CoC funding OR partnering with another organization/program to enter their data into HMIS	RSF and HMIS	HMIS participation among homeless assistance programs (not receiving ESG, CoC, SSVF, PATH, RHY funding) operated by your organization, or partnering with another organization/program to enter their data into HMIS= 0.5 point (maximum)
29	**Late Submission (Penalty)** if required CoC Renewal Scoring Documents are submitted after deadline (unless provided an extension due to extenuating circumstances) If a grantee needs to request a submission extension due to extenuating circumstances, this must be requested via email (to CoC email address) at least 2 business days in advance of deadline. Extensions will be reviewed and approved by the Funding Committee Chair. **No extensions will be provided for submissions greater than 5 days after the stated deadline**. Grantees are strongly encouraged to work ahead of the deadline to avoid the need to request an extension.	Review of Survey Submission Date	-2 point penalty for late submission of documents (late submission = any submission after the specific time/date of stated deadline) ***No renewal scoring documents will be accepted later than 5 days after the stated deadline.***

Total Point Scale:

- PSH/RRH/TH-RRH – 100 points
- SSO – 92 points (SSO score will be converted to a 100-point scale)

APPENDIX: Data Calculations Explanation for HMIS/APR Related Scoring Criteria

Criteria #	Criteria	Calculation
1a	RRH/TH-RRH- HOUSING STABILITY/EXIT TO PERMANENT HOUSING: a. RRH: % of exits to permanent housing destination among all participants/leavers who exited project.	RRH/TH-RRH APR Q23c: Exit Destination Numerator: (Q23c-Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations) Denominator: (Q23c-Total persons) - (Q23c- Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation) - (Q23c Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility) Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility
1b	SSO- HOUSING STABILITY: b. SSO: % of all participants/leavers placed into Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing or Permanent Housing (SSO-Street Outreach only)	SSO Q23c: Exit Destination Numerator: Numerator: (Q23c-Total persons exiting to permanent housing destinations) + (Q23c-Total persons exiting to temporary housing destinations) Denominator: (Q23c-Total persons) – (Q23c- Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation) - (Q23c Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility) Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility

1c	PSH - HOUSING STABILITY/EXIT TO OR RETENTION IN PERMANENT HOUSING: PSH: % of all project participants stayers remained in PSH project or all project participants/leavers exited to other permanent housing.	PSH APR Q5 Report Validation Table; Q22a1: Length of Participation – CoC Projects; Q23c: Exit Destination Numerator: (Q22a1 Stayers-Total) + (Q23c-Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations) Denominator: (Q5a-Total persons served) - (Q23c- Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation) - (Q23c Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility) Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility
2	RETURNS TO HOMELESSNESS: % returns to homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing destination ***Note: Excludes DV Projects***	SPM 2ab Data File – Returns to Homelessness Numerator: # of Clients w/Returns on Begin Date within 6 months of Exited on End Date and returned to ES, TH or SH project (Returns 10/1/22-9/30/23) Denominator: # Clients who exited from program to PH destination
3	**Projects dedicated to serving survivors of Domestic Violence only:** Projects serving survivors of domestic violence meet the specific needs of DV survivors	Documentation provided will be reviewed to determine whether agency meets the following criteria: • How project staff are trained in trauma-informed, victim-centered, and strengths-based approaches to working with clients. • How project ensures clients have access to services/supports (childcare, legal services, counseling, employment services, etc.), including those provided by the agency along with those provided by other community partners. Scoring rubric: Full Points: Agency clearly describes how project staff are trained in trauma-informed, victim-centered, and strengths-based approaches AND how project ensures clients have access to services/supports Partial Points: Agency provides a vague description for either part 1 or part 2 of the question, or does not fully answer 1 or more parts of the questions No Points: Agency provides vague description for both parts 1 or parts 2 or does not fully answer both parts 1 and 2 of the question.

		○
4	LENGTH OF TIME BETWEEN PROJECT START DATE AND RESIDENTIAL MOVE IN % of households moved into housing in under 30 days (measured by days between project enrollment date and move in date)	Q22c: Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date Numerator: (Q22c - Total 7 days or less) + (Q22c - Total 8 to 14 days) + (Q22c - Total 15 to 21 days) + (Q22c - 22 to 30 days) Denominator: Q22c - Total Persons Moved into housing *N/A for SSO
5	INCOME GROWTH/INCREASE TOTAL INCOME % of all adult participants who increased income from any source from entry to exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers)	APR Q19a1: Client Cash Income Change – Income Source- by Start and Latest Status (Stayers); APR Q19a2: Client Cash Income Change – Income Source- by Start and Exit (Leavers); Q18: Client Cash Income Category - Earned/Other Income Category - by Entry and Annual Assessment/Exit Status Numerator: (Q19a1 Number of Adults w/Any Income-Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain) + (Q19a2 Number of Adults w/Any Income-Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain) Denominator: (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Total Adults) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
6	PARTICIPANTS w/ANY INCOME: % of adult participants with 1+ source of income (leavers and stayers)	APR Q18: Client Cash Income Category - Earned/Other Income Category - by Entry and Annual Assessment/Exit Status Numerator: (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-1 or more source of income) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-1 or more source of income) Denominator: (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Total Adults) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have annual assessment) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information) – (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information)
7	PARTICIPANTS CONNECTED TO MAINSTREAM BENEFITS: Percentage of adult participants with 1+ source of Non-Cash benefits (SNAP, WIC, TANF, others, etc.)	APR Q20b: Number of Non-Cash Benefit Sources; APR Q18: Client Cash Income Category - Earned/Other Income Category - by Entry and Annual Assessment/Exit Status

		Numerator: (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-1 + Source(s)) + (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-1+ Source(s)) Denominator: (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Total) + (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-Total) – (Q18 Number of Stayers-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment) – (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
8	PARTICIPANTS CONNECTED TO HEALTH INSURANCE: Percentage of all participants with 1+ source of health insurance	APR Q21: Health Insurance; APR Q5: Report Validation Table Numerator: (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-More than 1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Exit for Leavers-1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Exit for Leavers-More than 1 Source of Health Insurance) Denominator: (Q5 Number of Stayers) + (Q5 Number of Leavers) – (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Number of Stayers not yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment) – (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q21 Exit for Leavers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
9	HIGH QUALITY DATA ENTRY: % of Error Rate for the following data points entered into PA HMIS: A. PII - Name B. PII – Date of Birth C. PII – Race D. PII – Ethnicity E. PII - Gender F. Destination G. Income and Sources at Entry H. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment I. Income and Sources at Exit	APR Q6a. Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information a. Name - % of Error Rate c. Date of Birth - % of Error Rate d. Race - % of Error Rate e. Ethnicity - % of Error Rate f. Gender - % of Error Rate Note: If Personally Identifiable Information fields are marked as Client Doesn't Know/Refused due to domestic violence survivor not providing data due to confidentiality/safety concerns, this will be factored into scoring and projects will not be penalized. APR Q6c. Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality f. Destination - % of Error Rate g. Income and Sources at Entry - % of Error Rate h. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment - % of Error Rate i. Income and Sources at Exit - % of Error Rate

		Note: Projects with no entries won't be scored on ***c (Income and Sources at Entry***) and projects with no exits won't be scored on ***b (Destination)*** and ***e (Income and Sources at Exit)***
10	TIMELINESS OF HMIS DATA ENTRY a. % of project entry records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark b. % of project exit records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark	APR Q6e: Timeliness a. Numerator: (Number of Project Start Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days) Denominator: (Number of Project Start Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days + 11+ Days) b. Numerator: (Number of Project Exit Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days) Denominator: (Number of Project Exit Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days + 11+ Days) Note: Projects with no entries won't be scored on 9.a. Projects with no exits won't be scored on 9.b.
11	PROJECT PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY: % of adult participants whose prior living situation was reported as literally homeless situations or fleeing DV	Data from APR validation file will be reviewed to determine percentage of adult participants whose prior living situation was literally homeless OR participant reports currently fleeing DV. This will be cross-referenced with RSF data reported by provider. For DV providers using comparable database, RSF data reported by provider will be used to determine percentage of adult participants whose prior living situation was literally homeless OR participant reports currently fleeing DV. . Numerator: # of Heads of household and adults served literally homeless ***and/or*** fleeing DV as reported on RSF 10/1/22-9/30/23 (Note: Literally homeless numbers will be cross referenced with APR validation file) Denominator: Total # of adult participants served 10/1/22-9/30/23 **HUD Category 1/Literally Homeless Definition:** Individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence, meaning: (i) Has a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not meant for human habitation; (ii) Is living in a publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, state and local government programs); or

		(iii) Is exiting an institution where (s)he has resided for 90 days or less and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution **HUD Category 4/Fleeing Domestic Violence Definition** Any individual or family who: (i) are fleeing, or are attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or a family member.; (ii) Has no other residence; and (iii) Lacks the resources or support networks to obtain other permanent housing
12	UNIT UTILIZATION RATE: Average utilization rate of project (using project utilization each quarter, as reported on APR)	Information provided in the Renewal Project Summary Form survey will be considered in calculating utilization. APR Q8b Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday; 2023 Project App # Units <u>Numerator:</u> Average of Q8b Point-in-Time Count of Households Served on the Last Wednesday in Jan, April, July, October <u>Denominator:</u> # Units per 2023 Project Applications (and prior years where applicable) For projects under 10 units, will use a 3-year average if the project is under 85% utilization. *N/A for SSO
13	DRAWDOWN RATES Minimum of quarterly drawdown rates from eLOCCS	Review of documentation requested in RSF survey (eLOCCS summary page).
14	FUNDS EXPENDED % of grant funds expended	Review of documentation requested in RSF survey (eLOCCS summary page). Review of supplemental expenditure form with additional information/explanation if program did not meet expenditure threshold.

15	TIMELY APR SUBMISSION APR submitted within 90 days of end of grant	Review of documentation requested in RSF survey (e.g. email from Sage system; email from HUD reps; copy of the details from Sage submission)
16a, 16b	COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER HOUSEHOLD SERVED: SSO/TH-RRH/RRH – Average cost per Household served (TH-RRH and RRH: who moved into housing) compared to average of other projects PSH - Average cost per household who moved into housing compared to average of other projects	Numerator: Services + admin line items from 2023 Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) Denominator: # of HHs served (as reported on RSF, and verified by APR validation file) SSO, TH-RRH, RRH: Calculation above compared to average cost per household (services + admin/total households served) of all SSO, TH-RRH, and RRH projects, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars PSH: Calculation above compared to average cost per household (services + admin/total households served) of all PSH, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars
17a	COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER POSITIVE EXIT: SSO/TH-RRH/RRH - Average cost per exit to Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects	Numerator: Services + admin line items from 2023 GIW Denominator: Leavers to PH (as reported on RSF and verified by APR validation file) NOTE: For RRH and RRH component of TH-RRH this only includes households who moved in and then exited to PH Note: Projects with no exits during the lookback period will not be scored on this criteria. Projects with no exits to permanent housing during the lookback period will have a total cost per positive exit that equals services +admin line items from GIW. *N/A for SSO SSO, TH-RRH, RRH: Calculation above compared to average cost per household (services + admin/leavers to PH) of all SSO, TH-RRH, and RRH projects, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars
17b	COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER POSITIVE EXIT/RETENTION: PSH - Average cost per household that remains or exits to Permanent Housing	Numerator: Services + admin line items from 2023 GIW Denominator: Stayers + Leavers to other PH (as reported on RSF and verified by APR validation file) NOTE: For PSH this only includes households who moved in and then exited to PH

	destination compared to average of other projects	PSH: Calculation above compared to average cost per household (services + admin/leavers to PH + stayers) of all PSH, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars
18	HUD MONITORING Disposition of HUD Monitoring and Findings	Review of information provided in RSF survey regarding monitoring, along with any supplemental monitoring documents provided.
19a	SEVERITY OF NEED/HEALTH CONDITIONS – Percent of participants with 1+ disabilities at project annual assessment or project exit	Q13b2: Number of Conditions at Exit, Q13c2: Number of Conditions for Stayers Numerator: ((Q13b2. Total Persons-1 Condition + 2 Conditions + 3+ Conditions + Condition Unknown) + (Q13c2. Total Persons-1 Condition + 2 Conditions + 3+ Conditions + Condition Unknown)) Denominator: ((Q13b2. Total Persons-Total + Q13c2. Total Persons-Total) – (Q13b2. Total Persons-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused + Q13c2. Total Persons-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused))
19b	SEVERITY OF NEED/ZERO INCOME AT ENTRY – % of adult participants with zero income at project entry	APR Q18: Client Cash Income Category – Earned/Other Income Category – by Start and Annual Assessment/Exit Status Numerator: Q18 Adults with No Income – Number of Adults at Start Denominator: (Q18. Total Adults – Number of Adults at Start)– (Q18. Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information – Number of Adults at Start)
19c	SEVERITY OF NEED/UNSHELTERED: Percent of adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at entry	APR Q15 Living Situation Numerator: (Q15 Total Place not meant for human habitation) Denominator: (Q15 Total) – (Q15 Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
19d	SEVERITY OF NEED/AGE: Percent of adults who are youth age 24 and under or adults age 55+	APR Q5 Report Validation Table; APR Q11 Age Numerator: (Q11. 18-24 Total) + (Q11. 55-61-Total) + (Q11. 62+-Total) Denominator: (Q5. Number of Adults (Age18 or Over) - (Q11. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused-Total)
19e	SEVERITY OF NEED/DOMESTIC VIOLENCE:	APR Q14a Domestic Violence History

	Percent of adult participants with history of DV	Numerator: Q14a Total Yes (Domestic Violence History) Denominator: Q14a Total Total – Q14a Total Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused
20	HOUSING FIRST APPROACH	Agency response to Housing First Questionnaire will be reviewed to ensure adherence to Housing First tenets
21a	HOUSING FIRST POLICIES – RESUBMISSION ON TIME	Review of Alchemer Survey Submission Date New grantees (who are being scored for the first time in 2024) are being scored on whether they submitted their policies for the first time.
21b	HOUSING FIRST POLICIES – ISSUES RESOLVED	Review of resubmitted policies. DMA will review resubmitted policies against the Housing First policy letter which was sent to grantees in summer 2023, to determine if areas outlined in the letter were resolved within the resubmitted policies.
21c	HOUSING FIRST SELF-ASSESSMENT	Review for completion: Renewal Summary Form; Housing First Assessment Tool; Housing First Assessment Tool Follow Up Form HUD Housing First Assessment Tool can be found here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/5294/housing-first-assessment-tool/
22	EQUITY NARRATIVE	Review of RSF submitted by agency. Narratives will be reviewed by the Funding Committee and DMA. Scoring rubric: • 2 points – Grantee demonstrates that they have taken 1 or more concrete steps to identify barriers to participation AND identifies 1 or more steps that have been taken or will be taken to eliminate barriers. • 1 point – Grantee demonstrates either a) steps to identify barriers, and/or b) steps to eliminate barriers, but steps outlined may be vague and not concrete. • 0 points – Grantee demonstrates neither a) concrete steps to identify barriers nor b) concrete steps to eliminate barriers.
23	LIVED EXPERIENCE NARRATIVE	Review of RSF information submitted by agency. Narratives will be reviewed by the Funding Committee and DMA. Scoring rubric:

		• 2 points – Grantee demonstrates at least 2 substantive recent or current actions to incorporate the input and expertise of persons with lived expertise into their program design and implementation. • 1 point – Grantee demonstrates at 1 substantive recent or current actions to incorporate the input and expertise of persons with lived expertise into their program design and implementation. • 0 points – Grantee demonstrates 0 substantive recent or current actions to incorporate the input and expertise of persons with lived expertise into their program design and implementation.
24	RHAB PARTICIPATION AND COC LEADERSHIP Participation in RHAB meetings and volunteer participation with CoC Committees and Sub-Committees	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, sign in records, online survey attendance submission from RHAB meetings, and documentation from CoC committee/subcommittee chairs
25	COC MEETING ATTENDANCE	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, meeting sign in records, and online survey attendance submissions
26	COC WEBINARS AND TRAININGS	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, webinar/training sign in records, and online survey attendance submissions
27 (not scored in 2024)	Coordinated Entry Participation % of enrollments pulled from By Name List	***NOTE: This criteria will not be scored in 2024.***
28 (Bonus)	BONUS: FULL HMIS PARTICIPATION WITHIN ORGANIZATIONS RECEIVING COC FUNDING OR PARTNERING WITH ANOTHER ORG/PROGRAM TO ENTER THEIR DATA INTO HMIS	Review of documentation submitted by agency in RSF and confirmation of HMIS participating projects by DCED HMIS team
29	Late Submission (Penalty) if required CoC Renewal Scoring Documents are submitted after deadline (unless provided an extension due to extenuating circumstances)	Review of Survey Submission Date

Housing First Questionnaire: Is your program operating using a housing first approach?

Organization Name:

Project Name:

Person Completing this form:

Date:

1) Admission/tenant screening and selection practices promote the acceptance of applicants regardless of their sobriety or use of substances, completion of treatment, and participation in services.
   ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

2) Applicants are not rejected on based on having no income, minor criminal convictions, or behaviors that indicate a lack of "housing readiness."
   ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

3) Supportive services emphasize housing procurement over therapeutic goals. Services plans are highly tenant-driven without predetermined goals.
   ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

4) Participation in services or program compliance is not a condition of staying in our program.
   ☐ Participation in services or program compliance is NOT a condition of staying in our program
   ☐ Participation in services or program compliance IS a condition for staying in our program Comment (if needed):

5) Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself is not considered a reason for program dismissal.
   ☐ Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself is NOT a reason for program dismissal
   ☐ Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself IS a reason for program dismissal
   Comment (if needed):

6) We prioritize those with the highest need for services rather than "first come/first serve", such as duration of homelessness and other barriers.
   ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

7) Case managers/service coordinators are trained in and actively employ evidence-based practices for client/tenant engagement such as motivational interviewing and client-centered counseling.
   ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

8) Services are informed by a harm reduction philosophy that recognizes that drug and alcohol use and addiction are a part of tenants' lives, where tenants are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding drug and alcohol use, and where tenants are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices.

☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

9) Our primary focus is assisting people in our program with a housing plan for swift exit to permanent housing.

☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

NEW PROJECTS

Below please find outlined which criteria meet HUD requirements as outlined in the NOFO. The full new project scoring tool can be found on the following pages:

1. Established total points available for each project application type. **See new project scoring tool page 4 (Annual CoC NOFO), page 9 (DV Bonus RRH or TH-RRH) and page 12 (DV Bonus SSO-CE).**

2. Had at least 33% of total points based on objective criteria. **The following criteria are objective criteria. Objective criteria make up 22 out of 58 maximum points (38%).**

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points
1	Geography to be covered	2
15	System Performance Measures	10
16	Non-CoC Resources to Cover Housing Costs	5
17	Non-CoC Resources to Cover Healthcare/Service Costs	5

3. Had at least 20% of the total points based on system performance criteria. **The following criteria are related to system performance. System performance criteria make up 14 out of 58 maximum points (24%).**

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points
11	Strong description of how project will assist participants to obtain and retain permanent housing, including landlord engagement/housing search approach	2
12	Coordination with community partners and plan for connecting participants to services	2
14	System Performance Measures	10

4. Provided points for projects that addressed specific severe barriers to housing and services. **The following criteria considered specific severity of needs and vulnerabilities:**

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points
3	Experience with serving households experiencing homelessness and subpopulation	2
4	Experience **serving and improving outcomes for communities that have historically been marginalized**, and experiencing delivery culturally responsive services	2

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points
5	Organizational capacity to promote racial equity, and how project will promote equity	2
10	Approach to case management	2

5. Used data from comparable database to score projects submitted by VSPs. **As indicated in the attached new project scoring tool, all current CoC-funded projects (including VSPs) are evaluated on performance in FY24 local renewal scoring process (which evaluated VSPs using DV comparable database data) – see criteria 15.**

6. Provided points for projects based on the degree the projects identified any barriers to participation (e.g., lack of outreach) faced by persons of different races and ethnicities, particularly those over-represented in the local homelessness population, and has taken or will take steps to eliminate the identified barriers. **The following criteria evaluated this:**

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points
4	Experience serving and improving outcomes for communities that have historically been marginalized and delivering culturally responsive services	2
5	Organizational capacity to promote racial equity, and how project will promote equity	2

Eastern PA CoC: 2024 New Project Scoring Sheet (Annual CoC NOFO)

Agency Name:	
Project Type:	
Expansion project? (Yes or No)	
Transition project? (Yes or No)	

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. Score will automatically calculate. NOTE: Funding Committee members may aware partial points for any criteria (e.g. 0.5 points, 1.5 points), even if not explicitly shown on scoring rubric.

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

	APPLICANT INFORMATION	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1	**Geography to be covered** ***(questions 8, 9, 27; expansions: 11d)*** Multi-county, RHAB/CoC-wide = 2 points Single County with strong explanation in project description why single county project needed = 2 points Single County without strong explanation why single county project needed = 0 points	2	

	APPLICANT EXPERIENCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2	**Organizational capacity to operate project (fiscal/grant management capacity, and capacity to operate regional project if applicable) = 2 points** ***(questions 14-17, multiple counties: 19)*** 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity and/or experience to implement CoC project 1 point if capacity somewhat described and/or some concerns about capacity 2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC grant management and fiscal requirements. If proposing multi-county project, sufficient experience to operate	2	
3	**Experience with serving households experiencing homelessness and subpopulation (if applicable) = 2 points** ***(question 18)*** 0 points if not described and/or little to no experience 1 point if somewhat described and/or some concerns about experience 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience. If targeting a specific subpopulation, demonstrates sufficient experience with the subpopulation	2	

4	**Experience serving and improving outcomes for communities that have historically been marginalized and experience delivering culturally responsive services = 2 points** ***(question 20)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clearly described and provides strong description of org's experience	**2**	
5	**Organizational capacity to promote racial equity, and how project will promote equity = 2 points** ***(question 21, question 20 part 3)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clearly described and provides strong description of org's capcaity to promote racial equity and how project will promote equity	**2**	
6	**Experience with Housing First = 2 points** ***(question 22)*** 0 points if not described and/or concerns about experience 1 point if somewhat described and/or description repeats definition of Housing First with few concrete examples 2 points if applicant indicates low/no barriers to entry and that reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience. Description should go beyond repeating definition of Housing first, and demonstrate HOW org implements Housing First principles.	**2**	
7	**Experience parterning with people with lived experience and plan to incorporate persons with lived exp. in project design and delivery = 2 points** ***(question 23)*** 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described 1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and clear plan for incorporating persons w/ lived exp. in project design/delivery	**2**	
	PROJECT DESIGN	**MAX POINTS**	**POINTS AWARDED**
8	**Clear and compelling description of community/regional need = 2 points** ***(question 27; expansions: question 11d)*** 0 points if need not described 1 point if narrative but no data, or data does not support the need for this project 2 points if applicant describes need and provides supporting data	**2**	
9	**Projected outcomes = 2 points** ***(question 26, part 3)*** 0 points if projected outcomes not described and/or outcomes do not align with CoC goals 1 point if projected outcomes somewhat described and/or outcomes somewhat aligned with CoC goals 2 points if projected outcomes clearly described and align with goals of CoC	**2**	
10	**Approach to case management = 2 points** ***(questions 31-32)*** 0 points if case management approach not described or description if vague/unclear		

	1 point if case management approach somewhat described and/or case management approach not clearly aligned with person-centered/housing-focused/trauma-informed care/etc. approach 2 points if case management approach clearly described and case management approach aligned with person-centered/housing-focused/trauma-informed case/etc. approach	**2**	
11	**Strong description of how project will assist participants to obtain and retain permanent housing, including landlord engagement/housing search approach (if scattered-site) = 2 points *(questions 33-34)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to obtain housing. If scattered-site, clear description of landlord engagement and housing search assistance that will be provided.	**2**	
12	**Coordination with community partners and plan for connecting participants to services = 2 points *(question 35, question 26 part 2)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of community partnerships (by name) and clear plan for connecting participants to services	**2**	
13	**Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 4 points *(question 26, reviewer's overall impression, and project budget)*** 0 points if reviewer has concerns about project design and/or appropriatness of project to meet community needs 2 point if proposed project somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding questions or concerns 4 points if project scale, project type, and service plan seem appropriate based on needs identified	**4**	
	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	**MAX POINTS**	**POINTS AWARDED**
14	**Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)** Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY24 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.* 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points	**10**	

	4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points		

	Leveraging	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
15	**Non-CoC Resources to cover housing costs = 5 points** ***(questions 37-38)*** 5 points if PSH or RRH project will leverage housing subsidies or subsidized housing units for at least 25% of units/participants in the project. 2 points if leveraging, but less than 25%	5	
16	**Non-CoC Resources to cover healthcare/service costs = 5 points** ***(questions 39-40)*** 5 points if project will leverage 25% or more of the project's healthcare needs through community partnerships. 2 points if leveraging, but less than 25%	5	

	NEED/DEMAND	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
17	**Overall need/demand for project = Up to 10 points** 10 points - project meeting high demand based on gaps analysis data and compared to other projects submitted 5 points - project meeting medium demand based on gaps analysis data and compared to other projects submitted 0 points - project meeting low demand based on gaps analysis data and compared to other projects submitted	10	

TOTAL	TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS (58)	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED
Total Points, Not Including System Performance (38 Points)	38	0
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	10	0
Overall Need/Demand for Project Points (10 points)	10	0
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points); Max Points 58 (converted to 100% scale)	0%	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:

A: Current ESG Grantees - Previous performance related outcomes are strong

B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS - Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness & Budget

Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide) Any concerns about project budget?

CoC Participation:

Participates in CoC/RHAB (DMA to provide)

Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database (DMA to provide)

Participates in Coordinated Entry process (DMA to provide)

Do you recommend this project? (Yes/No)

Do you recommend any changes to the project design/scale/budget/etc.?

Funding Committee Comments:

Eastern PA CoC: 2024 New Project Scoring Sheet (DV Bonus RRH or TH-RRH)

Agency Name:	
Project Type:	
Expansion Project? (Yes or No)	

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. Score will automatically calculate. NOTE: Funding Committee members may aware partial points for any criteria (e.g. 0.5 points, 1.5 points), even if not explicitly shown on scoring rubric.

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

	APPLICANT INFORMATION	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1	**Geography to be covered *(questions 8, 9, 26)*** Multi-county, RHAB/CoC-wide = 2 points Single County with strong explanation in project description why single county project needed = 2 points Single County without strong explanation why single county project needed = 0 points	2	

	APPLICANT EXPERIENCE	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
2	**Organizational capacity to operate project (fiscal/grant management capacity, and capacity to operate regional project if applicable) = 2 points *(questions 11-16)*** 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity and/or experience to implement CoC project 1 point if capacity somewhat described and/or some concerns about capacity 2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC grant management and fiscal requirements. If proposing multi-county project, sufficient experience to operate	2	
3	**Experiencing serving and improving outcomes for communities that have been historically marginalized = 2 points *(question 18)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if limited experience, or limited description provided 2 points if strong evidence of experience serving and improving outcomes for historically marginalized communities	2	
4	**Experience providing housing to families/individuals fleeing domestic violence = 2 points *(question 19)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience	2	

5	**Experience with Housing First w/ DV survivors = 2 points *(question 20)*** 0 points if not described and/or concerns about experience 1 point if somewhat described and/or description repeats definition of Housing First with few concrete examples 2 points if applicant indicates low/no barriers to entry and that reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience. Description should go beyond repeating definition of Housing first, and demonstrate HOW org implements Housing First principles.	**2**	
6	**Experience parterning with people with lived experience and plan to incorporate persons with lived exp. in project design and delivery = 2 points *(question 21)*** 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described 1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and clear plan for incorporating persons w/ lived exp. in project design/delivery	**2**	
	PROJECT DESIGN	**MAX POINTS**	**POINTS AWARDED**
7	**Clear and compelling description of community/regional need = 2 points *(question 26)*** 0 points if need not described 1 point if narrative but no data, or data does not support the need for this project 2 points if applicant describes need and provides supporting data	**2**	
8	**Strong description of how project will assist participants to move quickly into permanent housing, including landlord engagement/housing search approach = 2 points *(question 27 parts 1 and 5; question 28)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project with assist participants to obtain housing. Clear description of landlord engagement and housing search assistance that will be provided.	**2**	
9	**Connecting survivors to supportive services, including mainstream benefits, health, and employment = 2 points *(question 25 part 2, question 27 parts 3 and 4)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how organization connects survivors to supportive services, including specific examples	**2**	
10	**How organization supports safety of survivors = 2 points *(question 29)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how project supports safety of survivors	**2**	

11	**Utilizing a trauma-informed, victim-centered approach = 2 points *(question 30)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of experience providing a trauma-informed, victim-centered approach	**2**	
12	**Survivor-centered services, strengths-based approach, cultural responsiveness = 2 points *(question 31)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of how organization maximizes client choice and autonomy, implements strengths-based approach provides cultural responsive services	**2**	
13	**Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 4 points *(question 25, reviewer's overall impression, and budget)*** 0 points if reviewer has concerns about project design and/or appropriatness of project to meet community needs 2 point if proposed project somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding gaps,questions or concerns 4 points if project scale, project type, and service plan seem appropriate based on needs identified	**4**	
	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	**MAX POINTS**	**POINTS AWARDED**
14	**Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)** Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY24 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.* 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points	**10**	
	Leveraging	**MAX POINTS**	**POINTS AWARDED**
15	**Non-CoC Resources to cover housing costs = 5 points *(question 33)***		

	5 points if PSH or RRH project will leverage housing subsidies or subsidized housing units for at least 25% of units/participants in the project. 2 points if leveraging, but less than 25%	5	
16	**Non-CoC Resources to cover healthcare/service costs = 5 points** ***(question 34)*** 5 points if project will leverage 25% or more of the project's healthcare needs through community partnerships. 2 points if leveraging, but less than 25%	5	

	NEED/DEMAND	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
17	**Need/demand for project = Up to 10 points** 10 points - project meeting high demand based on gaps analysis data and compared to other projects submitted 5 points - project meeting medium demand based on gaps analysis data and compared to other projects submitted 1 point - project meeting low demand based on gaps analysis data and compared to other projects submitted	10	***DETERMINED BY FUNDING COMMITTEE***

TOTAL	TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS (58)	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED
Total Points, Not Including System Performance (38 Points)	**38**	**0**
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	**10**	**0**
Overall Need/Demand for Project Points (10 Points)	**10**	**0**
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points); Max Points 58 (converted to 100% scale)	**0%**	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:

A: Current ESG Grantees - Previous performance related outcomes are

B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS - Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness & Budget

Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide) Any concerns about project budget?

CoC Participation:

Participates in CoC/RHAB (DMA to provide)

Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database (DMA to provide)
Participates in Coordinated Entry process (DMA to provide)

Do you recommend this project? (Yes/No)

Do you recommend any changes to the project design/scale/budget/etc.?

Funding Committee Comments:

Eastern PA CoC: 2024 New Project Scoring Sheet (DV Bonus Coordinated Entry)

Agency Name:		
Expansion Project? (Yes or No)		

FUNDING COMMITTEE INSTRUCTIONS: For each project you are evaluating, enter your score for each criteria in the corresponding blue field. Score will automatically calculate. NOTE: Funding Committee members may aware partial points for any criteria (e.g. 0.5 points, 1.5 points), even if not explicitly shown on scoring rubric.

Please enter any comments at the bottom of the sheet. Please use a separate tab for each project you are evaluating. See DMA summary spreadsheet for additional helpful information such as budget information, cost effectiveness, and CoC participation.

	SCORING CRITERIA	MAX POINTS	POINTS AWARDED
1	**Organizational capacity to operate project (fiscal/grant management capacity, and capacity to operate regional project if applicable) = 2 points *(questions 11-16)*** 0 points if organization does not describe sufficient capacity and/or experience to implement CoC project 1 point if capacity somewhat described and/or some concerns about capacity 2 points if it is clear that the organization has capacity to operate the project, based on HUD and CoC grant management and fiscal requirements. If proposing multi-county project, sufficient experience to operate	2	
2	**Experiencing serving and improving outcomes for communities that have been historically marginalized = 2 points *(question 18)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if limited experience, or limited description provided 2 points if strong evidence of experience serving and improving outcomes for historically marginalized communities	2	
3	**Experience parterning with people with lived experience and plan to incorporate persons with lived exp. in project design and delivery = 2 points *(question 21)*** 0 points if not described 0.5 points if somewhat described 1 point if described and reviewer believes the applicant has needed experience and clear plan for incorporating persons w/ lived exp. in project design/delivery	2	
4	**Current involvement and participation in Coordinated Entry System *(questions 17, 22*)** 0 points if no current partnership 1 point if some current partnership, but partnership is limited 2 points if there is an existing partnership that is described to be active and collaborative	2	
5	**Clear and compelling description of how current system is inadequate to meet needs of DV survivors, and how proposed project will address these inadequacies = 8 points *(question 23*)** 0 points if need not described or unclear how proposed project will address inadequacies 5 points if need somewhat described or only a limited description of how proposed project will address inadequacies 10 points if need clearly and thoroughly described AND clear description of how proposed project will address inadequacies	8	

6	**Utilizing a trauma-informed, victim-centered approach = 2 points** ***(question 30)*** 0 points if not described 1 point if somewhat described 2 points if clear description of experience providing a trauma-informed, victim-centered approach	2	
7	**Appropriateness of project. (The proposed project is responsive to the needs as identified in the project description.) = 2 points** ***(reviewer's overall impression and budget)*** 0 points if reviewer has concerns about project design and/or appropriatness of project to meet community needs 2 point if proposed project somewhat matches needs, but there are outstanding questions or concerns 4 points if project scale, project type, and service plan seem appropriate based on needs identified	2	
	SYSTEM PERFORMANCE	**MAX POINTS**	**POINTS AWARDED**
8	**Current CoC funded projects: System Performance Measures (Quintiles)** Current CoC funded agencies will be evaluated on their performance in the FY23 local renewal scoring process related to system performance criteria (housing stability, returns to homelessness or DV safety for DV dedicated projects, LOT between project start date and housing move in, income growth, connecting participants to non-cash/mainstream benefits, connecting participants to health insurance, high quality data entry, timeliness of HMIS data entry). Agencies will be evaluated in quintiles. DMA will take average performance score for any agency that has multiple CoC funded projects. Agencies that do not currently receive CoC funding will not be evaluated on this criteria. *However, the Funding Committee may also consider performance as an additional factor for consideration if an applicant was a former CoC grantee or is a current or former ESG grantee.* 1st quintile - Top 20% ranked CoC funded agencies related to system performance: 10 points 2nd quintile: 21-40% ranked: 8 points 3rd quintile: 41-60% ranked: 6 points 4th quintile: 61-80%: 4 points 5th quintile: 81-100%: 0 points	10	

TOTAL	TOTAL MAX BASE POINTS (30)	TOTAL POINTS AWARDED
TOTAL Points, Not Including System Performance (Max 20)	**20**	**0**
System Performance Points (10 if CoC-funded; 0 N/A)	**10**	**0**
TOTAL SCORE (Total Points Awarded/Total Max Base Points); Max Points 30 (converted to 100% scale)	**0%**	

NOTE: OTHER CONSIDERATIONS

Additional consideration will be given to agencies that have not previously received CoC funding

Past Performance:

A: Current Grantees (CoC or ESG):

Previous performance related outcomes are strong

B: Applicants who are not current/former CoC/ESG grantees/ no data in HMIS
Strong performance outcomes from other projects (if known)

Cost Effectiveness

Is the project cost effective? Compare project's service budget to CoC average. How does supportive services + admin cost compare to CoC average? (DMA to provide)

CoC Participation:

Participates in CoC/RHAB
Participates in HMIS or HMIS-comparable database
Participates in Coordinated Entry process

Do you recommend this project? (Yes/No)

Do you recommend any changes to the project design/scale/etc.?

Funding Committee Comments:

FY 2024

PA-509 EASTERN PA COC

1E-2a. Scored Forms for One Project

Documents include the following:

- **One scored renewal project form (PSH)**
  - **PSH was most common renewal project type**
- **Renewal scoring criteria**

Eastern PA CoC 2024 Renewal Scoring Summary

Agency Name	**County of Franklin**
Project Name	**PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project**
Project Type	**PSH**

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points	Performance/Outcomes	Points Awarded	Criteria Type
1a	Exit to PH Destination - RRH and TH-RRH	10	N/A	N/A	System peformance; Objective
1b	Exit to PH Destination - SSO	10	N/A	N/A	System peformance; Objective
1c	Exit to PH Destination -PSH	10	100.0%	10	System peformance; Objective
2	Returns	2	0.0%	2	System peformance; Objective
3	Projects Dedicated to survivors of DV - Narrative	2	N/A	N/A	
4	Length of Time Between Project Start Date and Residential Move In (% of households moved in within 30 days)	1	100.0%	1	System peformance; Objective
5	Income Growth - Increase Total Income	5	65.2%	5	System peformance; Objective
6	Participants with Any Income	5	69.6%	5	System peformance; Objective
7	Participants Connected to Mainstream Benefits	3	95.7%	3	Objective
8	Participants Connected to Health Insurance	3	95.7%	3	Objective
9a	High Quality Data Entry - PII Name- % Error Rate	0.5	0.0%	0.5	Objective
9b	High Quality Data Entry - PII Date of Birth- % Error Rate	0.5	0.0%	0.5	Objective
9c	High Quality Data Entry - PII Race/Ethnicity- % Error Rate	0.5	0.0%	0.5	Objective
9d	High Quality Data Entry - PII Ethnicity- % Error Rate	N/S - Not Scored; HUD combined Race and Ethnicity in Data Standards change	N/S	N/S	N/S
9e	High Quality Data Entry - PII Gender- % Error Rate	0.5	0.0%	0.5	Objective
9f	High Quality Data Entry-Destination (% Error Rate)	1.5	0.0%	1.5	Objective
9g	High Quality Data Entry-Income and Sources at Entry (% Error Rate)	1.5	0.0%	1.5	Objective
9h	High Quality Data Entry-Income & Sources at Annual Assessment (% Error Rate)	1.5	5.3%	0	Objective
9i	High Quality Data Entry-Income and Sources at Exit (% Error Rate)	1.5	0.0%	1.5	Objective
10a	Timeliness-Percent project entry records entered w/in specified benchmark	2	100.0%	2	Objective
10b	Timeliness-Percent project exit records entered w/in specified benchmark	2	100.0%	2	Objective
11	Project Participant Eligibility	2	97.0%	2	Objective
12	Utilization Rate - Final (3 year average if under 85% and less than 10 units)	2	98.9%	2	Objective
13	Quarterly Draws	2	Yes	2	Objective
14	Funds Expended (% Expended)	5	99.2%	4	Objective

One scored project application used by most renewal project applicants

Criteria #	Criteria	Max Points	Performance/Outcomes	Points Awarded	Criteria Type
15	Timely APR Submission	3	Yes	3	Objective
16a/16b	Cost effectiveness: Cost Per HH	3	$1,385/HH (PSH Average $4,544)	3	Objective
17a/17b	Cost effectiveness: Cost Per HH Pos Exit	3	$1,385/HH (PSH Average $5,063)	3	Objective
18	HUD Monitoring	0 (-5 if findings not resolved)	N/A	0	
19a	Severity of Need - Health Conditions	2	100.0%	2	Objective; Severe Service Needs
19b	Severity of Need - Zero Income at Entry	2	41.8%	2	Objective; Severe Service Needs
19c	Severity of Need - Unsheltered	2	61.3%	2	Objective; Severe Service Needs
19d	Severity of Need - Age	2	35.5%	1	Objective; Severe Service Needs
19e	Severity of Need - Domestic Violence	2	25.8%	2	Objective; Severe Service Needs
20	Housing First Approach	1	Yes	1	
21a	Housing First Policies - Resubmission on Time	2	Yes	2	Objective
21b	Housing First Policies - Issues Resolved	3	Yes	3	
21c	Housing First Self-Assessment & Follow Up Form	4	Yes	4	Objective
22	Equity - Narrative	2	1 point	1	Equity/Identifying Barriers to Participation Faced by Persons of Different Races and Ethnicities
23	Lived Experience - Narrative	2	2 points	2	
24	RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership	5 (plus 1 possible bonus point)	>50%	6	Objective
25	CoC Meeting Attendance	4	2 Meetings	4	Objective
26a	CoC Webinar and Trainings: Mainstream Benefits	0.5	Yes	0.5	Objective
26b	CoC Webinar and Trainings: Understanding SOAR and PA Dept. of Corrections Resources for Those Experiencing Homelessness	0.5	Yes	0.5	Objective
26c	CoC Webinar and Trainings: Fair Housing	0.5	Yes	0.5	Objective
26d	CoC Webinar and Trainings: LGBTQ/Transgender Cultural Competency	0.5	Yes	0.5	Objective
26e	CoC Webinar and Trainings: 3-part DEI Training	1.5	Yes	1.5	Objective
27	Coordinated Entry Participation	Not scored in 2024	N/S	N/S	
28 (Bonus)	Full HMIS Participation	0.5 bonus points	Yes	0.5	
29	Late Submission Penalty	0 (-2 if late)	On Time	0	

MAX ELIGIBLE POINTS/ADJUSTED BASE	100 points for PSH/RRH/TH-RRH; 92 points for SSO (converted to 100 point scale)		97.5
TOTAL POINTS EARNED			94.5
FY24 SCORE (total points earned/adjusted based)			**96.9**

Eastern PA CoC: 2024 Renewal Project Scoring Criteria

Approved by Funding Committee: 3/27/24

The time period used for all data will be October 1, 2022 – September 30, 2023

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
Performance and Data Quality= 41.5 points (RRH, TH-RRH, PSH); 38.5 points (SSO)			
1a	**RRH/TH-RRH -Housing Stability**: Exit to Permanent Housing (RRH and TH-RRH only). Measurement: % of exits to permanent housing destination among all participants/leavers who exited project. Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility ● Average outcome in 2023=88% **NOTE: The thresholds for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising thresholds again in future rounds.*	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	RRH and TH-RRH: ● 95% = 10 points ● 85-94% = 7 points ● 75-84% = 4 points ● 70-74% = 2 points
1b	**SSO-Housing Stability:** % of all participants/leavers placed into Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing or Permanent Housing (SSO only) Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	SSO: ● 75-100% = 10 points ● 50-74% = 7 points ● 36-49% = 4 points ● 25-35% = 2 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	● Deceased ● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility ● Average outcome in 2023= N/A (only 1 SSO project)		
1c	**PSH-Housing Stability:** Exit to other Permanent Housing or retention of PSH (PSH only). <u>Measurement</u>: % of all project participants stayers remained in PSH project or all project participants/leavers exited to other permanent housing. <u>Note</u>: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility ● Average outcome in 2023= 97%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 100% = 10 points ● 97-99% - 7 points ● 93-96% = 4 points ● 90-92% = 2 points
2	**Returns to Homelessness:** % returns to homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing destination ● **<u>Note</u>:** N/A for DV providers, as there is no way to measure if clients reentered the system, only their specific program. ● N/A for SSO Projects ● Average outcome in 2023= 0.9%	HMIS	<u>PH projects</u>: ● ≤ 2% = 2 points ● 3-10% = 1 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
3	**Projects dedicated to serving survivors of Domestic Violence only:** Projects serving survivors of domestic violence meet the specific needs of DV survivors by demonstrating the following: a. Project staff are trained in trauma-informed, victim-centered, and strengths-based approaches to working with clients. b. Project ensures clients have access to services/supports (childcare, legal services, counseling, employment services, etc.), including those provided by the agency along with those provided by other community partners. NOTE: This narrative question directly mirrors HUD language in 2023 CoC NOFO.	Renewal Summary Form	2 points Projects must provide information on the following: • How project staff are trained in trauma-informed, victim-centered, and strengths-based approaches to working with clients. • How project ensures clients have access to services/supports (childcare, legal services, counseling, employment services, etc.), including those provided by the agency along with those provided by other community partners.
4	**Length of time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date:** % of households who moved into housing in under 60 days (measured by days between project enrollment date and move in date). (TH-RRH, RRH, and PSH Only) *N/A for SSO • Average outcome in 2023: o PSH – 88% o RRH/TH-RRH – 72% Providers are expected to move participants in as quickly as possible upon enrollment; Decreasing length of time between project start date and housing move-in date is one factor that contributes to SPM Metric 1b (length of time homeless)	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● PSH: 90%+ moved into housing within 60 days of project enrollment = 1 point ● RRH: 80%+ moved into housing within 60 days of project enrollment = 1 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
5	**Income Growth:** Increase in TOTAL income of all adult participants from any source (leavers and stayers): % of all adult participants who increased income from any source from entry to exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers) NOTE: Adult participants' Earned Income Growth and Non-Earned Income Growth both factor into Total Income Growth. ● Average outcome in 2023= 53%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 50% or more had an increase in total income = 5 points ● 40-49% increase income = 4 points ● 30-39% increase income = 3 points ● 20-29% increase income = 2 points
6	**Participants with any income** - % of adult participants with 1+ source of income at exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers) • Average outcome in 2023= 70%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 60%+ - 5 points ● 50-59% - 4 points ● 40-49% - 3 points ● 30-39% - 2 points ● 20-29% - 1 point
7	**Participants connected to Non-cash/ Mainstream Benefits:** Percentage of adult participants with 1+ source of Non-Cash benefits (SNAP, WIC, TANF, others, etc.) ● Average outcome in 2023= 70%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 70%+ of program participants enrolled in 1+ mainstream benefit = 3 points ● 60-69% = 2.5 points ● 50-59% = 2 point ● 40-49% = 1.5 points ● 30-39% = 1 point
8	**Participants Connected to Health Insurance:** Percentage of all participants with 1+ source of health insurance • Average outcome in 2023= 89%	APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 95%+ with 1+ source of health insurance = 3 points ● 80-94% = 2 point ● 70-79% = 1 point
9	**High quality data entry:** Full participation in HMIS is required of all CoC-funded organizations. % of Error Rate for the following data points entered into HMIS: a. PII - Name b. PII - Date of birth c. PII - Race d. PII - Ethnicity e. PII - Gender f. Destination	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	8.5 points total ● 9.a. – 0% error rate – 0.5 points ● 9.c.– 0% error rate – 0.5 points ● 9.d. – 0% error rate – 0.5 points ● 9.e.– 0% error rate – 0.5 points ● 9.f.– 0% error rate –0.5 points ● 9.g.– 0% error rate - 1.5 points ● 9.h – 0% error rate – 1.5 points ● 9.i – 0% error rate – 1.5 points ● 9.j – 0% error rate –1.5 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	g. Income and Sources at Entry h. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment i. Income and Sources at Exit		
10	**Timeliness of HMIS Data Entry** a. % of project entry records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark b. % of project exit records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark Data entered within 10 days. Timeliness specifically looks at project entry and exit dates. For example, this measure will compare a household's actual enrollment date against the date that their enrollment date was entered in HMIS. Per the HMIS Participation Agreement, all data should be entered into PA-HMIS within 7 days. • Average outcome in 2023 – Entries = 66% • Average outcome in 2023 – Exits: 72%	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	4 points total a. 80% OF PROJECT ENTRY RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: 0-10 days – 2 points b. 80% OF PROJECT EXIT RECORDS INPUT WITHIN: 0-10 days – 2 points
Grant Management/Monitoring = 20 points (TH-RRH, RRH, PSH); 15 points (SSO)			
11	**Project Participant Eligibility:** % of adult participants whose prior living situation was reported as literally homeless situations or fleeing DV Prior residence of adult participants served during the reporting period = Category 1 (literally homeless) or Category 4 (fleeing or attempting to flee domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or family member)	APR validation file (HMIS-participating projects) and RSF data	• 94%+ = 2 points • 90-93% = 1 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
12	**Unit Utilization Rate:** Average utilization rate of project (using project utilization each quarter, as reported on APR). Goal=full utilization. ● (NA for SSO) ● For projects under 10 units, will use a 3-year average if the project is under 85% utilization. **NOTE: The point values for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising point values again in future rounds.*	# units per renewal app compared to average unit utilization; APR pulled from HMIS; APR from DV providers	● 95-100% utilization = 2 points ● 90-94% = 1.5 points ● 85-89% = 1 point
13	**Quarterly Draws:** Minimum of quarterly drawdown from eLOCCS. HUD requires a minimum of quarterly draws.	e-LOCCS	● Met benchmark = 2 points ● Did not meet benchmark = 0 points
14	**Funds Expended:** % of grant funds expended. ● Goal = full spend down **NOTE: The point values for this criteria were lowered for 2021 renewal scoring due to the potential impact of COVID-19. The Funding Committee will consider raising point values again in future rounds.*	e-LOCCS	● 100% = 5 points ● 95-99% = 4 points ● 90-94% = 3 points
15	**Timely APR submission:** APR submitted within 90 days of grant (HUD requirement) *If you submitted late due to an HMIS issue and there is evidence that you attempted to submit on time and informed your HUD rep, you will have a chance to explain this in your Renewal Summary Form.	Last submitted APR	● Timely submission = 3 points ● Submitted beyond 90 days = 0 points
16a	**SSO/TH/RRH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per household served ● <u>SSO/TH-RRH/RRH</u> – Average cost per Household served (TH-RRH and RRH: households who moved into housing) compared to average of other projects	<u>Numerator</u>: Services + admin line items from Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) <u>Denominator</u>: # of HHs served per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	CoC will take average cost per household (services + admin / total households served) of all SSO, TH-RRH, and RRH projects, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars (projects with $0 service dollars will not be included in the average as these projects bring down the average cost).

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
			● Project cost per household equal to or below 180% of average cost = 3 points ● Project cost per household above 180% of average cost = 0 points
16b	**PSH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per household served ● PSH – Average cost per household served who moved into housing compared to average of other projects	Numerator: Services + admin line items from GIW Denominator: # of HHs served per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	CoC will take average cost per household (services + admin / total households served) of all PSH projects, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars (projects with $0 service dollars will not be included in the average as these projects bring down the average cost). ● Project cost per household equal to or below 180% of average cost = 3 points ● Project cost per household above 180% of average cost = 0 points
17a	**TH-RRH/RRH-Cost effectiveness:** Cost per Positive Exit ● TH-RRH/RRH – Average cost per exit to Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects (SSO excluded from Cost Effectiveness/Cost Per Positive Exit criteria)	Numerator: Services + admin line items from GIW Denominator: Leavers to PH per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers	CoC will take average cost per positive exit (services + admin / leavers to PH) of all SSO, TH-RRH, and RRH projects, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars (projects with $0 service dollars will not be included in the average as these projects bring down the average cost). ● Project cost per household equal to or below 180% of average cost = 3 points

<table>
<tr><th>#</th><th>Criteria</th><th>Data Source</th><th>Point Structure</th></tr>
<tr><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>● Project cost per household above 180% of average cost = 0 points</td></tr>
<tr><td>17b</td><td>PSH-Cost effectiveness: Cost per household for Positive Retention and Positive Exit
● PSH – Average cost per household that remains in PSH or exits to other Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects</td><td>Numerator: Services + admin line items from GIW

Denominator: Stayers + Leavers to other PH per PA-HMIS/APR or APR from DV providers</td><td>CoC will take average cost per positive exit/retention (services + admin / leavers to other PH or stayers) of all PSH projects, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars (projects with $0 service dollars will not be included in the average as these projects bring down the average cost).

● Project cost per household equal to or below 180% of average cost = 3 points
● Project cost per household above 180% of average cost = 0 points</td></tr>
<tr><td>18</td><td>HUD Monitoring: Disposition of HUD Monitoring and Findings
● Any findings during monitoring should be resolved within the HUD timeline identified.</td><td>Letter verifying no monitoring;
If monitored, evidence of no outstanding findings</td><td>● No monitoring within the last two years, or monitored with no outstanding issues = 0 points
● Project monitored and has unresolved findings = - 5 points (negative 5 point penalty)</td></tr>
<tr><td colspan="4">HUD Priorities = 24 points</td></tr>
<tr><td>19a</td><td>Severity of Need/Health Conditions: Percent of participants with 1+ physical and/or mental health conditions

Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria
■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process.</td><td>APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database</td><td><table><tr><th>Points</th><th>PSH</th><th>RRH/TH-RRH/SSO</th></tr><tr><td>.5</td><td>50-64%</td><td>10-20%</td></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>65-79%</td><td>20-24%</td></tr><tr><td>1.5</td><td>80%-94%</td><td>25-29%</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>95%+</td><td>30%+</td></tr></table></td></tr>
</table>

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.		
19b	**Severity of Need/Zero Income at Entry:** Percent of adult participants with zero income at program entry Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	● 40%+ program participants with zero income at program entry = 2 points ● 25-39% participants entered w/ zero income = 1 point
19c	**Severity of Need/Unsheltered:** Percent of adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at entry Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	● 40%+ adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at program entry = 2 points ● 20-39% adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at program entry= 1 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.		
19d	**Severity of Need/Age:** Percent of adult participants who are youth age 24 and under or adults age 55+ Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	RRH/TH-RRH/SSO: ● 40% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 2 points ● 25-39% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 1 point PSH: ● 45% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 2 points ● 30-44% adult participants are youth ages 24 and under or adults age 55+= 1 point
19e	**Severity of Need/Domestic Violence:** Percent of adult participants with history of DV Note to Grantees: Goals/Intent of Severity of Need Criteria ■ HUD requirement -- For projects who serve participants with high vulnerability/high severity of needs, HUD wants to ensure that CoCs are taking this into consideration in some way during the scoring process. ■ Projects who serve participants with high severity of needs may struggle in other areas (e.g. increasing income, exits to permanent housing) as a result of serving participants with high severity of need. These criteria are intended to factor that into the scoring. ■ Projects are not intended to receive all points in all areas of the renewal scoring criteria. Criteria are intended to allow for a balanced evaluation of the project.	APR pulled from HMIS or DV comparable database	● 20% adult participants history of DV = 2 points ● 10-19% adult participants history of DV = 1 point

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
20	**Housing First Approach:** CoC policy requires all CoC-funded projects to operate using a Housing First Approach. **<u>IMPORTANT NOTE TO PROJECTS</u>:** In future scoring rounds, the CoC is considering reviewing organization/project policies and procedures to ensure compliance with a Housing First approach as a part of the CoC NOFA scoring and ranking process. This may include review of policies and procedures related to participant eligibility, intake/screening policies, non-discrimination, and exit/discharge policies. *The CoC recommends that all CoC funded organizations/projects review their policies and procedures to ensure that they are aligned with a Housing First approach, using the Housing First questionnaire as a guide.*	Housing First questionnaire	● 1 point if respond "yes" to the Housing First questionnaire.
21a	**Housing First Policies – Resubmission on Time** **<u>In 2023, the Funding</u>** Committee requested that CoC-funded agencies provide documentation related to compliance with Housing First. This includes: 1) admissions/intake/screening policy, including participant eligibility; 2) program rules and/or expectations; 3) exit/discharge/appeals policy . In early 2024, the CoC requested that grantees resubmit their policies via Alchemer by February 20, 2024. New grantees (who are being scored for the first time in 2024) are being scored on whether they submitted their policies for the first time.	Submission via Alchemer	● Policies submitted on time by 2/20/24 = 2 points ● Policies submitted late after 2/20/24 = 0 points
21b	**Housing First Policies – Issues Resolved** In 2023, the Funding Committee requested that CoC-funded agencies provide documentation related to compliance with Housing First. This includes: 1) admissions/intake/screening policy, including participant eligibility; 2) program rules and/or expectations; 3) exit/discharge/appeals policy .	Submission via Alchemer	● Existing grantee: ○ 3 points – All issues identified in the Housing First Policy review were resolved ○ 1 point – Issues identified in Housing

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	In 2024, the Funding Committee is evaluating whether issues identified in the Housing First policy review were fully resolved. New grantees (who are being scored for the first time in 2024) are excluded from this criterion.		First Policy review were partially resolved ● New grantee: ○ N/A
21c	**Housing First Self-Assessment** a. Agency completes HUD Housing First Assessment Tool for project b. Agency completes the HF Assessment Tool Follow Up Form HUD Housing First Assessment Tool can be found here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/5294/housing-first-assessment-tool/	RSF; Housing First Assessment Tool; Housing First Assessment Tool Follow Up Form	4 points max ● HF Assessment Tool Submitted= 2 ● HF Assessment Tool Follow Up Form Submitted = 2
22	**Equity:** The Eastern PA CoC is committed to advancing equity in the provision of homeless assistance services for people who may face additional barriers due to race, ethnicity, LGBTQ status, religion, immigrant status, etc. NOTE: This narrative question directly mirrors HUD language in 2023 CoC NOFO. Agency provides description of the following: • Please describe steps your organization/project has taken to identify barriers to participation in your organization's services and programming based on barriers such as race, ethnicity, LGBTQ status, religion, immigrant status, etc. • What barriers have been identified? • What steps have been taken or will be taken in the upcoming year to eliminate identified barriers?	Renewal Summary Form	2 points max
23	**Lived Experience**	Renewal Summary Form	2 points max

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	• Grantee describes how they are incorporating the input and expertise of persons with lived expertise into their program design and implementation. • For example, this could be through persons with lived experience on Governing Board/decision-making bodies, advisory boards made up of persons with lived experience, forums for persons with lived experience to give input on program design/delivery		
CoC Participation = 14.5 points			
24	**RHAB Participation and CoC Leadership:** Participation in RHAB meetings and volunteer participation with CoC Committees and Sub-Committees ● RHAB Participation: Full participation in RHAB is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC. ● CoC Leadership: The CoC frequently requests volunteer participation with various Committees and Sub-Committees. If program serves more than 1 RHAB, expectation that recipient OR subrecipients attend 75% or more RHAB meetings in all RHABs they serve in order to receive full points on this criterion (grantee will need to provide names of all their subrecipients) ▪ Grantees will be asked to provide list of all subrecipients as part of Renewal Summary Form	RHAB Secretary, Committee/ Sub-committee Chair, CoC Staff; Renewal Summary Form	5 points total + 1 potential bonus point ● 75%+ RHAB meetings attended = 5 points ● 50%+ RHAB meetings attended = 3 points ● Less than 50% RHAB meetings attended = 0 points 1 bonus point available if organization participated on a CoC Committee/Subcommittee (and attended at least 75% of committee/subcommittee meetings during lookback period).
25	**CoC Meeting Attendance:** Full participation in CoC is expected in order to further the goals of the CoC. ▪ For projects with multiple subrecipients, expectation is that, at a minimum, recipient attend CoC meetings and required trainings and ensure that information is disseminated to all subrecipients. Subrecipients are strongly encouraged to attend.	CoC registration/ attendance sheets; Renewal Summary Form	4 points total ● 2 points awarded for attending October 2022 CoC meeting ● 2 points awarded for attending May 2023 CoC meeting.

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
	▪ For projects with one subrecipient, either recipient or subreceipient may attend. ▪ Grantees will be asked to provide list of all subrecipients as part of Renewal Summary Form ▪ Grantees are strongly encouraged to attend trainings live. However, watching recorded trainings does count toward attendance. Attendee MUST submit an attendance survey to document that they watched the training.		
26	**CoC Webinars and Trainings:** Full participation/attendance in webinar and training opportunities is expected of all CoC funded organizations ▪ For projects with multiple subrecipients, expectation is that, at a minimum, recipient attend CoC meetings and required trainings and ensure that information is disseminated to all subrecipients. Subrecipients are strongly encouraged to attend. ▪ For projects with one subrecipient, either recipient or subreceipient may attend. ▪ Grantees will be asked to provide list of all subrecipients as part of Renewal Summary Form ▪ Grantees are strongly encouraged to attend trainings live. However, watching recorded trainings does count toward attendance. Attendee MUST submit an attendance survey to document that they watched the training.	CoC training attendance records	Attendance at CoC training events is expected of organizations receiving CoC funding. Maximum – 5.5 points Required trainings from 10/1/22 to 9/30/23: ● Mainstream Benefits training – 9/25/23 webinar – 0.5 points ● Understanding SOAR and PA Dept. of Corrections Resources for Those Experiencing Homelessness – 9/6/23 webinar – 0.5 points ● Fair Housing Training – 4/26/23 webinar – 0.5 points ● LGBTQ/Transgender Cultural Competency – 1/11/23 webinar – 0.5 points ● 3-part DEI Training o DEI Training Part 1 – 4/20/23 – 0.5 points o DEI Training Part 2 – 5/4/23 – 0.5 points o DEI Training Part 3 – 5/18/23 – 0.5 points

#	Criteria	Data Source	Point Structure
27 (not scored in 2024)	**Coordinated Entry Participation:** % of enrollments pulled from By Name List	TBD	Not scored in 2024. Funding Committee will determine mechanism for scoring this in future funding rounds when possible with data available in ClientTrack.
28 (Bonus)	Full **HMIS participation** within organizations receiving CoC funding OR partnering with another organization/program to enter their data into HMIS	RSF and HMIS	HMIS participation among homeless assistance programs (not receiving ESG, CoC, SSVF, PATH, RHY funding) operated by your organization, or partnering with another organization/program to enter their data into HMIS= 0.5 point (maximum)
29	**Late Submission (Penalty)** if required CoC Renewal Scoring Documents are submitted after deadline (unless provided an extension due to extenuating circumstances) If a grantee needs to request a submission extension due to extenuating circumstances, this must be requested via email (to CoC email address) at least 2 business days in advance of deadline. Extensions will be reviewed and approved by the Funding Committee Chair. **No extensions will be provided for submissions greater than 5 days after the stated deadline**. Grantees are strongly encouraged to work ahead of the deadline to avoid the need to request an extension.	Review of Survey Submission Date	-2 point penalty for late submission of documents (late submission = any submission after the specific time/date of stated deadline) ***No renewal scoring documents will be accepted later than 5 days after the stated deadline.***

Total Point Scale:

- PSH/RRH/TH-RRH – 100 points
- SSO – 92 points (SSO score will be converted to a 100-point scale)

APPENDIX: Data Calculations Explanation for HMIS/APR Related Scoring Criteria

Criteria #	Criteria	Calculation
1a	RRH/TH-RRH- HOUSING STABILITY/EXIT TO PERMANENT HOUSING: a. RRH: % of exits to permanent housing destination among all participants/leavers who exited project.	RRH/TH-RRH APR Q23c: Exit Destination Numerator: (Q23c-Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations) Denominator: (Q23c-Total persons) - (Q23c- Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation) - (Q23c Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility) Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility
1b	SSO- HOUSING STABILITY: b. SSO: % of all participants/leavers placed into Emergency Shelter, Transitional Housing or Permanent Housing (SSO-Street Outreach only)	SSO Q23c: Exit Destination Numerator: Numerator: (Q23c-Total persons exiting to permanent housing destinations) + (Q23c-Total persons exiting to temporary housing destinations) Denominator: (Q23c-Total persons) – (Q23c- Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation) - (Q23c Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility) Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility

1c	PSH - HOUSING STABILITY/EXIT TO OR RETENTION IN PERMANENT HOUSING: PSH: % of all project participants stayers remained in PSH project or all project participants/leavers exited to other permanent housing.	PSH APR Q5 Report Validation Table; Q22a1: Length of Participation – CoC Projects; Q23c: Exit Destination Numerator: (Q22a1 Stayers-Total) + (Q23c-Total persons exiting to positive housing destinations) Denominator: (Q5a-Total persons served) - (Q23c- Total persons whose destinations excluded them from the calculation) - (Q23c Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility) Note: Individuals who exit to any of the below listed "destinations" will be removed from the calculation and therefore will not count negatively towards this outcome: ● Hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility ● Foster care home or foster care group home ● Long-term care facility or nursing home ● Deceased ● Jail, prison, or juvenile detention facility
2	RETURNS TO HOMELESSNESS: % returns to homelessness within 6 months of exit to permanent housing destination ***Note: Excludes DV Projects***	SPM 2ab Data File – Returns to Homelessness Numerator: # of Clients w/Returns on Begin Date within 6 months of Exited on End Date and returned to ES, TH or SH project (Returns 10/1/22-9/30/23) Denominator: # Clients who exited from program to PH destination
3	**Projects dedicated to serving survivors of Domestic Violence only:** Projects serving survivors of domestic violence meet the specific needs of DV survivors	Documentation provided will be reviewed to determine whether agency meets the following criteria: • How project staff are trained in trauma-informed, victim-centered, and strengths-based approaches to working with clients. • How project ensures clients have access to services/supports (childcare, legal services, counseling, employment services, etc.), including those provided by the agency along with those provided by other community partners. Scoring rubric: Full Points: Agency clearly describes how project staff are trained in trauma-informed, victim-centered, and strengths-based approaches AND how project ensures clients have access to services/supports Partial Points: Agency provides a vague description for either part 1 or part 2 of the question, or does not fully answer 1 or more parts of the questions No Points: Agency provides vague description for both parts 1 or parts 2 or does not fully answer both parts 1 and 2 of the question.

		○
4	LENGTH OF TIME BETWEEN PROJECT START DATE AND RESIDENTIAL MOVE IN % of households moved into housing in under 30 days (measured by days between project enrollment date and move in date)	Q22c: Length of Time between Project Start Date and Housing Move-in Date Numerator: (Q22c - Total 7 days or less) + (Q22c - Total 8 to 14 days) + (Q22c - Total 15 to 21 days) + (Q22c - 22 to 30 days) Denominator: Q22c - Total Persons Moved into housing *N/A for SSO
5	INCOME GROWTH/INCREASE TOTAL INCOME % of all adult participants who increased income from any source from entry to exit/annual assessment (leavers and stayers)	APR Q19a1: Client Cash Income Change – Income Source- by Start and Latest Status (Stayers); APR Q19a2: Client Cash Income Change – Income Source- by Start and Exit (Leavers); Q18: Client Cash Income Category - Earned/Other Income Category - by Entry and Annual Assessment/Exit Status Numerator: (Q19a1 Number of Adults w/Any Income-Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain) + (Q19a2 Number of Adults w/Any Income-Performance Measure: Adults who Gained or Increased Income from Start to Annual Assessment, Average Gain) Denominator: (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Total Adults) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
6	PARTICIPANTS w/ANY INCOME: % of adult participants with 1+ source of income (leavers and stayers)	APR Q18: Client Cash Income Category - Earned/Other Income Category - by Entry and Annual Assessment/Exit Status Numerator: (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-1 or more source of income) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-1 or more source of income) Denominator: (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Total Adults) + (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Total Adults) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have annual assessment) – (Q18 Adults at Annual (Stayers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information) – (Q18 Adults at Exit (Leavers)-Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information)
7	PARTICIPANTS CONNECTED TO MAINSTREAM BENEFITS: Percentage of adult participants with 1+ source of Non-Cash benefits (SNAP, WIC, TANF, others, etc.)	APR Q20b: Number of Non-Cash Benefit Sources; APR Q18: Client Cash Income Category - Earned/Other Income Category - by Entry and Annual Assessment/Exit Status

		Numerator: (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-1 + Source(s)) + (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-1+ Source(s)) Denominator: (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Total) + (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-Total) – (Q18 Number of Stayers-Number of adult stayers not yet required to have an annual assessment) – (Q20b Benefit at Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q20b Benefit at Exit for Leavers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
8	PARTICIPANTS CONNECTED TO HEALTH INSURANCE: Percentage of all participants with 1+ source of health insurance	APR Q21: Health Insurance; APR Q5: Report Validation Table Numerator: (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-More than 1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Exit for Leavers-1 Source of Health Insurance) + (Q21 Exit for Leavers-More than 1 Source of Health Insurance) Denominator: (Q5 Number of Stayers) + (Q5 Number of Leavers) – (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Number of Stayers not yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment) – (Q21 Latest Annual Assessment for Stayers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused) – (Q21 Exit for Leavers-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
9	HIGH QUALITY DATA ENTRY: % of Error Rate for the following data points entered into PA HMIS: A. PII - Name B. PII – Date of Birth C. PII – Race D. PII – Ethnicity E. PII - Gender F. Destination G. Income and Sources at Entry H. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment I. Income and Sources at Exit	APR Q6a. Data Quality: Personally Identifiable Information a. Name - % of Error Rate c. Date of Birth - % of Error Rate d. Race - % of Error Rate e. Ethnicity - % of Error Rate f. Gender - % of Error Rate Note: If Personally Identifiable Information fields are marked as Client Doesn't Know/Refused due to domestic violence survivor not providing data due to confidentiality/safety concerns, this will be factored into scoring and projects will not be penalized. APR Q6c. Data Quality: Income and Housing Data Quality f. Destination - % of Error Rate g. Income and Sources at Entry - % of Error Rate h. Income and Sources at Annual Assessment - % of Error Rate i. Income and Sources at Exit - % of Error Rate

		Note: Projects with no entries won't be scored on ***c (Income and Sources at Entry***) and projects with no exits won't be scored on ***b (Destination)*** and ***e (Income and Sources at Exit)***
10	TIMELINESS OF HMIS DATA ENTRY a. % of project entry records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark b. % of project exit records entered into HMIS within specified benchmark	APR Q6e: Timeliness a. Numerator: (Number of Project Start Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days) Denominator: (Number of Project Start Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days + 11+ Days) b. Numerator: (Number of Project Exit Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days) Denominator: (Number of Project Exit Records-0 Days + 1-3 Days + 4-6 Days + 7-10 Days + 11+ Days) Note: Projects with no entries won't be scored on 9.a. Projects with no exits won't be scored on 9.b.
11	PROJECT PARTICIPANT ELIGIBILITY: % of adult participants whose prior living situation was reported as literally homeless situations or fleeing DV	Data from APR validation file will be reviewed to determine percentage of adult participants whose prior living situation was literally homeless OR participant reports currently fleeing DV. This will be cross-referenced with RSF data reported by provider. For DV providers using comparable database, RSF data reported by provider will be used to determine percentage of adult participants whose prior living situation was literally homeless OR participant reports currently fleeing DV. . Numerator: # of Heads of household and adults served literally homeless ***and/or*** fleeing DV as reported on RSF 10/1/22-9/30/23 (Note: Literally homeless numbers will be cross referenced with APR validation file) Denominator: Total # of adult participants served 10/1/22-9/30/23 **HUD Category 1/Literally Homeless Definition:** Individual or family who lacks a fixed, regular, and adequate nighttime residence, meaning: (i) Has a primary nighttime residence that is a public or private place not meant for human habitation; (ii) Is living in a publicly or privately operated shelter designated to provide temporary living arrangements (including congregate shelters, transitional housing, and hotels and motels paid for by charitable organizations or by federal, state and local government programs); or

		(iii) Is exiting an institution where (s)he has resided for 90 days or less and who resided in an emergency shelter or place not meant for human habitation immediately before entering that institution **HUD Category 4/Fleeing Domestic Violence Definition** Any individual or family who: (i) are fleeing, or are attempting to flee, domestic violence, dating violence, sexual assault, stalking, or other dangerous or life-threatening conditions that relate to violence against the individual or a family member.; (ii) Has no other residence; and (iii) Lacks the resources or support networks to obtain other permanent housing
12	UNIT UTILIZATION RATE: Average utilization rate of project (using project utilization each quarter, as reported on APR)	Information provided in the Renewal Project Summary Form survey will be considered in calculating utilization. APR Q8b Point-in-Time Count of Households on the Last Wednesday; 2023 Project App # Units <u>Numerator:</u> Average of Q8b Point-in-Time Count of Households Served on the Last Wednesday in Jan, April, July, October <u>Denominator:</u> # Units per 2023 Project Applications (and prior years where applicable) For projects under 10 units, will use a 3-year average if the project is under 85% utilization. *N/A for SSO
13	DRAWDOWN RATES Minimum of quarterly drawdown rates from eLOCCS	Review of documentation requested in RSF survey (eLOCCS summary page).
14	FUNDS EXPENDED % of grant funds expended	Review of documentation requested in RSF survey (eLOCCS summary page). Review of supplemental expenditure form with additional information/explanation if program did not meet expenditure threshold.

15	TIMELY APR SUBMISSION APR submitted within 90 days of end of grant	Review of documentation requested in RSF survey (e.g. email from Sage system; email from HUD reps; copy of the details from Sage submission)
16a, 16b	COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER HOUSEHOLD SERVED: SSO/TH-RRH/RRH – Average cost per Household served (TH-RRH and RRH: who moved into housing) compared to average of other projects PSH - Average cost per household who moved into housing compared to average of other projects	Numerator: Services + admin line items from 2023 Grant Inventory Worksheet (GIW) Denominator: # of HHs served (as reported on RSF, and verified by APR validation file) SSO, TH-RRH, RRH: Calculation above compared to average cost per household (services + admin/total households served) of all SSO, TH-RRH, and RRH projects, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars PSH: Calculation above compared to average cost per household (services + admin/total households served) of all PSH, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars
17a	COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER POSITIVE EXIT: SSO/TH-RRH/RRH - Average cost per exit to Permanent Housing destination compared to average of other projects	Numerator: Services + admin line items from 2023 GIW Denominator: Leavers to PH (as reported on RSF and verified by APR validation file) NOTE: For RRH and RRH component of TH-RRH this only includes households who moved in and then exited to PH Note: Projects with no exits during the lookback period will not be scored on this criteria. Projects with no exits to permanent housing during the lookback period will have a total cost per positive exit that equals services +admin line items from GIW. *N/A for SSO SSO, TH-RRH, RRH: Calculation above compared to average cost per household (services + admin/leavers to PH) of all SSO, TH-RRH, and RRH projects, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars
17b	COST EFFECTIVENESS – COST PER POSITIVE EXIT/RETENTION: PSH - Average cost per household that remains or exits to Permanent Housing	Numerator: Services + admin line items from 2023 GIW Denominator: Stayers + Leavers to other PH (as reported on RSF and verified by APR validation file) NOTE: For PSH this only includes households who moved in and then exited to PH

	destination compared to average of other projects	PSH: Calculation above compared to average cost per household (services + admin/leavers to PH + stayers) of all PSH, excluding projects that have $0 service dollars
18	HUD MONITORING Disposition of HUD Monitoring and Findings	Review of information provided in RSF survey regarding monitoring, along with any supplemental monitoring documents provided.
19a	SEVERITY OF NEED/HEALTH CONDITIONS – Percent of participants with 1+ disabilities at project annual assessment or project exit	Q13b2: Number of Conditions at Exit, Q13c2: Number of Conditions for Stayers Numerator: ((Q13b2. Total Persons-1 Condition + 2 Conditions + 3+ Conditions + Condition Unknown) + (Q13c2. Total Persons-1 Condition + 2 Conditions + 3+ Conditions + Condition Unknown)) Denominator: ((Q13b2. Total Persons-Total + Q13c2. Total Persons-Total) – (Q13b2. Total Persons-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused + Q13c2. Total Persons-Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused))
19b	SEVERITY OF NEED/ZERO INCOME AT ENTRY – % of adult participants with zero income at project entry	APR Q18: Client Cash Income Category – Earned/Other Income Category – by Start and Annual Assessment/Exit Status Numerator: Q18 Adults with No Income – Number of Adults at Start Denominator: (Q18. Total Adults – Number of Adults at Start)– (Q18. Adults with Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused Income Information – Number of Adults at Start)
19c	SEVERITY OF NEED/UNSHELTERED: Percent of adult participants coming from unsheltered locations at entry	APR Q15 Living Situation Numerator: (Q15 Total Place not meant for human habitation) Denominator: (Q15 Total) – (Q15 Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused)
19d	SEVERITY OF NEED/AGE: Percent of adults who are youth age 24 and under or adults age 55+	APR Q5 Report Validation Table; APR Q11 Age Numerator: (Q11. 18-24 Total) + (Q11. 55-61-Total) + (Q11. 62+-Total) Denominator: (Q5. Number of Adults (Age18 or Over) - (Q11. Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused-Total)
19e	SEVERITY OF NEED/DOMESTIC VIOLENCE:	APR Q14a Domestic Violence History

	Percent of adult participants with history of DV	Numerator: Q14a Total Yes (Domestic Violence History) Denominator: Q14a Total Total – Q14a Total Client Doesn't Know/Client Refused
20	HOUSING FIRST APPROACH	Agency response to Housing First Questionnaire will be reviewed to ensure adherence to Housing First tenets
21a	HOUSING FIRST POLICIES – RESUBMISSION ON TIME	Review of Alchemer Survey Submission Date New grantees (who are being scored for the first time in 2024) are being scored on whether they submitted their policies for the first time.
21b	HOUSING FIRST POLICIES – ISSUES RESOLVED	Review of resubmitted policies. DMA will review resubmitted policies against the Housing First policy letter which was sent to grantees in summer 2023, to determine if areas outlined in the letter were resolved within the resubmitted policies.
21c	HOUSING FIRST SELF-ASSESSMENT	Review for completion: Renewal Summary Form; Housing First Assessment Tool; Housing First Assessment Tool Follow Up Form HUD Housing First Assessment Tool can be found here: https://www.hudexchange.info/resource/5294/housing-first-assessment-tool/
22	EQUITY NARRATIVE	Review of RSF submitted by agency. Narratives will be reviewed by the Funding Committee and DMA. Scoring rubric: • 2 points – Grantee demonstrates that they have taken 1 or more concrete steps to identify barriers to participation AND identifies 1 or more steps that have been taken or will be taken to eliminate barriers. • 1 point – Grantee demonstrates either a) steps to identify barriers, and/or b) steps to eliminate barriers, but steps outlined may be vague and not concrete. • 0 points – Grantee demonstrates neither a) concrete steps to identify barriers nor b) concrete steps to eliminate barriers.
23	LIVED EXPERIENCE NARRATIVE	Review of RSF information submitted by agency. Narratives will be reviewed by the Funding Committee and DMA. Scoring rubric:

		• 2 points – Grantee demonstrates at least 2 substantive recent or current actions to incorporate the input and expertise of persons with lived expertise into their program design and implementation. • 1 point – Grantee demonstrates at 1 substantive recent or current actions to incorporate the input and expertise of persons with lived expertise into their program design and implementation. • 0 points – Grantee demonstrates 0 substantive recent or current actions to incorporate the input and expertise of persons with lived expertise into their program design and implementation.
24	RHAB PARTICIPATION AND COC LEADERSHIP Participation in RHAB meetings and volunteer participation with CoC Committees and Sub-Committees	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, sign in records, online survey attendance submission from RHAB meetings, and documentation from CoC committee/subcommittee chairs
25	COC MEETING ATTENDANCE	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, meeting sign in records, and online survey attendance submissions
26	COC WEBINARS AND TRAININGS	Review of RSF documentation submitted by agency, webinar/training sign in records, and online survey attendance submissions
27 (not scored in 2024)	Coordinated Entry Participation % of enrollments pulled from By Name List	***NOTE: This criteria will not be scored in 2024.***
28 (Bonus)	BONUS: FULL HMIS PARTICIPATION WITHIN ORGANIZATIONS RECEIVING COC FUNDING OR PARTNERING WITH ANOTHER ORG/PROGRAM TO ENTER THEIR DATA INTO HMIS	Review of documentation submitted by agency in RSF and confirmation of HMIS participating projects by DCED HMIS team
29	Late Submission (Penalty) if required CoC Renewal Scoring Documents are submitted after deadline (unless provided an extension due to extenuating circumstances)	Review of Survey Submission Date

Housing First Questionnaire: Is your program operating using a housing first approach?

Organization Name:

Project Name:

Person Completing this form:

Date:

1) Admission/tenant screening and selection practices promote the acceptance of applicants regardless of their sobriety or use of substances, completion of treatment, and participation in services.
   ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

2) Applicants are not rejected on based on having no income, minor criminal convictions, or behaviors that indicate a lack of "housing readiness."
   ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

3) Supportive services emphasize housing procurement over therapeutic goals. Services plans are highly tenant-driven without predetermined goals.
   ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

4) Participation in services or program compliance is not a condition of staying in our program.
   ☐ Participation in services or program compliance is NOT a condition of staying in our program
   ☐ Participation in services or program compliance IS a condition for staying in our program Comment (if needed):

5) Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself is not considered a reason for program dismissal.
   ☐ Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself is NOT a reason for program dismissal
   ☐ Use of alcohol or drugs in and of itself IS a reason for program dismissal
   Comment (if needed):

6) We prioritize those with the highest need for services rather than "first come/first serve", such as duration of homelessness and other barriers.
   ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

7) Case managers/service coordinators are trained in and actively employ evidence-based practices for client/tenant engagement such as motivational interviewing and client-centered counseling.
   ☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

8) Services are informed by a harm reduction philosophy that recognizes that drug and alcohol use and addiction are a part of tenants' lives, where tenants are engaged in non-judgmental communication regarding drug and alcohol use, and where tenants are offered education regarding how to avoid risky behaviors and engage in safer practices.

☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

9) Our primary focus is assisting people in our program with a housing plan for swift exit to permanent housing.

☐ Yes ☐ No Comment (if needed):

FY 2024

PA-509 EASTERN PA COC

1E-5. Notification of Projects Rejected-Reduced

Documents include the following:

***NOTE: Individual notifications did not contain rank (as rank was not yet available) but public posting/mass email did contain rank)*

- **Individual notifications:**
  - **Email/letter to Housing Authority of Monroe re: full reallocation of PA0219 grant (6/20/24)**
  - **Email/letter from Valley Housing Development Corporation re: voluntary full reallocation of PA0212 grant (7/25/24)**
    - **Project transferred from Northampton County Housing Authority**
  - **Email/letter to Wayne County re: full reallocation of PA0883 grant (7/23/24)**
  - **Email exchange with Resources for Human Development re: voluntary partial reallocation of PA0205, PA0206, PA0449**
    - **PA0205 letter**
    - **PA0206 letter**
    - **PA0449 letter**
- **Public posting on CoC website of projects accepted/rejected/reduced (10/8/24)**
- **CoC mailing list public notice of projects accepted/rejected/reduced -- Forward to all CoC renewal and new project applicants**

Housing Authority of Monroe PA0219 Reallocation Email/Letter

Important notice for PA0219 from CoC Funding Committee

Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>

Thu 6/20/2024 2:26 PM

To:HAMC <hamc@ptd.net>;Linda Kaufman <lkaufman@housingauthoritymonroecounty.org>; jcastaldo@housingauthoritymonroecounty.org <jcastaldo@housingauthoritymonroecounty.org>
Cc:breauman@pa.gov <breauman@pa.gov>;Leigh Howard <leigh@dma-housing.com>

2 attachments (891 KB)

Letter to Housing Authority of Monroe_PA0219 Grant_From CoC Funding Committee.pdf; Eastern PA CoC - FY2024 CoC-funding Process and Policies_Final 2_26_24.pdf;

Dear Linda,

Attached please find an official letter from the Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee regarding reallocation of Housing Authority of Monroe County's PA0219 PSH project.

You can find additional details in the attached letter. If you wish to appeal this decision, you must follow the instructions in the attached letter and submit the appeal no later than Friday 6/21 at 2:30pm.

Sincerely,
DMA, on behalf of the CoC Funding Committee

--
DMA - Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
CoC Consultants for the Eastern PA Balance of State CoC
(215) 576-1558
easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org

Board of Directors:
Alisa Baratta
President

Tiffany Jones
Vice President

Leslie Perryman
2nd Vice President

Jeffery Rich
Secretary

Board Members
Chris Kapp
Stephanie Meyer
Luis Resto
Carol Thornton

Collaborative Applicant:
Brendan Auman
PA Dept of Community & Economic Development (DCED)
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 4th Fl
Harrisburg, PA 17120
(717) 720-7397
www.dced.pa.gov

CoC Consultant:
Diana T. Myers & Associates (DMA)
7900 Old York Road
Suite 116 A
Elkins Park, PA 19027
(215) 576-1558
www.dma-housing.com

June 20, 2024

Linda Kaufman
Housing Authority of Monroe County

Subject: CoC Notification of Funding Reallocation of Housing Authority of Monroe County's PA0219 – Shelter Plus Care MC

Dear Linda:

We are writing to inform you that the Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee has voted to reallocate the PA0219 Shelter Plus Care MC project. This decision was made based on the following:

- This project has had significant, ongoing underspending. This project has been underspent and sending back money to HUD for the past 6 fully completed grant years (FY16: 89%, FY17: 60%, FY18: 48%, FY19: 51%, FY20: 50%, FY21: 71%)
- This project was partially reallocated by the CoC in the FY21 CoC Competition (grant reduced by 50%). This grant began operating with the reduced budget on 10/1/22. Even with the reduced budget, the project is still underspending (71% spending in FY21 grant cycle).
- Some of the project's vacancies date back to 2019, despite there being a multitude of households on the Coordinated Entry By Name List who are eligible for this project.

While the CoC values its partnership with the Monroe County Housing Authority, the decision to reallocate project funding is being made to fund other projects with the capacity to engage and enroll households experiencing homelessness. This project has already been awarded FY23 CoC funds; therefore, this project will be able to continue operating through 9/30/25. This project will not be renewed in the FY24 CoC NOFO Competition. The CoC expects that the agency will support all participants in the project to transition to other permanent housing resources. The CoC can provide technical assistance with this transition as needed.

The CoC's Funding Process and Policies, which includes its Appeal Policy, is attached. Per the Appeal Policy, if you decide to appeal, you must send an email within 24 hours of receipt of this email to easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org with the subject line, "Eastern PA CoC: Appeal to CoC Funding Committee". The email should provide any relevant rationale or documentation for consideration.

Sincerely,

Jessica Sones

Jessica Sones, DMA
On behalf of the Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee

cc: PA Department of Community and Economic Development, Eastern PA CoC Collaborative Applicant

Valley Housing Development Corporation PA0212 Reallocation Email/Letter

NCHA Voluntary Reallocation Letter

Branden Strohl <branden@lcha-vhdc.org>

Thu 7/25/2024 3:39 PM

To:Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>
Cc:Jennifer Hasili <jennifer@lcha-vhdc.org>;Chris Feiertag <chris@lcha-vhdc.org>

1 attachments (160 KB)

COC Letter 7.24.24.pdf;

External (branden@lcha-vhdc.org)

Report This Email FAQ GoDaddy Advanced Email Security, Powered by INKY

Hi All,

Attached is the reallocation letter as requested. Let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Branden

Valley Housing Development Corporation

EQUAL HOUSING OPPORTUNITY

635 Broad Street
Emmaus, PA 18049
Phone 610-965-4514 • Fax 610-965-9820
Christina M. Feiertag, EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR
Joseph Schupp, PRESIDENT
Kent H. Herman, SOLICITOR

7/24/24

Dear Eastern PA CoC,

We are writing to inform you that Valley Housing Development Corporation (who is awaiting HUD approval for taking over PA0212 NCHA S+C project via project transfer and in agreement with Northampton County Housing Authority and the Eastern PA COC) intends to voluntarily reallocate the PA0212 NCHA S+C project. This project has already been funded for FY23, so we will continue operating the project through the end of the FY23 grant cycle ending December 31 of 2025. However, we will not be renewing this project for FY24. We are already in communication with local housing authorities about transition plans for current participants to ensure stable housing as the project winds down.

Please reach out with any questions.

Sincerely,

Christina M. Feiertag

Wayne County PA0883 Reallocation Email/Letter

Important notice for PA0883 grant from CoC Funding Committee

Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>

Mon 7/22/2024 8:30 AM

To:Heather Miszler <HMiszler@waynecountypa.gov>;PWilson@waynecountypa.gov <PWilson@waynecountypa.gov>
Cc:breauman@pa.gov <breauman@pa.gov>;Leigh Howard <leigh@dma-housing.com>

2 attachments (460 KB)

Letter to Wayne County_PA0883 Grant_From CoC Funding Committee.pdf; Eastern PA CoC - FY2024 CoC-funding Process and Policies_Final 2_26_24.pdf;

Dear Heather,

Attached please find an official letter from the Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee regarding reallocation of Wayne County's PA0883 project. You can find additional details in the attached letter.

Sincerely,
DMA, on behalf of the CoC Funding Committee

--
DMA - Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
CoC Consultants for the Eastern PA Balance of State CoC
(215) 576-1558
easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org

Eastern Pennsylvania Continuum of Care

Board of Directors:
Alisa Baratta
President

Tiffany Jones
Vice President

Leslie Perryman
2nd Vice President

Jeffery Rich
Secretary

Board Members
Chris Kapp
Stephanie Meyer
Luis Resto
Carol Thornton

Collaborative Applicant:
Brendan Auman
PA Dept of Community & Economic Development (DCED)
Commonwealth Keystone Building
400 North Street, 4th Fl
Harrisburg, PA 17120
(717) 720-7397
www.dced.pa.gov

CoC Consultant:
Diana T. Myers & Associates (DMA)
7900 Old York Road
Suite 116 A
Elkins Park, PA 19027
(215) 576-1558
www.dma-housing.com

July 22, 2024

Heather Miszler
Wayne County

Subject: CoC Notification of Funding Reallocation of Wayne County PA0883 TH-RRH

Dear Heather:

We are writing to inform you that the Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee has voted to reallocate the PA0883 TH-RRH project. This decision was made based on the following:

- This project has had significant, ongoing underspending and underutilization. This project has been underspent and sending back money to HUD for the past 3 fully completed grant years (FY19: 51% funds spent; FY20: 37%; FY21: 26%). The CoC's threshold for spending is 90% (with a goal of 100% spending). In addition, the project has been significantly underutilized since 2020, per HMIS. The Funding Committee reviewed the letter that Wayne County submitted to the CoC email address on 7/17/24. While the Funding Committee is sympathetic to the explanation outlined in the letter, the Committee believes that a full reallocation is necessary based on the significant underspending and underutilization history.
- CoC's Responsibility to HUD**:** It is an expectation of HUD that CoCs are evaluating projects based on how they improve system performance and reallocating funding from lower performance projects. From the CoC NOFO:
    - As part of the CoC Application, CoCs must "demonstrate the CoC actively reviews the performance of existing CoC Program funded projects and has a standard process for reallocating funding from lower performing projects to create new high performing projects." and … "HUD will prioritize funding for CoCs that have demonstrated the capacity to reallocate funding from lower to higher performing projects."

Please note that the PA0883 project has already been awarded FY23 funds through 9/30/25; this reallocation means that your project will not be submitted for renewal in the FY24 CoC Competition.

The CoC's Funding Process and Policies, which includes its Appeal Policy, is attached. If you decide to appeal, you must send an email within 24 hours of receipt of this email (no later than Tuesday, July 23rd at 9am) to easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org with the subject line, "Eastern PA CoC: Appeal to CoC Funding Committee". The email should provide any relevant rationale or documentation for consideration.

Sincerely,

Jessica Sones

Jessica Sones, DMA
On behalf of the Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee

cc: PA Department of Community and Economic Development, CoC Collaborative Applicant

RHD PA0205, PA0206, PA0449 Reallocation Email/Letters

Re: Eastern PA CoC Renewal Scoring Follow Up: RHD PA0205, PA0206, PA0449 xtp

Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>

Mon 8/5/2024 9:47 AM

To:Leslie Perryman <Leslie.Perryman@RHD.ORG>;Linda Mormando <lmormando@RHD.ORG>
Cc:breauman@pa.gov <breauman@pa.gov>;Leigh Howard <leigh@dma-housing.com>

Hi Leslie,

The Funding Committee has reviewed the letters you sent – they are in agreement with the voluntary reallocations that you outlined in the letters. I am confirming that in the FY24 CoC Competition that RHD will voluntarily reallocate funding as follows:

- **PA0205 - Voluntarily reallocate $33,028 in leasing, reducing units from 12 to 10** (reducing total budget from $322,502 to $289,474; 10% decrease)
- **PA0206 - Voluntarily reallocate $28,435 in leasing, reducing units from 26 to 23** (reducing total budget from $439,617 to $411,182; 6% decrease)
- **PA0449 - Voluntarily reallocate $67,740 in leasing, reducing units from 16 to 10** (reducing total budget from $290,173 to $222,433; 23% decrease)

The Funding Committee wanted to share their appreciation for your proactive approach and plan and they look forward to the quarterly updates. They anticipate seeing a significant improvement in spending and utilization over the next year, especially given the significant need for resources in your region. The project could be at risk of further reductions if utilization not does improve, but we are hopeful that it will!

HUD recently released the CoC NOFO so we will be sending out instructions to CoC grantees on renewals in the near future (likely in the next week or so). When you submit your FY24 renewals in esnaps, your renewals will reflect the reduced budget amounts as outlined above.

Thank you!

Jessica

--
DMA - Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
CoC Consultants for the Eastern PA Balance of State CoC
(215) 576-1558
easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org

From: Leslie Perryman <Leslie.Perryman@RHD.ORG>
Sent: Wednesday, July 31, 2024 12:45 PM
To: Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>; Linda Mormando <lmormando@RHD.ORG>
Cc: breauman@pa.gov <breauman@pa.gov>; Leigh Howard <leigh@dma-housing.com>
Subject: RE: Eastern PA CoC Renewal Scoring Follow Up: RHD PA0205, PA0206, PA0449 xtp

External (leslie.perryman@rhd.org)

Report This Email FAQ GoDaddy Advanced Email Security, Powered by INKY

Good Afternoon,

Attached you will find the responses from RHD in regard to the underspending in RHD PA0205, PA0206, PA0449. Please note, that RHD is voluntarily allocation a portion of funding from each grant as detailed in the letters.

Please reach out with any questions or concerns.

Thank you,

Leslie A. Perryman
Leslie A. Perryman, MSW, CPRP
Director
Crossroads Community Services/Street 2 Feet Outreach Center
a program of Resources for Human Development, Inc.
37 Dansbury Terrace
East Stroudsburg PA 18301
(570) 476-9228 x225

Like our Facebook pages for recent statistics and program updates!!
https://www.facebook.com/crossroadscommunityservices
https://www.facebook.com/street2feetoutreachcenter
https://youtu.be/mlvQMCYn54U

This email and any attachments are intended for the use of the individual or entity to which it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any use or disclosure of this information is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please reply to the sender so that proper delivery can be arranged, and please delete the original message and any attachments from your mailbox. Thank you for your cooperation.

From: Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>
Sent: Tuesday, July 30, 2024 8:47 AM
To: Leslie Perryman <Leslie.Perryman@RHD.ORG>; Linda Mormando <lmormando@RHD.ORG>
Cc: breauman@pa.gov; Leigh Howard <leigh@dma-housing.com>
Subject: Re: Eastern PA CoC Renewal Scoring Follow Up: RHD PA0205, PA0206, PA0449

EXTERNAL
Hi there, sending a reminder to please submit your written update for the Funding Committee by tomorrow 7/31 (can be submitted via email here). Based on our conversation last week, this written update should include information on whether you plan to voluntarily reallocate any portion of funds from PA0205, PA0206, and PA0449 (and if so, what amount), if that is something you all plan to pursue for your FY24 CoC renewals. The Funding Committee will need that information to determine next steps related to these projects.

- Provide quarterly written updates via email (July, October, January, April) related to this project's performance and spending, including:
    a. PA0205 – Current spending, projected spending for current grant cycle, current utilization (# of households housed vs. # of units in project budget), strategies being implemented to improve spending

b. PA0206 – Current spending, projected spending for current grant cycle, current utilization (# of households housed vs. # of units in project budget), strategies being implemented to improve spending
c. PA0449 – Current spending, projected spending for current grant cycle, current utilization (# of households housed vs. # of units in project budget), strategies being implemented to improve spending

Thank you!
Jessica

--
DMA - Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
CoC Consultants for the Eastern PA Balance of State CoC
(215) 576-1558
easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org

From: Eastern PA CoC
Sent: Friday, June 21, 2024 9:04 AM
To: Leslie Perryman <Leslie.Perryman@rhd.org>; Linda Mormando <lmormando@rhd.org>
Subject: Eastern PA CoC Renewal Scoring Follow Up: RHD PA0205, PA0206, PA0449

Dear Leslie and Linda,

The Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee is reaching out to follow up with you regarding your project's performance in the FY2024 CoC Renewal Scoring Evaluation (PA0205, PA0206, PA0449). Please see attached letter for more information, including requested follow-up.

Here is a link that can be used to set up a technical assistance session in July (if none of these dates work please let us know): https://calendar.app.google/9VEzqhQU369XeDbe7

Please let us know if you have any questions. Thank you!

DMA Team, on behalf of Eastern PA CoC Funding Committee

--
DMA - Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
CoC Consultants for the Eastern PA Balance of State CoC
(215) 576-1558
easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org

****CAUTION**: This email is from an outside sender and may contain malicious links or attachments. Please contact Servicedesk@rhd.org if you suspect that you have received a malicious email.**

Disclaimer
The information contained in this communication from the sender is confidential. It is intended solely for use by the recipient and others authorized to receive it. If you are not the recipient, you are hereby notified that any disclosure, copying, distribution or taking action in relation of the contents of this information is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived by Mimecast, a leader in email security and cyber resilience. Mimecast integrates email defenses with brand protection, security awareness training, web security,

July 30, 2024

Funding Committee
Eastern Pennsylvania Continuum of Care

To Whom It May Concern:

Thank you for reaching out to us in regard to the PA0205 – Crossroads Family Housing program. As per your request for information, please see our responses below.

1. **Current Spending and projected spending for the current grant cycle:**
    a. Currently, RHD Crossroads Community Services has spent 58.4% through June of our current contract (October 1, 2023 – September 30, 2024). We are on target to expend 78% by the end of the contract. The underspending is in Leasing.

2. **Current utilization**
    a. We are funded for 12 households and currently have 6 vacancies in the program

3. **What strategies you have implemented/are implementing to ensure that CoC funding is fully expended by the end of the contract year.**
    a. We continue to contact all eligible households on the By Name List with the goal of filling all empty spots. We also continue to seek affordable housing units to add to our inventory to spend down Leasing dollars. We maintain a list of landlords with whom we partner or know of that have units available. These landlords have agreed to contact RHD first when a unit becomes available.
    b. We plan on adding another Case Manager to our team within the next 3 months as we increase our capacity to serve more households.
    c. We are also working with Liana Riutort, Pocono CE Regional Manager, to assist with identifying eligible households as we currently do not have a staff member in our Leasing/Tenant Services Coordinator position. We are actively hiring to replace that person.

4. **Voluntary Reallocation**
    a. RHD recognizes our history of underspending and lack of filling vacancies. That being said, we are voluntarily reallocating $33,028 in Leasing back to the CoC and reducing our total units from 12 to 10. We feel strongly that we will be able to fill the remaining 2 vacancies by the end of the grant period.

If you should have any further questions or additional information, please do not hesitate to reach out to me at (570) 476-9228 x225.

Crossroads Community Services

Sincerely,

Leslie A. Perryman, LSW, CPRP
Director

July 30, 2024

Funding Committee
Eastern Pennsylvania Continuum of Care

To Whom It May Concern:

Thank you for reaching out to us in regard to the PA0206 – Crossroads Individual Housing program. As per your request for information, please see our responses below.

1. **Current Spending and projected spending for the current grant cycle:**
    a. Currently, RHD Crossroads Community Services has spent 44.93% through June of our current contract (January 1, 2024 – December 31, 2024). We are on target to expend 92% by the end of the contract. The underspending is in Leasing.

2. **Current utilization**
    a. We are funded for 26 households and currently have 6 vacancies in the program

3. **What strategies you have implemented/are implementing to ensure that CoC funding is fully expended by the end of the contract year.**
    a. We recently absorbed 3 individuals from RHD The Lodge/LVACT PSH, between April -June which increased our census.
    b. We continue to contact all eligible households on the By Name List with the goal of filling all empty spots. We also continue to seek affordable housing units to add to our inventory to spend down Leasing dollars. We maintain a list of landlords with whom we partner or know of that have units available. These landlords have agreed to contact RHD first when a unit becomes available.
    c. We plan on adding another Case Manager to our team within the next 3 months as we increase our capacity to serve more households.
    d. We are also working with Liana Riutort, Pocono CE Regional Manager, to assist with identifying eligible households as we currently do not have a staff member in our Leasing/Tenant Services Coordinator position. We are actively hiring to replace that person.

4. **Voluntary Reallocation**
    a. RHD recognizes our history of underspending and lack of filling vacancies. That being said, we are voluntarily reallocating $28,435 in Leasing back to the CoC and reducing our total units from 26 to 23. We feel strongly that we will be able to fill the remaining 3 vacancies by the end of the grant period.

If you should have any further questions or additional information, please do not hesitate to reach out to me at (570) 476-9228 x225.

Sincerely,

Leslie A. Perryman, LSW, CPRP
Director

July 30, 2024

Funding Committee
Eastern Pennsylvania Continuum of Care

To Whom It May Concern:

Thank you for reaching out to us in regard to the PA0449 – Crossroads Housing Bonus program. As per your request for information, please see our responses below.

1. **Current Spending and projected spending for the current grant cycle:**
   a. Currently, RHD Crossroads Community Services has spent 21.30% through June of our current contract (March 1, 2024 – February 28, 2025). We are on target to expend 70% by the end of the contract. The underspending is in Leasing.

2. **Current utilization**
   a. We are funded for 16 households and currently have 12 vacancies in the program

3. **What strategies you have implemented/are implementing to ensure that CoC funding is fully expended by the end of the contract year.**
   a. We recently absorbed 2 individuals from RHD The Lodge/LVACT PSH, between April -June which increased our census.
   b. We continue to contact all eligible households on the By Name List with the goal of filling all empty spots. We also continue to seek affordable housing units to add to our inventory to spend down Leasing dollars. We maintain a list of landlords with whom we partner or know of that have units available. These landlords have agreed to contact RHD first when a unit becomes available.
   c. We plan on adding another Case Manager to our team within the next 3 months as we increase our capacity to serve more households.
   d. We are also working with Liana Riutort, Pocono CE Regional Manager, to assist with identifying eligible households as we currently do not have a staff member in our Leasing/Tenant Services Coordinator position. We are actively hiring to replace that person.

4. **Voluntary Reallocation**
   a. RHD recognizes our history of underspending and lack of filling vacancies. That being said, we are voluntarily reallocating $67,740 in Leasing back to the CoC and reducing our total units from 16 to 10. We feel strongly that we will be able to fill the remaining 6 vacancies by the end of the grant period.

If you should have any further questions or additional information, please do not hesitate to reach out to me at (570) 476-9228 x225.

Sincerely,

Leslie A. Perryman, LSW, CPRP
Director

Public posting on CoC website of projects accepted/rejected/reduced

EASTERN COC

Eastern PA CoC - Renewal and New Project Selection and Ranking

8 October 2024

Dear Eastern PA Continuum of Care grantees, members and stakeholders:

Below is an important notice regarding the FY 2024 CoC NOFO Competition.

This email summarizes the list and status of all projects submitted to the Eastern PA CoC as part of the FY2024 CoC NOFO competition. This includes: renewal projects that have been accepted for funding at their full renewal amount; renewal projects that have been accepted but partially reallocated; renewal projects fully reallocated; new projects accepted for funding at or above the requested amount. There were no new project applications approved for less than the requested budget or that were not selected for funding.

At this link you can find the ranking/tiering of all accepted projects, to be submitted to HUD later this month on the Eastern PA CoC 2024 Project Priority List.

Please note that organizations with renewal projects subject to full or partial reallocation, and those that submitted a new project application that was not selected or approved at a reduced

Tags

Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA)

Continuum of Care

At this link you can find the ranking/tiering of all accepted projects, to be submitted to HUD later this month on the Eastern PA CoC 2024 Project Priority List.

Please note that organizations with renewal projects subject to full or partial reallocation, and those that submitted a new project application that was not selected or approved at a reduced amount, have been informed of the CoC's decision prior to the distribution of this email.

RENEWAL PROJECTS

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD. Each of these projects will be submitted at the full Annual Renewal Amount, as indicated on the CoC's 2024 Grant Inventory Worksheet:

- Rank: 1; County of Franklin, PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project; Budget: $282,916; Score: 96.9
- Rank: 2; Tableland Services, Inc., PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project; Budget: $159,433; Score: 94.9
- Rank: 3; Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation, PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application; Budget: $285,186; Score: 92.3
- Rank: 4; Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing; Budget: $137,930; Score: 91.6
- Rank: 5; Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families; Budget: $343,892; Score: 89.7
- Rank: 6; Centre County Government, PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program; Budget: $399,407; Score: 89.2
- Rank: 7; Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth; Budget: $680,911; Score: 89.2
- Rank: 8; Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP; Budget: $125,926; Score: 88.7
- Rank: 9; Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0519-PSHP Pike County; Budget: $116,282; Score: 88.7
- Rank: 10; The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, PA0655 Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project; Budget: $83,791; Score: 87.7
- Rank: 11; Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0582 HDC SHP 6; Budget: $176,360; Score: 86.9

$176,360; Score: 86.9

- Rank: 12; Clinton County Housing Coalition, Inc., PA0966 CCHC Regional Rapid Rehousing; Budget: $230,948; Score: 85.6
- Rank: 13; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless; Budget: $71,545; Score: 85.5
- Rank: 14; County of Franklin, PA0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project; Budget: $101,556; Score: 85.1
- Rank: 15; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing; Budget: $213,641; Score: 84.6
- Rank: 16; Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc, PA0214- Pathfinders PSH; Budget: $264,687; Score: 84.4
- Rank: 17; Transitions of PA, PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims; Budget: $114,095; Score: 84.4
- Rank: 19; Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0384 HDC SHP 3; Budget: $182,623; Score: 83.2
- Rank: 20; The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, PA0634 Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program; Budget: $200,561; Score: 83.1
- Rank: 21; Tableland Services, Inc., PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities; Budget: $406,271; Score: 81.0
- Rank: 22; Lehigh County Housing Authority, PA0215 LCHA S+C; Budget: $268,714; Score: 79.0
- Rank: 23; Third Street Alliance for Women & Children, PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program; Budget: $435,088; Score: 79.0
- Rank: 24; Center for Community Action, PA0813 South Central PA RRH; Budget: $320,289; Score: 79.0
- Rank: 25; Center for Community Action, PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH; Budget: $121,363; Score: 75.5
- Rank: 26; Valley Housing Development Corporation, PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3; Budget: $449,129; Score: 75.1
- Rank: 27; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation; Budget: $1,206,091; Score: 74.9
- Rank: 28; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program; Budget: $49,544; Score: 74.2

- Rank: 27; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation; Budget: $1,206,091; Score: 74.9
- Rank: 28; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program; Budget: $49,544; Score: 74.2
- Rank: 29; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, PA0188 Commonwealth of PA HMIS (PA-509); Budget: $374,099; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 30; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA0736 - Connect To Home Coordinated Entry Project FY; Budget: $617,716; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 31; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA0927 Coordinated Entry Specialist for Domestic Violence; Budget: $85,668; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 32; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA1084 Enhanced East CES; Budget: $331,049; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 33; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA1119 Eastern PA CoC DV CE Extension; Budget: $313,905; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 34; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA1029 Pathways RRH; Budget: $442,116; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored/ranked
- Rank: 35; Service Access and Management Inc., PA1031 Rapid Re-Housing Franklin County; Budget: $152,888; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored/ranked
- Rank: 36; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA1088 - FY23 Eastern PA CoC DV RRH Consolidation; Budget: $6,429,810; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored/ranked
- Rank: 37; New Bethany, Inc. DBA New Bethany Ministries, PA1086 New Bethany Rapid Rehousing; Budget: $153,201; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored
- Rank: 38; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA1137 - NEW Eastern PA CoC DV RRH; Budget: $1,935,238; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 39; Monroe, County of, PA1087 Pocono Mountains Rapid Re-Housing Project; Budget: $277,017; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 40; Center for Community Action, PA0372 - Blair County Community Action

PA CoC DV RRH; Budget: $1,935,238; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored

- Rank: 39; Monroe, County of, PA1087 Pocono Mountains Rapid Re-Housing Project; Budget: $277,017; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 40; Center for Community Action, PA0372 - Blair County Community Action Program-Rapid Re-Housing Program; Budget: $1,064,678; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored due to grant transfer
- Rank: 41; Center for Community Action, PA1138 - Central Valley JMSU RRH; Budget: $361,796; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 42; Transitions of PA, PA1139 - Transitions of PA PSH for Snyder and Northumberland Counties; Budget: $79,681; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 43; Housing Transitions, Inc., PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments; Budget: $271,018; Score: 71.8
- Rank: 44; Transitions of PA, PA0445 Transitions of PA Permanent Supportive Housing; Budget: $241,408; Score: 71.8
- Rank: 46; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0447 - PSH Consolidated; Budget: $669,360; Score: 69.7
- Rank: 48; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon; Budget: $174,028; Score: 59.9

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be included and ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD for an amount less than the Annual Renewal Amount indicated on the 2024 Grant Inventory Worksheet (partial reallocation):

- Rank: 18; Resources for Human Development, PA0206-Crossroads Individuals; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $439,617; Reallocated funds: $28,435; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $411,182; Score: 84.1; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization
- Rank: 45; Resources for Human Development, PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus; Expansion; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $290,173; Reallocated funds: $67,740; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $222,433; Score: 69.9; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization

- Rank: 45; Resources for Human Development, PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus; Expansion; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $290,173; Reallocated funds: $67,740; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $222,433; Score: 69.9; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization
- Rank: 47; Resources for Human Development, PA0205-Crossroads Family; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $322,502; Reallocated funds: $33,028; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $289,474; Score: 63.4; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization

The following renewal projects are being fully reallocated. These projects will not be included/ ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD.

- Rank: N/A; Valley Housing Development Corporation (transfer from Northampton County Housing Authority), PA0212 NCHA S+C; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $112,107; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $0 (full reallocation); Score: 63.9; Reason: Performance concerns related to transferred grant
- Rank: N/A; Wayne County, PA0883 - Wayne County Joint TH/RRH; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $97,090; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $0 (full reallocation); Score: 90.6; Reason: History of underspending and underutilization
- Rank: N/A; Housing Authority of Monroe County, PA0219 Shelter Plus Care MC; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $150,477; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $0 (full reallocation); Score: 67.2; Reason: History of underspending and underutilization

NEW PROJECTS

The following new projects have been accepted for inclusion on the New Project Priority List for CoC Bonus and/or reallocated funding and will be ranked on the CoC's New Project Priority List:

- Rank: 49; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA1084 Enhanced East CES - Expansion; Approved Budget: $192,026; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 50; Lehigh Conference of Churches, Rapid Exit 1; Approved Budget: $333,922; Score: 83

- Rank: 49; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA1084 Enhanced East CES - Expansion; Approved Budget: $192,026; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 50; Lehigh Conference of Churches, Rapid Exit 1; Approved Budget: $333,922; Score: 83
- Rank: 51; Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 PSH Expansion; Approved Budget: $255,464; Score: 85
- Rank: 52; Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA1029 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $333,053; Score: 85
- Rank: 53; Women's Help Center, Home Again Program; Approved Budget: $289,285; Score: 82
- Rank: 54; Valley Youth House, PA0808 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $80,804; Score: 76
- Rank: 55; New Bethany, PA1086 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $155,420; Score: 75
- Rank: 56; Tableland, New TH-RRH; Approved Budget: $156,238; Score: 71
- Rank: 57; County of Franklin, Franklin County Family Permanent Supportive Housing; Approved Budget: $85,747; Score: 66
- Rank: 58; Centre County Government, PA0814 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $211,402; Score: 63
- Rank: 59; Center for Community Action, PA0372 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $197,098; Score: 62
- Rank: 60; Bradford County Human Services, Bradford County Human Services RRH Project; Approved Budget: $270,701; Score: 61
- Rank: 61; Service Access and Management, PA1031 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $55,491; Score: 56
- Rank: 62; Housing Authority of Cumberland County, PA0447 PSH Expansion; Approved Budget: $93,500; Score: 51
- Rank: 63; Lehigh Conference of Churches, Rapid Exit 2; Approved Budget: $516,625; Score: 83

The following new project has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for DV Bonus funding:

Score: 83

The following new project has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for DV Bonus funding:

- Rank: 64; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, DV Bonus New RRH (PA1137 RRH Expansion); Approved Budget: $3,306,092 (max DV bonus amount for which CoC can apply); Score: 75

The following new project has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for CoC Planning:

- Rank: n/a; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Budget: $1,140,791.

There were no new project preliminary applications that were reduced or fully rejected/not accepted by the CoC.

If you have any questions, please contact easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org.

Thank you for your participation in the Eastern PA CoC and your ongoing commitment and efforts to end homelessness.

Related News

WESTERN COC

Western PA CoC - Renewal and New Project Selection and Ranking

Dear Western PA Continuum of Care grantees, members and stakeholders: Below is an important notice regarding the FY 2024 CoC NOFO Competition. This...

CoC mailing list public notice of projects accepted/rejected/reduced -- Forward to all CoC renewal and new project applicants

Outlook

Fw: Notification to the Eastern PA CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection and Ranking (FY24 CoC NOFO Competition)

From Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 8:46 AM

To jmatulevich@embarqmail.com <jmatulevich@embarqmail.com>; phpjem@gmail.com <phpjem@gmail.com>; Kellie Crawford <kcrawford@cchra.com>; mkuna@cchra.com <mkuna@cchra.com>; htidwell@cchra.com <htidwell@cchra.com>; bmiller@cchra.com <bmiller@cchra.com>; Leslie.Perryman@rhd.org <Leslie.Perryman@rhd.org>; lmormando@rhd.org <lmormando@rhd.org>; farea.graybill@use.salvationarmy.org <farea.graybill@use.salvationarmy.org>; Leanne.Robert@use.salvationarmy.org <Leanne.Robert@use.salvationarmy.org>; Trinette.Ream@use.salvationarmy.org <Trinette.Ream@use.salvationarmy.org>; maeling_k@transitionsofpa.org <maeling_k@transitionsofpa.org>; heather_o@transitionsofpa.org <heather_o@transitionsofpa.org>; nina_b@transitionsofpa.org <nina_b@transitionsofpa.org>; Shannon_f@transitionsofpa.org <Shannon_f@transitionsofpa.org>; dbest@lehighchurches.org <dbest@lehighchurches.org>; dmalacsics@lehighchurches.org <dmalacsics@lehighchurches.org>; bstephens@lehighchurches.org <bstephens@lehighchurches.org>; branden@lcha-vhdc.org <branden@lcha-vhdc.org>; chris@lcha-vhdc.org <chris@lcha-vhdc.org>; ccassidy@newbethany.org <ccassidy@newbethany.org>; cmillsap@newbethany.org <cmillsap@newbethany.org>; branden@lcha-vhdc.org <branden@lcha-vhdc.org>; chris@lcha-vhdc.org <chris@lcha-vhdc.org>; farea.graybill@use.salvationarmy.org <farea.graybill@use.salvationarmy.org>; ismael.correa@use.salvationarmy.org <ismael.correa@use.salvationarmy.org>; giselle.garcia@use.salvationarmy.org <giselle.garcia@use.salvationarmy.org>; brenda.johnson@use.salvationarmy.org <brenda.johnson@use.salvationarmy.org>; abaratta@thirdstreetalliance.org <abaratta@thirdstreetalliance.org>; PYushimito@ThirdStreetAlliance.org <PYushimito@ThirdStreetAlliance.org>; jtawwab@thirdstreetalliance.org <jtawwab@thirdstreetalliance.org>; chris@lcha-vhdc.org <chris@lcha-vhdc.org>; jennifer@lcha-vhdc.org <jennifer@lcha-vhdc.org>; branden@lcha-vhdc.org <branden@lcha-vhdc.org>; ckrieger@valleyyouthhouse.org <ckrieger@valleyyouthhouse.org>; tbechtel@ValleyYouthHouse.org <tbechtel@ValleyYouthHouse.org>; hfertig@valleyyouthhouse.org <hfertig@valleyyouthhouse.org>; Jeff@clintoncountyhousing.com <Jeff@clintoncountyhousing.com>; jackie@clintoncountyhousing.com <jackie@clintoncountyhousing.com>; erosentel@cssdioceseofscranton.org <erosentel@cssdioceseofscranton.org>; mkendra@cssdioceseofscranton.org <mkendra@cssdioceseofscranton.org>; cbumford@fitzmaurice.org <cbumford@fitzmaurice.org>; chughes@fitzmaurice.org <chughes@fitzmaurice.org>; leisenbach@fitzmaurice.org <leisenbach@fitzmaurice.org>; Jozie Castaldo <jcastaldo@housingauthoritymonroecounty.org>; HAMC <hamc@ptd.net>; Linda Kaufman <lkaufman@housingauthoritymonroecounty.org>; Noel Duffy <rnd@sunlink.net>; Jennifer Yaworski <jyaworski@hdcnepa.org>; Kaitlin Crandall <kcrandall@hdcnepa.org>; Barbara Gomb <bgomb@ceopeoplehelpingpeople.org>; dkletsko@ceopeoplehelpingpeople.org <dkletsko@ceopeoplehelpingpeople.org>; ksmith@monroecountypa.gov <ksmith@monroecountypa.gov>; Smartt, Kenyatta <KSmartt@monroecountypa.gov>; Gary Vinson <gary.vinson@rhd.org>; Leslie.Perryman@rhd.org <Leslie.Perryman@rhd.org>; lmormando@rhd.org <lmormando@rhd.org>; Heather Miszler <HMiszler@waynecountypa.gov>; PWilson@waynecountypa.gov <PWilson@waynecountypa.gov>; Andrea Rosa <andrearosa@sam-inc.org>; nluckovich@sam-inc.org <nluckovich@sam-inc.org>; Rocko Purvis <rpurvis@sam-inc.org>; Faith R. Ryan <frryan@centrecountypa.gov>; Michelle A. Hamilton <mahamilton@centrecountypa.gov>; Jeff Doebler <jdoebler@centrecountypa.gov>; Wendy Melius <wmelius@ccaofpa.org>; Natasha Brubaker <nbrubaker@ccaofpa.org>; Tiffany Jones <tjones@ccaofpa.org>; Lisa Phillips <lphillips@capfsc.org>; agarrison@tableland.org <agarrison@tableland.org>; Stacy Yurko <slyurko@franklincountypa.gov>; Melodie Hoff <mshoff@franklincountypa.gov>; Morgan Wasikonis <mwasikonis@housingtransitions.org>; Shannon Newby <shannon.n@housingtransitions.org>; Matt Barczak <mbarczak@nccdc.com>; Vicki Vasile <vvasile@nccdc.com>; Kim Amsler <kamsler@uwp.org>; Kristen Rotz <krotz@uwp.org>; Amy Rumbel <arumbel@pcadv.org>; Jessica Stringer <jstringer@pcadv.org>; Tara Ulrich

<TUlrich@pcadv.org>; acantrell@pcadv.org <acantrell@pcadv.org>; amariehl@pa.gov <amariehl@pa.gov>; breauman@pa.gov <breauman@pa.gov>; Roxann Tyger <rtyger@womenshelpcenter.org>; BC Housing - Mary Sturdevant <bchousing@BradfordCountyPA.gov>

Cc Leigh Howard <leigh@dma-housing.com>; Christy Rubenstein <christy@dma-housing.com>; jessica@dma-housing.com <jessica@dma-housing.com>; Fern Cutler <fern@dma-housing.com>; Eastern PA CoC Staff <easternpa@dma-housing.com>; lauren@dma-housing.com <lauren@dma-housing.com>

This email is being sent to all Eastern CoC renewal and new project applicants. Below please find notice that went out a few minutes ago via the CoC mailing list related to renewal and new project selection and ranking for the FY24 CoC NOFO Competition. At this link you can find the ranking/tiering of all accepted projects, to be submitted to HUD later this month on the Eastern PA CoC 2024 Project Priority List. Please note that this notification has also been publicly posted to the CoC website here: https://pennsylvaniacoc.org/news/2024-eastern-pa-coc-renewal-and-new-project-selection. Thank you for all of your work in putting together applications for the FY4 CoC Competition. We anticipate that HUD will release the FY24 CoC NOFO Competition award announcements sometime in spring 2025.

If anyone on your team is not receiving the CoC mailing list, they can sign up here: https://pennsylvaniacoc.us19.list-manage.com/subscribe?u=691833f4d20a0417787b47dbe&id=a29f90a000.

Thank you,
DMA Team

--
DMA - Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
CoC Consultants for the Eastern PA Balance of State CoC
(215) 576-1558
easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org

From: Eastern PA Continuum of Care <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 8:36 AM
To: Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>
Subject: Notification to the Eastern PA CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection and Ranking (FY24 CoC NOFO Competition)

View this email in your browser.

Connect to Home

Eastern PA Continuum of Care

Notification to the Eastern PA CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection and Ranking (FY2024 CoC NOFO Competition)

Dear Eastern PA Continuum of Care grantees, members and stakeholders:

Below is an important notice regarding the FY2024 CoC NOFO Competition.

This email summarizes the list and status of all projects submitted to the Eastern PA CoC as part of the FY2024 CoC NOFO competition. This includes: renewal projects that have been accepted for funding at their full renewal amount; renewal projects that have been accepted but partially reallocated; renewal projects fully reallocated; new projects accepted for funding at or above the requested amount. There were no new project applications approved for less than the requested budget or that were not selected for funding.

At this link you can find the ranking/tiering of all accepted projects, to be submitted to HUD later this month on the Eastern PA CoC 2024 Project Priority List. Please note that this notification has also been publicly posted to the CoC website here: https://pennsylvaniacoc.org/news/2024-eastern-pa-coc-renewal-and-new-project-selection.

Please note that organizations with renewal projects subject to full or partial reallocation, and those that submitted a new project application that was not

selected or approved at a reduced amount, have been informed of the CoC's decision prior to the distribution of this email.

RENEWAL PROJECTS

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD. Each of these projects will be submitted at the full Annual Renewal Amount, as indicated on the CoC's 2024 Grant Inventory Worksheet:

- Rank: 1; County of Franklin, PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project; Budget: $282,916; Score: 96.9
- Rank: 2; Tableland Services, Inc., PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project; Budget: $159,433; Score: 94.9
- Rank: 3; Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation, PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application; Budget: $285,186; Score: 92.3
- Rank: 4; Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing; Budget: $137,930; Score: 91.6
- Rank: 5; Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families; Budget: $343,892; Score: 89.7
- Rank: 6; Centre County Government, PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program; Budget: $399,407; Score: 89.2
- Rank: 7; Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth; Budget: $680,911; Score: 89.2
- Rank: 8; Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP; Budget: $125,926; Score: 88.7
- Rank: 9; Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0519-PSHP Pike County; Budget: $116,282; Score: 88.7
- Rank: 10; The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, PA0655 Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project; Budget: $83,791; Score: 87.7
- Rank: 11; Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0582 HDC SHP 6; Budget: $176,360; Score: 86.9
- Rank: 12; Clinton County Housing Coalition, Inc., PA0966 CCHC Regional Rapid Rehousing; Budget: $230,948; Score: 85.6
- Rank: 13; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless; Budget: $71,545; Score: 85.5
- Rank: 14; County of Franklin, PA0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project; Budget: $101,556; Score: 85.1

- Rank: 15; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing; Budget: $213,641; Score: 84.6
- Rank: 16; Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc, PA0214- Pathfinders PSH; Budget: $264,687; Score: 84.4
- Rank: 17; Transitions of PA, PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims; Budget: $114,095; Score: 84.4
- Rank: 19; Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0384 HDC SHP 3; Budget: $182,623; Score: 83.2
- Rank: 20; The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, PA0634 Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program; Budget: $200,561; Score: 83.1
- Rank: 21; Tableland Services, Inc., PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities; Budget: $406,271; Score: 81.0
- Rank: 22; Lehigh County Housing Authority, PA0215 LCHA S+C; Budget: $268,714; Score: 79.0
- Rank: 23; Third Street Alliance for Women & Children, PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program; Budget: $435,088; Score: 79.0
- Rank: 24; Center for Community Action, PA0813 South Central PA RRH; Budget: $320,289; Score: 79.0
- Rank: 25; Center for Community Action, PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH; Budget: $121,363; Score: 75.5
- Rank: 26; Valley Housing Development Corporation, PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3; Budget: $449,129; Score: 75.1
- Rank: 27; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation; Budget: $1,206,091; Score: 74.9
- Rank: 28; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program; Budget: $49,544; Score: 74.2
- Rank: 29; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, PA0188 Commonwealth of PA HMIS (PA-509); Budget: $374,099; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 30; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA0736 - Connect To Home Coordinated Entry Project FY; Budget: $617,716; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 31; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA0927 Coordinated Entry Specialist for Domestic Violence; Budget: $85,668; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 32; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA1084 Enhanced East CES; Budget: $331,049; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure

- Rank: 33; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA1119 Eastern PA CoC DV CE Extension; Budget: $313,905; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 34; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA1029 Pathways RRH; Budget: $442,116; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored/ranked
- Rank: 35; Service Access and Management Inc., PA1031 Rapid Re-Housing Franklin County; Budget: $152,888; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored/ranked
- Rank: 36; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA1088 - FY23 Eastern PA CoC DV RRH Consolidation; Budget: $6,429,810; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored/ranked
- Rank: 37; New Bethany, Inc. DBA New Bethany Ministries, PA1086 New Bethany Rapid Rehousing; Budget: $153,201; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored
- Rank: 38; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA1137 - NEW Eastern PA CoC DV RRH; Budget: $1,935,238; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 39; Monroe, County of, PA1087 Pocono Mountains Rapid Re-Housing Project; Budget: $277,017; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 40; Center for Community Action, PA0372 - Blair County Community Action Program-Rapid Re-Housing Program; Budget: $1,064,678; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored due to grant transfer
- Rank: 41; Center for Community Action, PA1138 - Central Valley JMSU RRH; Budget: $361,796; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 42; Transitions of PA, PA1139 - Transitions of PA PSH for Snyder and Northumberland Counties; Budget: $79,681; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 43; Housing Transitions, Inc., PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments; Budget: $271,018; Score: 71.8
- Rank: 44; Transitions of PA, PA0445 Transitions of PA Permanent Supportive Housing; Budget: $241,408; Score: 71.8
- Rank: 46; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0447 - PSH Consolidated; Budget: $669,360; Score: 69.7

- Rank: 48; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon; Budget: $174,028; Score: 59.9

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be included and ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD for an amount less than the Annual Renewal Amount indicated on the 2024 Grant Inventory Worksheet (partial reallocation):

- Rank: 18; Resources for Human Development, PA0206-Crossroads Individuals; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $439,617; Reallocated funds: $28,435; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $411,182; Score: 84.1; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization
- Rank: 45; Resources for Human Development, PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus; Expansion; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $290,173; Reallocated funds: $67,740; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $222,433; Score: 69.9; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization
- Rank: 47; Resources for Human Development, PA0205-Crossroads Family; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $322,502; Reallocated funds: $33,028; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $289,474; Score: 63.4; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization

The following renewal projects are being fully reallocated. These projects will not be included/ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD.

- Rank: N/A; Valley Housing Development Corporation (transfer from Northampton County Housing Authority), PA0212 NCHA S+C; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $112,107; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $0 (full reallocation); Score: 63.9; Reason: Performance concerns related to transferred grant
- Rank: N/A; Wayne County, PA0883 - Wayne County Joint TH/RRH; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $97,090; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $0 (full reallocation); Score: 90.6; Reason: History of underspending and underutilization
- Rank: N/A; Housing Authority of Monroe County, PA0219 Shelter Plus Care MC; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $150,477; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $0 (full reallocation); Score: 67.2; Reason: History of underspending and underutilization

NEW PROJECTS

The following new projects have been accepted for inclusion on the New Project Priority List for CoC Bonus and/or reallocated funding and will be ranked on the CoC's New Project Priority List:

- Rank: 49; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA1084 Enhanced East CES - Expansion; Approved Budget: $192,026; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 50; Lehigh Conference of Churches, Rapid Exit 1; Approved Budget: $333,922; Score: 83
- Rank: 51; Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 PSH Expansion; Approved Budget: $255,464; Score: 85
- Rank: 52; Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA1029 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $333,053; Score: 85
- Rank: 53; Women's Help Center, Home Again Program; Approved Budget: $289,285; Score: 82
- Rank: 54; Valley Youth House, PA0808 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $80,804; Score: 76
- Rank: 55; New Bethany, PA1086 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $155,420; Score: 75
- Rank: 56; Tableland, New TH-RRH; Approved Budget: $156,238; Score: 71
- Rank: 57; County of Franklin, Franklin County Family Permanent Supportive Housing; Approved Budget: $85,747; Score: 66
- Rank: 58; Centre County Government, PA0814 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $211,402; Score: 63
- Rank: 59; Center for Community Action, PA0372 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $197,098; Score: 62
- Rank: 60; Bradford County Human Services, Bradford County Human Services RRH Project; Approved Budget: $270,701; Score: 61
- Rank: 61; Service Access and Management, PA1031 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $55,491; Score: 56
- Rank: 62; Housing Authority of Cumberland County, PA0447 PSH Expansion; Approved Budget: $93,500; Score: 51
- Rank: 63; Lehigh Conference of Churches, Rapid Exit 2; Approved Budget: $516,625; Score: 83

The following new project has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for DV Bonus funding:

- Rank: 64; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, DV Bonus New RRH (PA1137 RRH Expansion); Approved Budget: $3,306,092 (max DV bonus amount for which CoC can apply); Score: 75

The following new project has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for CoC Planning:

- Rank: n/a; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Budget: $1,140,791.

There were no new project preliminary applications that were reduced or fully rejected/not accepted by the CoC.

If you have any questions, please contact easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org. Thank you for your participation in the Eastern PA CoC and your ongoing commitment and efforts to end homelessness.

Join the Eastern PA CoC ... click here to become a member

Visit the Eastern PA CoC's website

Copyright © 2024 Eastern PA Continuum of Care, All rights reserved.

Our mailing address is:
c/o Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
7900 Old York Road, Suite 116-A, Elkins Park, PA 19027

If you were forwarded this email and want to sign up for the CoC mailing list, you can sign up here.

Want to change how you receive these emails?
You can update your preferences or unsubscribe from this list.

FY 2024

PA-509 EASTERN PA COC

1E-5a. Notification of Projects Accepted

Documents include the following:

- **Public notice of projects accepted/rejected/reduced (10/8/24)**
  - **Public posting on CoC website**
  - **CoC mailing list public notice -- Forward to all CoC renewal and new project applicants**

Public posting on CoC website of projects accepted/rejected/reduced

EASTERN COC

Eastern PA CoC - Renewal and New Project Selection and Ranking

8 October 2024

Dear Eastern PA Continuum of Care grantees, members and stakeholders:

Below is an important notice regarding the FY 2024 CoC NOFO Competition.

This email summarizes the list and status of all projects submitted to the Eastern PA CoC as part of the FY2024 CoC NOFO competition. This includes: renewal projects that have been accepted for funding at their full renewal amount; renewal projects that have been accepted but partially reallocated; renewal projects fully reallocated; new projects accepted for funding at or above the requested amount. There were no new project applications approved for less than the requested budget or that were not selected for funding.

At this link you can find the ranking/tiering of all accepted projects, to be submitted to HUD later this month on the Eastern PA CoC 2024 Project Priority List.

Please note that organizations with renewal projects subject to full or partial reallocation, and those that submitted a new project application that was not selected or approved at a reduced

Tags

Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA)

Continuum of Care

At this link you can find the ranking/tiering of all accepted projects, to be submitted to HUD later this month on the Eastern PA CoC 2024 Project Priority List.

Please note that organizations with renewal projects subject to full or partial reallocation, and those that submitted a new project application that was not selected or approved at a reduced amount, have been informed of the CoC's decision prior to the distribution of this email.

RENEWAL PROJECTS

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD. Each of these projects will be submitted at the full Annual Renewal Amount, as indicated on the CoC's 2024 Grant Inventory Worksheet:

- Rank: 1; County of Franklin, PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project; Budget: $282,916; Score: 96.9
- Rank: 2; Tableland Services, Inc., PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project; Budget: $159,433; Score: 94.9
- Rank: 3; Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation, PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application; Budget: $285,186; Score: 92.3
- Rank: 4; Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing; Budget: $137,930; Score: 91.6
- Rank: 5; Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families; Budget: $343,892; Score: 89.7
- Rank: 6; Centre County Government, PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program; Budget: $399,407; Score: 89.2
- Rank: 7; Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth; Budget: $680,911; Score: 89.2
- Rank: 8; Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP; Budget: $125,926; Score: 88.7
- Rank: 9; Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0519-PSHP Pike County; Budget: $116,282; Score: 88.7
- Rank: 10; The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, PA0655 Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project; Budget: $83,791; Score: 87.7
- Rank: 11; Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0582 HDC SHP 6; Budget: $176,360; Score: 86.9

$176,360; Score: 86.9

- Rank: 12; Clinton County Housing Coalition, Inc., PA0966 CCHC Regional Rapid Rehousing; Budget: $230,948; Score: 85.6
- Rank: 13; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless; Budget: $71,545; Score: 85.5
- Rank: 14; County of Franklin, PA0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project; Budget: $101,556; Score: 85.1
- Rank: 15; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing; Budget: $213,641; Score: 84.6
- Rank: 16; Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc, PA0214- Pathfinders PSH; Budget: $264,687; Score: 84.4
- Rank: 17; Transitions of PA, PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims; Budget: $114,095; Score: 84.4
- Rank: 19; Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0384 HDC SHP 3; Budget: $182,623; Score: 83.2
- Rank: 20; The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, PA0634 Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program; Budget: $200,561; Score: 83.1
- Rank: 21; Tableland Services, Inc., PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities; Budget: $406,271; Score: 81.0
- Rank: 22; Lehigh County Housing Authority, PA0215 LCHA S+C; Budget: $268,714; Score: 79.0
- Rank: 23; Third Street Alliance for Women & Children, PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program; Budget: $435,088; Score: 79.0
- Rank: 24; Center for Community Action, PA0813 South Central PA RRH; Budget: $320,289; Score: 79.0
- Rank: 25; Center for Community Action, PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH; Budget: $121,363; Score: 75.5
- Rank: 26; Valley Housing Development Corporation, PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3; Budget: $449,129; Score: 75.1
- Rank: 27; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation; Budget: $1,206,091; Score: 74.9
- Rank: 28; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program; Budget: $49,544; Score: 74.2

- Rank: 27; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation; Budget: $1,206,091; Score: 74.9
- Rank: 28; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program; Budget: $49,544; Score: 74.2
- Rank: 29; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, PA0188 Commonwealth of PA HMIS (PA-509); Budget: $374,099; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 30; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA0736 - Connect To Home Coordinated Entry Project FY; Budget: $617,716; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 31; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA0927 Coordinated Entry Specialist for Domestic Violence; Budget: $85,668; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 32; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA1084 Enhanced East CES; Budget: $331,049; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 33; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA1119 Eastern PA CoC DV CE Extension; Budget: $313,905; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 34; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA1029 Pathways RRH; Budget: $442,116; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored/ranked
- Rank: 35; Service Access and Management Inc., PA1031 Rapid Re-Housing Franklin County; Budget: $152,888; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored/ranked
- Rank: 36; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA1088 - FY23 Eastern PA CoC DV RRH Consolidation; Budget: $6,429,810; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored/ranked
- Rank: 37; New Bethany, Inc. DBA New Bethany Ministries, PA1086 New Bethany Rapid Rehousing; Budget: $153,201; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored
- Rank: 38; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA1137 - NEW Eastern PA CoC DV RRH; Budget: $1,935,238; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 39; Monroe, County of, PA1087 Pocono Mountains Rapid Re-Housing Project; Budget: $277,017; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 40; Center for Community Action, PA0372 - Blair County Community Action

PA CoC DV RRH; Budget: $1,935,238; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored

- Rank: 39; Monroe, County of, PA1087 Pocono Mountains Rapid Re-Housing Project; Budget: $277,017; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 40; Center for Community Action, PA0372 - Blair County Community Action Program-Rapid Re-Housing Program; Budget: $1,064,678; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored due to grant transfer
- Rank: 41; Center for Community Action, PA1138 - Central Valley JMSU RRH; Budget: $361,796; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 42; Transitions of PA, PA1139 - Transitions of PA PSH for Snyder and Northumberland Counties; Budget: $79,681; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 43; Housing Transitions, Inc., PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments; Budget: $271,018; Score: 71.8
- Rank: 44; Transitions of PA, PA0445 Transitions of PA Permanent Supportive Housing; Budget: $241,408; Score: 71.8
- Rank: 46; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0447 - PSH Consolidated; Budget: $669,360; Score: 69.7
- Rank: 48; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon; Budget: $174,028; Score: 59.9

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be included and ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD for an amount less than the Annual Renewal Amount indicated on the 2024 Grant Inventory Worksheet (partial reallocation):

- Rank: 18; Resources for Human Development, PA0206-Crossroads Individuals; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $439,617; Reallocated funds: $28,435; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $411,182; Score: 84.1; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization
- Rank: 45; Resources for Human Development, PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus; Expansion; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $290,173; Reallocated funds: $67,740; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $222,433; Score: 69.9; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization

- Rank: 45; Resources for Human Development, PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus; Expansion; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $290,173; Reallocated funds: $67,740; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $222,433; Score: 69.9; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization
- Rank: 47; Resources for Human Development, PA0205-Crossroads Family; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $322,502; Reallocated funds: $33,028; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $289,474; Score: 63.4; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization

The following renewal projects are being fully reallocated. These projects will not be included/ ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD.

- Rank: N/A; Valley Housing Development Corporation (transfer from Northampton County Housing Authority), PA0212 NCHA S+C; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $112,107; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $0 (full reallocation); Score: 63.9; Reason: Performance concerns related to transferred grant
- Rank: N/A; Wayne County, PA0883 - Wayne County Joint TH/RRH; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $97,090; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $0 (full reallocation); Score: 90.6; Reason: History of underspending and underutilization
- Rank: N/A; Housing Authority of Monroe County, PA0219 Shelter Plus Care MC; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $150,477; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $0 (full reallocation); Score: 67.2; Reason: History of underspending and underutilization

NEW PROJECTS

The following new projects have been accepted for inclusion on the New Project Priority List for CoC Bonus and/or reallocated funding and will be ranked on the CoC's New Project Priority List:

- Rank: 49; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA1084 Enhanced East CES - Expansion; Approved Budget: $192,026; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 50; Lehigh Conference of Churches, Rapid Exit 1; Approved Budget: $333,922; Score: 83

- Rank: 49; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA1084 Enhanced East CES - Expansion; Approved Budget: $192,026; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 50; Lehigh Conference of Churches, Rapid Exit 1; Approved Budget: $333,922; Score: 83
- Rank: 51; Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 PSH Expansion; Approved Budget: $255,464; Score: 85
- Rank: 52; Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA1029 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $333,053; Score: 85
- Rank: 53; Women's Help Center, Home Again Program; Approved Budget: $289,285; Score: 82
- Rank: 54; Valley Youth House, PA0808 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $80,804; Score: 76
- Rank: 55; New Bethany, PA1086 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $155,420; Score: 75
- Rank: 56; Tableland, New TH-RRH; Approved Budget: $156,238; Score: 71
- Rank: 57; County of Franklin, Franklin County Family Permanent Supportive Housing; Approved Budget: $85,747; Score: 66
- Rank: 58; Centre County Government, PA0814 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $211,402; Score: 63
- Rank: 59; Center for Community Action, PA0372 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $197,098; Score: 62
- Rank: 60; Bradford County Human Services, Bradford County Human Services RRH Project; Approved Budget: $270,701; Score: 61
- Rank: 61; Service Access and Management, PA1031 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $55,491; Score: 56
- Rank: 62; Housing Authority of Cumberland County, PA0447 PSH Expansion; Approved Budget: $93,500; Score: 51
- Rank: 63; Lehigh Conference of Churches, Rapid Exit 2; Approved Budget: $516,625; Score: 83

The following new project has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for DV Bonus funding:

Score: 83

The following new project has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for DV Bonus funding:

- Rank: 64; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, DV Bonus New RRH (PA1137 RRH Expansion); Approved Budget: $3,306,092 (max DV bonus amount for which CoC can apply); Score: 75

The following new project has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for CoC Planning:

- Rank: n/a; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Budget: $1,140,791.

There were no new project preliminary applications that were reduced or fully rejected/not accepted by the CoC.

If you have any questions, please contact easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org.
Thank you for your participation in the Eastern PA CoC and your ongoing commitment and efforts to end homelessness.

Related News

WESTERN COC

Western PA CoC - Renewal and New Project Selection and Ranking

Dear Western PA Continuum of Care grantees, members and stakeholders: Below is an important notice regarding the FY 2024 CoC NOFO Competition. This...

CoC mailing list public notice of projects accepted/rejected/reduced -- Forward to all CoC renewal and new project applicants

Outlook

Fw: Notification to the Eastern PA CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection and Ranking (FY24 CoC NOFO Competition)

From Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>

Date Tue 10/8/2024 8:46 AM

To jmatulevich@embarqmail.com <jmatulevich@embarqmail.com>; phpjem@gmail.com <phpjem@gmail.com>; Kellie Crawford <kcrawford@cchra.com>; mkuna@cchra.com <mkuna@cchra.com>; htidwell@cchra.com <htidwell@cchra.com>; bmiller@cchra.com <bmiller@cchra.com>; Leslie.Perryman@rhd.org <Leslie.Perryman@rhd.org>; lmormando@rhd.org <lmormando@rhd.org>; farea.graybill@use.salvationarmy.org <farea.graybill@use.salvationarmy.org>; Leanne.Robert@use.salvationarmy.org <Leanne.Robert@use.salvationarmy.org>; Trinette.Ream@use.salvationarmy.org <Trinette.Ream@use.salvationarmy.org>; maeling_k@transitionsofpa.org <maeling_k@transitionsofpa.org>; heather_o@transitionsofpa.org <heather_o@transitionsofpa.org>; nina_b@transitionsofpa.org <nina_b@transitionsofpa.org>; Shannon_f@transitionsofpa.org <Shannon_f@transitionsofpa.org>; dbest@lehighchurches.org <dbest@lehighchurches.org>; dmalacsics@lehighchurches.org <dmalacsics@lehighchurches.org>; bstephens@lehighchurches.org <bstephens@lehighchurches.org>; branden@lcha-vhdc.org <branden@lcha-vhdc.org>; chris@lcha-vhdc.org <chris@lcha-vhdc.org>; ccassidy@newbethany.org <ccassidy@newbethany.org>; cmillsap@newbethany.org <cmillsap@newbethany.org>; branden@lcha-vhdc.org <branden@lcha-vhdc.org>; chris@lcha-vhdc.org <chris@lcha-vhdc.org>; farea.graybill@use.salvationarmy.org <farea.graybill@use.salvationarmy.org>; ismael.correa@use.salvationarmy.org <ismael.correa@use.salvationarmy.org>; giselle.garcia@use.salvationarmy.org <giselle.garcia@use.salvationarmy.org>; brenda.johnson@use.salvationarmy.org <brenda.johnson@use.salvationarmy.org>; abaratta@thirdstreetalliance.org <abaratta@thirdstreetalliance.org>; PYushimito@ThirdStreetAlliance.org <PYushimito@ThirdStreetAlliance.org>; jtawwab@thirdstreetalliance.org <jtawwab@thirdstreetalliance.org>; chris@lcha-vhdc.org <chris@lcha-vhdc.org>; jennifer@lcha-vhdc.org <jennifer@lcha-vhdc.org>; branden@lcha-vhdc.org <branden@lcha-vhdc.org>; ckrieger@valleyyouthhouse.org <ckrieger@valleyyouthhouse.org>; tbechtel@ValleyYouthHouse.org <tbechtel@ValleyYouthHouse.org>; hfertig@valleyyouthhouse.org <hfertig@valleyyouthhouse.org>; Jeff@clintoncountyhousing.com <Jeff@clintoncountyhousing.com>; jackie@clintoncountyhousing.com <jackie@clintoncountyhousing.com>; erosentel@cssdioceseofscranton.org <erosentel@cssdioceseofscranton.org>; mkendra@cssdioceseofscranton.org <mkendra@cssdioceseofscranton.org>; cbumford@fitzmaurice.org <cbumford@fitzmaurice.org>; chughes@fitzmaurice.org <chughes@fitzmaurice.org>; leisenbach@fitzmaurice.org <leisenbach@fitzmaurice.org>; Jozie Castaldo <jcastaldo@housingauthoritymonroecounty.org>; HAMC <hamc@ptd.net>; Linda Kaufman <lkaufman@housingauthoritymonroecounty.org>; Noel Duffy <rnd@sunlink.net>; Jennifer Yaworski <jyaworski@hdcnepa.org>; Kaitlin Crandall <kcrandall@hdcnepa.org>; Barbara Gomb <bgomb@ceopeoplehelpingpeople.org>; dkletsko@ceopeoplehelpingpeople.org <dkletsko@ceopeoplehelpingpeople.org>; ksmith@monroecountypa.gov <ksmith@monroecountypa.gov>; Smartt, Kenyatta <KSmartt@monroecountypa.gov>; Gary Vinson <gary.vinson@rhd.org>; Leslie.Perryman@rhd.org <Leslie.Perryman@rhd.org>; lmormando@rhd.org <lmormando@rhd.org>; Heather Miszler <HMiszler@waynecountypa.gov>; PWilson@waynecountypa.gov <PWilson@waynecountypa.gov>; Andrea Rosa <andrearosa@sam-inc.org>; nluckovich@sam-inc.org <nluckovich@sam-inc.org>; Rocko Purvis <rpurvis@sam-inc.org>; Faith R. Ryan <frryan@centrecountypa.gov>; Michelle A. Hamilton <mahamilton@centrecountypa.gov>; Jeff Doebler <jdoebler@centrecountypa.gov>; Wendy Melius <wmelius@ccaofpa.org>; Natasha Brubaker <nbrubaker@ccaofpa.org>; Tiffany Jones <tjones@ccaofpa.org>; Lisa Phillips <lphillips@capfsc.org>; agarrison@tableland.org <agarrison@tableland.org>; Stacy Yurko <slyurko@franklincountypa.gov>; Melodie Hoff <mshoff@franklincountypa.gov>; Morgan Wasikonis <mwasikonis@housingtransitions.org>; Shannon Newby <shannon.n@housingtransitions.org>; Matt Barczak <mbarczak@nccdc.com>; Vicki Vasile <vvasile@nccdc.com>; Kim Amsler <kamsler@uwp.org>; Kristen Rotz <krotz@uwp.org>; Amy Rumbel <arumbel@pcadv.org>; Jessica Stringer <jstringer@pcadv.org>; Tara Ulrich

<TUlrich@pcadv.org>; acantrell@pcadv.org <acantrell@pcadv.org>; amariehl@pa.gov <amariehl@pa.gov>; breauman@pa.gov <breauman@pa.gov>; Roxann Tyger <rtyger@womenshelpcenter.org>; BC Housing - Mary Sturdevant <bchousing@BradfordCountyPA.gov>

Cc Leigh Howard <leigh@dma-housing.com>; Christy Rubenstein <christy@dma-housing.com>; jessica@dma-housing.com <jessica@dma-housing.com>; Fern Cutler <fern@dma-housing.com>; Eastern PA CoC Staff <easternpa@dma-housing.com>; lauren@dma-housing.com <lauren@dma-housing.com>

This email is being sent to all Eastern CoC renewal and new project applicants. Below please find notice that went out a few minutes ago via the CoC mailing list related to renewal and new project selection and ranking for the FY24 CoC NOFO Competition. At this link you can find the ranking/tiering of all accepted projects, to be submitted to HUD later this month on the Eastern PA CoC 2024 Project Priority List. Please note that this notification has also been publicly posted to the CoC website here: https://pennsylvaniacoc.org/news/2024-eastern-pa-coc-renewal-and-new-project-selection. Thank you for all of your work in putting together applications for the FY4 CoC Competition. We anticipate that HUD will release the FY24 CoC NOFO Competition award announcements sometime in spring 2025.

If anyone on your team is not receiving the CoC mailing list, they can sign up here: https://pennsylvaniacoc.us19.list-manage.com/subscribe?u=691833f4d20a0417787b47dbe&id=a29f90a000.

Thank you,
DMA Team

--
DMA - Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
CoC Consultants for the Eastern PA Balance of State CoC
(215) 576-1558
easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org

From: Eastern PA Continuum of Care <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 8:36 AM
To: Eastern PA CoC <easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org>
Subject: Notification to the Eastern PA CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection and Ranking (FY24 CoC NOFO Competition)

View this email in your browser.

Connect to Home

Eastern PA Continuum of Care

Notification to the Eastern PA CoC of Renewal and New Project Selection and Ranking (FY2024 CoC NOFO Competition)

Dear Eastern PA Continuum of Care grantees, members and stakeholders:

Below is an important notice regarding the FY2024 CoC NOFO Competition.

This email summarizes the list and status of all projects submitted to the Eastern PA CoC as part of the FY2024 CoC NOFO competition. This includes: renewal projects that have been accepted for funding at their full renewal amount; renewal projects that have been accepted but partially reallocated; renewal projects fully reallocated; new projects accepted for funding at or above the requested amount. There were no new project applications approved for less than the requested budget or that were not selected for funding.

At this link you can find the ranking/tiering of all accepted projects, to be submitted to HUD later this month on the Eastern PA CoC 2024 Project Priority List. Please note that this notification has also been publicly posted to the CoC website here: https://pennsylvaniacoc.org/news/2024-eastern-pa-coc-renewal-and-new-project-selection.

Please note that organizations with renewal projects subject to full or partial reallocation, and those that submitted a new project application that was not

selected or approved at a reduced amount, have been informed of the CoC's decision prior to the distribution of this email.

RENEWAL PROJECTS

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD. Each of these projects will be submitted at the full Annual Renewal Amount, as indicated on the CoC's 2024 Grant Inventory Worksheet:

- Rank: 1; County of Franklin, PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project; Budget: $282,916; Score: 96.9
- Rank: 2; Tableland Services, Inc., PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project; Budget: $159,433; Score: 94.9
- Rank: 3; Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation, PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application; Budget: $285,186; Score: 92.3
- Rank: 4; Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing; Budget: $137,930; Score: 91.6
- Rank: 5; Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families; Budget: $343,892; Score: 89.7
- Rank: 6; Centre County Government, PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program; Budget: $399,407; Score: 89.2
- Rank: 7; Valley Youth House Committee, Inc., PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth; Budget: $680,911; Score: 89.2
- Rank: 8; Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP; Budget: $125,926; Score: 88.7
- Rank: 9; Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc., PA0519-PSHP Pike County; Budget: $116,282; Score: 88.7
- Rank: 10; The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, PA0655 Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project; Budget: $83,791; Score: 87.7
- Rank: 11; Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0582 HDC SHP 6; Budget: $176,360; Score: 86.9
- Rank: 12; Clinton County Housing Coalition, Inc., PA0966 CCHC Regional Rapid Rehousing; Budget: $230,948; Score: 85.6
- Rank: 13; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless; Budget: $71,545; Score: 85.5
- Rank: 14; County of Franklin, PA0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project; Budget: $101,556; Score: 85.1

- Rank: 15; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing; Budget: $213,641; Score: 84.6
- Rank: 16; Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc, PA0214- Pathfinders PSH; Budget: $264,687; Score: 84.4
- Rank: 17; Transitions of PA, PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims; Budget: $114,095; Score: 84.4
- Rank: 19; Housing Development Corporation of NEPA, PA0384 HDC SHP 3; Budget: $182,623; Score: 83.2
- Rank: 20; The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation, PA0634 Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program; Budget: $200,561; Score: 83.1
- Rank: 21; Tableland Services, Inc., PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities; Budget: $406,271; Score: 81.0
- Rank: 22; Lehigh County Housing Authority, PA0215 LCHA S+C; Budget: $268,714; Score: 79.0
- Rank: 23; Third Street Alliance for Women & Children, PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program; Budget: $435,088; Score: 79.0
- Rank: 24; Center for Community Action, PA0813 South Central PA RRH; Budget: $320,289; Score: 79.0
- Rank: 25; Center for Community Action, PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH; Budget: $121,363; Score: 75.5
- Rank: 26; Valley Housing Development Corporation, PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3; Budget: $449,129; Score: 75.1
- Rank: 27; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation; Budget: $1,206,091; Score: 74.9
- Rank: 28; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program; Budget: $49,544; Score: 74.2
- Rank: 29; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, PA0188 Commonwealth of PA HMIS (PA-509); Budget: $374,099; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 30; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA0736 - Connect To Home Coordinated Entry Project FY; Budget: $617,716; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 31; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA0927 Coordinated Entry Specialist for Domestic Violence; Budget: $85,668; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 32; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA1084 Enhanced East CES; Budget: $331,049; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure

- Rank: 33; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA1119 Eastern PA CoC DV CE Extension; Budget: $313,905; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 34; The Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA1029 Pathways RRH; Budget: $442,116; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored/ranked
- Rank: 35; Service Access and Management Inc., PA1031 Rapid Re-Housing Franklin County; Budget: $152,888; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored/ranked
- Rank: 36; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA1088 - FY23 Eastern PA CoC DV RRH Consolidation; Budget: $6,429,810; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored/ranked
- Rank: 37; New Bethany, Inc. DBA New Bethany Ministries, PA1086 New Bethany Rapid Rehousing; Budget: $153,201; Score: N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough to be competitively scored
- Rank: 38; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, PA1137 - NEW Eastern PA CoC DV RRH; Budget: $1,935,238; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 39; Monroe, County of, PA1087 Pocono Mountains Rapid Re-Housing Project; Budget: $277,017; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 40; Center for Community Action, PA0372 - Blair County Community Action Program-Rapid Re-Housing Program; Budget: $1,064,678; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored due to grant transfer
- Rank: 41; Center for Community Action, PA1138 - Central Valley JMSU RRH; Budget: $361,796; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 42; Transitions of PA, PA1139 - Transitions of PA PSH for Snyder and Northumberland Counties; Budget: $79,681; Score: N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored
- Rank: 43; Housing Transitions, Inc., PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments; Budget: $271,018; Score: 71.8
- Rank: 44; Transitions of PA, PA0445 Transitions of PA Permanent Supportive Housing; Budget: $241,408; Score: 71.8
- Rank: 46; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0447 - PSH Consolidated; Budget: $669,360; Score: 69.7

- Rank: 48; Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland, PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon; Budget: $174,028; Score: 59.9

The following renewal projects have been accepted by the CoC and will be included and ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD for an amount less than the Annual Renewal Amount indicated on the 2024 Grant Inventory Worksheet (partial reallocation):

- Rank: 18; Resources for Human Development, PA0206-Crossroads Individuals; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $439,617; Reallocated funds: $28,435; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $411,182; Score: 84.1; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization
- Rank: 45; Resources for Human Development, PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus; Expansion; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $290,173; Reallocated funds: $67,740; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $222,433; Score: 69.9; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization
- Rank: 47; Resources for Human Development, PA0205-Crossroads Family; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $322,502; Reallocated funds: $33,028; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $289,474; Score: 63.4; Reason: Voluntary reduction due to history of underspending/underutilization

The following renewal projects are being fully reallocated. These projects will not be included/ranked on the Renewal Priority Listing submitted to HUD.

- Rank: N/A; Valley Housing Development Corporation (transfer from Northampton County Housing Authority), PA0212 NCHA S+C; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $112,107; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $0 (full reallocation); Score: 63.9; Reason: Performance concerns related to transferred grant
- Rank: N/A; Wayne County, PA0883 - Wayne County Joint TH/RRH; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $97,090; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $0 (full reallocation); Score: 90.6; Reason: History of underspending and underutilization
- Rank: N/A; Housing Authority of Monroe County, PA0219 Shelter Plus Care MC; Annual Renewal Amount per GIW: $150,477; Budget to be submitted on priority list: $0 (full reallocation); Score: 67.2; Reason: History of underspending and underutilization

NEW PROJECTS

The following new projects have been accepted for inclusion on the New Project Priority List for CoC Bonus and/or reallocated funding and will be ranked on the CoC's New Project Priority List:

- Rank: 49; United Way of Pennsylvania, PA1084 Enhanced East CES - Expansion; Approved Budget: $192,026; Score: N/A - not scored; infrastructure
- Rank: 50; Lehigh Conference of Churches, Rapid Exit 1; Approved Budget: $333,922; Score: 83
- Rank: 51; Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA0222 PSH Expansion; Approved Budget: $255,464; Score: 85
- Rank: 52; Lehigh Conference of Churches, PA1029 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $333,053; Score: 85
- Rank: 53; Women's Help Center, Home Again Program; Approved Budget: $289,285; Score: 82
- Rank: 54; Valley Youth House, PA0808 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $80,804; Score: 76
- Rank: 55; New Bethany, PA1086 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $155,420; Score: 75
- Rank: 56; Tableland, New TH-RRH; Approved Budget: $156,238; Score: 71
- Rank: 57; County of Franklin, Franklin County Family Permanent Supportive Housing; Approved Budget: $85,747; Score: 66
- Rank: 58; Centre County Government, PA0814 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $211,402; Score: 63
- Rank: 59; Center for Community Action, PA0372 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $197,098; Score: 62
- Rank: 60; Bradford County Human Services, Bradford County Human Services RRH Project; Approved Budget: $270,701; Score: 61
- Rank: 61; Service Access and Management, PA1031 RRH Expansion; Approved Budget: $55,491; Score: 56
- Rank: 62; Housing Authority of Cumberland County, PA0447 PSH Expansion; Approved Budget: $93,500; Score: 51
- Rank: 63; Lehigh Conference of Churches, Rapid Exit 2; Approved Budget: $516,625; Score: 83

The following new project has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for DV Bonus funding:

- Rank: 64; Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence, DV Bonus New RRH (PA1137 RRH Expansion); Approved Budget: $3,306,092 (max DV bonus amount for which CoC can apply); Score: 75

The following new project has been accepted for inclusion on the Project Priority List for CoC Planning:

- Rank: n/a; Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Budget: $1,140,791.

There were no new project preliminary applications that were reduced or fully rejected/not accepted by the CoC.

If you have any questions, please contact easterncoc@pennsylvaniacoc.org. Thank you for your participation in the Eastern PA CoC and your ongoing commitment and efforts to end homelessness.

Join the Eastern PA CoC ... click here to become a member

Visit the Eastern PA CoC's website

Copyright © 2024 Eastern PA Continuum of Care, All rights reserved.

Our mailing address is:
c/o Diana T. Myers & Associates, Inc.
7900 Old York Road, Suite 116-A, Elkins Park, PA 19027

If you were forwarded this email and want to sign up for the CoC mailing list, you can sign up here.

Want to change how you receive these emails?
You can update your preferences or unsubscribe from this list.

FY 2024

PA-509 EASTERN PA COC

1E-5b. Local Competition Selection Results

Documents include the following:

- **Final Project Scores for All Projects**

FY2024: Eastern PA CoC Final Ranking and Tiering, Approved by CoC Funding Committee 10/1/24

2024 Annual Renewal Demand:	$22,815,821
Tier 1 (90% ARD):	$20,534,239
Tier 2 (10% ARD + CoC Bonus + DV Bonus)	$8,325,572
Tier 1 + Tier 2 Amount	$28,859,811
CoC Planning Grant (Not Ranked)	$1,140,791

Tier	Rank #	Organization	Project Name	Project Type	Renewal/ New	Score	Status (Accepted/ Rejected)	Reallocated Funds	Amount Requested from HUD (Approved Budget)	Notes
1	1	County of Franklin	PA0182 Franklin/Fulton Homeless Assistance Project	PSH	Renewal	96.9	Accepted	$0	$282,916	
1	2	Tableland Services, Inc.	PA0366- SHP Transitional Housing Project	RRH	Renewal	94.9	Accepted	$0	$159,433	
1	3	Northern Cambria Community Development Corporation	PA0360 Independence Gardens Renewal Project Application	PSH	Renewal	92.3	Accepted	$0	$285,186	
1	4	Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0386-Rural Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	Renewal	91.6	Accepted	$0	$137,930	
1	5	Valley Youth House Committee, Inc.	PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families	RRH	Renewal	89.7	Accepted	$0	$343,892	
1	6	Centre County Government	PA0814 - Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program	RRH	Renewal	89.2	Accepted	$0	$399,407	
1	7	Valley Youth House Committee, Inc.	PA0887 - TH-RRH for Lehigh Valley Youth	TH-RRH	Renewal	89.2	Accepted	$0	$680,911	
1	8	Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0450-Susquehanna/Wayne PSHP	PSH	Renewal	88.7	Accepted	$0	$125,926	
1	9	Catholic Social Services of the Diocese of Scranton, Inc.	PA0519-PSHP Pike County	PSH	Renewal	88.7	Accepted	$0	$116,282	
1	10	The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	PA0655 Salvation Army Carlisle PH Project	PSH	Renewal	87.7	Accepted	$0	$83,791	
1	11	Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	PA0582 HDC SHP 6	PSH	Renewal	86.9	Accepted	$0	$176,360	
1	12	Clinton County Housing Coalition, Inc.	PA0966 CCHC Regional Rapid Rehousing	RRH	Renewal	85.6	Accepted	$0	$230,948	
1	13	The Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA0213 - Outreach and Case Management for the Disabled, Chronically Homeless	SSO	Renewal	85.5	Accepted	$0	$71,545	
1	14	County of Franklin	PA0649 Franklin/Fulton Shelter + Care Project	PSH	Renewal	85.1	Accepted	$0	$101,556	
1	15	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0514 - Perry County Rapid ReHousing	RRH	Renewal	84.6	Accepted	$0	$213,641	
1	16	Fitzmaurice Community Services, Inc	PA0214- Pathfinders PSH	PSH	Renewal	84.4	Accepted	$0	$264,687	

FY2024: Eastern PA CoC Final Ranking and Tiering, Approved by CoC Funding Committee 10/1/24

Tier	Rank #	Organization	Project Name	Project Type	Renewal/ New	Score	Status (Accepted/ Rejected)	Reallocated Funds	Amount Requested from HUD (Approved Budget)	Notes
1	17	Transitions of PA	PA0859-SUN Counties Rapid Re-Housing for Domestic Violence Victims	RRH	Renewal	84.4	Accepted	$0	$114,095	
1	18	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0206-Crossroads Individual	PSH	Renewal	84.1	Reduced Reallocated	($28,435)	$411,182	
1	19	Housing Development Corporation of NEPA	PA0384 HDC SHP 3	PSH	Renewal	83.2	Accepted	$0	$182,623	
1	20	The Salvation Army, a New York Corporation	PA0634 Allentown Hospitality House Permanent Housing Program	PSH	Renewal	83.1	Accepted	$0	$200,561	
1	21	Tableland Services, Inc.	PA0705- Consolidated Permanent Supportive Housing with Disabilities	PSH	Renewal	81.0	Accepted	$0	$406,271	
1	22	Lehigh County Housing Authority	PA0215 LCHA S+C	PSH	Renewal	79.0	Accepted	$0	$268,714	
1	23	Third Street Alliance for Women & Children	PA0811_Third Street Alliance_Lehigh Valley Rapid Re-Housing Program	RRH	Renewal	79.0	Accepted	$0	$435,088	
1	24	Center for Community Action	PA0813 South Central PA RRH	RRH	Renewal	79.0	Accepted	$0	$320,289	
1	25	Center for Community Action	PA0661 Bedford, Fulton, and Huntingdon RRH	RRH	Renewal	75.5	Accepted	$0	$121,363	
1	26	Valley Housing Development Corporation	PA0216 VHDC SHP #2/3	PSH	Renewal	75.1	Accepted	$0	$449,129	
1	27	The Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation	PSH	Renewal	74.9	Accepted	$0	$1,206,091	
1	28	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0177 - Perry County Veterans Program	PSH	Renewal	74.2	Accepted	$0	$49,544	
1	29	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	PA0188 Commonwealth of PA HMIS (PA-509)	HMIS	Renewal	N/A - not scored; infrastructure	Accepted	$0	$374,099	
1	30	United Way of Pennsylvania	PA0736 - Connect To Home Coordinated Entry Project	SSO-CE	Renewal	N/A - not scored; infrastructure	Accepted	$0	$617,716	
1	31	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	PA0927 Coordinated Entry Specialist for Domestic Violence	SSO-CE	Renewal	N/A - not scored; infrastructure	Accepted	$0	$85,668	
1	32	United Way of Pennsylvania	PA1084 Enhanced East CES	SSO-CE	Renewal	N/A - not scored; infrastructure	Accepted	$0	$331,049	
1	33	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	PA1119 Eastern PA CoC DV CE Extension	SSO-CE	Renewal	N/A - not scored; infrastructure	Accepted	$0	$313,905	

Tier	Rank #	Organization	Project Name	Project Type	Renewal/ New	Score	Status (Accepted/ Rejected)	Reallocated Funds	Amount Requested from HUD (Approved Budget)	Notes
1	34	The Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA1029 Pathways RRH	RRH	Renewal	N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough	Accepted	$0	$442,116	
1	35	Service Access and Management Inc.	PA1031 Rapid Re-Housing Franklin County	RRH	Renewal	N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough	Accepted	$0	$152,888	
1	36	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	PA1088 - FY23 Eastern PA CoC DV RRH Consolidation	RRH	Renewal	N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough	Accepted	$0	$6,429,810	
1	37	New Bethany, Inc. DBA New Bethany Ministries	PA1086 New Bethany Rapid Rehousing	RRH	Renewal	N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough	Accepted	$0	$153,201	
1	38	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	PA1137 - NEW Eastern PA CoC DV RRH	RRH	Renewal	N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough	Accepted	$0	$1,935,238	
1	39	Monroe, County of	PA1087 Pocono Mountains Rapid Re-Housing Project	RRH	Renewal	N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough	Accepted	$0	$277,017	
1	40	Center for Community Action	PA0372 Blair CAP - Rapid Re-Housing Program	RRH	Renewal	N/A - not scored; Not operating long enough to be scored due to grant transfer	Accepted	$0	$1,064,678	
1	41	Center for Community Action	PA1138 - Central Valley JMSU RRH	RRH	Renewal	N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough	Accepted	$0	$361,796	

FY2024: Eastern PA CoC Final Ranking and Tiering, Approved by CoC Funding Committee 10/1/24

Tier	Rank #	Organization	Project Name	Project Type	Renewal/ New	Score	Status (Accepted/ Rejected)	Reallocated Funds	Amount Requested from HUD (Approved Budget)	Notes
1	42	Transitions of PA	PA1139 - Transitions of PA PSH for Snyder and Northumberland Counties	PSH	Renewal	N/A - not competitively scored; Not operating long enough	Accepted	$0	$79,681	
1	43	Housing Transitions, Inc.	PA0176 - Nittany House Apartments	PSH	Renewal	71.8	Accepted	$0	$76,016	**TIER 1/TIER 2 Straddle Project. Total project budget $272,018**
2									$196,002	**TIER 1/TIER 2 Straddle Project. Total project budget $272,018**
2	44	Transitions of PA	PA0445 Transitions of PA Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	Renewal	71.8	Accepted	$0	$241,408	
2	45	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0449-Crossroads Housing Bonus Expansion	PSH	Renewal	69.9	Reduced Reallocated	($67,740)	$222,433	
2	46	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0447 - PSH Consolidated	PSH	Renewal	69.7	Accepted	$0	$669,360	
2	47	Resources for Human Development, Inc.	PA0205-Crossroads Family	PSH	Renewal	63.4	Reduced Reallocated	($33,028)	$289,474	
2	48	Housing Authority of the County of Cumberland	PA0812 - Rapid Rehousing Cumberland Perry Lebanon	RRH	Renewal	59.9	Accepted	$0	$174,028	
2	49	United Way of Pennsylvania	PA1084 Enhanced East CES Expansion	SSO-CE	New	N/A - not scored; infrastructure	Accepted	$192,026	$192,026	
2	50	Lehigh Conference of Churches	Rapid Exit 1	RRH	New	83	Accepted	$296,851	$333,922	
2	51	Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation - Expansion	PSH	New	85	Accepted	$0	$255,464	
2	52	Lehigh Conference of Churches	PA1029 - Pathways RRH - Expansion	RRH	New	85	Accepted	$0	$333,053	
2	53	Women's Help Center	Home Again Program	TH-RRH	New	82	Accepted	$0	$289,285	
2	54	Valley Youth House	PA0808 - Lehigh Valley RRH for families + Expansion	RRH	New	76	Accepted	$0	$80,804	
2	55	New Bethany	PA1086 New Bethany Rapid Rehousing FY2024 Expansion	RRH	New	75	Accepted	$0	$155,420	
2	56	Tableland	Stepping Stones TH/RRH Supportive Housing Program	TH-RRH	New	71	Accepted	$0	$156,238	
2	57	County of Franklin	Franklin County Family Permanent Supportive Housing	PSH	New	66	Accepted	$0	$85,747	
2	58	Centre County Government	PA0814 Centre County Rapid Re-Housing Program + Expansion	RRH	New	63	Accepted	$0	$211,402	

FY2024: Eastern PA CoC Final Ranking and Tiering, Approved by CoC Funding Committee 10/1/24

Tier	Rank #	Organization	Project Name	Project Type	Renewal/ New	Score	Status (Accepted/ Rejected)	Reallocated Funds	Amount Requested from HUD (Approved Budget)	Notes
2	59	Center for Community Action	PA0372 Blair CAP - Rapid Re-Housing Program - Expansion	RRH	New	62	Accepted	$0	$197,098	
2	60	Bradford County Human Services	Bradford County Human Services RRH Project	RRH	New	61	Accepted	$0	$270,701	
2	61	Service Access and Management	PA 1031 Rapid Re-Housing Franklin County - Expansion	RRH	New	56	Accepted	$0	$55,491	
2	62	Housing Authority of Cumberland County	PA0447 - PSH Consolidated - Expansion	PSH	New	51	Accepted	$0	$93,500	
2	63	Lehigh Conference of Churches	Rapid Exit 2	RRH	New	83	Accepted	$0	$516,625	
2	64	Pennsylvania Coalition Against Domestic Violence	PA1137 FY24 NEW Eastern PA CoC DV RRH Expansion	RRH	New	75	Accepted	$0	$3,306,092	DV Bonus Project
N/A	Not Ranked	Valley Housing Development Corporation (transfer from Northampton County Housing Authority)	PA0212 NCHA S+C	PSH	Renewal	63.9	Fully Reallocated	($112,107)	$0	This project was reallocated and will not be submitted on CoC Priority List
N/A	Not Ranked	Wayne County	PA0883 - Wayne County Joint TH/RRH	TH-RRH	Renewal	90.6	Fully Reallocated	($97,090)	$0	This project was reallocated and will not be submitted on CoC Priority List
N/A	Not Ranked	Housing Authority of Monroe County	PA0219 Shelter Plus Care MC	PSH	Renewal	67.2	Fully Reallocated	($150,477)	$0	This project was reallocated and will not be submitted on CoC Priority List

NOTE: CoC Planning Grant (displayed below) will be submitted as part of the FY24 Competition but is not ranked.

Tier	Rank #	Organization	Project Name	Project Type	Renewal/ New	Score	Status (Accepted/ Rejected)	Reallocated Funds	Approved Budget (Amount Requested from HUD)	Notes
N/A	Not Ranked	Commonwealth of Pennsylvania	PA-509 Planning Project Application FY2024	Planning	N/A	N/A	Accepted	$0	$1,140,791	

FY 2024

PA-509 EASTERN PA COC

2A-6. HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report

Documents include the following:

- **HUD's Homeless Data Exchange (HDX) Competition Report**

2024 HDX Competition Report

This workbook contains summary information about your CoC's data as it was entered into HDX 1.0 and HDX 2.0 for your use as part of the 2024 Competition.

To Print this Workbook:

This document has been configured as printable with preset print areas of relevant sections. To print it, go to "File", then "Print", then select "Print Entire Workbook" or "Print Active Sheets" depending on your needs.

To Save This Workbook as a PDF:

Click the "File" Tab, then click "Save As" or "Save a Copy", then click "Browse" or "More Options" then select "PDF", click "Options", select "Entire Workbook", press "OK", and click "Save". These instructions may change depending on your version of Microsoft Excel.

On Accessibility, Navigability, and Printability:

This workbook attempts to maximize accessibility, navigability, printability, and ease of use. Merged cells have been avoided. All tables and text boxes have been given names. Extraneous rows and columns outside printed ranges have been hidden. Formulas may include references to hidden rows and columns or data tables. For ease of use, these referenced sources have been hidden but can be unhidden by any useer at any time. Raw data sources contained in this workbook are named according to the module and fiscal year from which they originate - e.g. "HIC_2024" is the Housing Inventory Count raw data from Fiscal Year 2024.

For Questions:

If you have questions, please reach out to HUD via the "Ask a Question" page, https://www.hudexchange.info/program-support/my-question/ and choose "HDX" as the topic.

V 2024.42.1

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - Summary

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

HDX Data Submission Participation Information

Government FY and HDX Module Abbreviation	Met Module Deadline*	Data From	Data Collection Period in HDX 2.0
2023 LSA	Yes	Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).	November 2023 to January of 2024
2023 SPM	Yes	Government FY 2023 (10/1/22 - 9/30/23).**	February 2024 to March 2024
2024 HIC	Yes	Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024.	March 2024 to May 2024
2024 PIT	Yes	Government FY 2024. Exact HIC and PIT dates will vary by CoC. For most CoCs, it will be last Wednesday in January of 2024.	March 2024 to May 2024

1) FY = Fiscal Year
2) *This considers all extensions where they were provided.
2) **"Met Deadline" in this context refers to FY23 SPM submissions. Resubmissions from FY 2022 (10/1/21 - 9/30/22) were also accepted during the data collection period, but these previous year's submissions are voluntarily and are not required.

2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

LSA Usability Status 2023

Category	EST AO	EST AC	EST CO	RRH AO	RRH AC	RRH CO	PSH AO	PSH AC	PSH CO
Fully Usable	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑	☑
Partially Usable									
Not Usable									

EST

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	3,953	4,079	4,767
AO	2,080	2,212	2,721
AC	1,722	1,712	1,889
CO	127	152	157

RRH

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	2,230	2,315	2,349
AO	860	802	766
AC	1,361	1,508	1,584
CO	0	4	3

2024 Competition Report - LSA Summary & Usability Status

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

PSH

Category	2021	2022	2023
Total Sheltered Count	867	788	839
AO	502	463	547
AC	363	324	289
CO	0	2	0

1) Glossary: EST = Emergency Shelter, Save Haven, & Transitional Housing; RRH = Rapid Re-housing; PSH = Permanent Supportive Housing; AO = Persons in Households without Children; AC = Persons in Households with at least one Adult and one Child; CO=Persons in Households with only Children
2) Because people have multiple stays in shelter over the course of a year and stay in different household configurations, a single person can be counted in more than one household type. Therefore, the sum of the number of people by household type may be greater than the unique count of people.
3) Total Sheltered count only includes those served in HMIS participating projects reported by your CoC.
4) For CoCs that experienced mergers during any of these reporting periods, historical data will include only the original CoCs.

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 1: Length of Time Persons Remain Homeless

This measures the number of clients active in the report date range across ES, SH (Metric 1.1) and then ES, SH and TH (Metric 1.2) along with their average and median length of time homeless. This includes time homeless during the report date range as well as prior to the report start date, going back no further than the look back stop date or client's date of birth, whichever is later.

Metric 1.1: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES and SH projects.

Metric 1.2: Change in the average and median length of time persons are homeless in ES, SH, and TH projects.

a. This measure is of the client’s entry, exit, and bed night dates strictly as entered in the HMIS system.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, and SH	4,192	63.6	34.5
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and TH	4,703	85.3	40.0

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

b. This measure is based on data element 3.917

This measure includes data from each client's Living Situation (Data Standards element 3.917) response as well as time spent in permanent housing projects between Project Start and Housing Move-In. This information is added to the client's entry date, effectively extending the client's entry date backward in time. This "adjusted entry date" is then used in the calculations just as if it were the client's actual entry date.

Metric	Universe (Persons)	Average LOT Homeless (bed nights)	Median LOT Homeless (bed nights)
1.1 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	4,988	233.2	85.0
1.2 Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH, and PH (prior to "housing move in")	5,480	229.4	92.0

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 2: Returns to Homelessness for Persons who Exit to Permanent Housing (PH) Destinations

This measures clients who exited SO, ES, TH, SH or PH to a permanent housing destination in the date range two years prior to the report date range. Of those clients, the measure reports on how many of them returned to homelessness as indicated in the HMIS for up to two years after their initial exit.

	Total # of Persons Exited to a PH Destination (2 Yrs Prior)	Returns to Homelessness in Less than 6 Months (0 - 180 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 6 to 12 Months (181 - 365 days)		Returns to Homelessness from 13 to 24 Months (366 - 730 days)		Number of Returns in 2 Years	
Metric	**Count**	**Count**	**% of Returns**	**Count**	**% of Returns4**	**Count**	**% of Returns6**	**Count**	**% of Returns8**
Exit was from SO	148	12	8.1%	14	9.5%	8	5.4%	34	23.0%
Exit was from ES	1,218	94	7.7%	33	2.7%	71	5.8%	198	16.3%
Exit was from TH	200	14	7.0%	8	4.0%	9	4.5%	31	15.5%
Exit was from SH	0	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
Exit was from PH	1,207	54	4.5%	32	2.7%	29	2.4%	115	9.5%
TOTAL Returns to Homelessness	2,773	174	6.3%	87	3.1%	117	4.2%	378	13.6%

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 3: Number of Homeless Persons

Metric 3.1 – Change in PIT Counts

Please refer to PIT section for relevant data.

Metric 3.2 – Change in Annual Counts

This measures the change in annual counts of sheltered homeless persons in HMIS.

Metric	Value
Universe: Unduplicated Total sheltered homeless persons	4,770
Emergency Shelter Total	4,249
Safe Haven Total	0
Transitional Housing Total	591

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 4: Employment and Income Growth for Homeless Persons in CoC Program-funded Projects

This measure is divided into six tables capturing employment and non-employment income changes for system leavers and stayers. The project types reported in these metrics are the same for each metric, but the type of income and universe of clients differs. In addition, the projects reported within these tables are limited to CoC-funded projects.

Metric 4.1 – Change in earned income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	379
Number of adults with increased earned income	52
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	13.7%

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 4.2 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	379
Number of adults with increased non-employment cash income	190
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	50.1%

Metric 4.3 – Change in total income for adult system stayers during the reporting period

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults (system stayers)	379
Number of adults with increased total income	226
Percentage of adults who increased total income	59.6%

Metric 4.4 – Change in earned income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	386
Number of adults who exited with increased earned income	91
Percentage of adults who increased earned income	23.6%

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 4.5 – Change in non-employment cash income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	386
Number of adults who exited with increased non-employment cash income	79
Percentage of adults who increased non-employment cash income	20.5%

Metric 4.6 – Change in total income for adult system leavers

Metric	Value
Universe: Number of adults who exited (system leavers)	386
Number of adults who exited with increased total income	158
Percentage of adults who increased total income	40.9%

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 5: Number of Persons who Become Homeless for the First Time

This measures the number of people entering the homeless system through ES, SH, or TH (Metric 5.1) or ES, SH, TH, or PH (Metric 5.2) and determines whether they have any prior enrollments in the HMIS over the past two years. Those with no prior enrollments are considered to be experiencing homelessness for the first time.

Metric 5.1 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, and TH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH or TH during the reporting period.	4,387
Of persons above, count those who were in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	650
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time)	3,737

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 5.2 – Change in the number of persons entering ES, SH, TH, and PH projects with no prior enrollments in HMIS

Metric	Value
Universe: Person with entries into ES, SH, TH or PH during the reporting period.	5,849
Of persons above, count those who were in ES, SH, TH or any PH within 24 months prior to their entry during the reporting year.	770
Of persons above, count those who did not have entries in ES, SH, TH or PH in the previous 24 months. (i.e. Number of persons experiencing homelessness for the first time.)	5,079

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Measure 6: Homeless Prevention and Housing Placement of Persons defined by category 3 of HUD's Homeless Definition in CoC Program-funded Projects

Measure 6 is not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.

Measure 7: Successful Placement from Street Outreach and Successful Placement in or Retention of Permanent Housing

This measures positive movement out of the homeless system and is divided into three tables: movement off the streets from Street Outreach (Metric 7a.1); movement into permanent housing situations from ES, SH, TH, and RRH (Metric 7b.1); and retention or exits to permanent housing situations from PH (other than PH-RRH).

Metric 7a.1 – Change in SO exits to temp. destinations, some institutional destinations, and permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons who exit Street Outreach	483
Of persons above, those who exited to temporary & some institutional destinations	106
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	68
% Successful exits	36.0%

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Metric 7b.1 – Change in ES, SH, TH, and PH-RRH exits to permanent housing destinations

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in ES-EE, ES-NbN, SH, TH and PH-RRH who exited, plus persons in other PH projects who exited without moving into housing	5,147
Of the persons above, those who exited to permanent housing destinations	2,395
% Successful exits	46.5%

Metric 7b.2 – Change in PH exits to permanent housing destinations or retention of permanent housing

Metric	Value
Universe: Persons in all PH projects except PH-RRH who exited after moving into housing, or who moved into housing and remained in the PH project	844
Of persons above, those who remained in applicable PH projects and those who exited to permanent housing destinations	778
% Successful exits/retention	92.2%

2024 Competition Report - SPM Data

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

System Performance Measures Data Quality

Data coverage and quality will allow HUD to better interpret your SPM submissions.

Metric	All ES, SH	All TH	All PSH, OPH	All RRH	All Street Outreach
Unduplicated Persons Served (HMIS)	3,021	425	871	2,332	386
Total Leavers (HMIS)	2,520	237	170	1,305	208
Destination of Don't Know, Refused, or Missing (HMIS)	958	34	5	47	53
Destination Error Rate (Calculated)	38.0%	14.4%	2.9%	3.6%	25.5%

2024 Competition Report - SPM Notes

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

FY 2023 Reporting Year: 10/1/2022 - 9/30/2023

Notes For Each SPM Measure

Note: Cells may need to be resized to accomodate notes with lots of text.

Measure	Notes
Measure 1	No notes.
Measure 2	No notes.
Measure 3	No notes.
Measure 4	No notes.
Measure 5	No notes.
Measure 6	No Notes. Measure 6 was not applicable to CoCs in this reporting period.
Measure 7	No notes.
Data Quality	No notes.

2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current Beds in HMIS or Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV† Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster*	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP Beds	Adjusted HMIS Bed Coverage Rate for Year-Round, Current Beds
ES	1,414	940	1,132	0	1,132	83.0%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	586	332	575	0	575	57.7%
RRH	1,252	926	926	0	926	100.0%
PSH	1,019	603	994	0	994	60.7%
OPH	233	69	233	81	152	45.4%
Total	**4,504**	**2,870**	**3,860**	**81**	**3,779**	**75.9%**

2024 Competition Report

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania

For HIC conducted in January/I

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Total Year-Round, Current, VSP Beds	Removed From Denominator: OPH EHV† Beds or Beds Affected by Natural Disaster**	Adjusted Total Year-Round Current, VSP Beds	HMIS Comparable Bed Coverage Rate for VSP Beds
ES	1,414	282	282	0	282	100.00%
SH	0	0	0	0	0	NA
TH	586	11	11	0	11	100.00%
RRH	1,252	326	326	0	326	100.00%
PSH	1,019	25	25	0	25	100.00%
OPH	233	0	0	0	0	NA
Total	**4,504**	**644**	**644**	**0**	**644**	**100.00%**

2024 Competition Report

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania

For HIC conducted in January/I

HMIS Bed Coverage Rates

Project Type	Total Year-Round, Current Beds	Total Year-Round, Current, HMIS Beds and VSP Beds in an HMIS-Comparable Database	Adjusted Total Year-Round, Current, Non-VSP and VSP Beds	HMIS and Comparable Database Coverage Rate
ES	1,414	1,222	1,414	86.42%
SH	0	0	0	NA
TH	586	343	586	58.53%
RRH	1,252	1,252	1,252	100.00%
PSH	1,019	628	1,019	61.63%
OPH	233	69	152	45.39%
Total	**4,504**	**3,514**	**4,423**	**79.45%**

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - HIC Summary

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

For HIC conducted in January/February of 2024

Rapid Re-housing Beds Dedicated to All Persons

Metric	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
RRH beds available to serve all pops. on the HIC	952	953	957	1,061	1,252

1) † EHV = Emergency Housing Voucher
2) *This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds not associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
3) **This column includes Current, Year-Round, Natural Disaster beds associated with a VSP that are not HMIS-participating or HMIS-comparable database participating. For OPH Beds, this includes beds that are Current, VSP, Non-HMIS, and EHV-funded.
4) Data included in these tables reflect what was entered into HDX 2.0.
5) In the HIC, "Year-Round Beds" is the sum of "Beds HH w/o Children", "Beds HH w/ Children", and "Beds HH w/ only Children". This does not include Overflow ("O/V Beds") or Seasonal Beds ("Total Seasonal Beds").
6) In the HIC, "Current" beds are beds with an "Inventory Type" of "C" and not beds that are Under Development ("Inventory Type" of "U").
7) For historical data: Aggregated data from CoCs that merged are not displayed if HIC data were created separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.

2024 HDX Competition Report

2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

Submission Information

Date of PIT Count	Received HUD Waiver
1/24/2024	Not Applicable

Total Population PIT Count Data

Category	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024
PIT Count Type	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered-Only Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count	Sheltered and Unsheltered Count
Emergency Shelter Total	1,061	1,147	957	1,586	1,301	1,362
Safe Haven Total	0	0	0	0	0	0
Transitional Housing Total	445	519	439	390	436	408
Total Sheltered Count	1,506	1,666	1,396	1,976	1,737	1,770
Total Unsheltered Count	337	366	0	314	287	492
Total Sheltered and Unsheltered Count*	1,843	2,032	1,396	2,290	2,024	2,262

1) *Data included in this table reflect what was entered into HDX 1.0 and 2.0. This may differ from what was included in federal reports if the PIT count type was either sheltered only or partial unsheltered count.
2) Aggregated data from CoCs that merged is not displayed if PIT data were entered separately - that is, only data from the CoC into which the merge occurred are displayed. Additional reports can be requested via AAQ for any CoCs that have been subsumed into other CoCs.
3) In 2021, for CoCs that conducted a "Sheltered and partial unsheltered count", only aggregate and not demographic data were collected.

2024 Competition Report - PIT Summary

PA-509 - Eastern Pennsylvania CoC

For PIT conducted in January/February of 2024

FY 2024

PA-509 EASTERN PA COC

3A-1a. Housing Leveraging Commitments

Documents include the following:

- **Housing Leveraging Commitment from Lehigh Conference of Churches for "PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation – Expansion." Total Commitment: 4 leveraged units.**
  - **4 leveraged units + 10 CoC-funded units = 14 total units.**
  - **Also attached is purchase of Service Contract with County of Northampton**

The Lehigh CONFERENCE of CHURCHES

10/3/2024

Re: Leveraging Housing Subsidies; PA0222 Expansion New Project

The Lehigh Conference of Churches is applying for a PSH expansion new project "PA0222 - Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation– Expansion" for 10 new units.

The Conference commits to leveraging 4 additional units through our Northampton Clearinghouse program for a total of 14 units for the PSH expansion new project. 4 out of 14 total units will be leveraged (29%). Rent is based off of 35% of the participant's income. The remainder for the rent will be paid by the Conference.

The current 2024 for a one-bedroom unit is $1,137 a month. The Conference's maximum annual total commitment is $54,576.

The term of leveraging will be for a one-year period 10/1/24-9/30/25. This timeline is based on the current grant cycle of the PA0222 renewal project. Upon expiration of the initial term, this agreement shall be automatically renewed for successive one-year terms, each commencing on the first day following the date on which the preceding initial term or renewal term shall have expired. Attached is a contract from Northampton County.

Thank you,

Deanne Malacsics Best

Deanne Malacsics Best

457 W. Allen Street, Allentown, PA 18102
info@lehighchurches.org • www.lehighchurches.org

Drop-in Center
phone 610-820-5049 fax 610-439-8039

Housing Services
phone 610-439-8653 fax 484-664-7322

Administrative Office
phone 610-433-6421 fax 610-433-5479

Contract No. **15-835**

AMENDMENT A-2

COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES

PURCHASE OF SERVICE CONTRACT

HEALTHCHOICES DIVISION

AMENDMENT

AMENDMENT to PURCHASE OF SERVICE CONTRACT made this 6th day of August, 2020, by and between the COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON, hereinafter referred to as the "COUNTY," through the **HealthChoices** Division, and **The Lehigh Conference of Churches**, hereinafter referred to as the "PROVIDER," with address of **534 Chew Street, Allentown, Pennsylvania 18102**.

WITNESSETH:

WHEREAS, the parties entered into a Purchase of Service Contract dated May 19, 2015, bearing Contract Number 15-835; and

WHEREAS, the parties desire to amend this aforesaid Contract with its prior Amendment A-1 dated November 28, 2016.

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the mutual promises and covenants contained herein, and intending to be legally bound hereby, the parties hereto agree as follows:

1. The parties agree to maintain the COUNTY'S financial obligation to PROVIDER as set forth in Paragraph II (a) of the aforementioned Contract.

2. The term of this CONTRACT between COUNTY and PROVIDER has been extended to June 30, 2022.

SUBJECT TO REGULATIONS AND PROCEDURES AS ADOPTED, UPON NOTIFICATION OF ADOPTION

IN ALL OTHER RESPECTS, the Contract of the parties dated May 19, 2015, which is made a part hereof by reference, shall continue in full force and effect.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have executed this Amendment to the Purchase of Service Contract the day and year first above written.

COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON

By: _____
County Executive

Date: 8/6/2020

DJC

PROVIDER: **The Lehigh Conference of Churches**

By: John E Fogleman Jr
Name

Title: Executive Director

Date: 7/20/2020

FEDERAL IDENTIFICATION #**23-1484205**

WITNESS:

_____

TITLE Director of Programs

SEAL:

COUNTY OF NORTHAMPTON

DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
HealthChoices Program
2801 Emrick Blvd
Bethlehem, Pennsylvania 18020-8015
Unit # (610) 829-4780
Direct # (610) 829-4775
Fax # (610) 997-5808
kbernard@northamptoncounty.org

HealthChoices Coordinator
Kristy Bernard

August 19, 2020

Dr. John Felch, Jr., Executive Director
The Lehigh Conference of Churches
534 Chew Street
Allentown, PA 18102

Re: HC Contract # 15-835 A-2

Dear Dr. Felch:

Enclosed please find your fully executed copy of Purchase of Service Amendment 15-835 A-2 with Northampton County's Department of Human Services, HealthChoices Program.

Please contact me if you have any questions or concerns related to your contract.

Sincerely,

Kristy Bernard
HealthChoices Coordinator

Enclosures

FY 2024

PA-509 EASTERN PA COC

3A-2a. Healthcare Formal Agreements

Documents include the following:

- **Healthcare Leveraging Commitment Letter from Lehigh Conference of Churches (Total Commitment $65,219.20)**
  - **Supporting Documents:**
    - **Magellan Blended Case Management letter from PA DHS**
    - **Magellan Blended Case Management rates**
    - **Occupational Therapist hire letter w/ documentation of hourly rate**
- **Healthcare Leveraging Commitment Letter from Women's Help Center (Total Commitment $72,321)**

The Lehigh CONFERENCE of CHURCHES

10/3/2024

Re: Leveraging Health Care Services; PA0222 Expansion New Project

The Lehigh Conference of Churches is applying for a PSH expansion new project "PA0222 –Pathways Permanent Supportive Housing Consolidation – Expansion" for 14 units total (10 CoC-funded units and 4 leveraged units).

The Conference commits to leverage 25% of the funding being requested for the new project in health care services through our Magellan Blended Case Management program and our Occupational Therapist. Project eligibility for program participants in the new project will be based on CoC Program fair housing requirements and will not be restricted by the health care service providers

The Magellan program provides intensive case management to people with a qualifying mental health diagnosis. $33.05 for 1 Unit, 1 Unit = 15 Minutes. A client will be seen a minimum of two times a month. 14 clients at two times a month at a minimum of 4 units each time for a total of 8 units a month per client, for a total of 96 units a year per client. 14 clients x 96 units = 1,344 units for a total of $44,419.20

The Occupational Therapist provides 8 hours a week at $50 an hour, to the PSH project, totaling $20,800 a year. The occupational therapist will promote health, well-being, and the ability to participate in the important activities in their lives.

The commitment total is $65,219.20

The term of leveraging will be for a one-year period 10/1/24-9/30/25. This timeline is based on the current grant cycle of the PA0222 renewal project. Upon expiration of the initial term, this agreement shall be automatically renewed for successive one-year terms, each commencing on the first day following the date on which the preceding initial term or renewal term shall have expired.

The Magellan License and the employment letter for the occupational therapist are attached.

Thank you

Deanne Malacsics Best

Deanne Malacsics Best

457 W. Allen Street, Allentown, PA 18102
info@lehighchurches.org • www.lehighchurches.org

Drop-in Center
phone 610-820-5049 fax 610-439-8039

Housing Services
phone 610-439-8653 fax 484-664-7322

Administrative Office
phone 610-433-6421 fax 610-433-5479

pennsylvania
DEPARTMENT OF HUMAN SERVICES
OFFICE OF MENTAL HEALTH AND
SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES

June 28, 2024

Ms. Abigail Goldfarb, Executive Director
The Lehigh Conference of Churches
457 Allen Street
Allentown, Pennsylvania 18102

RE: Blended Case Management (BCM)
OMHSAS Approval #: 60077 - NEW
PROMISe ID #: 102268770

Dear Ms. Goldfarb:

The Office of Mental Health Services (OMHSAS), Northeast Field Office is in receipt of your email dated June 28, 2024, requesting approval to change your Targeted Case Management Program from Intensive Case Management to Blended Case Management. A Department of Human Services (DHS) Program Representative has reviewed your service description and determined it to be in compliance with the OMHSAS Targeted Case Management requirements.

You are approved to provide Blended Case Management services from July 1, 2024, to July 1, 2025, at the following location:

- 457 Allen Street, Allentown, Pennsylvania 18102

If you have any questions regarding the approval process, please contact me at the Northeast Field Office by phone at (570) 963-4335 or by email at leksiazek@pa.gov.

Sincerely,

Leigh Ann Ksiazek
Community Program Manager

c: Lehigh County MH/ID Administrator
OMHSAS Business Partner Support Unit
OMHSAS Licensing Management Technician
OMHSAS Northeast Field Office

A M E N D M E N T

THIS AMENDMENT, effective the **1st** day of **October, 2023** is by and between **Magellan Healthcare, Inc. f/k/a Magellan Behavioral Health, Inc. (Magellan)** and **THE LEHIGH CONFERENCE OF CHURCHES (Facility)**.

WHEREAS, Magellan has contracted with one or more health insurance programs, employers, or other entities to provide and/or arrange for mental health and substance abuse treatment to beneficiaries and individuals covered by benefit plans sponsored or issued by payors; and

WHEREAS, Magellan entered into an agreement (the "Agreement") whereby Facility agreed to provide mental health and/or substance abuse treatment services of the type to be obtained by Magellan for the benefit of the beneficiaries;

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the promises and mutual covenants herein contained and other good and valuable consideration, the sufficiency of which is hereby acknowledged, it is mutually covenanted and agreed by and between the parties hereto, as follows:

1. The rates for **Targeted/Mental Health Case Management (Blended Case Management) Services (All Ages)** the Agreement shall be expired and in lieu and in place thereof the following rates shall be inserted for **Pennsylvania HealthChoices – Lehigh and Northampton Counties (All Ages)** for the following site location listed below:

Effective 10/1/2023 thru 12/31/2023:

Intensive Case Management	T1017 UB, UC	$35.94	1 Unit = 15 Minutes
MH Svc During Non-Psych Inpt – ICM	T1017 UB, HK	$35.94	1 Unit = 15 Minutes
MH Svc During Psych Inpt – ICM	T1017 UB, HE	$35.94	1 Unit = 15 Minutes

Effective 1/1/2024:

Intensive Case Management	T1017 UB, UC	$33.05	1 Unit = 15 Minutes
MH Svc During Non-Psych Inpt – ICM	T1017 UB, HK	$33.05	1 Unit = 15 Minutes
MH Svc During Psych Inpt – ICM	T1017 UB, HE	$33.05	1 Unit = 15 Minutes

- Lehigh County Conference of Churches: 457 West Allen Street Allentown, PA 18102-2457 (MIS: 600799-182; TIN: 23-1484205)

2. In all other respects the Agreement is ratified and remains in full force and effect.

3. This Amendment shall be binding upon the parties, their successors, and assigns.

The Rest of This Page Has Been Intentionally Left Blank

The Lehigh CONFERENCE of CHURCHES

February 22, 2024

Dear [redacted]

This correspondence is to serve as your letter of employment for the position of Occupational Therapist at The Lehigh Conference of Churches. Your start date is Monday, March 4, 2024. Congratulations!

We request that within 48 hours you indicate your acceptance of the terms stated herein by signing and returning a copy of this letter. Please keep the second copy for your files. We also request you complete and submit the attached application and authorization.

The Occupational Therapist position is part-time at 8 hours per week with a pay rate of $50/hour.

A performance and salary review will be made annually and an introductory review will be conducted sometime within the first three months of employment.

Prior to your start date, you must complete a pre-employment physical at Concentra. No appointment is necessary. This appointment will be at no cost to you. The closest locations are: 90 S. Commerce Way, Ste 100, Bethlehem, PA 18017 and 6990A Snowdrift Rd., Allentown, PA 18106.

On your first day of employment, please bring the following:

- Two forms of ID
- Bank account information
- Official college transcripts.

We look forward to working with you and have every confidence that you are bringing qualify leadership to this position.

Sincerely,

Christine Rinker
Director of Administration

Cerissa Zenor-Clark

457 Allen Street, Allentown PA 18102
phone 610.433.6421 fax 610.439.8039
www.lehighchurches.org

United Way
United Way of the Greater Lehigh Valley

HYNDMAN AREA HEALTH CENTER & WOMEN'S HELP CENTER, INC.

PARTNERSHIP AGREEMENT

Memorandum of Understanding

Regarding Health Care Services

This Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU" or "Agreement") is entered into between Hyndman Area Health Center and Women's Help Center, Inc. on October 10, 2024. Each signatory to this MOU may be referred to as a "party" and collectively as "Parties."

WHEREAS, Hyndman Area Health Center, Federally Qualified Health Center, is a Healthcare organization providing primary care in Johnstown, Cambria County, Pennsylvania, and

WHEREAS, Women's Help Center, Inc. provides case management and supportive services to individuals and families in the areas of housing, employment, education, advocacy, and services to ensure that episodes of homelessness are rare, brief, and non-recurring; and all eligible households with the region have safe, affordable housing, and

WHEREAS, in the interest of collaborating for more effective treatment, Hyndman Area Health Center and Women's Help Center, Inc. will work together to serve individuals whom they believe may have chronic physical health conditions, unmet healthcare needs, behavioral health issues or other health-related issues for which the patient chooses to seek treatment. Such services will be offered to all project participants in the Women's Help Center, Inc. proposed new project, named "Home Again Program" (hereafter referred to as "the project"), to be submitted on the Eastern Pennsylvania CoC's FY24 CoC Priority List. If funded, the project will serve 25 participants at a single point in time. Any participant in the project wishing to use such services will be able to access them from Hyndman Area Health Center.

WHEREAS the parties desire to enter into an agreement that clearly identifies the roles and responsibilities of each party with respect to the development and implementation of an integrated health care services partnership.

TERM AND TERMINATION

The initial term of this MOU shall be from the start of the project's contract, estimated to be October 1, 2025 and will run for a period of one year. Upon expiration of the initial term, this MOU shall be automatically renewed for successive one-year terms, each commencing on the first day following the date on which the preceding initial term or renewal term shall have expired. Each party reserves the right not to elect to renew the MOU.

Notwithstanding any provisions in this MOU, either party may terminate this MOU, with or without cause and with or without providing reasons for termination, upon giving the other Party thirty (30) days' prior written notice.

RESPONSIBILITIES

Responsibilities of Hyndman Area Health Center:

- Commit to providing $72,321 in services to 25 participants enrolled in the "Home Again Program" project. This total amount is based on an hourly billing rate of $60 for a total of 1200 anticipated hours of services.
- Work with the Women's Help Center staff to assist program participants to obtain medical insurance to address healthcare needs.
- Collaborate with the Women's Help Center to initiate and receive referrals for services; make available and provide participants who are in need of and agreeable to receiving the following: 1) primary care services, 2) dental services, 3) pediatric services, 4) women's health services, 5) transportation to appointments.
- Support a health and housing care coordination process that synchronizes housing and healthcare targeted for individuals with multi-morbidities which may include: 1) primary care, 2) specialist healthcare, 3) administering medication, 4) transportation for dialysis, 5) scheduling appointments & prescription delivery.
- Coordination of data sharing to retain consistent, mainstream care.
- Ensure that clients are able to access the full range of assistance they need, no matter where they enter the system.

Responsibilities of Women's Help Center, Inc.:

- Provide housing resources to help the participants of the project in accessing and utilizing healthcare resources.
- Provide intensive case management and housing and resource navigation services to the participants enrolled in the project to assist in creating linkages to community supports for the duration of their transition from homelessness into housing.
- Provide SOAR enrollment assistance strategies for the participants of the project.
- Facilitate community-based agency capacity to bill Medicaid for services.

Collectively both parties will:

- Work together collaboratively to address the healthcare needs of the participants to improve health outcomes.
- Strengthen communication and coordination between the housing and healthcare systems to support integrated planning and care provisions.
- Ensure that associated programming offered under this MOU complies with HUD CoC Program and fair housing requirements. Project eligibility for program participants in this project will be based on CoC Program fair housing requirements and will not be restricted by the health care service provider.

EFFECTIVE DATE AND SIGNATURES

The parties to this MOU through their duly authorized representatives have executed and certify that they have read, understood, and agree to the terms and conditions as set forth herein.

Hyndman Area Health Center

Signature: _____

Dr. Brian Stratta, Chief Executive Officer

10-11-24

Date

Women's Help Center, Inc.

Signature: _____

Roxann Tyger, Executive Director

10/10/2024

Date